

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 11.03.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.335 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>A.S. Patil</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO states the 'Housing Department' was under the bonafide that the post of 'Competent Authority-1', in 'SRA Mumbai' was vacant as 'Secretary' of 'SRA Mumbai' by 'Office Order' dated 13.10.2023 had given Additional Charge of the post of 'Competent Authority-1' in 'SRA Mumbai' to Shri. Suresh Kashid who was working as 'Tahsildar-3 (Special Cell)' in 'SRA Mumbai'.</p> <p>3. Learned PO thereupon states that she needs 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Housing Department' as per directions in 'Para 10' of Order dated 06.03.2024.</p> <p>4. Learned PO as per information available states that 'Respondent No. 4' has joined on post of 'Competent Authority-1' in 'SRA Mumbai' on 04.03.2024.</p> <p>5. The Revenue and Forest Department 'Transfer Order' dated 15.02.2024 issued in respect of 'Respondent No. 4' may have been under the belief that the post of 'Competent Authority-1' in 'SRA Mumbai' was vacant and had to be filled up immediately as per directions in Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023. However new fact has now been emerged</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>that 'Secretary', 'SRA Mumbai' by 'Office Order' dated 13.10.2023 had given 'Additional Charge' of post of 'Competent Authority-1' in 'SRA Mumbai' to Shri. Suresh Kashid who was working as 'Tahsildar-3 (Special Cell)' in 'SRA Mumbai': Thus question which has arisen now is where was Applicant been shifted to while serving on post of 'Competent Authority-1' in 'SRA Mumbai' as this disclosure has significant bearing about back drop of 'Transfer Order' dated 15.02.2024 of Revenue &amp; Forest Department in respect of 'Respondent No.4'.</p> <p>6. The 'Transfer Order' dated 04.03.2024 of 'Housing Department' cannot be permitted to be brought into effect till the reasons for flip-flop action is explained satisfactorily as already observed in 'Para 10 of Order dated 06.03.2024. Thus 'Respondent No.4' in the interim period is permitted to work 'Vacant Post' of 'Competent Authority-9' in 'SRA Mumbai'. Further claims of Respondent No.4 having joined post of 'Competent Authority-1', in 'SRA Mumbai' must be substantiated by Housing Department by obtaining factual report from 'CEO, SRA'. Also 'Housing Department' to bring on record by obtaining requisite information from 'CEO, SRA, Mumbai' about the differentiated roles &amp; responsibilities and of areas of jurisdiction of the posts of 'Competent Authority-1' and 'Competent Authority-9' and why it was so necessary to re-assign 'Respondent No.4' to work on unavailable post of 'Competent Authority-1' in 'SRA Mumbai' by 'Transfer Order' dated 04.03.2024 of 'Housing Department'.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 19.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 11.03.2024

O.A. No.283 of 2024 to O.A. No.292 of 2024 with  
O.A. No.310 of 2024 to O.A. No.314 of 2024 with  
O.A. No.323 of 2024 to O.A. No.325 of 2024 with  
O.A. No.329 of 2024 to O.A. No.331 of 2024 with  
O.A. No.354 of 2024

P.S. Gaikwad & Ors.

