

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.04.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.65 of 2022 in O.A. No.263 of 2019</p> <p>D.B.Vithoba KodagApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.A. Kashid, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue Contempt Notice to the Respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.05.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) / Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 11.04.2023

M.A. No.244 of 2023 in O.A. No.1121 of 2022

A.V. Pujari

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocate holding for Shri K. Gidh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the result of both physical and written examination for the post of Police Constable are out and the Applicant has received 39 out of 50 marks in physical examination and 63 out of 100 in written examination.
3. We want State Government to make specific statement in writing where Transgender who is chosen in the Transgender female category, whether the cutoff for physical test of running is applied as female for considering cut of Transgender Female. We have perused the result of Satara and at Sr. No.658 – Arya Vijay Pujari is shown as TGF from OBC category and shown parallel reservation as General.
4. In this matter we direct the State Government to keep one seat reserved in O.B.C. (General) category in the establishment of the Superintendent of Police, Satara.
4. In view of page 72 Respondent is directed to make written statement and also answer that once Transgender has chosen his / her particular gender, why that choice is not given to that particular candidate while applying selection criteria.
5. S.O. to 25.04.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.04.2023</p> <p>M. A. No.233 of 2023 in O.A.No.369 of 2023</p> <p>K.S. Dinkarrao & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S. B. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This M.A. is filed to condone the delay caused in filing O.A. claiming the benefit of annual increment due on 1st July of the concerned year. 3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.06.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 06.06.2023 <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.78/2023</u></p> <p>Shri B.L. Shelar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Though matter is already proceeded without reply in terms of order passed on 20.03.2023, today learned P.O. sought permission to file Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice, allowed to file Affidavit-in-reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any to 25.04.2023.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 25th April, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 11.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

11.04.2023

O.A 317/2023 with O.A 1306/2022 with O.A 1064/2022

Shri N.B Pokale ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, Shri S.S Dere, and Shri Vijay Singh holding for Shri A.A Desai, learned counsel for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents and Shri O.M. Lonkar, learned counsel for the private Respondents.

2. In this matters the parties have to assist the Tribunal on the point whether the Limited Competitive Examination from the post of Clerk to Assistant by way of promotion is a promotional post or not. We would prefer to lay hand over the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court or Supreme Court on this point.

3. Parties are directed to complete the pleadings and for completion of pleadings, the matter is kept on Board on 2.5.2023.

4. S.O to 2.5.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

11.04.2023

O.A 659/2022 with O.A 1259/2022

Shri Y.M Savale ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Savita Suryavanshi, and Shri Ganesh Masurkar, holding for Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents, Shri Himanshu S. Thakur holding for Shri S.B Deore for Respondents no 4 & 5, Shri S.A Gadekar, learned counsel for Respondent no. 59.

2. Learned counsel Ms Savita Suryavanshi submits that she has not served copy of the O.A on Respondent no. 62. Learned counsel prays that the MPSC be directed to provide the address of Respondent no. 62. The applicant should approach the M.P.S.C and M.P.S.C should provide address of Respondent no. 62 to the applicant.

3. The parties to note that if the reply is not filed the matter will proceed without reply as it is a matter of selection.

4. S.O to 26.4.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 11.04.2023
---	--

O.A 1070/2022

Shri R.B Pawar ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar holding for Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 6.6.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.04.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1024, 763, 1053/2022 with C.A 8/21 in O.A 848/2018 with M.A 344/2020 with M.A 227/2022 in O./A 935/2021 (Nagpur) with O.A 36/2021 (A'bad)</u></p> <p>Y.A Ahire & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, Shri M.D Lonkar, Ms Reema Machhya, i/b A.A Gharte, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Last chance is given to the Respondents to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 13.6.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

11.04.2023

O.A 875/2022

Shri V.B. Jadhav & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Vaishali Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Admit.

3. Place for final hearing on 11.7.2023.

Sd/-

(**Medha Gadgil**)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(**Mridula Bhatkar, J.**)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 11.04.2023
---	--

O.A 263/2022

Smt N.D Raut ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. Reply has been filed by the Respondents. Learned counsel for the applicant is granted time to file rejoinder.
3. S.O to 13.6.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha)Gadgil
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

11.04.2023

O.A 56/2021

Shri R.G Ghawari ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Vaishali Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks permission to amend the Original Application.

3. Leave to amend granted. Amendment to be carried out and copy to be served on the Respondents.

4. S.O to 6.6.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

11.04.2023

O.A 1230/2022

Shri M.S Bhagwat ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply before the next date by way of last chance. If reply is not filed, the matter will proceed without reply.

3. S.O to 25.4.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

11.04.2023

O.A 35/2023

Shri K.R Patil ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.

3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 6.6.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.04.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.231 of 2023</p> <p>V.U. LokhandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.06.2023. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 13.06.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.04.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.137 of 2023 in O.A. No.231 of 2023</p> <p>V.U. LokhandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed for condonation of delay caused in filing O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that delay was caused due to Reply received late from the R.T.I.</p> <p>4. For the reasons mentioned above, delay is allowed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.04.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.66 of 2023 in O.A. No.118 of 2023</p> <p>M.B. Raut (Anarse)Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A. Kupade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed M.A. for condonation of delay of 222 days caused in filing O.A. Learned Advocate submits that due to medical emergency of his father who was operated twice he could not file the O.A. on time.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. filed Reply opposing delay.</p> <p>4. In view of above, delay is allowed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.04.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.118 of 2023</p> <p>M.B. Raut (Anarse)Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.A. Kupade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.06.2023. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 13.06.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.04.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.518 of 2022 in M.A. No.519 of 2022 in O.A. No.289 of 2016</p> <p>S.L. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed for condonation of delay caused in filing M.A. for restoration. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that delay of 13 months has occurred on account of change of Counsel. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that Mr. N.P. Dalvi who appeared on behalf of the Applicant has expired in 2019 and thereafter the Applicant could not contact any other Counsel on account of COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Hence, delay.</p> <p>3. In view of all these we allow both the M.As., M.A. for Condonation of delay of 13 months is condoned and also M.A. for restoration of O.A. is allowed. O.A. be restored to its Original No.</p> <p>4. Pleadings are completed and since mater is of 2016 it is adjourned to 25.04.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.21 of 2020</p> <p>D. S. HingeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. having heard the matter for quite some time, it is noticed that the basic grievance of the Applicant pertains to shifting of his name in the select list for promotion to the post of Assistant Commissioner, Sales Tax though the prayer in O.A. pertains to rejection of his representation for upgradation of ACR for the year 1995-1996 and 1997-1998.