

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders Date: 11.02.2022 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p align="center">O.A. No.612 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. S.B. BansodeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A. notices were issued to the Respondents on 20.08.2021 and thereafter enough time was availed by learned P.O. to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 but the same is not filed. Therefore ultimately having found Respondents are least interested in filing Affidavit-in-Reply the matter was taken up for Final Hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. The Applicant was working as Taluka Health Officer at Murbad, Dist.: Thane and had completed normal tenure in general transfer 2021. Since, due to spread of COVID-19 Pandemic situation general transfer could not be issued in the month of May as required under the provision of Transfer Act 2005, government had extended time limit for issuance of general transfer upto 09.08.2021. It is on this background by order dated 06.08.2021 the Applicant was transferred from Murbad to Forest Training Institute, Shahapur, Dist. Thane. As such there is no denying that the Applicant was due for transfer.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant in this O.A. has raised serious grievance for contravention of G.R. dated 06.08.2002 & 09.04.2018 which <i>inter-alia</i> provides transfer by counseling on calling at least 10 options from the Government servant due for transfer. It further provides that the Government servant who worked in difficult area (Adivasi area) shall be given posting as per their choices on preference. G.R. dated 06.08.2002 also states that the Government servant who worked well for 2 years in difficult areas shall be given posting of transfer as per their choices. Admittedly the Applicant had worked in difficult area.</p> <p>5. However, in present case no such options were called as contemplated in G.R. dated 09.04.2018. <i>[P.T.O.]</i></p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p>6. Learned P.O. fairly stated that the Department did not call options in terms of G.R. dated 09.04.2018. All that she stated that the Applicant was called on 30.07.2021 for counseling and he was given Shahapur.</p> <p>7. As such, it is admitted position that proper procedure as contemplated in G.R. dated 09.04.2018 is not followed which was required to be followed for effecting fair and transparent orders.</p> <p>8. Indeed, despite enough chances Respondents did not bother to file Affidavit-in-Reply which shows their total indifferent approach and they seems to have no regard to the proceedings before this Tribunal. Learned P.O. stated that she has sent several letters to Respondent No.2 – The Commissioner, Health Services but has not received final draft of Reply, and therefore she expressed her inability for not filing Reply in the matter. This action shows very casual and indifferent approach of Respondent No.2.</p> <p>9. Be that as it may, since admittedly no proper procedure is followed by Respondent particularly Respondent No.2 while issuing transfer orders, grievances of the Applicant for not getting choice posting cannot be said unfounded. The Applicant has already joined at Shahapur in view of transfer order dated 06.08.2021 and completing 1 year in coming general transfer of 2022. Therefore, it would be appropriate to dispose of O.A. with direction to the Respondent No.2 to call for options from the Applicant in ensuing general transfer of 2022 and he be given appropriate posting keeping in mind G.R. dated 09.04.2018 and 06.08.2002. The Applicant is also at liberty to make representation to the Respondent No.2 – The Commissioner giving his options so that it can be placed before competent authority for further orders.</p> <p>10. Accordingly O.A. is disposed of.</p> <p>11. The Applicant is constrained to file O.A. because of sheer laxity and failure on the part of Respondents to follow proper procedure as mandated by various G.Rs. I am therefore inclined to impose cost of Rs.10,000/- (Thousand Only) on Respondent No.2. The cost should be deposited with the Tribunal within a month and on deposit it be paid to the Applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p align="center">Date: 03.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.92 of 2022 with O.A. No.93 of 2022 with O.A. No.110 of 2022 with M.A. No.67 of 2022</p> <p>R.G. Lokhande S.R. Kedar A.S. Chore & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These 3 O.As along with connected O.As. were heard on 08.02.2022 and detail order has been passed directing Respondent No.2 – The Director General of Police to convene P.E.B – 2 afresh and matter were adjourned to 08.03.2022.</p> <p>3. However, these 3 O.As are taken on today's board for withdrawal on the request of the Applicants through counsel.</p> <p>4. The Applicant sought to withdraw these 3 O.As unconditionally.</p> <p>5. Since, the Applicants themselves through counsel requested to withdraw O.As, these, O.As are disposed of as withdrawn.</p> <p>6. No order as to costs.</p> <p>7. Copy of this order be placed in connected O.As which are fixed on 08.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p>Date: 11.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.548 of 2021</p> <p>I.G. ChappalwarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate states that learned Advocate Shri A.A. Desai is unable to remain present and requested for adjournment. The matter is already proceeded without Reply since Reply was not filed despite enough chances. Even today also no Reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p>Date: 11.