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad & Smt. Archana B.K., Shri A.D. Gugale and Shri D.R. Patil learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.
2. Learned PO along with 'Law Officer' on behalf of 'DGP, Maharashtra State' submits letter dated 07.03.2024 of 'Additional Director General of Police (Establishment), Maharashtra State, Mumbai' wherein it has been stated that since instructions given in Election Commission of India, letter dated 21.12.2023 and Chief Electoral Officer, M.S. letter dated 22.02.2024 are now clear; they do not wish to seek any relaxation for Applicants from 'Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State' in view of the fact that deadline for transfers is already over, and as elections are nearing; the police officials need to join where they have been transferred especially considering the fact that total of 73 'A.P.I.' and 212 'P.S.I.' have now been transferred out of their 'Home Districts' by 'Transfer Order' dated 26.02.2024 of 'DGP, Maharashtra State'.
3. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon states that Applicant would therefore be willing to join on their new posts as per 'Transfer Order' dated 26.02.2024 of 'DGP, Maharashtra State'. However learned Advocate for Applicants states that contentions of Applicants regarding implementation of provisions of law under 'Section 22(N)' of Maharashtra Police Act 1951 and other 'Administrative Guidelines' issued by Home Department such as about consideration for earlier service in 'LWE' affected areas and serious 'Medical Conditions' etc. must be kept open so as to be heard on merit in due course after 'Affidavits-in-Reply' are filed on behalf of 'DGP, Maharashtra State'.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicants further states that in respect of 'Transfer Order' dated 26.02.2024 of 'DGP, Maharashtra State' there are still few instances where some 'P.S.I.' who have been transferred on grounds of 'Home District' include those who are undergoing training during 'Probation Periods' &amp; not confirmed in cadre of 'P.S.I.'. These are in respect of Applicants in O.A. No. 284/2024 and O.A. No. 291/2024, O.A. No. 354/2024. In addition he states that in case of few Applicants as in O.A. No. 285 of 2024 they had earlier completed tenure 3 Years in last 4 Years in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'; but have again been transferred back to establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for Applicant further also states that the (i)'Commissioner of Police, Pune and (ii)'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad' taking into due consideration that large number vacancies in post of 'P.S.I.' and 'A.P.I' in 'Functional Departments' exists even today: have again requested 'D.G.P., Maharashtra State' to keep them vacant till completion of 'General Elections to Lok Sabha: 2024'. However, this contention is negated by P.O. along with 'Law Officer' of 'D.G.P., Maharashtra State' who state that no such request has yet been received from (i)'Commissioner of Police, Pune' and (ii)'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad'.</p> <p>6. The proposition of learned Advocate for Applicants that grievances of Applicants which also relate to implementation of provisions of law under 'Section 22(N)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act 1951' and consideration of 'Administrative Guidelines' of Home Department be heard on merit is thus acceptable because all Applicants have now agreed to forthwith join on their new posts as per Transfer Order dated 26.02.2024 of 'DGP, Maharashtra State'. Hence, orders of 'Interim Relief' if any; granted to 'P.S.I.' and 'A.P.I' serving on establishments of (i) 'Commissioner of Police, Pune' (ii) 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad' now stands vacated: so as to facilitate immediate implementation of 'Transfer Order' dated 26.02.2024 of 'DGP, Maharashtra State'.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 30.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chatterbarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.03.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.336 of 2024 with O.A. No.338 of 2024 with O.A. No.345 of 2024 with O.A. No.346 of 2024</p> <p>R.M. Jagdale Y.M. Sankpal N.B. Tambe S.R. Khedkar Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p align="right">.....Applicants .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., Smt. K.S. Gaikwad and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicants states that Applicants in (i) O.A. No. 336 of 2024 has not yet been relieved as per Transfer Order dated 16.06.2023 of 'DGP, Maharashtra State' (ii) O.A. No. 338 of 2024 has not yet been relieved as per Transfer Order dated 23.06.2023 of 'DGP, Maharashtra State' (iii) O.A. No. 345 of 2024 has not yet been relieved as per Transfer Order dated 27.01.2024 of 'DGP, Maharashtra' (iv) O.A. No. 346 of 2024 has not yet been relieved as per Transfer Order dated 07.02.2024 of 'DGP, Maharashtra State'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicants thereupon states that Applicants in (i) O.A. No. 336 of 2024 (ii) O.A. No. 338 of 2024 (iii) O.A. No. 345 of 2024 (iv) O.A. No. 346 of 2024 are only seeking implementation of the above mentioned Transfer Orders issued by 'DGP, Maharashtra State'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

4. Learned PO seeks sometime to obtain 'Additional Information' about the above mentioned Transfer Orders of 'DGP, Maharashtra' as they do not appear to have been issued for implementation of directions in Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023. However the Applicants may be

assigned some responsibilities relating to 'General Election to Lok Sabha: 2024' upon reporting at new places of posting under establishments of (i)'Commissioner of Police, Mumbai' and (ii)'Commissioner of Police, Pune' and (iii)'Superintendent of Police, Satara'.

5. S.O. to 18.03.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

AGR

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Date: 11.03.2024</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.340 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>T.M. Adhaoo</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. S.A. Thombre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant was working in 'Control Room' on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik' yet he has been transferred to establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Chatrapati Sambhaji Nagar' by Order dated 26.02.2024 of 'DGP, Maharashtra State'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that Applicant was posted in 'Control Room' by 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik' Order dated 19.10.2023 and therefore was not required to be transferred as per directions in 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned PO states that Applicant was transferred to establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Chatrapati Sambhaji Nagar' by Order dated 26.02.2024 of 'DGP, Maharashtra State' on the grounds that he was posted in 'Sarkarwada Police Station' by Order dated 30.06.2023 of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik' and as 'Nashik District' was his 'Home District'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

5. The Order dated 19.10.2023 of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik' is ambiguously worded and only mentions that until further orders services of Applicant is attached to 'Control Room', while at the same time directs that Applicant be relieved from 'Sarkarwada Police Station' to join in 'Control Room'. Therefore further clarification is required from (i)'DGP, Maharashtra State and (ii)'Commissioner of Police, Nashik' as to whether or not Applicant was transferred to 'Control Room' from 'Sarkarwada, Police Station' on 19.10.2023. Till such time, Applicant is allowed to work in 'Control Room' on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nahsik'.

6. S.O. to 14.03.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

AGR



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.787/2023</u></b></p> <p><b>A.R. Deokar</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2 and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 &amp; 4</p> <p>2. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> March, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>SD/-</i> <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member-A 11.03.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>SD/-</i> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J)</b> Chairperson 11.03.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders


Tribunal's orders


M.A.170/2024 in O.A.359/2024

**A.S. Bandekar & Ors. ... Applicants**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri A.B. Chalak, leaned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
11.03.2024

  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
11.03.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.186/2024**

**S.S. Deshmukh**

**... Applicant**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The Applicant is working as Joint Commissioner, Food and Drugs Administration. He was entrusted with the charge of seizure of 'Gutkha'. The Applicant is alleged to have not taken proper step of reporting about the same to higher authorities. However, Applicant has registered Criminal Case against the offenders.

2. None present on behalf of State Government to give instructions to learned PO. We make it clear that on 3 occasions of hearing, no proper instructions are given to learned PO about the Departmental Enquiry, appointment of Enquiry Officer, etc.

3. In view of this, we allow the 'Interim Relief'. The Departmental Proceedings against the Applicant are hereby stayed.

4. S.O. to 8<sup>th</sup> April, 2024.

*Sd/-*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
11.03.2024

*Sd/-*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
11.03.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**M.A.169/2024 in O.A.280/2024**

**A.R. Sonune & Ors. ... Applicants**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri A.B. Chalak, leaned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> March, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue.

*SKW*  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
**Member-A**  
**11.03.2024**

*MJB*  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J)**  
**Chairperson**  
**11.03.2024**

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.1226/2023

**Dr. A.S. Chandanwale** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondent No.1 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.

2. S.O. to 14<sup>st</sup> March, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue.

*skw*  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
 Member-A  
 11.03.2024

*sd/-*  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J)**  
 Chairperson  
 11.03.2024

(skw)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.232/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>A.S. Rathod</b> ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, leaned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2024.</p> <p align="center">   <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member-A</b>  <b>11.03.2024</b> </p> <p align="center">   <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b>  <b>11.03.2024</b> </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.233/2024**

**S.S. Nalawade** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, leaned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.
2. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> March, 2024.

*sd/-*  
 (Debashish Chakrabarty)  
 Member-A  
 11.03.2024

*sd/-*  
 (Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
 Chairperson  
 11.03.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.245/2024**

**K.H. Borse** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Chandan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 26<sup>th</sup> March, 2024.

*Sd/-*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
11.03.2024

*Sd/-*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
11.03.2024

(skw)





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.97/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>S.T. Ohal</b> ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">   <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member-A</b>  <b>11.03.2024</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;">   <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b>  <b>11.03.2024</b> </p> <p>(skw)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.214/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>R.B. Sankhe</b> ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2024.</p> <p align="center">   <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member-A</b>  <b>11.03.2024</b> </p> <p align="center">   <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b>  <b>11.03.2024</b> </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.201/2024</u></p> <p><b>S.S. Chhapekar &amp; Ors. ... Applicants</b>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 26<sup>th</sup> March, 2024.</p> <p align="center"> <i>SD/-</i>  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member-A</b>  <b>11.03.2024</b> </p> <p align="center"> <i>SD/-</i>  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b>  <b>11.03.2024</b> </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.109/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>A.V. Lengare</b> ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.H. Pawar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 8<sup>th</sup> April, 2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>SKW</i>  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member-A</b>  <b>11.03.2024</b></p> <p>(skw)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>MB</i>  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b>  <b>11.03.2024</b></p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.95/2024**

**R.S. Salunkhe** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R. Musale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. The learned CPO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.
3. S.O. to 26<sup>th</sup> March, 2024.

*SD/-*  
 (Debashish Chakrabarty)  
 Member-A  
 11.03.2024

*SD/-*  
 (Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
 Chairperson  
 11.03.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.93/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>S.K. Mahanavar &amp; Ors. ... Applicants</b> <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</li> <li>2. DMER is directed to provide residential address of private Respondents.</li> <li>3. S.O. to 26<sup>th</sup> March, 2024.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>Sd/-</i>  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member-A</b>  <b>11.03.2024</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>Sd/-</i>  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b>  <b>11.03.2024</b> </p> <p>(skw)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.25/2024

**Dr. A.R. Madkar** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.D. Patil, learned Advocate for Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.
2. The learned CPO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.
3. S.O. to 26<sup>th</sup> March, 2024. 'Interim Relief' if any to be continued.

*SK*  
 (Debashish Chakrabarty)  
 Member-A  
 11.03.2024

*SP*  
 (Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
 Chairperson  
 11.03.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.Nos.14 & 15/2024**

**S.P. Ghotekar**  
**M.S. Napte** ... Applicants  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.V. Shinde, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> March, 2024.

<i>94/1-</i> <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member-A 11.03.2024	<i>54/1-</i> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J)</b> Chairperson 11.03.2024
--	--

(skw)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO. \_\_\_\_\_**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.07/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>Dr. G. Thakur</b> ... <b>Applicant</b>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... <b>Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.S. Kelkar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> March, 2024.</p> <p align="center"> <i>sd/-</i>  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member-A</b>  <b>11.03.2024</b> </p> <p align="center"> <i>sd/-</i>  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b>  <b>11.03.2024</b> </p> <p>(skw)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./O.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 11.03.2024
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1590 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. S.R. Dode .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.03.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1535 of 2023</p> <p>P.V. Gavte .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ashish Gabhole, learned Advocate holding for Shri Jay &amp; Co. for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.03.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.53/2024</u></p> <p><b>B.S. Kendre</b> ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO files Affidavit-in-Reply today.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> March, 2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p align="center">   <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member-A</b>  <b>11.03.2024</b> </p> <p align="center">   <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b>  <b>11.03.2024</b> </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.57/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>B.G. Kadam</b> ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO files Affidavit-in-Reply today.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> March, 2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p align="center"> <i>sd/-</i>  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member-A</b>  <b>11.03.2024</b> </p> <p align="center"> <i>sd/-</i>  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b>  <b>11.03.2024</b> </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.Nos.96 & 107/2024**

**M.M. Bhogate**  
**B.K. Gangawane** ... Applicants  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri P. Naik, learned Advocate and Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents 1 to 3 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.4 & 6.
2. Dy. Secretary, Desk 16-A of GAD is directed to remain present on 13<sup>th</sup> March, 2024.
3. S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> March, 2024.

*sd/-*  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
**Member-A**  
**11.03.2024**

*sd/-*  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J)**  
**Chairperson**  
**11.03.2024**

(skw)



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 11.03.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.646/2017</b></p> <p>G.S. Choudhari .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. G.S. Shukla, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Mr. Shukla today has produced the reconstructed copy of O.A. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 26.03.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 11.03.2024**

**O.A.No.993/2022**

R.B. Shaikh .Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant challenges order dated 06.04.2014 issued by Respondent No.3, removing him from service and further prays that he be reinstated in service with consequential pecuniary benefits. Applicant prays that the Appellate Authority issued order dated 08.02.2022 passed by the Respondent No.1 in appeal and the Review order dated 03.06.2022 be quashed and set aside. Applicant was working as Police Constable. He was appointed on 03.10.2010.

3. Learned Counsel has submitted that the D.E. and charge-sheet has been issued by Respondent No.3 on 01.11.2013. Learned Counsel has further submitted that there are many procedural flaws in the D.E.

4. Adjourned to 14.03.2024. P.H.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 11.03.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.312/2019 with M.A.No.26/2021 with O.A.No.355/2019 with O.A.No.1194/2019 with O.A.No.1195/2019</b></p> <p>D.P. Koli &amp; Ors. .Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.D. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Mr. V.P. Potbhare holding for Mr. Ajay Deshpande, learned Counsel for the Applicant in M.A.No.26/2021 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Mr. Patil has placed on record judgments wherein the issue of seniority is already covered by this Tribunal,</p> <p>(i) O.A.No.380 /2015, S.R. Devgekar Vs. State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. dated 16.06.2016. (ii) O.A.No.535/2019, N.D. Ingale Vs. The Secretary &amp; Ors. dated 13.09.2022 by M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad. (iii) O.A.No.695/2018, N.K. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. dated 08.03.2023.</p> <p>Learned Counsel has submitted that the view take by this Tribunal in O.A.No.695/2018 is confirmed by the Hon'ble High Court by order dated 23.06.2023 in Writ Petition No.5851/2023 with W.P.No.6323/2023.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. and learned Counsel Mr. Potbhare holding for Mr. Ajay Deshpande has submitted that the difference between these three judgments and the present case is that the Applicants in the present case are working in the Irrigation Department and in the judgments cited by learned Counsel Mr. Patil all the Applicants are working in P.W.D. Department. There are separate rules for P.W.D. Department and Irrigation Department and hence, there it is not applicable pari material.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 03.04.2014. To be shown under the caption 'For Final Hearing' at 12.00 noon.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>prk</p>