</p> <p>3. The Applicant joined as Sales Tax Officer (Class-I) on 23.06.1995. Later, by order dated 26.12.2002, he was promoted as Assistant Commissioner of Sales Tax (redesignated as Deputy Commissioner of Sales Tax) that time obviously he was promoted on the basis of ACR of previous 5 years. However, later the Respondents in view of directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No.5582/1998 (Dinkar Anna Patil & Anr. V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors decided on 09.11.1998, the Hon'ble Supreme Court directed for fresh seniority list for promotees and nominees on the basis of date of appointment of respective Sales Tax Officers, Class-1 in that cadre ignoring the period during which the private Respondents and other similarly situated Sales Tax Officers, Class-I were appointed on fortuitous basis. The Hon'ble Supreme Court observed that date of regularization shall be the relevant date for the purpose of fixing the inter se seniority of promotees and nominees. It is in pursuance of the direction given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, fresh exercise was carried out to prepare the seniority list and select list afresh. While doing so, the Respondents shifted the seniority of the Applicant w.e.f. 01.01.2004 and his date of absorption is shown</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>10.10.2003. Accordingly, the seniority was published on 21.01.2015.</p> <p>4. The Applicant made representation objecting his downgrading in seniority list dated 21.01.2015 and requested that his seniority be shown immediately below one Shri Girish Nehate and above one Sri Tejrao Pacharne . At the same time, he also made representation for upgradation of his ACR for the year 1995-996, 1996-1997 and 1999-2000 as 'Outstanding' in place of 'Good' . The representations are at page nos.94 to 104 of PB.</p> <p>5. The Government, however, rejected his representations for upgradation of ACR by order dated 03.10.2019.</p> <p>6. In O.A., the Applicant has challenged communication dated 03.10.2019.</p> <p>7. As such, the real grievance of the Applicant is about shifting of his placement in seniority list. In prayer clause, the Applicant has also prayed for upgradation of ACR with consequential service benefits. Thus, apparently the main grievance of the Applicant is about change in placement in seniority list.</p> <p>8. In view of above, the subject matter of placement in seniority list pertains to Division Bench. In present case, <i>prima-facie</i>, the matter pertains to Division Bench.</p> <p>9. Insofar as communication of ACR which are 'Good' are concerned, as per G.R. the then prevailing, there was no necessity to communicate the ACR if gradation is 'Good'. This being the position, the ground raised by learned Counsel for the Applicant that Applicant was not communicated ACR of relevant years which were 'Good' and, therefore, he could not make representation for upgradation is hardly of any significance. Thus, the main grievance boiled down to placement in seniority irrespective of the reason be it due to ACR or direction of Hon'ble Supreme Court.</p> <p>10. The Registrar is, therefore, directed to examine the matter and place it before the Division Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.274/2022</u></p> <p>Shri R.B. Dongre ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri M.D. Lonkar with Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocates for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 is present. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 though served is absent.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25th April, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 11.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1153, 1239 & 1289/2022</u></p> <p>Dr. J.V. Dixit & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondent s</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, Shri M.D. Lonkar and Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocates for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.1153/2022, adjourned for final hearing to 26th April, 2023. It be kept with connected O.As.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26th April, 2023.</p> <p>4. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 10.04.2023 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1184/2019</u></p> <p>Shri D.J. Sonawane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Ms. Ayodya Patki holding for Shri P.S. Pathak, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned for final hearing to 19th April, 2023 by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19th April, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 11.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.284 of 2023</p> <p>R. N. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. A. Shinde, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continued till next date.</p> <p>4. 19.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.684 of 2022</p> <p>Sunil D. SinghApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that after filing of O.A., Government by order dated 01.03.2023 granted the benefit of CAS w.e.f. 16.02.2023 though the Applicant is eligible for the said benefit much earlier.</p> <p>4. Two weeks time is granted to learned P.O. for filing Sur-Rejoinder.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 25.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 11.04.2023

O.A.No.374 of 2023

K. M. Survase

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.2 and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.1.
2. Today, learned Counsel for Respondent No.1 has filed reply. It is taken on record.
3. Learned P.O. sought time to file reply.
4. Learned P.O. further submits that Government intends to challenge the order passed by the Tribunal by filing W.P. and at the same time also intends to file M.A. for extension of time given by the Tribunal for compliance of the order dated 28.03.2023.
5. The Tribunal by order dated 28.03.2023 passed in O.A.No.63/2023, quashed and set aside the suspension order dated 09.12.2022 and the Respondents were directed to reinstate the Applicant (present Respondent No.1 in this O.A.) on the post she was holding at the time of suspension within two weeks from the date of order. The period of two weeks is expiring by tomorrow.
6. This O.A. is filed by Shri Kiran Survase apprehending his transfer/shifting for the compliance of the order passed by the Tribunal. Thus, he seems to have been appointed in place of the Applicant (Respondent No.1) after her suspension. The present Applicant was not party in O.A.No.63/2023 and on that ground claims to be aggrieved person.
7. It is on the above background, learned Counsel for the Applicant prayed for interim relief.
8. Since, the Government intends to file M.A. for extension of time and also intends to challenge the order passed by the Tribunal on 28.03.2023, I am inclined to grant one day time to Government to file M.A. for extension of time for compliance of the order dated 28.03.2023.
9. S.O. to 12.04.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.417 of 2022</p> <p>R. P. GageApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Vaidehi Deshmukh holding for Shri V. Sangvikar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is heard for some time, it is noticed that basically the claim is to pay and allowances from 09.04.1999 which was granted as deemed date of promotion for the post of Chief Officer, Group -B. Therefore, crux of the matter as to when the Applicant was due for promotion and why she was not promoted when she was under the zone of consideration. However, Affidavit in Reply filed by the Respondents is very cryptic and it only focused on the contempt petition made by the Applicant and the order passed therein. The contempt was filed for non-compliance of order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.48/2017 whereby only directions were given to decide the claim of the Applicant for deemed date of promotion. Later, deemed date of promotion was given but pay and allowances were not granted.</p> <p>3. In such situation, the Respondent is required to file detailed Affidavit in Reply disclosing when the Applicant became eligible for promotion and why it was not granted.</p> <p>4. One week time is granted to file additional Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 19.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) ^[P.T.O.] Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">LATER ON</p> <p align="center">Date: 11.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.357 of 2016</p> <p>C.A. GhodkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Karan R. Gajra, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. was dismissed today in the morning session. However, later-on learned Advocate Shri K.R. Gajra mentioned it and requested to set aside the order of dismissal. As the request is made today itself, we have accepted this oral request and the order of dismissal is recalled.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.04.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.04.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.22 of 2022 in O.A. No.200 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. Bagde & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants submits that interest on delayed payment of GIS remains to be given to Applicant Nos.1 to 3. .</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that the bill for the remaining amount of interest on delayed payment of GIS is sent to the Finance Department.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.05.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.04.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.39 of 2019 in O.A. No.216 of 2016</p> <p>A.P. CharateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Madhavi Ayyapan, learned Advocate holding for Shri Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that State had filed Writ Petition No.7879 of 2019 in the Hon'ble High Court but it was dismissed. Hence, learned P.O. prays for four weeks time. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.06.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.04.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.376 of 2022 in O.A. No.737 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. BhamareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.A. Kashid, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.P. Mengane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. requested for time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time is granted till next date, if at all Reply is not filed in MA till next date then M.A. will be heard and decided without Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.04.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gádgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.04.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.226 of 2022 in O.A. No.354 of 2020</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus K.R. Gupta & Ors. (Org. Appl.)Resps.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents), Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant) and Shri S.R. Ghanoval, learned Advocate holding for Mr. Talkute, learned Advocate for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. S.R. Ghanoval requested for one adjournment on behalf of Mr. Talkute.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.06.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 11.04.2023

**C.A.No.73/2022 in O.A.No.119/2019 with
O.A.No.705/2020 with O.A.No.576/2020**

A.T. SakoreApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Applicant in person in C.A.No.73/2022, Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.576/2020 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 13.04.2023.

Sd/-
(Signature)
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Signature)
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 11.04.2023

O.A.No.357/2016

C.A. GhodkeApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicant. Mr. A.J. Chougule,
learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. None appeared for the Applicant even on last
occasion. Hence, O.A. was placed under the caption
for Dismissal.

3. In view that none appeared for the applicant
today also, O.A. stands dismissed for want of
prosecution.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

At *At*
Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 11.04.2023

O.A.No.862/2016

A.J. Telvekar & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.101. Mr. D.B. Khaire and Mr. S.R. Pawar, learned Advocates for Respondents are absent.

2. Affidavit-in-reply is filed by learned P.O.. Affidavit-in-reply of Private Respondents is not filed. Matter shall proceed without reply, if not filed by tomorrow.

