(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000–4-2019)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 11.01.2024	
	O.A. No.1577 of 2	023
	A.L. Dure, Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	 Heard Shri S.B. Talekar, learn Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learn for the Respondents and Shri learned Advocate for the Responde 	ed Presenting Officer A.V. Bandiwadekar,
	2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affic 10.01.2024 on behalf of U Department. Learned Advocate Respondent No.4 has also filed Affi 11.01.2024. Both these 'Affidavits on record.	rban Development e on behalf of idavit-in-Reply dated
	 Learned Advocate of Applica that he does not intend to file 'Affid behalf of Applicant and seeks 'Interi 	avit-in-Rejoinder' on
·	4. Learned Advocate for Applie 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 10.01.20 Development Department and spe of 'Para 5' which reads as "Total who were working in Class B Mun promoted & posted in Class B Mun Government Order dated 18.04.2 Also, vide another Government Order Seven Group-B officers were transf Class Municipal Council. As on officers are working in 'B' class Muni	24 filed by Urban cifically to contents 28 Group B officers icipal Councils were nicipal Councils vide 022 & 25.07.2023. er dated 18.04.2022, ferred from the "B" today 26 Group-B
	5. Learned Advocate for Ap based on disclosures made in 'Affida	
		[<i>P.T.O</i> .

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	10.01.2024 that now 'Urban Development Department has admitted that there are '26 Chief Officer- Group E who are still working in 'Municipal Councils - B Class Further, he emphasized even after 'Transfer Order dated 15.12.2023 of Applicant came to be issue b 'Urban Development Department' has continued t issue even more 'Transfer Orders' of 'Chief Officers Group B' to post them in 'Municipal Council-B Class' i open contravention of policy guidelines in 'Urba Development Department' GR dated 28.12.2021. H then produced one such copy of 'Transfer Order' date 28.12.2023 issued by 'Urban Development Department'.
	6. Learned Advocate for Applicant argued that was "Arbitrary Exercise" of "Statutory Powers" by th 'Competent Transferring Authority' that has resulted if 'Transfer Order' dated 15.12.2023 of 'Urba Development Department' by which Applicant wa moved out from post of 'Chief Officer, Khopo Municipal Council, District Raigad'. Further he argue that 'Competent Transferring Authority' and ne 'Superior Transferring Authority' have not recorded ar 'Special Reasons' or 'Exceptional Circumstances' as wa required to be done by them under 'Section 4 (4) (2)' 'Section 4(5)' of 'Maharashtra Government Servan Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'. Learne Advocate for Applicant stressed that while n accepting recommendation made by 'C.S.B.' they we also required to record the 'Specific Reasons' as p judgment of 'Hon'ble Supreme Court in (2013) 15 So 732 (T.S.R. Subramanian and Ors. Vs. Union of India Ors.)'.
	7. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also referr to provisions of the "Maharashtra Urban Administrati Services (Recruitment) Rules, 2023" brought into effe from 25.01.2023 which under 'Rule (I)' mentions abo the cadres of posts included under "Maharash Municipal State Services".
	8. Learned Advocate for Respondent No challenged maintainability of the case of Applicant grounds that he is still serving as 'Chief Officer-Group whereas, 'Respondent No. 3' being 'Chief Office Group A', and as per policy guidelines in Urban

•

.

. (G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000–4-2019)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Development G.R. dated 28.10.2021 was eligible to hole post of 'Chief Officer, Khopali Municipal Council, Distric Raigad' which is 'Municipal Council - Group B'.
	9. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 then stated that 'Respondent No.3' had since taken ove charge as 'Chief Officer, Khopali Municipal Council District Raigad' on 18.12.2023 as per directions in 'Transfer Order' dated 15.12.2023 of 'Urban Development Department'. Also then he emphasized that no 'Interim Relief' had been granted to Applican even on the earlier dates; primarily upon consideration of the fact that Applicant being 'Chief Officer – Group B was not entitled to continue on post of 'Chief Officer Khopali Municipal Council, District Raigad' as per policy guidelines in Urban Development G.R. dated 28.10.2021.
	10. Learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 by way of 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 11.01.2024 has also referred to directions in 'Election Commissioner o India' letter dated 21.12.2023 regarding the transfer o 'Government Servants' directly connected with conduc of forthcoming 'General Elections to Lok Sabha: 2024'.
	11. Learned PO stated that 'Rule 2(m)' of the 'Maharashtra Urban Administrative Services (Recruitment) Rules, 2023' clearly indicated that (i Chief Officer Group A (Selection Grade); (ii) Chief Officer Group A and (iii) Chief Officer Group B are all from "Municipal Council Chief Officer Cadre" under "Maharashtra Urban Administrative Services" Thus: it is evident that 'Chief Officer-Group A' has been placed as senior to 'Chief Officer-Group B' though they are both in "Maharashtra Urban Administrative Services".
	12. Learned P.O. further pointed out that as pe 'Rule 15' of the 'Maharashtra Urban Administrative
	[<i>P.T.O</i> .

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Services (Recruitment) Rules, 2023' even after appointment of 'Government Servants'; all "Rules,: 'Orders', and Resolutions" which may be issued by 'State Government' from time to time shall also be applicable to all such 'Government Servants' Learned PO accordingly submitted that policy guidelines in 'Urban Development Department' GR dated 28.10.2021 therefore had become equally applicable to both 'Applicant' and 'Respondent No.3' from date of Notification of 'Urban Development Department' on 25.01.2023.

Learned Advocate for 'Respondent No.3' again 13. referred to 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 11.01.2024 to state that 'Respondent No. 3' had taken 'ex-parte' charge of the post of 'Chief Officer Khopoli Municipal Council; District Raigad' on 18.12.2023 as per as per directions in 'Transfer Order' dated 15.12.2023 of Department' and then 'Urban Development emphatically quoted from Judgment of 'Hon'ble High Court of Bombay' in 'Writ Petition No.7679/2023 dated 28.06.2023' against grant of any 'Interim Relief' to Applicant at this stage by way of 'status qua ante'. Learned Advocate for Applicant argued that 'Hon'ble Supreme Court of India' and 'Hon'ble High Court of Bombay' is several Judgments have observed that grant of 'status qua ante' in such cases would amount to having effect of 'Interim Mandatory Injunction'.

Respondent No.3 Advocate for 14. Learned mentioned that it was pertinent to note that facts in 'Writ Petition No.7679/2023 decided by 'Hon'ble High Court at Bombay' on 28.06.2023 were much similar although it related to the cadre of 'Tahsildar'; wherein the incoming officer had already taken 'ex-parte' charge and it was held that granting 'status qua ante' would amount to granting "Interim Mandatory Injunction". The 'Hon'ble High Court at Bombay' had set aside the order of Interim Relief granted in O.A. No. 688/2023 on 20.06.2023 with further directions for expeditious hearing of O.A. No. 688/2023.

15. The arguments made by learned Advocate for Applicant as well as learned Advocate for the 'Respondent No.3' were heard at length along with submissions made by learned PO on behalf of 'Urban Development Department' on the issue of grant of

. (G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	'Interim Relief'. Considering the fact that 'Respondent No.3' had joined ' <i>ex-parte</i> ' on the post of "Chief Officer, Khopoli Municipal Council, District Raigad" on 18.12.2023 as per as per directions in 'Transfer Order' dated 15.12.2023 of 'Urban Development Department' grant of 'Interim Relief' at this stage by way of ' <i>status-</i> <i>quo-ante</i> ' would go against the grain of several judgments of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and Hon'ble High Court of Bombay as it would amount to granting "Interim Mandatory Injunction". Hence the prayer of Applicant for 'Interim Relief' is not granted and 'Respondent No.3' who is 'Chief Officer – Group A' and being eligible as per policy guidelines in Urban Development G.R. dated 28.10.2021 to hold any post which are earmarked only for 'Chief Officer. Group A' is permitted to continue working as 'Chief Officer, Khopoli Municipal Council, District Raigad'.
	16. The case of Applicant is a classic example of the 'Selective Implementation' of police guidelines 'Urban Development Department' GR dated 28.10.2021 as there is brazen admission of the fact in 'Affidavit-in-Reply dated 10.01.2024 that '26 Chief Officers - Group B' still continue to hold posts in 'Municipal Council B Class' The saga of issuing 'Transfer Orders' of ineligible 'Chief Officers- Group B' to posts in 'Municipal councils – B Group' by 'Urban Development Department' has not abated even after 'Transfer Order' of Applicant was issued on 15.02.2023. The belated but meager effort to attempt implementation of policy guidelines in 'Urban Development Department' GR 28.10.2021 albeit only in case of Applicant soon went astray with issue of another 'Transfer Order' dated 28.12.2023 by which some other 'Chief Officer-Group B' was again posted to 'Municipal Council - B Group'.
	17. The 'Urban Development Department' for reasons recorded above needs to seriously introspect about to the way 'Transfers Order' are being effected by
	[<i>P.T.O.</i>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	them in contravention of their own policy guidelines i Urban Development G.R. dated 28.10.2021 alongwit provisions of 'Maharashtra Government Servant Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay i Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005' and directives i GAD GR dated 09.04.2018 in respect of officer belonging to 'Municipal Council Chief Officer Cadre'.
	18. The learned Advocate for Applicant contender that as no 'Interim Relief' has been granted this O.A. No 1577/2023 it be finally disposed off; as all parties hav already argued at length based on 'Affidavit-in-Repl filed by learned P.O. on behalf of 'Urban Developme Department' on 10.01.2024 and learned Advocate f Respondent No.3 on 11.01.2024.
	19. The 'Principal Secretary' of 'Urban Developme Department' directed to file 'Additional Affidavit-i Reply' about the observations of 'Para 16' and Para '1 so that this O.A. No. 1577 of 2023 which primarily de with implementation of policy guidelines in Urb Development GR dated 28.10.2021 can be decided merits in due course and appropriate orders passed per provisions of 'Section 22' of 'Administrati Tribunals Act, 1985'.
	20. S.O. to 01.02.2024.
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty Member (A)
	NMN

•

.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orde	ers
	Date: 11.01.2024	
	O.A. No.596 o	f 2023
	A.S. Gaikwad	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors	sRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwa for the Applicant and Shri Presenting Officer for the Respo	A.J. Chougule, learned
	2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that even after directions that appropriate decision be taken early about revocation of 'Suspension Order' dated 12.09.2023 of the Applicant; no update in this regard has been received from 'Revenue & Forest Department'.	
	3. Learned P.O. states that Department will require som appropriate decision about rev Order' dated 12.09.2023 of App remained under consideration to time, thus appropriate decision without further delay by Department' and preferably wit 'Revenue & Forest Departme 'Suspension Order' dated 12.09 revoked, then whether they wo 'Transfer Order' of the Applic 'Resident Deputy Collector (RDC	e more time to take vocation of 'Suspension olicant. However, it has for substantial period of in this regard be taken 'Revenue & Forest hin 'One Week'. Further ent' to also inform if .2023 of the Applicant is uld also consider issuing ant to 'Vacant Post' of
	4. S.O. to 01.02.2024.	:
	5. Hamdast Granted.	
	(D NMN	sd/- ebashish Chakråbarty) Member (A)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

 $\frac{1}{1}$

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 11.01.2024.	
	O.A. No.1407 of 2023	
	Dr. L.G. BhalshankarApplicant Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	 Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 	
	2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that order of 'Interim Relief' of 02.11.2023 is now implemented and the Applicant has been permitted to join back on her post of 'Medical Officer, Primary Health Centre, Talegaon, Tahsil Dindori, District Nashik' on 10.01.2024.	
	3. Learned P.O. also produces joining report given by the Applicant on 10.01.2024 to 'District Health Officer, Zilla Parishad, Nashik'	
	4. Learned P.O. seeks 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.	
	5. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.	
	6. S.O. to 01.02.2024.	
	Sd/-	
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)	
	NMN	

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 11.01.2024	
	O.A. No.1547 of 2	023
	P.B. Matondkar	Applicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	 Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadek for the Applicant and Smt. K.S Presenting Officer for the Responde 	. Gaikwad, learned
	2. Learned Advocate for the	Applicant produces
	letter dated 10.01.2024 written by	
	to withdraw O.A. No.1547/2023.	Therefore; O.A.
	No.1547/2023 is de-tagged from the	e O.A. No.1538/2023
	and permitted to be withdrawn w	ith 'No Order as to
	Costs'.	
		Sd/-
	(Debas	shish Chakilabarty) Member (A)
	NMN	

ISpl- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015)

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.634 of 2023</u>	
	M.S. Botle Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, l the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Ld. PO seeks time to make deta the point of competency.	iled submissions on
	3. S.O. to 18.1.2024.	
	N	Sd/- edh a Gaðgil) 1ember (A) 11.1.2024
	(sgj)	11.1.2027
		•
		4 A

(G.C.P.: J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015)

Spl MAT-F-2 E

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance. Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

O.A. No.1491 of 2023

S.P. Dharak Vs. ..Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder and the same is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 15.2.2024 for final hearing.

Sd/-(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.1.2024

(sgj)

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015)

BH MAEP2 E

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.1473 of 2023</u>
	M.O. Wagh Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. I.d. PO files reply dated 10.1.2024 of respondent no.3 and the same is taken on record.
	3. S.O. to 29.1.2024.
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)
	(sgj)

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015)

(Spl MAT-F-2 E

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

 the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. I.d. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder and the same is taken on record. 3. Admit. 4. S.O. to 15.2.2024 for final hearing. Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.1.2024 	Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate fo the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. I.d. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder and the same is taken on record. 3. Admit. 4. S.O. to 15.2.2024 for final hearing. Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.1.2024 (sgi)		<u>O.A. No.1277 of 2023</u>
The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate fo the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder and the same is taken on record. 3. Admit. 4. S.O. to 15.2.2024 for final hearing. Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.1.2024 (sgj)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. I.d. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder and the same is taken on record. 3. Admit. 4. S.O. to 15.2.2024 for final hearing. Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.1.2024 		
same is taken on record. 3. Admit. 4. S.O. to 15.2.2024 for final hearing. Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.1.2024 (sgj)		Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
4. S.O. to 15.2.2024 for final hearing. Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.1.2024 (sgj)		11 5
Sd/- (Medha Ĝadgiĺ) Member (A) 11.1.2024 (sgj)		3. Admit.
(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.1.2024		4. S.O. to 15.2.2024 for final hearing.
(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.1.2024 (sgj)		Sd/-
		(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.1.2024
		(sgj)

G.C.P.) J. 2260(B) (50,000--2.2015)

Spl - MAT-F-2 E

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corom. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.1227 of 2023</u>
	S.S. PatilApplicantApplicant
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. PO files reply dated 2.1.2024 of respondent no.1.
	3. S.O. to 29.1.2024.
	Sd/- (Medha Gadyil) Member (A) 11.1.2024
	(sgj)

-G (CP) = J (2260(B) (50.000) - 2-2015)

BLA MAT-P-2 H

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

orders	Tribunal's .	Cotes, Office Memoranda or Coram. gearance, Tribunal's orders or ections and Registrar's orders	Appe
9 <u>50 of 2023</u>	<u>O.A. No.9</u>		
Applicant	K.S. Ambolkar Vs.		
DrsRespondents	The State of Maharashtra & C		
hkar, learned Advocate for the na B.K., learned Presenting			<i>,</i>
lated 10.1.2024 of respondent record.	2. Ld. PO files reply c no.3 and the same is taken on		
the applicant prays that and to order dated 28.8.2023 we not yet been complied with. avit stating that order dated ndent no.2 was cancelled and on 28.8.2023 and the same was Unit. However, it appears that matter despite the fact that the	consequential benefits pursu passed by respondent no.3 ha Ld. PO submits an affida 30.12.2021 passed by respo new fresh order was passed sent to the Pay Verification		

4. S.O. to 18.1.2024.

that this order is complied with.

order was passed on 28.8.2023. Ld. PO is directed to ensure

Sd/-(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.1.2024

(sgj)

G(CP) J 2260(B) (50.000 - 2-2015)

an darns c

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

or 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

	A CONTRACT OF A
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.	
Appearance. Tribunal's orders or	reformal's orders
directions and Registrar's oracle	
	terreterreterreterreterreterreterreter
и	

<u>OAs No.294, 295 & 296/2023</u>

D.M. Kote & Ors. P.K. Ingale & Ors. M.B. Jadhav & Ors. Vs.

.. Applicants

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO files reply dated 25.10.2023 of respondent nos. 1 to 3 in OA No.294/2023 and reply dated 10.1.2024 of respondent nos.1 to 3 in OA No.295/2023.

3. S.O. to 6.2.2024.

Sd/-(Mecha Cadgil) Member (A) 11.1.2024

(sgj)

 $G(CP) = J_{1} 2260(B) (50.000 - 2.2015)$

ISPL MATERS B.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	l'etonnal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.1459 of 2023</u>
	A.A. BhatiaApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. PO files reply dated 10.1.2024 of respondent no.3.
	3. S.O. to 17.1.2024 for filing rejoinder.
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.1.2024 (sgj)
•	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's or	ders
	Date : 11.01.2024	
	R.A.No.6/2023	in O.A.No.187/2021
	D.N. Shinde	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtr	a & OrsRespondents.
		Khaire, learned Counsel for s. K.S. Gaikwad, learned e Respondents.
	2. At the request of 25.01.2024 for filing rep	f learned P.O. Adjourned to ly.
	SD/-	SD/
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	prk	-

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

. IN

 $(G,C,P_{\rm c}) = J_{\rm c} 2260(B)/(50,000--2-2015)$

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>O.A. No.1007 of 2023</u>		
	G.V. PawarApplicant		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents		
	Heard Shri Kiran Upasani, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.		
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant tenders a copy of order dated 8.12.2023 and submits that grievance of the applicant is redressed and seeks leave to withdraw the above OA.		
	3. Leave granted. OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.		
	gdl-sdl-(Medha Gadgil)(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)Member (A)Chairperson11.1.202411.1.2024(sgj)		

 $(G,C,P_{\rm c}) = J_{\rm c} 2260(B)/(50,000--2-2015)$

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	'Tribunal's orders			
		<u>O.A.</u>]	No.452 of 2017	
		Gudewar & Ors. Vs.		Applicants
	The	State of Maharashtra	& Ors.	Respondents
	Appl Prese	Heard Shri V.V. F icants and Ms. S enting Officer for the	S.P. Mancheka	ed Advocate for the ar, learned Chie
	2. adjou	At the request of trned to 15.2.2024.	Ld. Advocate	for the applicant
	3.	S.O. to 15.2.2024.		
	(sgj)	<i>c</i>d - (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.1.2024	Sdl (Mridula Bh Chairpe 11.1.2	atkar, J.) erson
	-			

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (59,000--2-2015)

ISpl.-MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.423 of 2</u>	<u>)17</u>
	V.D. Zambare Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant Respondents
	Heard Ms. Tanvi Phatak h Hande, learned Advocate for the A Manchekar, learned Chief Presen Respondents.	olding for Shri S. pplicant and Ms. S.
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicat received from Ld. Advocate Shri S.B. papers are yet to be received.	
	3. S.O. to 25.1.2024 by way of la	st chance.
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Member (A) Ch	S d
	11.1.2024 1 (sgj)	.1.2024

G.C.P. J. 2260(B) (59.000 - 2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

