

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>11.01.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A Stamp 613/2020 with M.A 193/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri Sandip S. Thorat ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Munde, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A 193/2020 is filed seeking condonation of delay of seven months. The learned counsel submits that due to Covid-19 pandemic, there is delay in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, Misc Application seeking delay in filing the Original Application is allowed.</p> <p>4. The applicant prays that he is from S.C category and has appeared for Maharashtra Municipal Audit and Accounts Services for the post of Auditor and Accountant, Grade-C, 2018.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the cut-off marks for S.C category is 67.5 marks and he secured 67 marks and therefore, he was not found eligible. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in question no. 37, he has selected the option (C). However, the Examiners held option (D) is correct. He submits that in fact, the option (D) selected by the Examiner is incorrect and therefore negative marking of 0.25 should not have been deducted and he is entitled to get 1 marks and he would score 68.25 marks and that is above the required marks.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel relied on the judgment of this Tribunal dated 4.12.2020 in O.A 462/2019 in Mohan Pralhad Gurav Vs. The Directorate of Municipal Administration &amp; Ors.</p> <p>7. Learned P.O submits that same order can be passed in view of the judgment in O.A 462/2019.</p> <p>8. We have perused question no. 37 and option (C) is correct answer and the facts of the case squarely falls and covered by the decision of this Tribunal dated 4.12.2020 in O.A 462/2019.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
9.	In view of the above, following order is passed  (a) O.A is allowed.  (b) The applicant is entitled for getting 1 mark for giving answer as option (C) and he is also entitled to earn 0.25 marks which were deducted. Thus he is entitled to get 1.25 marks.  (c) The name of the applicant is to be added in the select list in the S.C category.
Akn	
	Sd/- <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b>
	Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 11.01.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O. A. No.791 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>D.G. Rajput</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.K. Oak, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant wants to amend the prayer clause 'B'. Amendment in prayer clause 'B' as mentioned "Consequential benefits" is allowed. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.</p> <p>3. The Applicant <del>is</del> Police Officer is Recipient of President Award for his service in the year 15.08.1995. He claims advanced increments in his salary from 1995 and seeks the consequential benefits there on.</p> <p>4. On perusal of the O.A. and the record it is found that the Applicant claims his right based on one circular dated 28.08.1995 which is annexed to page 19 of the petition.</p> <p>5. Perused, the said circular which is written by Mr. T.H. Vankhede, the designation of the officer is not mentioned. At the foot of this circular in bracket it is mentioned that this is to be mentioned in the service book of the Applicant and also for giving the necessary advanced increment.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Learned Advocate for the Applicant on query submitted that he relied on the G.R. of 24.09.1980 about the increment. On reading this G.R. it is found that the G.R. is purely not applicable to any Recipients of Police or President Award.</p> <p>7. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant is trying to secure the requisite circular and he has applied in R.T.I.</p> <p>8. By precipe dated 18.12.2020 the Advocate has requested to take the matter for urgent hearing.</p> <p>9. Affidavit-in-Reply dated 15.09.2020 is filed by Shri Arvind Ganesh Jadhav, Deputy Assistant and Inspector General of Police, Mumbai. In the said Affidavit-in-Reply the Respondents have specifically stated as follows:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>"iv. After receipt of the same, that was given due consideration by this office and the request of the applicant to grant him advance increment being recipient of President's Police Medal has been turned down by this office vide this office letter dated 24.7.2018, as there is no provisions or standing orders of the State of Maharashtra, available to grant such advance increment, being recipient of President's Police Medal. This letter was sent to the Spl.I.G. of Police, Nashik Range, Nashik to communicate the applicant. On that basis the S.P. Nashik Rural had communicated the applicant vide his letter dated 16.11.2018, which is not under challenge in this O.A."</i></p> <p>10. It is to be noted that the Applicant is making claim since 1995, in the year 2019.</p> <p>11. In view of this there is no merit in this Application and hence dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- /v/ (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>
NMN	

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 11.01.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O. A. No.07 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.G. Joshi</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he was appointed as Technical Assistant on Work Charged Establishment on 08.12.1985.</p> <p>3. The Applicant retired as Civil Engineering Assistant, prays that the letter dated 07.01.2020 from the office of the Indian Audit &amp; Accounts Department written by Sr. Account Officer to the Executive Engineer, Ratnagiri Irrigation Division, Ratnagiri to set aside and quashed and produce declaration that he is to be given benefits under Modified Assured Career Progression Scheme (A.C.P.S.) and the earlier payment given are just and proper and also declaration that his pension be finalized as per his earlier payment.</p> <p>4. In the letter dated 07.01.2020 the date of appointment of the Applicant in Government Service is taken as 19.12.1989 and therefore as per the said office he is entitled to first A.C.P.S. only after 19.12.2001 and it should not have been given on 02.12.1997. The only point involves is that the date of appointment.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant on this point relies on the judgment dated 10.10.2019 in O.A. No. 536 to 540/2018 and Ors order of this Bench.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Learned C.P.O. informs that the said letter in O.A.536/2018 is challenged by the Government and R.A. is filed before the Tribunal and it is pending.</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.02.2021.</p> <p>8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. Six weeks time is granted to Respondent for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>13. S.O. to 22.02.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**11.01.2021**

**O.A 613/2018**

Shri A.V Mahajan ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicant, who was selected as Armed Police Constable had paralytic attack and therefore he could not complete the training. However, he made his claim under Section 47 of The People with Disabilities (Equal Opportunities, Protection of Rights, and Full Participations) Act, 1995 and requested to send him before the Medical Board at Kolhapur to get his disability certificate.

3. Learned P.O produces a letter dated 7.1.2021 wherein the direction given by this Tribunal to the applicant on 5.1.2021 are referred to. The said communication send by the Commandant, Shri Shrikant Pathak is taken on record and marked as Exh.1. It discloses that the applicant was asked to submit his willingness for appointment to a equivalent post, as a Clerk in view of his disability along with medical fitness certificate. The applicant, today, i.e. on 11.1.2021 has sent letter to Indian Reserve Batallion No. 3, Kolhapur, that he is ready to accept the post of Junior Clerk till the equivalent post to his earlier posting is available. The said letter is taken on record and marked as Exh. 2.

4. Learned P.O submits that at this stage the Respondents can offer him a job of a Junior Clerk, though he is not having the requisite qualification of passing MS-CIT and Typing examination.

5. In view of this, the following order is passed.

(i) The Civil Surgeon, CPR Hospital, Kolhapur, is directed to constitute a Medical Board and take decision regarding fitness of the applicant on or before 29.1.2021

(ii) The Respondents to accommodate him in service as a Junior Clerk if he is found fit.

(iii) If at all he is given job of Junior Clerk, the applicant shall pass the requisite MS-CIT and Typing Examination within eight months.

6. Original Application stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>11.01.2021</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 763/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.S Kokane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O is directed by way of last chance to take instructions and inform that whether show cause notice was given to applicant before terminating his services and file reply within three weeks.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.2.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N./Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.803 of 2018</u>
---	---

R.K. Javkar	..Applicant
Vs.	
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	..Respondents

Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Neither Advocate for the applicant nor applicant is present. Hence, it is dismissed pursuant to the order dated 4.1.2021.

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman  
11.1.2021

Sd/-   
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
11.1.2021

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others  
..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.16 of 2021 (Aurangabad)</u> (Through Video Conferencing)</p> <p>S.S. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer (Aurangabad Bench) for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant in this matter has prayed that he has received communication on 4.1.2021 (Exh. A-4 Page 29 of OA) mentioning that he is not eligible candidate because the applicant has done his B.B.Sc. Nursing from Karnataka State under RGUHS.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has brought to our notice the observation made by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad in W.P. No.9911 of 2018 by order dated 24.10.2018 wherein in similar situation the Hon'ble High Court has observed in para 3 as under:</p> <p align="center"><i>"3. Similarly, in the communication dated 22.10.2018, it is recorded that, condition that the Diploma/Degree Course should be passed from an Institution situated in Maharashtra State recognized by Maharashtra Nursing Council, Mumbai and approved by the Government of Maharashtra, incorporated in clause 4.2 of the Information Brochure, will not be pressed in service and the candidates fulfilling requisite qualification and norms would be considered for appointment."</i></p> <p>4. Ld. CPO submits that he would provide reply from the respondents within one week.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

5. Ld. Advocate for applicant mentions that final lists are to be declared on 12.1.2021 and the applicant belongs to S.C. category.

6. In view of the situation and as we find there is a prima facie reason to believe that the applicant might be rejected because he has passed his degree from Karnataka and this is likely to create a situation where some other candidates might get selected complicating the matter further.