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
	<p><b>Date : 11.03.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1078/2017</b></p> <p>S.A. Patil &amp; Ors. .Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar seeks two weeks stating that he was served sur-rejoinder on the last date.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 01.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.Nos.96 &amp; 107/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>M.M. Bhogate</b> <b>B.K. Gangawane</b> ... Applicants Vs. <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. Naik, learned Advocate and Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents 1 to 3 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.4 &amp; 6.</p> <p>2. Dy. Secretary, Desk 16-A of GAD is directed to remain present on 13<sup>th</sup> March, 2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> March, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 11.03.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 11.03.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"> <u>M.A. No.466 of 2023 in O.A. No.134 of 2019,</u>  <u>M.A. No.467 of 2023 in O.A. No.1076 of 2019,</u>  <u>O.A. No.1077 of 2019 with</u>  <u>M.A. No.468 of 2023 in O.A. No.1078 of 2019</u>  <u>O.A. No.266 of 2019,</u>  <u>M.A. No.484/2023 in O.A. No.461/2019</u> </p> <p>           V.L. Kardile            T.R. Wagh &amp; Ors.            S.S. Nikam &amp; Ors.            P.K. Jadhav &amp; Ors.            B.F. Gaikwad            W.Z. Pahilwan &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">..Applicants</span> </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>           The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">..Respondents</span> </p> <p>           Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.         </p> <p>           2. Ld. PO states that he will file affidavit outlining the policy of the Government with regard to regularization of Muster Assistants in accordance with the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in CA No.6561-6533/2011 dated 7.9.2022.         </p> <p>           3. S.O. to 21.3.2024 for final hearing. Part heard.         </p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <p>Sd/-              (Medha Gadgil)            Member (A)            11.3.2024</p> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.163 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.V. Manvar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that applicant has been reinstated in service on 11.3.2024. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.3.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.19 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.S. Harijan ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kailash Jadhav holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. PO files reply dated 26.2.2024 of respondent no.3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.3.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  11.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1296 of 2023</u></p> <p>C.R. Ahinave ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri O.M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. PO files reply dated 7.3.2024 of respondent no.3.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 8.4.2024 for final hearing. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  11.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1295 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.D. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri O.M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.4.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1198 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.W. Pande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.3.2024 by way of last chance otherwise the matter will be heard without reply.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.398 of 2017</u></p> <p>H.B. Desai &amp; Ors. ..Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Ld. PO holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By consent adjourned to 21.3.2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;">   (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  11.3.2024  (sg)</div> <div style="text-align: center;">   (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson  11.3.2024</div> </div>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.997 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.P. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the representations for deemed date of appointment submitted by the applicant have been rejected by the department and the applicant wants to challenge the same. Ld. Advocate for the applicant therefore seeks leave to withdraw the above OA with liberty to file fresh OA.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. OA is allowed to be withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="center">             (Medha Gadgil)            Member (A)            11.3.2024            (sgj)         </p> <p align="center">             (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)            Chairperson            11.3.2024         </p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.998 of 2023</u></p> <p>H.N. Thakar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the representations for deemed date of appointment submitted by the applicant have been rejected by the department and the applicant wants to challenge the same. Ld. Advocate for the applicant therefore seeks leave to withdraw the above OA with liberty to file fresh OA.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. OA is allowed to be withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="center">             (Medha Gadgil)            Member (A)            11.3.2024            (sgj)         </p> <p align="center">             (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)            Chairperson            11.3.2024         </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No. 621562021**

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>K.D. Binner &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. <b>Tribunal's orders</b> The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the order dated 8.11.2023 passed by this Tribunal in MA No.578/2023 in the above OA the Tribunal has taken a note of the affidavit dated 3.11.2023. As per said affidavit there are 37 sanctioned posts of Driver at Thane and Palghar District.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that in the recruitment process of 2005 and 2019 for Drivers out of 10 posts only 6 were appointed. Advertisement of 2021 was cancelled. By advertisement dated 15.3.2023 11 posts were advertised in Thane and Palghar.</p> <p>4. None present from the Public Health Department to give us correct information and assist the Tribunal. We do come across such situation often and thus without any factual assistance we are constrained to pass orders. Though a number of times the Respondents-State is informed, requested, directed to remain present or to send some responsible officer to assist. They always come late. We fail to understand why Public Health Department needs special treatment. Today the matter is adjourned because the respondents are not present in time.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 15.3.2024 at 10.30 a.m.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>sgl— (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.3.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>sgl— (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 11.3.2024</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>
--	---



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.401 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.J. Bavaskar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, I.d. PO holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 18.3.2024.</p> <p align="center">             (Medha Gadgil)            Member (A)            11.3.2024            (sgj)         </p> <p align="center">             (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)            Chairperson            11.3.2024         </p>







**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.395 &amp; 1369 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. Y. Shah &amp; Ors. Dr. A.M. Naik &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for Applicants in OA No.395/2023 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.3.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p align="center">             (Medha Gadgil)            Member (A)            11.3.2024         </p> <p align="center">             (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)            Chairperson            11.3.2024         </p> <p>(sgj)</p> <p>4. Later on Ms. Ankita Katakdhond with Mr. Nitin Murkute, learned Advocate for Respondents No.3 to 19 appeared and sought time to file reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.3.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p align="center">             (Medha Gadgil)            Member (A)            11.3.2024         </p> <p align="center">             (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)            Chairperson            11.3.2024         </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.413 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.B. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for the Respondents No.1 &amp; 4. Ld. Advocate for Respondents No.2 &amp; 3 is absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that the post in question is of Medical Social Superintendent/Medical Social Worker. For 21 vacancies the advertisement was issued. Out of which 6 were for Open category. The applicant belongs to Open category and applied under the Open category. Ld. Advocate for respondents no.2 &amp; 3 have filed reply on 16.4.2018.</p> <p>3. The matter pertains to appointment and issue involved is about clause 7.1 of the Information Brochure and application form for MEDSS-CWT-2014, which reads as under:</p> <p><i>"7.1 Tie-breakers</i> <i>In case of equal marks at the MEDSS-CWT 2014, the following procedure shall be adopted for deciding inter-se merit-</i> <i>First level (Wherever applicable): A candidate holding preferential qualification/experience as per the recruitment rules.</i> <i>Second level : A candidate with higher marks in Section II of the MEDSS-CWT- 2014 examination shall be preferred, if the tie still persists then:</i> <i>Third level A candidate with higher marks at HSC (or equivalent) Examination shall be preferred, if the tie still persists then:</i></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><i>Fourth level – A candidate with higher percentage of aggregate marks at the SSC (or equivalent) Examination shall be preferred, if the tile still persists, then:</i></p> <p><i>Fifth level – An older candidate shall be preferred over a younger candidate.”</i></p> <p>4. I.d. Advocate for the applicant submits and applicant. respondent no.2 &amp; respondent no.3 all have secured 70 marks out of 100.</p> <p>5. Today the matter is called out at 11.10 a.m. and till 11.25 nobody is present either from the Medical Education and Drugs Department or Director of Medical Education &amp; Research. We are constrained to decide the matter without any assistance. This is a short matter however we are unable to decide it as there is no assistance from both the departments. We direct the Secretary, Medical Education Department to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 14.3.2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sd/-</i></p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.3.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sd/-</i></p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 11.3.2024</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.03.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 58/2022 in O.A 836/2017</u></p> <p>C.V Bhosle &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants that in view of the order dated 23.2.2024 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P 11525/2022, the Contempt Application can be disposed of.</p> <p>3. Thus, in view of the order dated 23.2.2024 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P 11525/2022, the Contempt Application stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>11.03.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 79/2023 in O.A 650/2015</u></b></p> <p>M.R Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the applicant has expired and therefore the Respondents have filed application to bring the legal heirs of the applicant on record and it is pending.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter adjourned to 3.6.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>11.03.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 83/2023 in O.A 166/2018</u></b></p> <p>S.P Jedhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that W.P No. 3083/2024 filed by the Respondents was dismissed on 5.3.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that the order of the Hon'ble High Court is not yet uploaded and hence seeks two weeks' time.</p> <p>4. It is to be noted that this Tribunal has not stayed this order. We grant one weeks' time to the Respondents to take necessary steps in the matter.</p> <p>5. S.O to 18.3.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>11.03.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 109/2023 in O.A 834/2019</u></b></p> <p>S.B Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Ranjana Todankar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that Respondent No. 4, Ms Nita Kale is present in person and Shri Bhagwan Sawant, Deputy Secretary on behalf of Respondent no. 1 is present.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that Respondent No. 2 has already sent proposal in November, 2023 and again on 4.3.2024 and it is pending with the Finance Department.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O is directed to take note that all the Respondents are under contempt as order of this Tribunal dated 30.9.2022 is not complied with till date.</p> <p>5. S.O to 14.3.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>11.03.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A Nos 814/2021 &amp; 860/2021</u></b></p> <p>A.R Patil &amp; Ors S.B Karad &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S Pawar with Shri S.D Patil, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on Board. Taken on Board at the request of learned counsel for the applicants.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submits that the Tribunal has made query by order dated 9.2.2024 as to whether the present applicants are similarly situated at par with the Petitioners in W.P 16025/2022 pending before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court. The Respondents have filed reply in the said Writ Petition and on that basis the Respondents were directed to verify the candidature of the present applicants with respect to performance, marks etc., and whether they can be considered to be sent for training.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O seeks time to take instructions.</p> <p>5. S.O to 26.3.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>