131 NACES

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, 'Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	tribunal's orders
	<u>M.A. No.722 of 2023 in O.A. No.323 of 2022</u>
	Reshma R. Veer & 4 OrsApplicantsAndPetitionersRamchandra D. Veer & AnrPetitionersVsRespondentsThe State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondentsHeard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate forthe Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting
	Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that petitioner no.1 Ramchandra D. Veer has expired on 16.9.2023 leaving behind applicants no.1 to 5 as his heirs and legal representatives. Applicants have filed this MA for bringing them as heirs and legal representatives of deceased petitioner on record.
	 MA is allowed. Applicants may be brought on record and title of the OA be amended accordingly. Ld. Advocate for the applicants to carry out the amendment within one week and amended copy be served on all the respondents. OA is adjourned to 14.2.2024.
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.1.2024 (sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.505 of 2023 in O.A. No.982 of 2023 J.D. Bhambure & Ors. ...Applicants Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri Kiran Upasani, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This MA is filed for condoning the delay of 6 years. Ld. 2. Advocate for the applicants submits that in similar facts of this case the Tribunal has passed judgment and order dated 14.8.2019 passed in OA No.824/2017 (Vijaykumar K. Pillai & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.). He submits that suo motu proposal was submitted to the Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department on 27.1.2020 regarding recovery who have not approached the Tribunal. The applicants have made repeated representations to the DGP under RTI for information regarding proposal submitted on 27.1.2020. He states that matter is still pending with the Government in Home Department is the reply received under RTI in December, 2023. He refers to the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in Union of India Vs. Tarsem Singh (2008) 8 SCC 648 and State of Uttar Pradesh Vs. Arvind Kumar Srivastava & Ors. (2015) 1 SCC 347.

3. Ld. PO opposes the MA and submits that delay is of 6 years. He states that cause of action arose on 4.1.2017 when Govt. has cancelled promotions of the applicants and fixed the pay. She states that applicants have given undertaking and refers to the judgment and order dated 15.9.2021 passed by Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad in W.P. No.3480 of 2020 Akram K.B. Khan Vs. ZP, Jalgaon. She states that applicants were given notice and they were aware of the recovery and hence cannot take plea of ignorance and also cannot take the benefit of COVID-19 as the cause of action relates to 2017 and the delay should not be condoned.

4. I have considered the submissions of both the sides. This Tribunal has taken decision of similarly situated persons like applicants. It is also a fact that proposal has been send by the office of DGP to Additional Chief Secretary. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case the delay is condoned however applicants to deposit cost of Rs.5000/- in the office of this Tribunal. MA disposed off accordingly.

> Sd/-(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.1.2024

 $\dot{2}$

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.982 of 2023

.. Applicants

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

J.D. Bhambure & Ors. Vs

..Respondents

Heard Shri Kiran Upasani, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

> Sd/-(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.1.2024

 $\mathbf{2}$

(sgj)

ISpl. MAT-P-2 F

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

1 N.

 $(G,C,P) = J_{-}^{-} 2260(B)/(50,000 - -2.2015)$

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance: Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunat's orders
	<u>M.As. No.272 & 230 of 2023 in O.A. No.786 of 2022</u> <u>with</u> <u>O.As. No.787 & 788 of 2022</u>
	T.D. Pednekar K.D. Garud A.K. PatilApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	 Heard Shri V.R. Gawas, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply. 3. S.O. to 16.1.2024 by way of last chance. If reply is
	not filed the matter will be proceeded without reply. Sd/- (Medha Gadgif) Member (A) 11.1.2024 (sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.30 of 2024

D.B. Katkar

Vs.

..Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.1.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

> Sd/-(Medha Gadg∅) Member (A) 11.1.2024

(sgj)

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000---2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

1 N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>M.A. No.14 of 2024 in O.A. No.10 of 2024</u>
	Sevanivrutt Engineers Aashwashit Samajik SansthaApplicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Ms. Vaidhehi Pradeep, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed of
	accordingly.
	Sd/- (Medha ⁰ Gadgil) Member (A) 11.1.2024
	(sgj)
	•
-	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.10 of 2024

Sevanivrutt Engineers Aashwashit Samajik Sanstha Vs.

..Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Ms. Vaidhehi Pradeep, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to add Soil & Water Conservation Department as party respondent. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption **"For Dismissal"** and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-(Medhæ Gadgil) Member (A) 11.1.2024

(sgj)

 $\mathbf{2}$

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015) [Spl.- M4 IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./Č.A. No.

of 20

JN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's o	orders
	Date : 11.01.2024	
	O.A.No.7	'0 of 2023
-	H. D.More & Ors.	Applicants
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra &	OrsRespondents
	 Heard Shri U. V.Bhose Applicant and Ms S. P. Presenting Officer for the Resenting 	
	2. S.O. to 15.01.2024.	
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty)	Sd/-
	Member (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
		··· +· ·>
		 Х
	vsm	
		•
		• •

 $\{Spi,-,MAT\text{-}F\text{-}2,\mathbb{N}\},$

Tribunal's o	Tribunal's orders	
Date : 11.01.2024		
	in M.A.No.7/2024 in 1560/2023	
S.V. Gawari & Ors.	Applicants	
Vs. The State of Maharashtr	ra & OrsRespondents.	
Jagdale, learned Cour M.As., and Mr. Sumit S A.S. Raktade, learned C	Awhad along with Mr. K.R nsel for the Applicants in S. Vhanbath holding for Mr Counsel for the Applicants in Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned e Respondents.	
	sel Mr. Jagdale has filed eking intervention in	
	el Mr. Vhanbath for the 560/2023 has no objection	
4. In view of abov intervention in O.A.No.1	e, M.A.No.27/2024 seeking 560/2023 is allowed.	
directed to carry out as by adding interveners	el in O.A.No.1560/2023 is mendment within one weel s as Party Respondents out within one week. Addeo th copy of O.A.	
 Adjourned to 1' heard on the point of ir stay. 	7.01.2024. Matter will be nterim relief for vacating the	
SD/-	SD/	
(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)	

(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

prk

Date : 11.01.2024

M.A.No.734/2023 in O.A.No.1163/2023 with O.A.No.985/2023 with O.A.No.1348/2023

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Applis(Org. Respd.) Vs. O.B. Adake

....Respds (Org. Appl)

Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief 1. Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents

2. Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Respondents (Org. Applicants) was not present with the matter was called out.

3. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the Applicants have completed probation period and she will be filing reply during the course of the day.

4. Adjourned to 25.01.2024.

SD/ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) prk

SD/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Tribunal's orders		
Date : 11.01.2024		
M.A.No.337/2023 in O.A.No.971/ M.A.No.338/2023 in O.A.No.973/ M.A.No.339/2023 in O.A.No.102	2019 with	
R.P. Gaikwad & Ors Vs.	.Applicants	
The State of Maharashtra & OrsR	espondents,	
1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learne	d Counsel for	
the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned		
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.		
2. Learned P.O. has submitted was given to the Applicant by Commissioner on 08.01.2024 and she weeks for further compliance.	the Tribal	
5. Adjourned to 07.02.2024.		
SD/- SD)/ -	
	Bhatkar, J.) person	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 11.01.2024	
	R.A.No.13/2023 in O.A.No.512/2022	
	H.B. Yelde Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra	& OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Mr: S.B. Thorat, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.	
	3. Learned P.O. has submitted that copy of Review Application is not served to the office of C.P.O.	
	4. Learned Counsel is directed to serve copy of R.A. to all the concern.	
	5. Adjourned to 25.01.2024.	
	SD/-	SD/
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) prk	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Date: 11.01.2024

R.A.No.9/2023 in O.A.No.570/2017

B.G. Kingare & Ors.Applicants Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

 Heard Applicant in person and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. Adjourned to 01.02.2024 for filing reply.

SD/-

SD/+

(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Tribunal's orders Date: 11.01.2024 C.A.No.114/2023 in O.A.No1033/2015 T.D. TaydeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Learned P.O. has submitted that file has submitted to the Hon'ble DCM on 26.12.2023 and she seeks time. By way of last chance adjourned to 25.01.2023 for further compliance otherwise Contempt Notice will be issued to the Respondents. SD/-SD/ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson

 $\mathbf{2}$

1.

2.

3.

prk

Tribunal's orders		
Date : 11.01.2024 C.A.No.111/2023 in M.A.No.492/2023 in O.A.No.67/2021		
S.B. Titar (Datir) Vs. The State of Maharashtra & G	Applicant DrsRespondents. an A. Bandiwadekar, pplicant and Ms. K.S.	
 Learned P.O. seeks tin Time granted. Adjour 		
SD/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) prk	SD/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date : 11.01.2024		
	C.A.No.104/2021 in O.A.No.59/2017		
	Y.A. Desai	Applicant	
	Vs. The State of Maharasht	ra & OrsRespondents.	
	learned Counsel for t	hushan A. Bandiwadekar the Applicant and Mr. A.J Presenting Officer for th	
	2. Adjourned to 18	.01.2024.	
	SD/*	SD/	
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) prk	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's or	ders
·	Date : 11.01.2024	
	C.A.No.30/2021	in O.A.No.970/2014
	V.D. Shinde	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra	a & OrsRespondents.
	learned Counsel for th	ushan A. Bandiwadekar, e Applicant and Ms. S.P. ef Presenting Officer for the
	2. Learned Counsel received affidavit and see	has submitted that he has ks time.
	3. Time granted. Ad	journed to 08.02.2024.
	SD/-	SD/
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	prk	

Date : 11.01.2024

O.A.No.50/2017

H.S. KoliApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. by way of last chance adjourned to 25.01.2024.

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

SD/-

Date : 11.01.2024

O.A.No.10/2021

N.N. GhodeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Adjourned to 11.01.2024 at 10.30 P.H.

SD/

(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) SD/

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Memoranda of Coram, bunal's orders or tegistrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date : 11.01.2024		
	O.A.No.452/2022 with O.A.No.1310/2023		
	D.A. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.		
	1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.5 in O.A.No.452/2022 (through video conferencing), Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.		
	2. Learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan seeks time to file reply on behalf of Respondent No.5 in O.A.No.452/2022.		
	3. Learned Counsel Mr. Dere for the Applicant has submitted that the D.E. against the Applicant is at the fag end of the completion, therefore he apprehends that if at all he is guilty in D.E. then immediately he will be removed from the service. He has submitted that the Tribunal has protected his service and now his service is to be protected by giving similar orders to the Respondent-State.		
	4. Under these circumstances, we direct that the State may complete D.E. However, the Respondent State not to take any coercive action against the applicant.		
	5. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply in O.A.No.1310/2023.		
	6. Learned C.P.O. is directed to tender copy of reply to the concern Counsel on 18.01.2024.		
	7. Adjourned to 23.01.2024.		

SD/·

prk

SD/

(Medha Gadgil) (Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

 $\frac{1}{1}$

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 11.01.2024		
	O.A. No.153	8 of 2023	
	S.S. More	Applicant	
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & C	DrsRespondents.	
	 Heard Shri A.V. Bandiw for the Applicant and Smt Presenting Officer for the Resp 		
	2. Learned P.O. seeks 'T 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf as prayed for is granted to lear		
	3. Learned Advocate for permitted to withdraw O.A. O.A. No.1538/2023 stands withdrawn O.A. No.1547/2023	s de-tagged from the	
	4. S.O. to 01.02.2024.		
		Sd/-	
		(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)	
	NMN		

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
	, ,	

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	11.01.2024		
	<u>O.A 667/2022</u>		
	R.H Patil & Ors Vs.	Applicants	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors 1. Heard Shri B.A Ban advocate for the applicants and learned C.P.O for the Respondent		
	2. Learned C.P.O seeks time	to file reply.	
	3. S.O to 22.2.2024.		
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (I Member (A) Akn	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J. Chairperson	

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate)		Applicantis
	versus		
	The State of Maharashtra	and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda		Tribunal's orders	

Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	11.01.2024		
	<u>O.A 7</u>	40/2023	
	P.R Gurav Vs. The State of Maharashtra	Applicant a & Ors Respondents	
	1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advo the applicant Ms Swati Manchekar, learned (the Respondents		
	2. Admit with liberty	to file rejoinder if any.	
	3. Place for final hearing on 8.2.2024.		
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson	
	· ·		

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	·
			Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	11.01.2024	
		<u>O.A 965/2023</u>
	P.J Waghmare Vs	Applicant
	The State of Mah	arashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. None pres Manchekar, learr	et for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati ned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned C	C.P.O request for time to file reply.
	3. S.O to 25.	.1.2024.
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn	

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	11.01.2024	
	<u>O.A 1006/2023</u>	
	S.B Yewale & Ors Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra 1. Heard Shri S.S I applicants and Ms Swa for the Respondents.	a & Ors Respondents Dere, learned counsel for the ti Manchekar, learned C.P.C
	2. Learned C.P.O re-	quest for time to file reply.
	3. S.O to 25.1.2024	
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) ^{Akn}	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tril	bunal's orders
	11.01.2024	
	<u>0.A 1</u>	164/2023
	N.R Raut Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtr	a & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri A.C I learned counsel for th Manchekar, learned C.P	Nair h/f Shri U.P Warunjikar, ne applicant and Ms Swati .O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned C.P.O s filed.	submits that reply is already
	3. Time granted to applicant to file rejoinde	the learned counsel for the r.
	4. S.O to 1.2.2024.	
	Sd/- (Mcdha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn	
	1	

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT	Applicant/a
				Applicant/s
(Advocate)			
	versu	8		
The Sta	ate of Mahara	shtra and others		
				Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corar Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	n,	Tribuna	al's orders	
	<u>11.</u>	01.2024		
		<u>0.</u>	1239/2023	
	B.C) Khobragade & Ors Vs.	S	Applicants
	The	State of Maharash	itra & Ors	Respondents
		Heard Shri ocate for the appli- rned C.P.O for the l	cants and Ms	vadekar, learned Swati Manchekar,
	2.	Admit with libe	erty to file rejo	inder, if any.
	3.	Place for final l	hearing on 8.2	.2024.
	(Me Ma Akn	Sd/- edha Gadgil) ember (A)	(Mri	Sd/- dula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	-			

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
	he State of Maharashtra	and athena	
11	ie State of Manarashtra	and others	

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>11.01.2024</u>
	<u>O.A 1246/2023</u>
	V.M Algat Applicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate f the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P. for the Respondents.
	2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.
	3. S.O to 25.1.2024.
	Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J Member (A) Chairperson Akn

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
ŋ	The State of Maharashtra	a and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	11.01.2024
	<u>O.A 1261/2023</u>
	V.H Shipkule & Ors Applicants Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents1.None present for the applicants.Heard MsSwati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned C.P.O submits that reply will be filed today.
	3. S.O to 25.1.2024.
	Sd/-Sd/-(Medha Gadgil)(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)Member (A)Chairperson
	Akn
·	

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	versus	
The Sta	te of Maharashtra and	lothers
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	,	Tribunal's orders
	11.01.2024	
		D.A 1321/2023
	S.P Pathak Vs. The State of Mahara	Applicant ashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Amey V.P Potbhare, learn Ms Swati Manch Respondents.	ya Tawde i/b Vaidehi Pradeep and aed advocate for the applicant and nekar, learned C.P.O for the C.O seeks time to file reply.

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
*	11.01.2024		
	<u>O.A 1323/2023</u>		
	R.S Koli	Applicant	
	Vs. The State of Maharash	tra & Ors Respondents	
	1. None present Swati Manchekar, lear	for the applicant. Heard Ms ned C.P.O for the Respondents.	
	2. Learned C.P.O	seeks time to file reply.	
	3. S.O to 15.2.202	24.	
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson	
	Akn	-	

	WUMD		
Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versu	5	
The State	e of Mahara	shtra and oth	ers
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	•••••)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tri	bunal's orders
	11.01.20	<u>24</u>	
		O.A 1	1372/2023
	A.B Dalvi	& Ors	Applicant
	The State	Vs. of Maharashtr	ra & Ors Respondents
	advocate	eard Shri B. for the applie .O for the Resp	cant and Smt K.S Gaikwad,
		earned counse vill be conclude	l submits that departmental ed tomorrow.
	3. Le	earned P.O requ	uest for time.
	4. S.	O to 18.1.2024	ł.
	Sd (Medha C Member ^{Akn}	adgil)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
,			

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		

.

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	11.01.2024
	<u>O.A 1422/2023</u>
	H.A Divate Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O request for time.
	3. S.O to 25.1.2024.
	Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson Akn

ł

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	11.01.2024		
	<u>0.A 1522</u> /	2023	
	H.S Narale & Ors Vs.	Applicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & C 1. None present for the	e applicants. Heard Ma	
	Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Responder 2. Learned C.P.O request for time.		
	3. S.O to 18.1.2024.		
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J. Chairperson	
	Akn		

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

[Spf- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

.

14

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 11.01.2024	
	O.A.No.188 Wit O.A.No.189	h
		01 2023
	B. R. Waykande & Ors. P. N. Nagare & Ors.	Applicants
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & Or	sRespondents.
	 Heard Shri K. R. Jagda Applicants and Ms S. P. M Presenting Officer for the Response 	
	2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant,	
	the matter is adjourned to 17.01.2024. 3. S.O. to 17.01.2024 (H.O.B.)	
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	vsm	

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

1 N

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's	orders
	Date	: 11.01.2024	
			039 of 2023
	1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 -		Vith 953 of 2023
			Vith
		O.A.No.9	955 of 2023
e	S.A.R.	Pathan	
	S. K. H		
	S. V. I	Kokare	Applicants
	Versu	S	
	The St	ate of Maharashtra &	OrsRespondents.
	1.		gdale and Shri M. D. Lonka
			Applicants and Ms S. I
:	Manch Respo	nekar, learned Chief ndents.	Presenting Officer for th
	2.	S. O. to 13.02.2024.	
	3. Interim Relief to continue till next date, if any.		inue till next date, if any.
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Deb	ashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	vsm		
·			
			•

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Date : 11.01.2024	
	,	O.A.No.12 Wi	
			9 of 2024
		N. P. Patil & Ors.	
		M. M. Bidkar & Ors.	Applicants
		Versus	
		The State of Maharashtra & C	orsRespondents.
		 Héard Shri A. A. Ghar Applicants and Ms S. P. Presenting Officer for the Resp 	
		2. S.O. to 15.01.2024.	
		Sd/-	Sd/-
		(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
		vsm	
	· · · ·		
	-		

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 11.01.2024	
	O.A.No.1405 of 2023 S. B. KokaneApplicant	
	S. B. KokaneApplicant Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	 Heard Shri D. H. Pawar, learned Counsel for th Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chie Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 	
	2. S.O. to 12.01.2024.	
	Sd/- Sd/-	
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson	
	vsm	

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

ISpl.- MAT-F-2 F.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Date : 11.01.2024		
	O.A.No.1	061 of 2023	
	V. S. Jorkar	Applicant	
	Versus		
	The State of Maharashtra &	OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Shri M. D. Lo	nkar, learned Counsel for th	
		gule, learned Chief Presenting	
	2. Today, learned Cou	unsel for the applicant has	
	supplied 2 nd set of O.A.No.10	061/2023.	
	3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.		
•	4. S.O. to 25.01.2024.		
	Sd/-	Sd/-	
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson	
	vsm		
1			

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

╁

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders		
- -	Date: 11.01.2024. O.A. No.1056 of 2023			
				23
	N.P. TummevarAj Versus		Applicant	
	The	State of Maharashtra	& Ors.	Respondents.
	1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.			
	2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of the Applicant. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for the Applicant by way of last chance.			
	3.	'Interim Relief' to c	ontinue till	'Next Date'.
	4.	S.O. to 01.02.2024.		
				Sd/-
				ish Chakkabarty) 1ember (A)
	NMN			

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ļ

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date: 11.01.2024. O.A. No.1062 of 2023		
	S.B. Koli Versus	Applicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & C	DrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonk the Applicant and Shri A.J. Cho Officer for the Respondents.	ar, learned Advocate for ougule, learned Presenting	
	2. Learned P.O. on instruction seeks 'One We time to respond to the Order passed on 21.12.20 Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.		
	3. S.O. to 18.01.2024.		
		Sd/-	
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)	
	NMN		