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.318 of 2021</p> <p>C.J. YemalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.B. Dhage, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of prayer clause reveals that the Applicant has sought direction from this Tribunal to the Respondent No.4 – The Director, Maharashtra Institute of Mental Health to grant admission to the Applicant for M.Sc. Pshchiatric Nursing Course on paid study leave or an alternative direction is sought for admission to the Applicant in private college run by Respondent No.5 – Wanless Hospital, College of Nursing, Mirag.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has pointed out that some of O.As. pertaining to Study Leave are being heard by Division Bench.</p> <p>4. Thus looking to the prayer clause made in the O.A. this subject does not fall in the subjects allotted to the Single Bench as per office order dated 28.05.2021.</p> <p>5. I/C. Registrar is therefore directed to examine the matter and place it before appropriate Bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p>Date : 11.02.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.111 of 2022</p> <p>D.D. SatputeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.02.2022. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. Adjourned to 25.02.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p>Date : 11.02.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.46 of 2022</p> <p>V.J. ChavanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.R. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned to 04.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </div> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p>Date : 11.02.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.400 of 2021</p> <p>P.B. Jadhav & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 to 6.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate submits that as per instructions the case of the Applicants is being considered and therefore Applicants seeks permission to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p>Date : 11.02.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.412 of 2020</p> <p>V.V. Tamhankar.Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant files rejoinder. Taken on record. Copy is served upon learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Admit and adjourned to 10.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p>Date : 11.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.377 of 2020 with O.A.No.48 of 2021</p> <p>A.K. Bhusare & Ors. (O.A.377/2020) S.M. Joshi & Ors. (O.A.48/2021)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicants is absent.</p> <p>3. By way of last chance adjourned to 10.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- /  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|  | <p>Date : 11.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.122 of 2022</p> <p>R.P. SatputeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter the applicant challenges her order of reversion from the post of Superintendent to First Clerk in the Public Works Department by order dated 02.02.2022. The main contention raised by the learned Advocate for the Applicant is that while issuing the order of the reversion the Respondent did not follow the procedure laid down under Article 311 of the Constitution.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. for the Respondents on instructions from Mr. Sarang S. Patil, Senior Clerk, P.W. Circle, Pune accepts that show cause notice was not issued to the Applicant before the Applicant was reverted. The learned P.O. further submits that reversion is not effect of any enquiry or penal action and hence no notice is required under Article 311 (2) of the Constitution.</p> <p>4. Considered the submissions of learned Advocate for the Applicant and learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>5. In view of the submissions of learned P.O. prima facie, I do agree that there is no breach of Article 311(2) as the order of reduction in rank is not pursuant to any enquiry or is result of punishment. However, as the Applicant has worked on that post nearly for five years. She should be given notice that her reversion is pursuant to the</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p>implementation of the judgment of Hon'ble High Court dated 04.08.2017. In view of the principles of natural justice she is required to be given notice and she is to be heard.</p> <p>6. The learned P.O. submits that the Department will give notice and she prays for two weeks time.</p> <p>7. Impugned order is hereby stayed with liberty to the Department to take necessary action. Applicant is allowed to work on her earlier post till 22.02.2021.</p> <p>8. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.02.2022.</p> <p>10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>12. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>13. Adjourned to 22.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> |

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p>Date: 11.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.580 of 2021</p> <p>V.S. MaliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.R. Ghanavat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent Nos.3 & 5. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he is simply seeking direction to Respondent No.5 for appointment of the Applicant on compassionate ground on Class 'IV' post since name of the Applicant is already taken in the waiting list and presently it is at Sr. No.1. He has further pointed out that the matter is pending with Respondent No.2 – The Divisional Commissioner, Backward class cell to verify roaster (Bindu Namevali).</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. also concedes that for want of verification of roaster matter is stuck up.</p> <p>4. Perusal of letter dated 22.09.2021 (page 171 of P.B.) written by Respondent No.2 - The Divisional Commissioner, Backward class cell also reveals that roaster is not inspected and after its verification only further orders can be passed.</p> <p>5. Thus what transpires from record that the Applicant is already taken in waiting list and her name is at Sr. No.1. Only hurdle for issuance of appointment order seems to be non examination of roaster system of the concerned Office. It being so, O.A. deserves to be disposed of with suitable direction. [P.T.O.]</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p>6. Respondent No.2 - The Divisional Commissioner, Backward class cell is directed to complete the process of examination and certification of roaster register sent by Office of Respondent No.5 within a month from today and on the receipt of the same the Respondent No.5 – District Treasury Office shall issue necessary orders of the appointment of the Applicant on compassionate ground subject to fulfilling eligibility criteria in accordance to Rules within 1 month from the date of receipt of the report of Respondent No.2 on roaster point and it be communicated to the Applicant.</p> <p>7. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p>Date: 11.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.262 of 2020 with O.A. No.263 of 2020</p> <p>L.J. Thakur K.J. MhatreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note.</p> <p>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Today matters are for Final Hearing at the stage of admission but the learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. states that since matter is for Final Hearing he has called concerned Officers of the Department who would not have been called if learned Advocate for the Applicant had informed about his leave note in advance.</p> <p>5. Whenever Advocate seeks to avail leave and his matters are fixed for Final Hearing it is desirable that he should inform learned P.O. well in advance so that the Officers of the Department are not required to come.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 03.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p>Date: 11.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.281 of 2018</p> <p>R.J. PandharpurApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has amended O.A. with prayer to treat his period from 2012 to 2015 as regular promotion as Police Inspector. As such, this is not a case of simpliciter of Deemed Date of Promotion.</p> <p>3. The issue of promotion pertains to Division Bench</p> <p>4. I/C. Registrar is therefore directed to examine the matter and place it before appropriate Bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p>Date: 11.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.581 of 2021 in O.A. No.1081 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. SutarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.R. Ghanavat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply in M.A. on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 6. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that initially the Applicant has filed Writ Petition No.9523/2018 for the same relief but withdrew the same by order dated 22.11.2021. He has further pointed out that Hon'ble High Court gave liberty to approach this forum. The perusal of order dated 22.11.2021 reveals that liberty was granted to the Applicant to file this O.A. and to consider the aspect of delay spent in bonafide belief.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is directed to show the copy of Writ Petition No.9523/2018 to know what was under challenge in Writ Petition.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 25.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p>Date: 11.02.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.566 of 2021 in O.A. No.944 of 2021</p> <p>V.G. KolekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate holding Smt. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p>Date: 11.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.80 of 2022</p> <p>S.J. NandgaonkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note.</p> <p>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. In view of leave note adjourned to 14.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p>Date: 11.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.951 of 2021</p> <p>S.Y. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.B. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p>Date: 11.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.854 of 2021</p> <p>M. M. TamboliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record. No separate reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p>Date: 11.02.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.37 of 2022 in O.A. No.978 of 2021</p> <p>R.R. Jirepatil & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p>Date: 11.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1184 of 2019</p> <p>D.J. SonawaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.J. Sonawane, Applicant in Person and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant in person is present and submits that his Advocate is unable to remain present due to his personal difficulties and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. The Applicant is directed to keep his Advocate present on next date without fail.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p>Date: 11.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.121 of 2022</p> <p>S.C. KharadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 31.01.2022 whereby his request for cancellation of his deputation is rejected. He along with other S.R.P.F. Personnel were deputed at Gadchiroli by order dated 17.01.2022. However, the Applicant by application dated 19.01.2022 requested the Respondent No.5 – The Commandant to cancel his deputation stating that he is suffering from Ankylosing Spondylitis and taking treatment in Sassoon Hospital. However, his request is rejected by communication dated 31.01.2022. By this order request of 8 S.R.P.F. Personnel was rejected though accepted request of 20 other S.R.P.F. Personnel.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.02.2022.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 24.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |