# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 204 OF 2018 (Shri Shashikant D. Gunturkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

------

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE** : 10.07.2018.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.S. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 16.07.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 419 OF 2018 WITH CAVEAT ST. NO. 786/2018 (Shri Rajendra P. Bagade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE** : 10.07.2018.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.07.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A. No. 14/2018 in O.A. St. No. 1902/2017 (Shri Bhaskar H. Channe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE** : 10.07.2018.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P.H. Sukale, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.J. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.08.2018.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 704 OF 2017 (Shri Asman D. Garje & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 10.07.2018.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 13.08.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 331 OF 2018 (Shri Ranjitsing L. Rajput V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.....

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 10.07.2018.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 18.07.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 489 OF 2018 (Shri Shyamsundar S. Mhetre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 10.07.2018.

....

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.07.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 666, 667 & 668 ALL OF 2015 (Shri Madhukar B. Salunke & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 10.07.2018.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. (**Absent**). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents in all these O.As., present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to produce on record pension order along with order regarding revised commutation etc.
- 3. It is to be noted that the matter is pending for the said reason since 10.01.2018.
- 4. In the circumstances, as a last chance, S.O. to 06.08.2018, for filing documents on record, failing which the Tribunal would be constrained to impose heavy costs on the concerned respondents, if on that date the order would not be complied with.
- 5. Steno copy allowed to the learned P.O.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 944 OF 2016 (Shri Sunil V. Jagtap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 10.07.2018.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Chandrakant Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file short affidavit in view of the order passed by this Tribunal on 25.04.2018. Time granted.
- 3. At his request, S.O. to 13.08.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 214 OF 2017 (Shri Chetan V. Kangarkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 10.07.2018.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri N.P. Bangar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. At his request, S.O. to 21.08.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 228 OF 2017 (Shri Maroti S. Nilewad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

DATE: 10.07.2018.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri V.U. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant (Absent). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

- 2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time to comply with the earlier order.
- 3. It is to be noted that on 06.04.2018, last chance was granted, as earlier also adjournment was sought.
- 4. In the circumstances, in the interest of justice S.O. to 06.08.2018, for filing original record or to make statement on oath as directed by this Tribunal on 06.04.2018, failing which the Tribunal would be constrained to impose heavy costs upon the concerned respondents, if on that date the order would not be complied with.
- 5. Steno copy allowed to the learned P.O.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 387, 529 & 595 ALL OF 2017 (Shri Naresh G. Khardekar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 10.07.2018.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. and S/shri M.P. Gude, D.R. Patil & Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective O.As.

- 2. Both the sides submit that in view of taking corrective steps by the concerned respondent, noting survives in the present O.As.
- 3. In view thereof, all these O.As. are disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 770 OF 2017 (Shri Ayesha F. Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 10.07.2018.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has placed on record the orders passed by the concerned respondents, which would show that the present applicant is now compulsorily retired as punishment during the Departmental Enquiry. The said orders are taken on record and marked as document 'X' collectively for the purposes of identification.
- 3. The present Original Application, however is regarding deduction of subsistence allowances and therefore, the issue of earlier recovery as well as future recovery from the subsistence allowances would still survive.
- 4. In view of the absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 27.08.2018 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 78 OF 2018 (Shri Shivkumar V. Swami V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 10.07.2018.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. On instructions, the learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant does not wish to proceed with the present O.A. and wants to withdraw the same.
- 3. Withdrawal is allowed.
- 4. Accordingly, the Original Application is disposed of as withdrawn without any order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 120 OF 2018 (Shri Sunil B. Nikalje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 10.07.2018.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.07.2018.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 347 OF 2018 (Shri Anil Y. Thombre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 10.07.2018.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.07.2018.
- 4. The present matter be placed with O.A. No. 419/2018 with Caveat St. No. 786/2018.

VICE CHAIRMAN

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 307 OF 2018 (Shri Bhaskar K. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 10.07.2018.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri K.N. Farooque, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.L. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. It is to be noted that by the present Original Application, the applicant has sought the following reliefs:-
  - "(C) The Collector, Osmanabad, may kindly be directed to consider and decide the Appeal filed by the applicant U/s 12 of the Maharashtra Gramin Police Adhiniyam, 1967, within one month.
  - (D) The respondents may kindly be restrained to fill up the Post of Police Patil of village Hippargarao, Tq. Umerga, Dist. Osmanabad till the final disposal of the appeal pending before the Collector, Osmanabad."
- 4. It is to be noted that no interim relief was granted for restraining the respondents from appointing the Police Patil of village Hippargarao, Tq. Umerga, Dist. Osmanabad.
- 5. In the circumstances, the present Original Application can be disposed of with a direction to the

respondent No. 2 i.e. the Collector, Osmanabad to decide the appeal dated 18.04.2018, Annexure-'F', page No. 30, within the period of two months from the date of this order.

- Accordingly, the present Original Application is disposed of with the above direction without any order as to costs.
- 7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A. No. 123/2018 with M.A. St. No. 201/2018 in O.A. St. No. 165/2018 (Shri Bhabasaheb K. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 10.07.2018.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Shakeel Shaikh, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.D. Biradar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. By the present Misc. Application No. 123/2018, the applicant is seeking condonation of delay of 19 months caused in filing the accompanying O.A. before this Tribunal.
- 3. It is the case of applicants that time and again they approached to the respondent No. 3 praying not to take action of recovery of excess payment and therefore, delay is occurred.
- 4. When the O.A. is heard to find out as to how the prima-facie case is made out in the O.A., it is found that the recovery was made by the Education Officer, Zilla Parishad, Jalna, and as such, the O.A. being against the Zilla Parishad, would not be maintainable.
- 5. In the circumstances, the M.A. No. 123/2018 for condonation of delay is dismissed.

//2// M.A. 123/18 with M.A. St. 201/18 in O.A. St. 165/2018

O.A. St. No. 165/2018 is also stands dismissed being not maintainable without any order as to costs.

6. Since the M.A. No. 123/2018 and O.A. St. No. 165/2018 stood dismissed, nothing survives in M.A. St. No. 201/2018 for sue-jointly, the same is also dismissed.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A. No. 188/2017 in O.A. St. No. 495/2017 (Smt. Anjali G. Khedkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 10.07.2018.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.B. Kakde, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 to 5, are present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer, as well as, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 to 5 seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 27.08.2018.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. No. 406/2017 in O.A. St. No. 861/2017 (Shri Ajit H. Aagle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 10.07.2018.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri N.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 01.08.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A. No. 157/2018 with M.A. St. No. 598/2018 in O.A. St. No. 599/2018 (Shri Kashinath T. Soundalkar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 10.07.2018.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 08.08.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 119/2015

(Mohanchandra J. Panjol & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.7.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

None appears for the applicants. Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicants, S. O. to 24.7.2018 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 68/2015

(Purushottam N. Lambde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.7.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

None appears for the applicant. Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of applicant and his learned Advocate, S. O. to 24.7.2018 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A. 148/2018 IN O.A. 709/2017

(Ramesh M. Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.7.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

None appears for the applicant. Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 13.8.2018 for filing reply to the present M.A.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 187/2017 (Shabdashree T. Bhange V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.7.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri R.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Both the sides seek time to get the list of recommended candidates to find out as to how many meritorious N.T.-C category candidates are appointed from N.T.-C and or Open category and thereby availing the post to D.T.-A category either to male or female candidate by interchanging male or female as no D.T.-A candidate is recommended by the respondents. In the circumstances, S.O. to 30.7.2018 for above compliance.
- 3. Steno copy allowed for the use of both the sides.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 566/2017

(Rajendra G. Mane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.7.2018

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri M.R. Khutwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21.8.2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 34/2018 (Kishor B. K Khedkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.7.2018

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3, Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 4 & 5 and Shri B.N. Gadegaonkar, learned Advocate for respondent no. 6. None appears for respondent no. 7.

- 2. Learned P.O. files reply of res. nos. 1 & 2 and submits that presently reply of res. no. 3 is not necessary. Reply of res. nos. 1 & 2 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the other side.
- 3. It appears that the res. no. 7 is absent though duly served and no reply is filed by the said respondent. In the circumstances, matter to proceed without reply of res. no. 7.
- 4. Learned Advocate for res. nos. 4 & 5 and learned Advocate for res. no. 6 seeks time to file reply of respective respondents. At their request, S.O. to 13.8.2018 for reply of res. nos. 4, 5 and 6.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 185/2018 (Vasant S. Bagde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

·-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.7.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O., S.O. to 30.7.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 218, 224 and 258/2018 (Sonali D. Shirsat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.7.2018

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these matters.

- 2. Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate submits that he is under instructions to file intervention application in the present matters. He seeks time therefor.
- 3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply in these matters. She submits that due to heavy rainfall in Mumbai, there is no communication from the concerned respondents. In the circumstances, S.O. to 23.7.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 385 & 386/2018 (Kalyan T. Gade & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.7.2018

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the matters and Shri M.S. Mahajan & Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer & Presenting Officer for the respondents in respective matters.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. / P.O., S.O. to 6.8.2018 for filing reply.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 463/2013

(Digambar M. Pandit V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.7.2018

# **ORAL ORDER:**

None appears for the applicant. Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

- 2. Learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions on the line of order dtd. 11.6.2018. It is to be noted that on 3.7.2018 also time was asked for. In the interest of justice, S.O. to 30.7.2018 for making submissions on the line of order dtd. 11.6.2018 as a last chance.
- 3. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 570/2013 (Nitin K. Salunkhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 10.7.2018

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Sangita Nenwane, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply to the amended O.A. It is to be noted that the present O.A. is of the year 2013, however, in the interest of justice, S.O. to 7.8.2018 for filing reply to the amended O.A. as a last chance.
- 3. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 755 & 765/2013 (Sanjeevani Z. Dhindale & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.7.2018

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Anilkumar B. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the matters and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the matters.

2. Upon hearing both the sides, the learned Advocate for the applicants seeks time to take instructions and file necessary documents regarding date of appointment, regularization etc. of the applicants in the post of Krishi Sevek as fate of the present matters is totally depended thereon. In the circumstances, S.O. to 30.7.2018 for filing documents, if any, by the learned Advocate for the applicants and hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 65/2015

(Anant N. Parmarthi & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.7.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate has filed on record copies of judgments rendered by the principal seat of this Tribunal at Mumbai and Nagpur Bench. The same are taken on record and copies thereof are supplied to other side. In the circumstances, S.O. to 16.7.2018 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 560/2016 (Ashok B. Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

-----

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.7.2018

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Swapnil Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri H.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Shri Deshmukh, learned Advocate submits that Shri H.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant has some personal difficulty today. Learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions regarding decision, if any, taken by the concerned respondent in the D.E. for which time was sought on 11.6.2018. On the last date a last chance was granted for reporting the above compliance. In the circumstances, at the request of learned P.O., as a most last chance, S.O. to 24.7.2018 for reporting compliance on the above line.
- 3. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### **FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 588/2017

(Dr. Balasaheb R. Yadav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.7.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that yesterday only she has received a communication from the concerned respondent to the effect that copy of amended portion of the O.A. be sent to them for giving instructions to learned P.O. regarding the amendment. It is to be noted that the present O.A. in which issue of voluntary retirement is involved is pending since long. On the last date it was warned that in case no action is taken, the present O.A. would be heard finally. However, in the interest of justice, as a last chance, S.O. to 24.7.2018 either for taking corrective steps by the concerned respondent as per the order dtd. 21.3.2018 or filing short affidavit. It is further warned that, in case no action is taken, the concerned respondents may be saddled with heavy costs and O.A. would be finally heard.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 10-7-2018

#### **FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

#### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 961/2017 (Manoj J. Agale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 10.7.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Suvidh S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. on instructions from Dr. Learned P.O. Gawande Shyamkant Dattatraya, Dist. Malaria Officer, Jalna, who is present before the Tribunal, submits that original experience certificate and entire record regarding verification of the experience certificate of the present applicant would be made available before the Tribunal for perusal on the next date to show as to how the concerned respondents have come to the conclusion that the experience certificate of 90 days at Annex. A-2 page 22 purported to have issued by the then Dist. Malaria Officer, Jalna dtd. 4.5.1999 is wrong and how upon verification it is found that the applicant has experience of only 40 days, by filing short affidavit.
- At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 1.8.2018 3. for above compliance.
- 4. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

#### O.A.NO. 489/2017 WITH O.A.NO. 492/2017

[Shri Mahadu R. Munmane & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.07.2018.

- 1. Heard Ms. Sangeeta Nenwani, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A. No. 489/2017 & Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A. No. 492/2017 and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both these cases.
- 2. It appears that the M.P.S.C. is not taking any action on the line of the order dated 21.07.2017. Learned Presenting Officer makes a statement that many OAs and WPs are filed regarding the present process of selection. She however, fairly concedes that there is no interim relief against the process of selection.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 23<sup>rd</sup> July, 2018 for making a positive statement regarding declaration of result, failing which this Tribunal would be constrained to take coercive action as may be deemed fit.
- 4. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents to act on steno copy.

#### C.P.NO. 11/2017 IN O.A.NO. 918/2010

[Smt. Gayabai G. Pokale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.07.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Shri M.S. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
- 2. It is to note that the learned Presenting Officer is again making a statement, which was rejected by this Tribunal vide order dated 11.12.2017 and even bailable warrant was issued against the learned Collector, Shri Arun Dongre, with a condition that to release him on personal bond in sum of Rs. 10,000/-. However, only due to the earnest request of the learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 with a forewarning that in case no compliance is made by that time this Tribunal would be constrained to decide the contempt petition and / or to impose heavy costs personally on the learned Collector, Shri Arun Dongre.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 439 OF 2010

[Shri Dilip S. Karle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

: 10.07.2018. DATE

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- Heard Ms. Sangeeta Nenwani, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Advocate Ms. Sangeeta Nenwani holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment to take instructions It is to be noted that on from the applicant. 12.06.2018 itself the learned Presenting Officer has filed on record copy of the decision taken by the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 under which the O.A. of the applicant stood satisfied. However, unnecessarily adjournments are sought by the learned Advocate for the applicant. In the interest of justice, S.O. to 19th July, 2018 either for making statement by the applicant or for passing necessary orders.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### M.A.ST. 1016/2018 IN O.A. ST. NO. 1017/2018

[Shri Gokul S. Ingle & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM · JUSTICE M T JOSHI V C

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.07.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri H.S. Bali, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.
- 3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.
- 4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1017 OF 2018

[Shri Gokul S. Ingle & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

.....

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.07.2018.

- 1. Heard Shri H.S. Bali, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. It appears that the present applicants are seeking appointment to the post of Driver from supernumerary Van Majoor.
- 3. In that view of the matter, the present application is barred by limitation.
- 4. Upon registration of the present O.A., issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 4<sup>th</sup> September, 2018.
- 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal

#### :: - 2 - ::

#### O.A. ST. NO. 1017 OF 2018

(Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 9. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to the record.
- 10. S.O. to 4<sup>th</sup> September, 2018.
- 11. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1063 OF 2018

[Shri Kamlakar K. Phad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.07.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file application for condonation of delay. The said application may be filed within a period of one week from the date of this order.
- 3. After filing of the application for condonation of delay, the present O.A. may be circulated.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 488 OF 2018

[Shri Dattu B. Kolpe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.07.2018.

- 1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 7<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in

#### O.A. NO. 488 OF 2018

the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to the record.
- 8. S.O. to 7<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.
- 9. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 470 OF 2018

[Smt. Mahadabai G. Dhulekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.07.2018.

- 1. Heard Shri V.P. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant makes a statement that the comment of the concerned while taking decision respondents on the representation that respondent No. 4 and the present applicant had same educational qualification (Annexure 'A-3', page-20) is wrong. He submits that the applicant is more qualified than the respondent No. 4.
- 3. In the circumstances, with a forewarning that in case the aforesaid statement made by the applicant is found false, he would be liable for action as may be deemed fit according to law.
- 4. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks permission of this Tribunal to amend the present O.A.
- 5. Permission to amend the O.A. is hereby granted. The applicant shall amend the O.A.
- 6. After amendment, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 29th August, 2018.

#### O.A. NO. 470 OF 2018

- 7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 11. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to the record.
- 12. S.O. to 29<sup>th</sup> August, 2018 for making statement.
- 13. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 208 OF 2018

[Shri Dharma G. Marathe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE: 10.07.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- Heard Shri Vivek G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant, instructions of the applicant, submits that the applicant does not wish to proceed with the present Original Application and wants to withdraw the same.
- 3. Permission granted. Withdrawal is allowed. Accordingly, the present Original Application is disposed of as withdrawn without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 245 OF 2015

[Shri Jagannath H. Mhaske Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.07.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Ms. Sangeeta Nenwani, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24th July, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

C.P.NO. 13/2017 IN O.A.NO. 02/2017

[Shri Dattatraya K. Ubale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

COPAM · JUSTICE M T JOSHI V C

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.07.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23<sup>rd</sup> July, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 62 OF 2015

[Shri Manoj B. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.07.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri V.Y. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19th July, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### O.A.NOS. 837/2017 856/2017 916/2017 & O.A. 38/2018

[Shri Vishwanath A. Sonawane & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

----**,** -----

**DATE** : 10.07.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

- 1. Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande & Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Presenting Officers for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 in O.A. Nos. 837 & 916 both the 2017 and the same are taken on record and the copies thereof have been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply in O.A. No. 856/2017 & 38/2018. Time granted.
- 4. S.O. to 7<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

O.A.NOS. 278, 450, 444, 445, 446, 448, 447 & 449 ALL OF 2018

[Shri Uday V. Hande & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 10.07.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Swapnil A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officers for the respondents in all these cases.
- 2. Learned advocate for the applicants has filed Chart and the same is taken on record. It is pointed out that the cases of the similarly situated applicants in another OAs are placed on 13<sup>th</sup> August, 2018. Therefore, S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> August, 2018 along with similar cases.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN**