

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.01.2023</p> <p style="text-align: right;">O.A.No.112 of 2018</p> <p>J. P. MadyeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed present O.A. for direction to the Respondents to assign the benefit of 1st Time Bound Promotion from 22.09.1994 and 2nd Time Bound Promotion benefit from 21.09.2006 and consequential service benefits including revised pension.</p> <p>3. The issue involved in present O.A. is very short and the facts are not disputed. The Applicant was initially appointed on purely temporary basis on 17.03.1981 on the post of Clerk. Thereafter she was absorbed permanently in terms of policy decision taken by the Government by G.R. dated 23.03.1984. Later, the Applicant was given promotion on the post of Marathi Steno-Typist on 20.08.1991. Later, she was given benefit of 1st Time Bound Promotion by order dated 04.11.2003 w.e.f. 21.08.2003. Thus, her 12 years service was considered from the date of promotion order dated 20.08.1991. Thereafter in 2010, the Applicant was again actually promoted on the post of Marathi Stenographer (Lower Grade) by order dated 28.05.2010. She stands retired on superannuation on 30.06.2011. She made representation on 14.05.2013 for grant of benefit of 2nd Time Bound Promotion. However, it came to be rejected by communication dated 24.12.2013.</p> <p>4. Now turning to the O.A., indeed, there is no specific prayer challenging communication dated 24.12.2013. All that Applicant prayed is direction to assign the benefit of 1st Time Bound Promotion from 22.09.1994 and 2nd benefit from 21.09.2006. In 1st place, in absence of challenge to communication dated 24.12.2013, the O.A. simpliciter for direction is not maintainable.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. That apart as stated above, the Applicant was given promotion by order dated 20.08.1991 and after completion of 12 years, she was given the benefit of 1st Time Bound Promotion on 04.11.2003. Thereafter, within 12 years she was given promotion to the post of Marathi Stenographer (L.G.) on 28.05.2010. Thus, she got promotion before expiration of 12 years period, and therefore, the question of grant of 2nd benefit does not survive. She retired on superannuation on 30.06.2011. The reliance placed by the Applicant on the decision rendered by the Hon'ble High Court in *W.P.No.9051/2013 & Ors. (State of Maharashtra V/s Meena Kuwalekar & Ors.)*, decided on 28.04. 2016 is totally misplaced. In that case, the issue was considering ad-hoc service for grant of benefit of Time Bound Promotion which is not here.

6. In present case, the Applicant got benefit of 1st Time Bound Promotion before expiration of 12 years' service on the same post and later again before expiration of next 12 years, she was again got promotion on 28.05.2010. This being the admitted position, the claim of the Applicant for benefit of 1st Time Bound Promotion w.e.f. 22.09.1994 and 2nd benefit from 21.09.2006 is totally untenable since the Applicant was not on same post for 12 years. It is only in case, a Government servant could not get promotion and worked on the same post for 12 years, is given benefit of Time Bound Promotion which is known as nonfunctional promotion taking care of stagnation. In present case, there is no such situation since the Applicant was not required to stay without promotion for 12 years.

7. The totality of the aforesaid discussion leads me to conclude that O.A. is devoid of merit and deserves to be dismissed. Hence, the order :-

ORDER

Original Application is dismissed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Date: 11.01.2023

O.A. No.48 of 2023

K.A. Shinde,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.A. Shinde, Applicant-in-Person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged notice dated 30.12.2022. He is directed to pay penal charge of Rs.12,41,812/- (Rupees Twelve Lakhs Forty One Thousand Eight Hundred and Twelve only) and to ~~pay~~ ^{vacate} the service quarter.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. In view of above, issue notice before admission returnable on 08.02.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 08.02.2023.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 11.01.2023

O.A. No.473 of 2022 with O.A. No.879 of 2022 with
O.A. No.1323 of 2022 with O.A. No.1324 of 2022

D.D. Harale

N.S. Naik

S.A. Shinde

V.R. Shinde

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 in O.A. No.473/2022. It is taken on record.
3. Today, Learned P.O. has also filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent in O.A. No.879/2022. It is also taken on record.
4. In all these O.As. the Applicants have challenged order of punishment imposed by disciplinary authority without filing remedy of appeal. Learned P.O. sought to contend that since the Applicants are pensioner there is no requirement to file appeal remedy before filing of O.A. Thus, according to him it is in case of punishment inflicted while in service only appeal remedy is to be exhausted before filing of O.A.
5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant requested for short time to make submission in this behalf.
6. S.O. to 18.01.2023 along with O.A. No.806 of 2022 & O.A. No.921 of 2021.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.347 of 2022 in O.A. No.1065 of 2021</p> <p>R.A. Joshi,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant on instruction from client sought permission to withdraw O.A. and M.A. stating that the grievance raised in the O.A. are going to be redressed.</p> <p>3. In view of above, allowed to withdraw M.A. and O.A.</p> <p>4. According, to M.A. and O.A. are disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.30 of 2022</p> <p>D.V. WadhelApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned P.O. has tendered order dated 10.01.2023 issued by the Deputy Commissioner of Police, Mumbai stating that evection order which is under challenge in the present O.A. is cancelled since the Department intends to avail proper remedy under Bombay Government Premises (Eviction) Act, 1955. The order is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.</p> <p>3. Since, the eviction notice itself is withdrawn. O.A. has become infructuous and disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>4. Respondents are at liberty to avail legal remedy in accordance to law.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[PTO.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.22 of 2023 in O.A. No.1318 of 2022</p> <p>P.R. NimgireApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.U. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to correct the description of Respondent No.2 and to add one party as Respondent No.3 with necessary amendment in O.A. Proposed amendment will not change the nature of O.A. and it is necessary for proper adjudication.</p> <p>3. M.A. is accordingly allowed with no order as to costs.</p> <p>4. Amendment be carried out immediately.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 11.01.2023

O.A. No.1318 of 2022

P.R. NimgireApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.U. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.02.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 08.02.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 11.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.684 of 2022</p> <p>S.D. SinghApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter was before Division Bench but recently transferred to Single Bench and today for the first time it is listed before this Bench.</p> <p>3. The perusal of record reveals that enough chances are granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing without Reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 08.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.564 of 2022</p> <p>R.R. KawaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Ashwaraya Belhekar, learned Advocate holding for Ms. P. Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter was before Division Bench but recently transferred to Single Bench and today for the first time it is listed before this Bench.</p> <p>3. The perusal of record reveals that enough chances are granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing without Reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.323 of 2022</p> <p>R.D. Veer & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter was before Division Bench but recently transferred to Single Bench and today for the first time it is listed before this Bench.</p> <p>3. This O.A. is filed for 1st & 2nd Time Bound Promotion Scheme. The perusal of record reveals that the notice was issued on 12.04.2022. Thereafter, matter was adjourned from time to time but Reply is not filed.</p> <p>4. Thus enough time is granted. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>5. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 08.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 11.01.2023

M.A. No.696 of 2022 in O.A. No.1127 of 2022

S.D. Gawai,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 to M.A. It is taken on record. No separate Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1, 2 & 4 is filed.

3. The Applicant has filed O.A. for implementation of order of refixation of pay passed by Department on 25.01.2017 along with M.A. for Condonation of delay. The perusal of order dated 25.01.2017 reveals that the Applicant was given 2nd Benefit of Time Bound Promotion and accordingly his pay has been revised w.e.f. 01.08.2013. He retired on 30.04.2015. Even after passing order dated 25.01.2017 monetary benefits were not paid, and therefore he filed present proceeding.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant however fairly stated that subsequent to the filing of O.A. and M.A. Department had taken further action and sent proposal to the Office of Accountant General which is also sanctioned on 22.12.2022. He tender order of Accountant General dated 22.12.2022. It is taken on record and marked by letter 'X' for identification. Thus the Applicants grievance seems to have been redressed.