7. We therefore direct the respondents to keep one seat vacant while the respondents are directed to submit their reply within one week. In case the applicant is considered favourably the matter would be disposed off accordingly.

8. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.1.2021.

10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

12. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman  
11.1.2021

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
11.1.2021

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 11.01.2021**

**O.A.No.468 of 2020 with O.A.No.469 of 2020  
(Aurangabad Bench)**

Shri K.I. Chole & Ors. (O.A.468/2020)

Smt. N.A. Khandekar & Ors. (O.A.469/2020)

**... Applicants.**

**Vs.**

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

**... Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri S.D. Mundhe, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer (M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad) for the Respondents.

2. The applicants pray that the directions to be issued to the Government to publish the revised advertisement for the post of State Services Preliminary Examination-2020 in view of the order dated 09.09.2020 passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in Civil Appeal No.3123/2020.

3. The learned P.O. produces the publication dated 11.01.2021 wherein State Services Preliminary Examination are scheduled on 14.03.2021 and 27.03.2021 and 11.04.2021. The same is taken on record marked as Exhibit-1.

4. The learned C.P.O. of M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad Mr. Mahajan is not present therefore either learned C.P.O. Mr. Mahajan to appear or learned C.P.O./ P.O. of M.A.T., Mumbai to appear in this matter. Copy to be served to the office of C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

5. Adjourned to 02.02.2021 along with other O.A.No.478/2020, O.A.133/2020 and O.A.422/2020

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>11.01.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 329/2020 in O.A 1056/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri G.A Agale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant who has joined the service in Public Works Department as Technical Assistant on 5.1.2006, challenges the objection of the Respondent about his eligibility and disentitlement for the promotion w.e.f July, 2010. He was denied promotion on the ground that he did not pass the requisite Departmental Examination within stipulated period as contemplated in Rule 13 of P.W.D Notification dated 8.8.2001.</p> <p>3. The learned counsel for the applicant has produced today a letter dated 5.1.2021, written by Shri A.B Chavan, Superintending Engineer, P.W.D, Pune-1, addressed to Section Officer, P.W.D, Mantralaya, Mumbai, informing that the applicant has passed the examination within stipulated period and therefore, he is entitled to seniority and he be considered for promotion as claimed by him.</p> <p>4. In view of letter dated 5.1.2021, we direct the Respondents to take decision and communicate the same to the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>5. S.O to 9.2.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 11.01.2021**

**M.A.No.13/2021 in R.A.No.22/2019 in  
O.A.No.1116/2016  
(Through Video conferencing)**

U.S. Salunke ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Pursuant to the communication in M.A.No.13 /2021 which was sent by the Applicant through e-mail, the Review Application No.22/2019 is closed by Member (Judicial) for orders on 05.01.2021 and therefore as the matter is sub-judice nothing can be heard or decided in this matter.

3. In view of above, M.A. is dismissed.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.680 of 2019 in O.A. No.1129 of 2019

U.D. Mhaske

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant is working as Talathi from 11.9.1994. He passed the departmental examination in September, 1995 and an entry was taken accordingly in the service book. As per GR dated 8.6.1995 it is necessary that the person working as Talathi needs to pass the departmental examination as well as qualifying examination for securing promotion. The applicant however did not pass the eligibility examination. He completed his 45 years of age on 31.7.2014. Accordingly on 6.8.2018 an order was issued giving him deemed date from the date he completed 45 years of age i.e. 1.8.2014. The applicant has prayed that he may be given promotion from 2006 instead of 1.8.2014.

3. On instructions from Shri Namdeo Dhondiba Kale, Mahsul Naib Tahsildar, Malshiras, the Ld. PO points out that there is no interference required as the orders passed by the respondents are legal and just. The Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he would bring this to the notice of the applicant and seeks time to take instructions from the applicant.

4. S.O. to 14.1.2021 when the matter can be disposed off.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman  
11.1.2021

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>11.01.2021</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 761/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri Dilip R Nalwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant, Water Conservation Officer, (Junior Engineer), prays for deemed date of promotion from 1.2.1992 in the cadre of Junior Engineer and also prays for further promotion and other consequential benefits.</p> <p>3. Issue notice returnable on 22.2.2021.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O to 22.2.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**11.01.2021**

**O.A 786/2020**

Shri A.S Jadhav ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicant, Police Patil, who is suspended by order dated 3.4.2020, as the offence is registered at C.R 225/2020 under Sec 188, 505(2) of IPC and u/s 52, 54 of National Disaster Management Act of 2005 r/w Rule 11 of Covid-19 Regulations. The applicant prays that the said suspension is bad in law and also prays for review of his suspension.

3. The Respondents are directed to conduct the review of suspension of the applicant with six weeks as per the ratio laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in Ajay Kumar Choudhary Vs. Union of India, through Secretary & Anr (2015) 7 SCC 291 and file affidavit in reply along with compliance report.

4. S.O to 22.2.2021.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Akn



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>11.01.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 12/2021</u></b></p> <p>Prasad M Auti ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant, Police Naik, who is suspended by order dated 16.10.2020, prays that the said order be quashed and set aside.</p> <p>3. Issue notice returnable on 22.2.2021.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. The Respondents are directed to conduct the review of suspension of the applicant with six weeks as per the ratio laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in Ajay Kumar Choudhary Vs. Union of India, through Secretary &amp; Anr (2015) 7 SCC 291 and file affidavit in reply along with compliance report.</p> <p>8. S.O to 22.2.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**11.01.2021**

**O.A 589/2020**

Shri S.N Sawant ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel submits that applicant was suspended by order 21.9.2020, so on 22<sup>nd</sup> Dec 2020, 90 days are over

3. Learned C.P.O prays for time to file affidavit in reply and also take instructions regarding review.

4. The Respondents are directed to conduct the review of suspension of the applicant as per the ratio laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in Ajay Kumar Choudhary Vs. Union of India, through Secretary & Anr (2015) 7 SCC 291 and file affidavit in reply along with compliance report.

5. S.O to 22.2.2021.

Sd/-

/.....  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**11.01.2021**

**O.A 278 & 336/2020**

Shri V.V Bhadange )  
Shri M.L Swami )... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O informs that meeting of the Review Committee for reviewing the suspension of the applicants is now fixed on 13.1.2021.

3. S.O to 25.1.2021.

*M* /  
Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**11.01.2021**

**O.A 295/2020**

Shri A.G Shinde ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O is directed to file reply by way of last chance. If reply is not filed matter will proceed without reply.

3. S.O 8.2.2021.

Sd/- \_\_\_\_\_

/ **(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**11.01.2021**

**O.A 575/2020**

Shri P.K Marde ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel submits that applicant was suspended by order 21.9.2020, so on 22<sup>nd</sup> Dec 2020, 90 days are over

3. Learned C.P.O prays for time to file affidavit in reply and also take instructions regarding review.

4. The Respondents are directed to conduct the review of suspension of the applicant as per the ratio laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in Ajay Kumar Choudhary Vs. Union of India, through Secretary & Anr (2015) 7 SCC 291 and file affidavit in reply along with compliance report.

5. S.O to 22.2.2021.

Sd/-

| (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Akn

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 11.01.2021</b></p> <p><b>O. A. No.734 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>M.H. Gaikwad .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant is widow of late Shri Hari Krishna Gaikwad who was working in Social Forestry, Nashik Division. He retired on 31.05.2019. Unfortunately, he expired on 20.02.2020 i.e. within 08 months after his retirement. The Government has not fixed his pension and only provisional pension is paid to him from 01.06.2019 till 30.11.2019 of six months. However, thereafter not even the provisional pension was paid to him even when he was alive and thereafter till today i.e. since last eleven months no family pension is paid to his widow.</li> <li>3. Learned P.O. informs that now his pension is fixed as the orders are received from the office of Accountant General.</li> <li>4. The Respondents and office of Accountant General is directed to pay the entire amount of pension for which he is entitled to from date of his retirement till the date when he expired i.e. on 20.02.2020.</li> <li>5. The Respondents and office of Accountant General are further directed to fix the family pension within four weeks and thereafter the said amount should be paid per-month to the Applicant.</li> <li>6. The Applicant is directed to submit the required papers i.e. 1) Original Death Certificate of Shri Hari Krishna Gaikwad. 2) No Objection of the other heirs in favour of the Applicant regarding all other dues within two days.</li> <li>7. S.O. to 08.02.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson</p>



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION Nos.23 OF 2021 with 24 OF 2021**

**S. S. Mate  
S.R. Tadavi**

)..... **Applicants**

**Versus**

**State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

)...**Respondents**

Shri D. B. Khaire, Counsel for the Applicants  
Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : SHRI A. P. KURHEKAR , MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.01.2021

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.
2. In both the Original Applications, the Applicant has challenged the impugned order dated 07.01.2021 passed by the Respondent No.3-Director General, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Research & Training Institute, Pune -1 mainly on the ground of competency of Respondent No.3.
3. Perusal of impugned order reveals that on 07.01.2021, Respondent No.3 when visiting the office of Caste Scrutiny Committee, Mumbai Suburban, the Applicant in O.A.No.23/2021 was absent in the office and Applicant in O.A.No.24/2021 had come to the office late at 12.15 PM. Noticing their absence in the office, Respondent No.3 immediately passed impugned order thereby relieving them and charge was kept with another officer.
4. Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicants sought stay to the impugned order contending that it is without jurisdiction since the Competent Authority is the Government only and not the Respondent No.3. According to him, the Respondent No.3 is appointed as Chief



Coordinator only and has no such power of transfer or to take disciplinary action against the Applicants are vested in him.

5. Whereas, learned P.O. submits that the Respondent No.3 – Director General, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Research & Training Institute, Pune has been appointed as Chief Coordinator for Caste Scrutiny Committee by G.R. dated 29.08.2012 and in the capacity of Chief Coordinator on 07.01.2021 when he visited the office, he noticed total indiscipline in the office and employees were not attending the office in time.
6. Learned P.O. further submits that after passing impugned order immediately on next day, the Respondent No.3 reported the matter to the Respondent No.1- Principal Secretary, Social Justice Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai by letter dated 08.01.2021 and further orders are awaited from the Government. She has tendered the letter for perusal.
7. Shri Dhammajyoti Gajbhiye, Director General, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Research & Training Institute, Pune is also present.
8. Perusal of record reveals that the Respondent No.3 is appointed as Chief Coordinator for all Caste Validity Scrutiny Committees vide G.R. dated 29.08.2012 and assigned with the responsibilities of coordination, progress in the matter of issuance of Caste Validity Certificate to access the performance etc. As per this G.R., thus he is appointed as Chief Coordinator for effective administration control <sup>on D &</sup> over the Caste Validity Scrutiny Committees etc. It is in that authority, he visited the office and found except contractual employees, no other regular staff was present. He made inquires and found that staff is in habit of coming late or even to remain absent for 2 -3 days in a week. He also noticed irregularities in the matter of issuance of Caste Validity Certificate. Accordingly, he submitted detailed report to the Government on 08.01.2021 with copy to Commissioner, Social Justice, Pune. In his report, he stated that he has received several complaints of irregularities in the office of Caste Scrutiny Committee. *Prima-facie*, there is no material to justify the absence of Applicant when the Respondent No.3 visited the office.

9. Thus, it appears that the Respondent No.3 in his capacity as Chief Coordinator took immediate action of relieving the Applicants which amounts to withdrawal of work. He has not transferred the Applicants.

10. In so far as competency of disciplinary action is concerned, true, as per Maharashtra Schedule Caste, De-notified Tribes, Nomadic Tribes, other Backward Classes and Special Backward Category (Regulation of Issuance and verification of) Caste Certificate Rules, 2012, the Government seems to be the authority to look into the disciplinary action against Chairman/Member as well as staff assigned to the Scrutiny Committee. However, by G.R. dated 29.08.2012 (Page No.23 of PB), administration control is entrusted to the Respondent No.3. Clause No.1 of G.R. is material which is as under :-

*“1. राज्यातील अनुसूचित जाती, विमुक्त जाती, भटक्या जमाती, इतर मागासवर्ग व विशेष मागास प्रवर्ग जाती प्रमाणपत्र पडताळणीसाठी सामाजिक न्याय विभागाच्या नियंत्रणाखाली कार्यरत असलेल्या १५ विभागीय जात प्रमाणपत्र पडताळणी समित्यांशी समन्वय साधणे, समित्यांनी साधलेल्या प्रगतीबाबत कामाचा आढावा घेणे, त्यांचेवर प्रभावी प्रशासकीय नियंत्रण ठेवणे, इत्यादी कामांसाठी “मुख्य समन्वयक, जात प्रमाणपत्र पडताळणी समित्या” (Chief Co-ordinator, Caste Validity Scrutiny Committees) म्हणून महासंचालक, डॉ.बाबासाहेब आंबेडकर संशोधन व प्रशिक्षण संस्था, पुणे यांना या आदेशाद्वारे नियुक्त करण्यात येत आहे.”*

11. Thus, in exercise of the powers vested under G.R. dated 29.08.2012, the Respondent No.3 has passed the impugned order as a interim measure.

12. In the present case, the Respondent No.3 had visited the office on 07.01.2021 and on the same day issued impugned order so as to give signals to others. Immediately on next date, he reported the matter to the Government. Thus, the matter is under consideration of the Government for issuance of necessary orders.

13. *Prima-facie*, the impugned order is by way of interim measure and it is not final order about transfer or any other disciplinary action against the Applicants. For that purpose, the matter is under consideration before the Competent Authority which is expected to pass further appropriate order in accordance to rules without loss of time.

14. In view of above, I am not inclined to grant interim reliefs as prayed for. The Respondents are directed to file reply on the next date.

15. S.O. to 25.01.2021.

Sd/-

w\*

**(A.P. KURHEKAR)**  
**MEMBER (J)**


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 11.01.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.161 of 2020 with O.A.No.155 of 2020 with O.A. No.157 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>A. A. Zajan &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is Part-Heard matter wherein time was sought by learned P.O. to file Draft Rules and relevant G.R.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that if possible documents along with short affidavit will be filed during the course of the day. Thereafter, matter be taken for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.01.2021.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 11.01.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.Nos.953 of 2018 with O.A.No.214 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>B. H. Wadkar &amp; 12 Ors. A. V. Yelmar &amp; 5 Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicants states that probably the grievances of the Applicants are redressed and on getting written instructions from them, he would withdraw Original Applications. He, therefore, sought two weeks time to take necessary instructions.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.01.2021.</p> <p align="right">   <b>Sd/-</b>  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 11.01.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.660 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>P.A. Jadhav</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has tendered a leave note in the office. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.01.2021.</p> <p align="right">           Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member(J)         </p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 11.01.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.411 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S. B. Kapile</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Abhijeet Pawar holding for Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Pleading is already complete. The matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.01.2021.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-    <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of ~~20~~

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 11.01.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.Nos. 270 of 2020 with O.A. No.271 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>Dr. V. N. Dekate</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicants</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. In both the Original Applications, today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 25.01.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">   <b>Sd/-</b>  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 11.01.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M. A. No.585 of 2019 in M.A. No.226 of 2019 in O.A.No.761 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>M. G. Kadam</b> <span style="float:right"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float:right"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Anil Jaiswar holding for Shri R. G. Panchal , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted as a last chance for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.01.2021.</p> <p align="right"> <sup>^</sup>            Sd/-    <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 11.01.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.532 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>P.A. Jadhav</b> <span style="float:right"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float:right"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has tendered a leave note in the office. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding forms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3. It is taken on record. She further submits that reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 will also be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 29.01.2021.</p> <p align="right"> <sup>^</sup>  Sd/-  W<sup>v</sup>  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>

2111

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 11.01.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.78 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>S. S. Khapale</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.01.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- Ww- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 11.01.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.416 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>D. M. Birajdar</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Badiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that this matter was listed before the Tribunal lastly on 27.03.2020 and thereafter it remains unlisted due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation and lockdown.</p> <p>3. Today, for the first time, it is taken on board after lockdown for regular hearing by way of circulation.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. sought short time to file Rejoinder, if necessary and to argue the matter.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 19.01.2021.</p> <p align="right">           Sd/-            W<sup>vv</sup>  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>MA.89/2020 in MA.17/2020 in OA 1197/2019</u></p> <p>C.R. Sawant ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has moved this MA No.89 of 2020 for restoration of the above MA No.17/2020 for amendment which was dismissed in view of conditional order dated 9.1.2020. The MA for restoration is moved on 7.2.2020. Ld. PO submits to the order of the Tribunal. Hence, MA No.89 of 2020 is allowed and MA No.17 of 2020 is restored to file.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 11.1.2021 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 11.1.2021</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No. 1197 of 2019</u>
	C.R. Sawant ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents
	Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. PO files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.2 and the same is taken on record. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays that the matter may be adjourned to file rejoinder.
	3. S.O. to 8.2.2021.
	Sd/-  Sd/- 
	(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 11.1.2021 11.1.2021
	(sgj)