3. Adjourned to 12.04.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

11.04.2023

O.A 333, 334, 335 & 336/2023

S.J Shahane ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O with Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant who was working as Deputy Engineer, P.W.D retired on 31.3.2017 and he is facing four departmental enquiries initiated and charge sheet issued during the period from 1996 to 2003.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.4.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit in not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '**for Dismissal**' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."

9. S.O to 24.4.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 11.04.2023

O.A.No.909/2021 with O.A.No.141/2022

A.M. Chandanshiv & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 18.04.2023.

Sd/-

(~~Medha Gadgil~~)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

11.04.2023

O.A 625/2021

Shri K.D Binner & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O submits that the draft of affidavit of Respondent no. 3 is ready and prays for two weeks' time.

3. As the draft of affidavit in reply is ready, so we grant two weeks' time to file the reply, or the matter will proceed without reply.

4. S.O to 25.4.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 11.04.2023

O.A.No.960/2019

A.E. KerureApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant in person states that he wants to withdraw the vakalatnama of Advocate on record M/S. Talekar & Asso. and he wants to conduct the matter in person.

3. Applicant is directed to file application for the same.

4. In view that applicant is about to retire on 30.04.2023, O.A. stands adjourned to 18.04.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

11.04.2023

O.A 371/2023

Shri V.K Rale ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.B Gaikawad, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned C.P.O submits that the file is with the Hon'ble Chief Minister.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.4.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '**for Dismissal**' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."
9. S.O to 24.4.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.04.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.570/2017</p> <p>B.G. Kingare & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.6 and 6(A). Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Special Counsel for the Respondent is absent.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Ms. Gaikwad submits that today during the course of the day affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Government by learned Advocate Mr. Khaire will be filed.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 25.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.04.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.72/2023</p> <p>M.D. Shende & Anr.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter called out. None appeared for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. In view of above, Adjourned to 25.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.04.2023</p> <p align="right">O.A.No.350 of 2023</p> <p>N. K. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Tanvi Phatak holding for Shri S. K. Hande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant , the matter is adjourned for admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.04.2023</p> <p align="right">O.A.No.177 of 2023</p> <p>R. S. BhapkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.04.2023.</p> <p align="right"> [^] Sd/- V^v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.04.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.102 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. P. R. Dharmadhikari Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge holding for Shri S. B. Gaikwad , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of Respondents. It is taken on record. 3. Since pleading is complete, the O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. to 27.04.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.350 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. R. H. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Prashant Suryawanshi holding for Shri G.M. Savagave, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A., notices were issued to the Respondents by order dated 13.07.2022. Thereafter, enough time is availed to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. On previous date also one week time was granted as a last chance but no reply is filed. I am, therefore, not inclined to grant further time for filing affidavit in reply. The Respondents appear not interested in the matter.</p> <p>5. In view of above, O.A. to proceed without reply and it be kept for hearing.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 27.04.2023.</p> <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1260 of 2022</p> <p>V. N. ThasaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Shete holding for Shri Lad, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the applicant requested for two weeks time to file M.A. for condonation of delay in respect of challenge to communication dated 26.02.2015.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.04.2023</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN



vsm

Member(J)

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.77 of 2018</p> <p>D. S. Khatal & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one day time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.04.2023</p> <p>M. A. No.222 of 2023 in O.A.No.251 of 2023</p> <p>A. D. VeerApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This M.A. is filed to condone the delay of 2 years and 9 months caused in filing O.A. No.251/2023 wherein he claimed deemed date of promotion. 3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.06.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 05.06.2023 <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.04.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.105 of 2020 in O.A.No.145 of 2020 With M. A. No.106 of 2020 in O.A.No.146 of 2020 With M.A.No.107 of 2020 in O.A.147 of 2020</p> <p>P. B. Ghag & Ors. ...Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicants and thier Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K. and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. In the interest of justice to give one oppportunity, the matter are adjourned to 08.06.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- vsm (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>