

M.A.NO.348/2021 IN O.A.NO.832/2016
(Vishal P. Gangawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.G.Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for respondent no.2 in O.A.No.832/2016.

Shri P.S.Dighe, learned Advocate for respondent no.4 and Shri Parag Bhosale, learned Advocate for respondent no.5 (both in O.A.No.832/2016), are **absent**.

2. The Respondent no.2, namely Sagar Sadashiv Kokake is present before the Tribunal. Advocate Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav is appearing on his behalf. Today, the said respondent no.2 has tendered across the bar a written purshis contending therein that, in the present matter, he

=2=

M.A.NO.348/2021 IN O.A.NO.832/2016

does not wish to put forth his side and is not opposing the O.A.No.832/2016. It is further averred that the applicant would withdraw the O.A.No.982/2021 filed by him before the Principle Seat at Mumbai. It is further contended that for personal reasons and family difficulties, he has taken the said decision and he will not claim any right over the subject appointment.

3. We have also verified the contents of the present purshis from the respondent no.2. He has affirmed what he has stated in the purshis. The purshis is taken on record. It is clarified that henceforth the presence of respondent no.2 may not be required before the Tribunal.

4. However, there are allegations in O.A. against respondent authorities regarding irregularities committed in recruitment process which involves the respondent no.2 reflecting on sanctity of recruitment process. This assumes significance in the light of the fact that the respondent authorities have not complied with the order of this

=3=

M.A.NO.348/2021 IN O.A.NO.832/2016

Tribunal dated 06-04-2022, relevant paragraph of which is paragraph 3, same is reproduced below for ready reference:

“3. Arguments are heard at length. On a query made by the Tribunal whether the merit list of candidates for the post of Driver was published or not, the learned CPO submits that he will take instructions and will file necessary affidavit. Only for that purpose, the matter stands adjourned till Monday i.e. 11-04-2022.”

4. S.O. to 19-04-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

C.P.NO.15/2014 IN O.A.NO.1128/1999
(Revannath R. Landge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant in C.P. and Shri S.V.Adwant, learned Special Counsel for the respondents.

2. The arguments are concluded. The matter stands reserved for order.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.669/2019
(Raju Rasede Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Archana Bhange, learned Advocate holding for Mr. Anandsing S. Bayas, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mr. I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 04-05-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1025/2019
(Dr. Sangeeta Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 04-05-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.655/2021
(Rahul D. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri U.L.Telgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 14-06-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.90/2022
(Dr. Pravin K. Munde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sudhir K. Chavan, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**.

Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 06-06-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.370/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.1556/2018
(Jagannath P. Hanwate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.P.Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri G.N.Patil, learned Advocate for respondent no.2, are present.

2. S.O. to 10-06-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO.222/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.851/2019
(Namdeo Bawiskar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri B.G.Lathe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 02-05-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDERS 11.04.2022

**M.A.NO.157/2020 IN O.A.NO.850/2019
(Shrikant Madilwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.G.Kamble, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 02-05-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDERS 11.04.2022

**M.A.NO.181/2016 WITH M.A.NO.372/2016 IN
O.A.NO.67/2016 WITH
O.A.NO.368/2016, 369/2016 & 490/2016**

**(Jagdishkumar N. Shirsat & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Dr. Kalpalata Patil-Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicants in all cases, Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all the cases and Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent no.4 and 5 in M.A.No.181/2016 with M.A.No.372/2016 in O.A.No.67/2016, are present.

2. S.O. to 21-06-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.914/2016
(Ravi A. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri I.D.Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 06-06-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.810/2017
(Shaligram Sonawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D.Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant
and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for
the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 06-06-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.776/2018
(Nana B. Salunke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D.Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 13-06-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.292/2021
(Kashinath Devtule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sandeep D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 14-06-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 907 OF 2019
(Dr. Namdeo V. Korde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 5 and Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for respondent No. 6.

2. Pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to posting. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 10.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1093 OF 2019
(Bhanudas B. Putwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.B. Ade, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 is filed.
3. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 is not necessary.
4. S.O. to 10.06.2022 for rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 549 OF 2020
(Nitin T. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.S. Dighe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 21.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 567 OF 2020
(Rajendra V. Marale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.S. Tandale, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.M. Nagargoje, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 13.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 568 OF 2020
(Shankar S. Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
3. S.O. to 13.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 571 OF 2020
(Madhukar R. Mapari through LRs. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

3. S.O. to 08.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 11.04.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 157 OF 2021
(Ganesh S. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.S. Ware, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 & 4.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 13.06.2022 for rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 238 OF 2021
(Pandurang H. Bhalerao & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vikram Surse, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

3. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, time is granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.

4. S.O. to 08.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 239 OF 2021
(Pandurang H. Bhalerao & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vikram Surse, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

2. At the request made on behalf of respondents, time is granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply.

3. S.O. to 08.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 240 OF 2021
(Ranjeetsing B. Rajput & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vikram Surse, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.

4. S.O. to 08.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 241 OF 2021
(Prakash S. Shgokar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vikram Surse, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicants, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.

4. S.O. to 08.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 242 OF 2021
(Shaikh Mohammad Farukh Mohamad Mastan & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vikram Surse, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

3. S.O. to 08.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 243 OF 2021
(Pandurang R. Bellate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vikram Surse, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.

4. S.O. to 08.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 244 OF 2021
(Venkat R. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vikram Surse, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.

4. S.O. to 08.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 245 OF 2021
(Sunil R. Dharmadhikari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vikram Surse, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4.

4. S.O. to 08.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 253 OF 2021
(Shaikh Nabi Shaikh Muqbul Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vikram Surse, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 08.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 254 OF 2021
(Datta D. Waghmare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vikram Surse, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3 submits that during the course of the day affidavit in reply would be filed.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.

4. S.O. to 08.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 416 OF 2021
(Dr. Ajit R. Kothari & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri N.L. Choudhari, learned Advocate for the applicants (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicants, S.O. to 07.06.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 493 OF 2021
(Aruna S. Lahurikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.G. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 5.
3. S.O. to 08.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 697 OF 2021
(Dr. Santosh B. Naikwade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.A. Bharat, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 09.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 11.04.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 778 OF 2021
(Dr. Laxmikant M. Shende Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

J.P. Legal Associates, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 13.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 06 OF 2022
(Ramesh N. Shahane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 08.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 79 OF 2022
(Datta G. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the course of the day rejoinder affidavit would be filed.

3. S.O. to 05.05.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 105 OF 2022
(Amar J. Raut Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Swaraj Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
3. S.O. to 07.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 255 OF 2022
(Namdeo V. Agashe & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
3. S.O. to 07.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 62/2019 in O.A. St. No. 257/2019
(Babasaheb N. Rakte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ganesh Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the course of the day service affidavit would be filed.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent in M.A.

4. S.O. to 13.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 348/2019 in O.A. St. No. 1436/2019
(Laxmibai U. Potdar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.V. Patil (Indrale), learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is closed for orders.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 11.04.2022

M.A. No. 503/2019 in O.A. St. No. 2016/2019
(Mahebbob B. Nunbash Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 06.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 11.04.2022

M.A. No. 592/2019 in O.A. St. No. 2196/2019
(Arvind A. Joshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3 filed affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 08.06.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 111/2020 in O.A. St. No. 1964/2019
(Madhav B. Marde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 5 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 6.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent No. 6.

3. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the respondent Nos. 1 to 5 adopts the affidavit in reply by the respondent No. 6.

4. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit in M.A.

5. S.O. to 02.05.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A. No. 310/2020 in O.A. St. No. 2061/2019
(Jagdish K. Mahendrakar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, issue fresh notice to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 07.06.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 07.06.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A. No. 335/2020 in O.A. St. No. 1475/2020
(Prabhakar S. Jagtap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 in M.A. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side

3. S.O. to 06.06.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 15/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1139/2020
(Chunnilal R. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.N. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 5 and Shri S.P. Pandit, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit in M.A.

5. S.O. to 06.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 39/2021 in O.A. St. No. 37/2021
(Azizkhan Yusufkhan Pathan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri N.D. Sonavane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 5 to 4 in M.A. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any in M.A.

4. S.O. to 06.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 89/2022 in O.A. St. No. 340/2022
(Prakash N. Thorat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpandelearned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, issue fresh notice to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 07.06.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 07.06.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A. No. 127/2022 in O.A. St. No. 521/2022
(Dilip R. Gavit and Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Sawant, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the course of the day service affidavit would be filed.
3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply in M.A.
4. S.O. to 05.05.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 680 OF 2019
(Harunbhai Hussainbhai Sayyed Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri N.D. Sonawane, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.B. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that though farad sheet order dated 07.08.2019 states that the applicant would produce relevant documents, there are no documents with the applicant.

3. In view of above, issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 10.06.2022.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

//2//

O.A. No. 680/2019

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 10.06.2022.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 492 OF 2020
(Bhagwan Fattu Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.V. Natu, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that nobody is appearing on behalf of the applicant since last two dates i.e. on 22.02.2022 and 15.03.2022. In view of the same, it appears that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the matter. Hence, the O.A. stands dismissed in default.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 548 OF 2020
(Bhimrao Giramji Wahvale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.D. Bachate/A.D. Khot, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that nobody is appearing on behalf of the applicant since last two dates i.e. on 22.02.2022 and 15.03.2022. In view of the same, it appears that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the matter. Hence, the O.A. stands dismissed in default.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 576 OF 2020
(Jitendra Sahebrao Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.S. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that nobody is appearing on behalf of the applicant since last two dates i.e. on 24.02.2022 and 15.03.2022. In view of the same, it appears that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the matter. Hence, the O.A. stands dismissed in default.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 11.04.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 58 OF 2021
(Madhuri N. Tayade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.D. Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 06.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 315 OF 2019
(Jeevan G. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.L. Bhapkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Shri M.M. Bhokariker, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5, **absent.**

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant filed short affidavit. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 10.06.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO.159 OF 2022 IN O.A.NO.802 OF 2021
(Ranjana B. Solat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vinod Y. Bhide, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By this application the applicant is seeking to amend the Original Application by adding one more ground of subsequent event which took place during pendency of this Original Application.

3. It is stated that during pendency of this Original Application wait list of compassionate appointment candidates is prepared by the office of the respondent No.2 i.e. the District Collector, Aurangabad and submitted to the office of Divisional Commissioner, Aurangabad in which the name of the applicant is appeared in Group 'D' category at Sr.No.1.

4. Learned P.O. for the respondents submits that necessary order can be passed.

//2//

M.A.159/2022 In
O.A.802/2022

5. Considering the proposed amendment it appears that the same is relevant with the pleadings raised in the Original Application. In view of same, the proposed amendment is not going to change the nature of Original Application. In fact, the proposed amendment would be just and necessary to determine the real question of controversy between the parties. I therefore, proceed to pass following order:-

ORDER

- (i) The Misc. Application No.159/2022 in O.A.No.802/2021 is allowed.
- (ii) Amendment as prayed for is granted.
- (iii) The applicant to carry out the amendment within the period of 2 weeks from the date of this order and to serve the copy of amended O.A. on the other side.
- (iv) No order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.802 OF 2021
(Ranjana B. Solat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vinod Y. Bhide, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 04.05.2022

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2//

O.A.No.802/21

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 04.05.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 11.04.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.323 OF 2022
(Baliram B. Mahale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 06.06.2022

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2//

O.A.No.323/22

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 06.06.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.326 OF 2022
(Madhukar S. Muneshwar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 25.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 11.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO.307 OF 2022
(Jaydatt R. Bhusare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri B.B. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 07.06.2022 for taking necessary steps in respect of office objection.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.362 OF 2019
(Neelabai A. Done & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that pleadings are complete.
3. The matter is pertaining to arrears of wages. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing on 13.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.230 OF 2020
(Ashvini D. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

None present on behalf of the applicant.

Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer
for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the
applicant, S.O. to 08.06.2022 for further hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 11.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.714 OF 2021
(Rahul G. Malsamindar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Amruta Pansare, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that pleadings are complete.
3. The matter is pertaining to compassionate appointment. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing on 13.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.754 OF 2021
(Sunil S. Pradhan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

WITH

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.46 OF 2020
(Balaji M. Nagdarwad & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

WITH

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.290 OF 2021
(Syyad Yusuf Syyad Lal & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

WITH

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.443 OF 2021
(Suresh L. Gaikwad & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these O.As.

2. Pleadings of O.A.No.754/2021 are complete. The O.A.No.754/2021 is pertaining to arrears of wages. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicants submits that the applicants in other similar matters have received part payment towards arrears of wages.

4. Learned P.O. for the respondents to seek instructions in these matters about payment of arrears of wages.

5. S.O. to 14.06.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.611 OF 2018
(Premla U. Hanumante & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
WITH
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.679 OF 2018
(Sushilabai G. Kalaskar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
WITH
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.387 OF 2019
(Sunil B. Sangewar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
WITH
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.388 OF 2019
(Ashok P. Khotkar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
WITH
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.501 OF 2021
(Anita D. Damodar & Ors. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these O.As.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants submits that the applicants in these matters have received part payment towards arrears of wages.
3. Learned P.O. for the respondents to take note and seek instructions.
4. S.O. to 14.06.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO.172 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.578 OF 2020
(Ashok S. Shelke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Mayur Subhedar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present Misc. Application is closed for order.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 11.04.2022

**M.A.NO.173 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.576 OF 2020
(Prakash M. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Mayur Subhedar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present Misc. Application is closed for order.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 11.04.2022

**M.A.NO.174 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.574 OF 2020
(Dnyanoba W. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Mayur Subhedar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present Misc. Application is closed for order.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 11.04.2022

**M.A.NO.101 OF 2022 IN O.A.NO.309 OF 2021
(Dinesh R. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.D. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 06.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 11.04.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.889 OF 2018
(Sayyed M. Aminoddin Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R. Dheple, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05.05.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 11.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.932 OF 2017
(Walmik L. Kande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter be treated as part heard.
3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 11.04.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.879 OF 2018
(Balasaheb M. Kaldate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 26.04.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 11.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.329 OF 2019
(Ashok V. Birari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Yogesh H. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri Girish Nagori, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 26.04.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 11.04.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.386 OF 2019
(Goutam R. Fasale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.04.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 11.04.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.900 OF 2019
(Arvind R. Bhingardive Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 28.04.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 11.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1073 OF 2019
(Abdul Jabbar Abdul Rahim Shaikh & Ors. Vs. State of
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 10.06.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.20 OF 2021
(Ramraje S. Chandane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Tejal Mankar, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 18.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.109 OF 2021
(Ashok D. Shiradkar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 02.05.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 11.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.515 OF 2020
(Aniket D. Rakh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.S. Tandale, learned Advocate holding for Shri B.R. Kedar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 25.04.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 11.04.2022

**M.A.NO. 55/2022 IN O.A.NO. 604/2021
(Sarang K. Gorge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **11.4.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri G.N. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in delay application, returnable on 17.6.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 17.6.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO. 60/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 67/2022
(Gaus Mohayyopdin Shamshodin Vs. State of Maharashtra
& Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri G.N. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in delay application, returnable on 17.6.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 17.6.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.149/2022 IN M.A. 150/2022 IN O.A.ST.507/2022
(Waman N. Bhakare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying M.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO. 150/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 507/2022
(Waman N. Bhakare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The applicants are, in the accompanying O.A., seeking declaration that they be declared to be entitled for the service benefits of the Government Resolution dated 31.1.1996. Further prayer in the OA is that the respondents be directed to issue the order of permanency in respect of the applicants in view of G.R. dated 31.1.1996 and as per the seniority list published by respondent No. 7 on 27.12.2012.

3. Shri Vivek Pingle learned counsel appearing for the applicants submitted that the applicants are poor daily wage "Van Majur", who are not conversant with the legal provisions and that is the reason that they could not file the application before the Tribunal within the stipulated period. Learned counsel further submitted that the applicants were however, following their demands with the State authorities and every time the assurance used to be

given to them that their case is under consideration. Learned counsel submitted that the applicants bona-fide believe the assurance so given and hence did not initiate any legal proceedings. Learned counsel further submitted that in the year 2012 written representation was also given by the applicants and the same has not yet been decided. Learned counsel further submitted that the applicants have therefore, now approached this Tribunal. Relying on the judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Ashok Kumar Vs. State of Bihar & Ors. (AIR 2008 SC 2723)** learned counsel urged that the delay caused in filing O.A. be condoned since the applicants were waiting for the response of the Government on their representation.

4. Learned Presenting Officer opposed the application submitting that inordinate delay has occurred in filing O.A. and apparently there are no cogent reasons so as to even issue notices in the present application. According to the learned Presenting Officer, the application deserves to be rejected.

5. We have considered the submissions advanced by the learned counsel appearing for the applicants and learned Presenting Officer.

6. The applicants are seeking the benefit of the G.R. dated 31.1.1996. The delay occurred in filing O.A. by the

applicants is apparently of the period of more than 25 years. Two reasons are assigned by the applicants in justification of their request to condone the delay; first that the applicants are poor illiterate persons working as Van Majur and the second that the applicants were awaiting response to the written representation submitted by them in the year 2012.

7. Insofar as the first ground is concerned, we need not to elaborate that on such ground it is very difficult to condone inordinate delay occurred in filing the O.A. by the applicants. Insofar as the other reason assigned by the applicants of filing representation in the year 2012 is concerned, as has been pointed out by the learned P.O. the representation was filed by only one of the present applicants namely Waman N. Bhakare in his individual capacity. Nothing is placed on record to show that the applicants other than Shri Waman Bhakare have also made any representation. In absence of any such pleading or any such document placed on record, it is to be presumed that the said applicants did not make any such representation to the respondent authorities.

8. It is the contention of the learned counsel that the oral requests were being made by the applicants and every time they used to be given some assurance. For the sake of arguments even if we assume that the oral requests

::-4-:: **M.A. 150/22 IN O.A.ST. 507/22**

made by the said applicants shall be treated as representation made by the said applicants with the respondent authorities, the applicants were not expected to wait for such a long period of 10 years. The judgment relied upon by the applicants in the case of **Ashok Kumar Vs. State of Bihar & Ors.** (cited supra) cannot be interpreted to mean that once the representation is given the issue of delay becomes redundant and the only option remains that of condoning the delay. If the representation is not decided by the State authorities the aggrieved persons must approach the Court or Tribunal or initiate the necessary legal proceedings within a reasonable period and are not expected to wait for unreasonable period certainly not for the period of ten years as has occurred in the present matter.

9. As is revealing from the documents filed on record in the O.A. the workers aspiring for the benefit under the G.R. 31.1.1996 had approached the Hon'ble High Court and were also extended the desired relief. The applicants have however not explained as to why they did not avail the legal remedy as was availed by the said workers by filing the O.As. before the Tribunal in the year 2014. We need not to state that if cogent and sufficient reasons are assigned the delay of even longer period can also be condoned. In the instant case the applicants have failed to make out any such case for condoning the inordinate delay which has

::-5-:: M.A. 150/22 IN O.A.ST. 507/22

occurred in filing the O.A. by them. The another contention raised by the learned counsel for the applicants that there is a continuing cause also has not convinced us. The benefits are sought from the year 1996, the delay has to be computed from the said year.

10. For the aforesaid reasons we are not inclined to condone the delay occurred in filing the O.A. by the present applicants. The Misc. Application is therefore rejected. Consequently the O.A. also stands rejected.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 11.4.2022-HDD

M.A.NO. 165/2022 IN O.A.NO. 870/2019
(Dr. Devrao S. Dakhure Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **11.4.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Onkar A. Gholap, learned counsel holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It is the contention of the applicant that during pendency of the present O.A. he could get some more information and on the basis of the said information he wants to add one more ground that of discrimination and to add certain contents in that regard in the O.A.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted for passing appropriate orders.

4. In view of the fact that information received subsequent to filing of the O.A. is contended to be brought on record and further having regard to the fact that original nature of the application may not change because of such amendment, we are inclined to allow the present application. Hence, the following order: -

:: - 2 - ::

**M.A.NO. 165/2022 IN
O.A.NO. 870/2019**

ORDER

- (i) The present application is allowed.
- (ii) The amendment be carried out within a week.
- (iii) It would be open for the respondents, if they desire to file any affidavit in reply to the amended portion of O.A..
- (iv) The O.A. to come up for hearing on 28.4.2022.

There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 603 OF 2021
(Sumit G. Dongre & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3. Affidavit in reply of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 has already been filed on record.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant opposes for granting any further adjournment stating that sufficient opportunities are already availed. In the interest of justice time is granted by way of last chance.

4. S.O. to 7.6.2022. If the affidavit in reply is not filed on or before the said date, the matter will be heard without reply of the concerned respondents.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

C.P.NO. 41/2019 IN O.A.NO. 25/2018
(Sachin Ramesh Salve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Onkar A. Gholap, learned counsel holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 17.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 11.4.2022-HDD

**O.A.NOS. 162, 163, 164, 173, 174, 175, 176 AND 221
ALL OF 2019
(Mahadev R. Powar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Angha Pandit, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer submits that the applicants have amended the present OAs and, as such, the reply is necessary for the said amended portion of the OAs and has sought time. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 20.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

O.A.NOS. 850 & 851 BOTH OF 2009
(Sudam T. Pophale & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the cases, are present.

2. By consent of both the parties, S.O. to 19.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 11.4.2022-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 682 OF 2018
(Rahul S. Shirsath Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **11.4.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Arguments are concluded. The O.A. is reserved for orders.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 337 OF 2022
(Vithal B. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.B. Chalak, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 29.4.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 29.4.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 310 OF 2022
(Shivaji M. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **11.4.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.K. Muneshwar, learned counsel holding for Shri M.N. Kolhe, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 18.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 807 OF 2016
(Madhukar N. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 3 and Shri Sham B. Patil, learned counsel for respondent No. 2.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 13.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 141 OF 2018
(Nanda P. Bankar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 3 and Shri Sham B. Patil, learned counsel for respondent No. 2.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 13.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**C.P.NO. 11/2022 IN O.A.NO. 621/2017
(Shivananda B. Darade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **11.4.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in contempt petition, returnable on 15.6.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 15.6.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO. 114/2022 IN O.A.NO. 130/2017
(State of Maharashtra & Ors. Vs. Priyanka A. Dongre)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.R. Bhumkar, Presenting Officer for the respondents in M.A. / respondent authorities in O.A., Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for respondent No. 5 in O.A., are present. Shri S.K. Chavan, learned counsel for respondent in M.A. / applicant in O.A. (**absent**).

2. It is the matter of record that respondent No. 5 in O.A. No. 130/2017 had preferred W.P. No. 1991/2022 challenging the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 130/2017. While dismissing the said Writ Petition the Hon'ble High Court has directed the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 i.e. the Government Authorities to issue letter of appointment in favour of the respondent No. 5 in the said Writ Petition, who was applicant in O.A. No. 130/2017. While allowing O.A. No. 130/2017 the Tribunal has directed the respondents to offer appointment to the present applicant within the period of one month from the date of the said order. In the present M.A. State is seeking extension of time to comply with the said order. The

**:: - 2 - :: M.A.NO. 114/2022 IN
O.A.NO. 130/2017**

present application has however, become infructuous in view of the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No. 1991/2022 arising out of the order passed in O.A. No. 130/2017. The State Authorities are now under an obligation to comply the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court in the aforesaid Writ Petition and if any time is required for compliance of the said order the application has to be preferred before the Hon'ble High Court and not before this Tribunal.

3. In view of the above, the M.A. stands disposed of being infructuous however, without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**C.P.ST.NO. 382/2022 IN O.A.NO. 130/2017
(Priyanka A. Dongre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the petitioner (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. S.O. to 10.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 11.4.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 38 OF 2021
(Indalsing M. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Yogesh H. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 17.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 704 OF 2021
(Sanjay G. Mali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.A. Pisal, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 17.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 818 OF 2019
(Sonali S. Pansare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 12.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 60 OF 2020
(Sau. Sangita S. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **11.4.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Prafulla Bodade, learned counsel holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 15.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 442 OF 2020
(Bhagwan S. Naik Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Vijay V. DEshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 13.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 496 OF 2020
(Mohd. Akif Abrar Mohd. Abdul Rauf Vs. State of
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 20.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 126 OF 2021
(Dadabhau N. Gawali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 15.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 277 OF 2021
(Shivaji N. Wagh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 4 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 15.6.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 804 OF 2021
(Vilas S. Rokade & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Madhur A. Golegaonkar, learned counsel for the applicants (**absent**). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 15.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 808 OF 2021
(Rohini N. Pashte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Rahul B. Temak, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. No rejoinder affidavit is filed on behalf of the applicant. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 16.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO. 611/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 2374/2019
(Rambhau A. Nikam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Second set of the present application is not filed. The applicant shall file the same on or before the next date.

3. S.O. to 10.6.2022 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents, as well as, for filing second set by the applicant.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 11.4.2022-HDD

**M.A. 146/20 WITH M.A.ST.418/20 IN O.A.ST.419/20
(Maharashtra Rajya Pashu Sawardhan Abhiyantriki
Karmachari Sanghatana Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Gaurav L. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 17.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO. 286/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO. 860/2021
(Pushpa S. Waghmode Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.H. Jadhavar, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 10.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 11.4.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 256 OF 2018
(Avinash P. Chandrao & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned counsel for the applicants (**absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. No affidavit in rejoinder is filed by the applicants. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 7.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**T.A.1/19 (W.P.11496/19) WITH CAVEAT 66/19 WITH
CAVEAT NOS. 68 & 69 BOTH OF 2019
(Sonali S. Varwade & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, S/Shri A.S. Deshmukh & Sandeep Munde, learned counsel for respective caveators in respective matters and Ms. Angha Pandit, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for respondent No. 19, are present.

2. S.O. to 15.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 271 OF 2019
(Avinash R. Kamble & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri H.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 9.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**O.A. 664/2021 WITH M.A. 77/2022
(Sanjay D. Gangawane & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O., S.O. to 29.4.2022.

High on board.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 32/2018
(Shahadeo S. Bangar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 15.6.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 115/2019
(Santosh B. Jadhav & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.P. Dhobale, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 15.6.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 586/2012
(Bharat M. Bhosale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **11.4.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sudhir Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The learned Counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 10.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

C.P. 13/2021 O.A. 797/2019
(Mah. Rajya Rekhachirtra Shakha Karmachari Sanghatana
Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Khedkar, learned Counsel for the applicant
(**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting
Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of learned Counsel for the
applicant, S.O. to 10.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

C.P. 36/2019 IN O.A. 229/2015

(Dr. Bhaskar S. Borgaonkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Counsel for the petitioner and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. When the present matter is taken up for consideration it is the contention of the learned Counsel for the petitioner that the order passed by the Tribunal has not been complied with, whereas the learned C.P.O. appearing for the respondents submits that the order has been complied with and even payment of difference has also been remitted in favour of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner attempted to bring to our notice the infirmities in compliance of the order by the respondents. In Contempt Petition the Tribunal is not expected to look into said aspects.

3. In the circumstances, we direct the present petitioner to prefer a detailed representation and if such representation is preferred the Regional Joint Commissioner of Animal Husbandry, Latur Region, Latur

::-2-::

C.P. 36/2019 IN O.A. 229/2015

shall decide the said representation within 2 weeks thereafter.

4. S.O. to 4.5.2022.

5. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned C.P.O.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

C.P. 37/2019 IN O.A. 230/2015
(Dr. Dilip R. Tandale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Counsel for the petitioner and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. When the present matter is taken up for consideration it is the contention of the learned Counsel for the petitioner that the order passed by the Tribunal has not been complied with, whereas the learned C.P.O. appearing for the respondents submits that the order has been complied with and even payment of difference has also been remitted in favour of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner attempted to bring to our notice the infirmities in compliance of the order by the respondents. In Contempt Petition the Tribunal is not expected to look into said aspects.

3. In the circumstances, we direct the present petitioner to prefer a detailed representation and if such representation is preferred the Regional Joint Commissioner of Animal Husbandry, Latur Region, Latur

::-2-::

C.P. 37/2019 IN O.A. 230/2015

shall decide the said representation within 2 weeks thereafter.

4. S.O. to 4.5.2022.

5. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned C.P.O.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.4.2022

M.A. 117/2022 IN O.A. 785/2021
(Ashok N. Godbole Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.M. Muneshwar learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that during pendency of Original Application the orders of promotions are issued and in such circumstances said facts need to be brought on record and relief has to be modified accordingly. In the circumstances, he prayed for allowing amendment as has been sought for.

3. The learned Presenting Officer submits for passing appropriate order.

4. Perused the application for amendment. It is noticed that the prayer, which has sought to be added in the O.A. is in consonance with the prayer already made in the O.A. and the contentions as sought to be added in the O.A. are regarding the events occurred after filing the O.A. In view of the same, we are inclined to allow the present M.A. Hence, the following order :-

::-2-::

M.A. 117/22 IN O.A. 785/21

ORDER

(i) The present M.A. is allowed in terms of prayer clause (A) thereof.

(ii) The applicant to carry out the proposed amendment in O.A. within a period of one week from the date of this order. Respondents may file affidavit in reply to the amended portion also in view of the fact that they have not yet filed affidavit in reply to the O.A.

(iii) O.A. to come on board on 6.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 134/2020
(Madhukar S. Diwanji Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sudhir Patil, learned counsel holding for Dr. Kalpalata Patil - Bharaswadkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Shri Sudhir Patil, learned counsel has tendered across the bar the communication received to Dr. Kalpalata Patil – Bharaswadkar, learned counsel for the applicant. It is contended that the Government has issued subsequent G.R. and in the circumstances the applicant does not intend to prosecute the present O.A. In view of the same, leave is sought to withdraw the present O.A. Hence, we pass the following order :-

ORDER

Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 338/2021
(Madhukar L. Dodake Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **11.4.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 18.4.2022. **High on Board.**

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 685/2019
(Shivaji R. Thakare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 9.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 156/2020
(Raju A. Ghodke & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.C. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. S.O. to 10.6.2022 for filing rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 308/2020
(Ranjeet T. Bhorje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ameya N. Sabnis, learned Counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents and Shri S.P. Dhople, learned Counsel for Shri Mahesh B. Ubale, learned counsel for respondent no. 3, are present.

2. S.O. to 8.6.2022 for filing rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)