5. In view of above, M.A. and O.A. are disposed with not order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 11.01.2023

O.A. No.42 of 2023

R.D. Patil,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant is claiming Inter District Transfer from the establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai to the Superintendent of Police, Dhule.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. In view of above, issue notice before admission returnable on 01.02.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
9. This O.A. be kept along with connected O.As. on 01.02.2023.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.19 of 2023 in O.A. No.41 of 2023</p> <p>N.S. Bhise & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 11.01.2023

O.A. No.41 of 2023

N.S. Bhise & Ors.,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 30.08.2022 whereby Respondent No.1 denied benefit of 3rd Time Bound Promotion which is claimed in terms of G.R. dated 02.03.2019.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. In view of above, issue notice before admission returnable on 01.02.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 01.02.2023.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1224 of 2022</p> <p>V.D. KamaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.621 of 2022 with O.A. No.622 of 2022</p> <p>M.S. Salunke S.D. JagdaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and their Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K. and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. As per order passed by the Tribunal on 04.01.2023 one week time was granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply subject to cost of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand Only) in each O.A. 4. Today, learned P.O. has tendered receipt to show the payment done by the Respondent and also filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record. 5. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission. 6. S.O. to 31.01.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.01.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 14/2023 in O.A 32/2023</u></p> <p>Smt Anirta D. Nargude & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 32/2023</u></p> <p>Smt Anita D Nargude & Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants pray that the Respondent no. 1 be directed to decide the representations dated 20.7.2022 and 8.8.2022 in the light of the letter dated 13.9.2022 issued by Respondent no. 2 and letter dated 28.2.2017 issued by Respondent no. 3 and also in view of the Full Bench decision of this Tribunal dated 2.2.2017 in O.A 354/2015, M.M Sapre & Ors Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O has no objection.</p> <p>4. We, therefore, direct the Respondent no. 1 to decide the representations of the applicants dated 20.7.2022 and 8.8.2022 in the light of the letters dated 13.9.2022 and 28.2.2017 and also in view of the Full Bench decision of this Tribunal dated 2.2.2017 in O.A 354/2015, M.M Sapre & Ors Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors, within a period of three months from today and communicate the decision to the applicants within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>5. Accordingly Original Application stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) AkN</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.20 of 2023 in O.A. No.457 of 2022</u></p> <p>X.Y. Z. ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 5 and Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.6.</p> <p>2. This MA No.20 of 2023 is filed by Respondent No.4- Smt. A.S. Bhuskute, Chairman of the Internal Complaint Committee, Solapur, stating that she has acted in her official capacity and exercised the quasi judicial power and therefore her name may be deleted from the array of respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks two weeks time to take instruction from the applicant and if necessary to file reply.</p> <p>4. Respondent No.4 is exempted from appearing personally and we direct the Ld. PO to appear on her behalf.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 25.1.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.1.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 11.1.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.370 of 2021 in O.A. No.714 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.K.A.K. Pathan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Advocate for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that there is unexplained delay of 9 years in filing the present OA.</p> <p>4. Though the applicant has mentioned ill health and economic difficulty as the reasons for delay, the delay is inordinate. No documents are filed to support the claim.</p> <p>5. As the MA is not prosecuted and in view of the submissions of Ld. CPO, we dismiss both the MA and OA.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 11.1.2023 11.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.As. No.213 of 2018 with O.A. No.214 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.A. Walvekar V.s. Suryawanshi ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to file reply with reference to the chart.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.1.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 11.1.2023 11.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 01/2023 in O.A 337/2022</u></p> <p>Shri Deepak W. Pande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that order of this Tribunal dated 20.10.2022 in O. A 337/2022 is not complied with wherein two months' time was granted to the Respondents, which was over on 23.12.2022. Thereafter on 20.12.2022, the application for extension of time was sought by the Respondents, but it was rejected by order dated 20.12.2022.</p> <p>3. On 26.12.2022 the applicant gave notice to the Principal Secretary. Learned C.P.O submits that it was received on 2.1.2023. Learned C.P.O submits that thereafter 30 days are provided to the Respondents for compliance of the order of the Tribunal and 30 days are yet to be over.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel Mr Bandiwadekar submits that there is no necessity to give time of 30 days to the Respondents as application for extension of time was already rejected by this Tribunal.</p> <p>5. The submissions of the learned counsel for the applicant are not correct because in contempt when a person gives notice, a statutory period of 30 days is required to be given to the Respondents.</p> <p>6. Matter is fixed on 2.3.2022 due to paucity of time.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 654/2022 in O.A 771/2022</u></p> <p>Shri R.T Kale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kamda, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks condonation of delay of four months in filing the Original Application. The Original Application was filed on 29.7.2022 and the cause of action arose on 1.6.2018. The applicant filed representation on 20.10.2018 and the and applicant was required to file Original Application on or before 29.4.2020.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that the Hon'ble Supreme Court has extended the period of limitation up to February, 2022 due to Covid-19 Pandemic.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, we condone the delay in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>5. M.A stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.01.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 654/2022 in O.A 771/2022</u></p> <p>Shri R.T Kale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kamda, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O to 1.2.2023.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.01.2023</u></p> <p><u>C.A 81/2022 in O.A 06/2021</u></p> <p>Mr Uday R. Harmalkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Sadhna Singh, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the name of the applicant for regularization of his services is included in the proposal sent by the Department to the Government and therefore, she seek four weeks' time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.2.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1067 of 2022</p> <p>R.C. DeshmukhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of leaned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chances.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1140 of 2022</p> <p>R.B. SatheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of leaned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chances.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1170 of 2022</p> <p>Y.P. BhoiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.B. Solanke, learned Advocate holding for Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of leaned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chances.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1225 of 2022</p> <p>R.M. KadamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to learned Advocate for the Applicant to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1300 of 2022</p> <p>P.N. Avhad & Anrs.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of leaned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chances.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.931 of 2021</p> <p>B.G. SagareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. requested for adjournment on the ground that the matter is being handled by P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule who is unable to remain present due to his personal difficulties.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.864 of 2017</p> <p>S.B. SarmukaddamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter was before Division Bench but recently transferred to Single Bench and today for the first time it is listed before this Bench.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant therefore sought short time for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.887 of 2019</p> <p>B.P. KarwalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter was before Division Bench but recently transferred to Single Bench and today for the first time it is listed before this Bench.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant therefore sought short time for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1174 of 2019</p> <p>V.V. PanseApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Learned P.O. sought adjournment on the ground the this matter is being handled by P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule who is unable to remain present due to his personal difficulties. 4. S.O. to 24.01.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.857 of 2021</p> <p>L.P. Gaikwad & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant in Person is present. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. The Applicant sought adjournment on the ground that his Advocate is unwell. 4. S.O. to 19.01.2023. <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 11.01.2023

O.A. No.40 of 2023

S.N. MagarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant is seeking Inter District Transfer from the establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai to the establishment of the Superintendent of Police, Pune (Rural).

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. In view of above, issue notice before admission returnable on 01.02.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. This O.A. be kept along with connected O.As. on 01.02.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 11.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.37 of 2023</p> <p>S.R. KudaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant stands retired on 30.06.2008. In terms of 6th Pay Commission recommendation, 1st July was considered as a date of increment for uniformity. Since the Applicant retired on 30.06.2008 he was deprived of next increment due on 1st July.</p> <p>3. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant however fairly concedes that though this Tribunal has decided the issue earlier and granted benefit of increment due on 1st July, inⁱⁿ one matter Writ Petition iss filed by the Government before Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. In view of above, issue notice before admission returnable on 08.02.2023.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

10. S.O. to 08.02.2023.

Sd/-

v

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.38 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. KadamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is retired Government servant. He was due to retired in the month of May 2022. Before retirement, Department had issued order dated 19.05.2022 seeking recovery of Rs.3,05,195/- (Three Lakhs Five Thousand One Hundred Ninety Five Only) towards excess payment because of wrong fixation of Pay. The Applicant deposited the said amount under protest so as to receive remaining retiral dues. The Applicant has therefore challenged order dated 19.05.2022 and sought refund of the amount of Rs.3,05,195/-.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. In view of above, issue notice before admission returnable on 08.02.2023.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 08.02.2023.

Sd/-

w*

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN