ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 340/2022 (Shri Nandkishor S. Chitlange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**DATE** : 10.11.2022

### **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 11.11.2022 for further consideration.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 404/2022 (Shri Menesh D. Nagargoje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**DATE** : 10.11.2022

### **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 15.11.2022 for further consideration.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 600/2022 (Dr. Rahimoddin Rasulsab Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri P.R. Tandale, learned counsel for respondent no. 4.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant and learned counsel for respondent no. 4, S.O. to 16.11.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 99/2018 (Shri Sanjay R. Patange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Arguments are heard. The matter stands adjourned for query or explanation from the parties before dictating the order.

3. S.O. to 16.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 40/2019 (Dr. Manisha R. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 5.12.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 88/2019 (Shri Kashinath G. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 18.11.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 358/2019 (Shri Prem H. Kagada Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**DATE** : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri J.M. Murkute, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

- 2. The learned counsel submits that though one more last chance was granted to file rejoinder affidavit, due to some reasons, the applicant could not file the same. He therefore prayed for further time for filing the rejoinder affidavit.
- 3. In the interest of justice further time is granted to the applicant to file the rejoinder affidavit. It is however made clear that if the applicant fails to file the rejoinder affidavit by the next date, the matter will proceed further without having on record the rejoinder affidavit.
- 4. S.O. to 7.12.2022.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 672/2019 (Shri Mahesh D. Tipale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri V.G. Salgare, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of absence of applicant and his learned counsel, S.O. to 7.12.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 563/2020 (Shri Rajendra V. Marale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**DATE** : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The learned counsel submits that though one more last chance was granted to file rejoinder affidavit, due to some reasons, the applicant could not file the same. She therefore prayed for further time for filing the rejoinder affidavit.

3. In the interest of justice further time is granted to the applicant to file the rejoinder affidavit. It is however made clear that if the applicant fails to file the rejoinder affidavit by the next date, the matter will proceed further without having on record the rejoinder affidavit.

4. S.O. to 7.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 568/2020 (Shri Shankar S. Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The learned counsel submits that though last chance was granted to file rejoinder affidavit, due to some reasons, the applicant could not file the same. He therefore prayed for further time for filing the rejoinder affidavit.

3. In the interest of justice one more last chance is granted to the applicant to file the rejoinder affidavit.

4. S.O. to 7.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 223/2022 (Shri Rahul V. Padvi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.S. Bayas, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing the affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 8.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 229/2022 (Shri Suresh K. Bharati Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.A. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The learned Presenting Officer submits that last chance was already granted to the respondents for filing the affidavit in reply, however, the same could not be filed. He therefore has sought further time to file the affidavit in reply of the respondents.

3. In the interest of justice one more last chance is granted to the respondents to file their affidavit in reply.

4. S.O. to 7.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 307/2022 (Shri Chandrabhan V. Veer Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The learned counsel has sought time for filing the rejoinder affidavit of the applicant. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 8.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 339/2022 (Shri Shahurao A. Kakde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The learned counsel has sought time for filing the rejoinder affidavit of the applicant. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 8.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 395/2022 (Shri Rajkumar V. Ugile Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities. Shri G.N. Patil, learned counsel for respondent no. 6 (absent).

2. S.O. to 8.12.2022 for filing the affidavit in reply by the respective respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 419/2022 (Dr. Bharat R. Sonwane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The learned PO has sought time for filing the affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 8.12.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 420/2022 (Dr. Rajashri B. Sonwane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The learned PO has sought time for filing the affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 8.12.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 640/2019 (Shri Pradeep M. Kaushike Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 16.11.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

O.A. NOS. 820, 821, 822, 823, 824, 825, 826, 827, 828, 829, 830, 831, 832, 837, 838, 839 AND 915 ALL OF 2918

(Shri Yogesh B. Sonawane & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**DATE** : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned counsel for the applicants in all these matters and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these matters.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 30.11.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 82/2020 (Shri Sharad M. Panchange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 1.12.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 580/2020 (Dr. Sunita N. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**DATE** : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 5.12.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 383/2021 (Shri Siddharth Y. Bhalerao Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.M. Hazare, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 12.12.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 590/2021 (Shri Rajendra N. Dhangare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Vinod P. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned counsel for respondent no. 5.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 7.12.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 677/2021 (Shri Bharatlal B. Navgire Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 1.12.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 501/2022 (Shri Jayvant B. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**DATE** : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Vilas Mande, learned counsel for respondent no. 3.

2. Shri Mande, learned counsel has tendered across the bar the affidavit in reply of respondent no. 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. The learned PO has sought time for filing the affidavit in reply of the respondent nos. 1 & 4. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 6.12.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 503/2022 (Shri Kailas R. Walekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

- 2. The learned PO has sought time for filing the affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 8.12.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 534/2022 (Shri Sominath D. dandge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The learned PO has tendered across the bar the affidavit in reply of respondent nos. 1 & 2. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. S.O. to 12.12.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any, by the applicant.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 538/2022 (Narendra L. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The learned counsel has sought time for filing the rejoinder affidavit of the applicant. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 25.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 572/2022 (Naseeb Khan Maheboob Khan Singal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.D. Munde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The learned PO has sought time for filing the affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 9.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 611/2022 (Sultana Begum Sk. Gafoor Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.B. Solanke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The learned PO has sought time for filing the affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 9.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 615/2022 (Kiran A. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**DATE** : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.B. Solanke, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The learned PO has sought time for filing the affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 9.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 622/2022 (Sharda M. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**DATE** : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The learned PO has sought time for filing the affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 9.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 712/2022 (Bapu S. Pathade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

- 2. The learned PO has sought time for filing the affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 9.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 713/2022 (Gorakh D. Pathade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

- 2. The learned PO has sought time for filing the affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 9.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 917/2022 (Santosh P. Madane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

None appears for the applicant. Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Await service.

3. S.O. to 13.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 929/2022 (Surekha V. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Harish Bali, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. Await service.
- 3. S.O. to 9.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 937/2022 (Bharat S. Khanderao Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**DATE** : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Await service.

3. S.O. to 13.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ARJ ORAL ORDER 10.11.2022

M.A. 437/2022 IN O.A. ST. 1552/2022 (Balaji G. Harale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri B.G. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Await service.

3. S.O. to 13.12.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ARJ ORAL ORDER 10.11.2022

MA 282/2022 IN M.A. 100/2018 IN OA ST. 398/2018 (Vitthal D. Savalsure Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**DATE** : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri D.T. Devane, learned counsel holding for MB Kolpe, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The learned PO has tendered across the bar the reply of respondent nos. 1 to 4. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. S.O. to 9.12.2022 for filing rejoinder, if any, by the applicant.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 538/2021 (Manohar K. Suryawanshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**DATE** : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri UP Giri, learned counsel for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for respondent no. 5, are present.

2. S.O. to 12.12.2022 for filing reply by the respondent no. 4 and also for filing rejoinder by the applicant to the reply of concerned respondents.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ARJ ORAL ORDER 10.11.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 89/2022 (Bhaskar Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri A.M. Hajare, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri DR Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 12.12.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDER 10.11.2022

C.P.NO.22 OF 2021 IN O.A.NO.947 OF 2018 (Bhagwat T. Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) WITH

C.P.NO.23 OF 2021 IN O.A.NO.432 OF 2018 (Ajabrao R. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
WITH

C.P.NO.24 OF 2021 IN O.A.NO.169 OF 2018 (Shankar D. Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these matters and Smt. Sunita D. Shelke, learned Advocate for the respondent No.3 in all these matters.

- 2. At the request made on behalf of the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply in all these matters.
- 3. S.O. to 12.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 360 OF 2019 (Dr. Atul A. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

### **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply.
- 3. S.O. to 13.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 575 OF 2019 (Dr. Sachin D. Shekde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Santosh C. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicants (**absent**). Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply.
- 3. S.O. to 13.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

O.A. NO. 612 OF 2019 WITH Caveat 53 OF 2019 (Harshal M. Nagare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri Yogesh B. Bolkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3.
- 3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any.
- 4. S.O. to 14.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1010 OF 2019 (Yusufkhan Gulsherkhan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri M.R. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 4.
- 3. S.O. to 14.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 467 OF 2020 (Krishna T. Wagh & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

### **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply.
- 3. S.O. to 14.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

O.A.NOS.70,71,72,73,74,75 & 76 ALL OF 2021 (Vitthal R. Thombre & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these matters, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.3 & 4 in O.A.Nos.70 and 71 of 2021 and Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent No.4 in O.A.No.76/2021. Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the respondent No.3 in O.A.Nos.73, 74 and 75 all of 2021 has filed a **leave note**.

- 2. At the request of learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply in O.A.No.72, 73, 74 and 75 all of 2021 on behalf of respective respondents.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the respondent No.4 in O.A.No.76/2021 also seeks time for filing affidavit-in-reply. Time is granted as a last chance.
- 4. S.O. to 20.12.2022.

#### MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 126 OF 2021 (Dadabhau N. Gawali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply.
- 3. S.O. to 15.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 67 OF 2022 (Gopinath J. Dhanwade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Amol S. Gandhi, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Heard Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply.
- 3. S.O. to 16.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 84 OF 2022 (Bapu V. Vetal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

### **ORAL ORDER**:

Shri A.P. Avhad, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply.
- 3. S.O. to 19.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 156 OF 2022 (Dr. Megha D. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 10.11.2022

### **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit in reply.
- 3. S.O. to 19.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 204 OF 2022 (Ganesh S. Bangale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.B. Kakde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.
- 3. S.O. to 19.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 225 OF 2022 (Sanjaykumar N.Deshatwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is only filed on behalf of the respondent No.2.
- 3. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of remaining respondents.
- 4. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder to the affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent No.2.
- 5. S.O. to 02.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 264 OF 2022 (Ganesh P. Jagtap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit in rejoinder.
- 3. S.O. to 20.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 458 OF 2022 (Dr. Suresh R. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

11011 ble bill bijay 11amai, membe

ORAL ORDER:

: 10.11.2022

DATE

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 3. Smt. Yogita S. Thorat, learned Advocate for the respondent No.4 (absent).

- 2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.
- 3. S.O. to 20.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 508 OF 2022 (Mangesh D. Auti Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 10.11.2022

# **ORAL ORDER**:

Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 533 OF 2022 (Sunil N. Jahagirdar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 20.12.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 535 OF 2022 (Adikrao S. Mane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of the learned for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.
- 3. S.O. to 20.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 543 OF 2022 (Mohan N. Sawant Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service.
- 3. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 725 OF 2022 (Kishor A. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.S. Khivansara, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice on the respondent Nos.1 & 2.
- 3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 30.11.2022 for taking necessary steps.
- 4. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 928 OF 2022 (Bhagwat L. Lagad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri S.M. Shegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service.
- 3. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.394 /2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1674 /2019 (Bhaskar K. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 10.11.2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply in M.A.
- 3. S.O. to 19.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.449 /2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1490 /2019 (Sunil M. Lagad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.P. Sonpethkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.
- 3. S.O. to 09.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.521/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1930/ 2019 (Prashant P. Sonagraa Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

None present on behalf of the applicant.

Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice on the respondent No.3.
- 3. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos.1 & 2.
- 4. S.O. to 07.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.561 /2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.2250 /2019 (Ratish R. Manurkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.
- 3. S.O. to 08.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.90/2020 IN O.A.NO.1067/2019 (Prakash S. Pawara Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri Dhananjay Mane, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Heard Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply in M.A.
- 3. S.O. to 12.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.125/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 120 OF 2022 (Gunaji D. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri V.S. Bhale, learned Advocate for the applicant (absent). Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 4.
- 3. S.O. to 13.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.15 OF 2014 (Bipin P. Sonar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

### **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 10.01.2023 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.807 OF 2016 (Madhukar N. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 3 and Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent No.2.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 13.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.762 OF 2017 (Manoranjan R. Dhas Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

None present on behalf of the applicant.

Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 15.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

T.A. NO.03/2018 IN W.P.NO.9260 OF 2018 (Mangesh R. Datar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

### **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 14.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

T.A. NO.09/2018 IN W.P.NO.11503 OF 2018 (Ashish S. Shejwal & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 14.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.185 OF 2018 (Vasant S. Bagade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 10.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

None present on behalf of the applicant.

Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 15.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.731 OF 2018 (Ramesh J. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 13.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.667 OF 2018 (Gajanan P. Khot & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 16.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.198 OF 2019 (Achyut R.Kulkarni & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2, 4 & 5.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 15.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.659 OF 2019 (Vijay M. Suralkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER**:

Shri Ram S. Shide (Boralkar), learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 16.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.755 OF 2019 (Dr. Prashant N. Suryawanshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

DATE: 09.11.2022
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 975 OF 2022
(Dattatrya D. Sonune Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

## <u>Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble</u> <u>Chairperson, M.A.T., Mumbai-</u>

- 1. Shri A.R. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
- 2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on **19.12.2022**. The case be listed for admission hearing on **19.12.2022**.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

DATE: 09.11.2022
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 978 OF 2022
(Ganesh J. Kharadkar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson, M.A.T., Mumbai-

- 1. Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
- 2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on **16.12.2022**. The case be listed for admission hearing on **16.12.2022**.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

DATE: 09.11.2022
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 977 OF 2022
(Sheshrao K. Chabukswar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

## <u>Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble</u> Chairperson, M.A.T., Mumbai-

- 1. Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
- 2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on **16.12.2022**. The case be listed for admission hearing on **16.12.2022**.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

C.P. No. 21/2022 in O.A. No. 51/2018 (Devid S. Ganthur & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. Await service of notice on the respondents.
- 4. S.O. to 07.12.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

C.P. No. 23/2022 in O.A. No. 683/2019 (Shivaji M. Gantewad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned C.P.O. waives notice for respondent No. 3.
- 3. At the request of learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 4. S.O. to 07.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

C.P. No. 24/2022 in O.A. No. 902/2019 (Shivaji M. Ghorat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned C.P.O. waives notice for respondent No. 3.
- 3. At the request of learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 4. S.O. to 07.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 313/2020 in O.A. No. 246/2020 (Vinod V. Jaware Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

## CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. The present Misc. Application is made seeking to intervene in O.A. No. 246/2020 filed by the respondent No. 4 herein i.e. the Dattatraya M. Devkate. The said O.A. is filed challenging the seniority list dated 01.01.2020 (Annexure A-3) published on 10.06.2020 by the respondent No. 3 i.e. the Chief Conservator of Forest, Nashik Circle, Nashik. The applicant is placed at Sr. No. 188 in the said seniority list, which is under challenge. It is the contention of the applicant that he is entitled for seniority from the date of his appointment i.e. 29.03.2006.
- 2. The applicant / intervenor submitted that he is at Sr. No. 152 in the said seniority list and is likely to be affected if adverse order is passed in the O.A.
- 3. Heard Shri S.Y. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant in the present M.A. / intervenor, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for

the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 / applicant in O.A.

- 4. Upon perusal of the O.A., it appears that the applicant is seeking seniority as per his date of appointment i.e. 29.03.2006. In view of that he is claiming his seniority immediately after Sr. No. 96 whose date of appointment is of 20.03.2006, whereas the date of appointment of Sr. No. 97 is dated 04.04.2006. In view of the same, the applicant / intervenor is likely to be affected, if the order in O.A. is passed in favour of the applicant. Hence, it would be just and proper to allow the present applicant / intervenor to intervene in the O.A.
- 5. In view of above, the M.A. stands disposed of and the applicant / intervenor is allowed to intervene in the O.A. There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 314/2020 in O.A. No. 238/2020 (Pankaj M. Deware Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

## CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. The present Misc. Application is made seeking to intervene in O.A. No. 238/2020 filed by the respondent No. 4 herein i.e. the Balu S. Sumbe. The said O.A. is filed challenging the seniority list dated 01.01.2020 (Annexure A-4) published on 10.06.2020 by the respondent No. 3 i.e. the Chief Conservator of Forest, Nashik Circle, Nashik. The applicant is placed at Sr. No. 214 in the said seniority list, which is under challenge. It is the contention of the applicant that he is entitled for seniority from the date of his appointment i.e. 03.04.2006.
- 2. The applicant / intervenor submitted that he is at Sr. No. 133 in the said seniority list and is likely to be affected if adverse order is passed in the O.A.
- 3. Heard Shri S.Y. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant in the present M.A. / intervenor, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for

the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 / applicant in O.A.

- 4. Upon perusal of the O.A., it appears that the applicant is seeking seniority as per his date of appointment i.e. 03.04.2006. In view of that he is claiming his seniority immediately after Sr. No. 96 whose date of appointment is of 20.03.2006, whereas the date of appointment of Sr. No. 97 is dated 04.04.2006. In view of the same, the applicant / intervenor is likely to be affected, if the order in O.A. is passed in favour of the applicant. Hence, it would be just and proper to allow the present applicant / intervenor to intervene in the O.A.
- 5. In view of above, the M.A. stands disposed of and the applicant / intervenor is allowed to intervene in the O.A. There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 315/2020 in O.A. No. 239/2020 (Sachin A. Gangarde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

## CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. The present Misc. Application is made seeking to intervene in O.A. No. 239/2020 filed by the respondent No. 4 herein i.e. the Rahul Anil Tarwade. The said O.A. is filed challenging the seniority list dated 01.01.2020 (Annexure A-4) published on 10.06.2020 by the respondent No. 3 i.e. the Chief Conservator of Forest, Nashik Circle, Nashik. The applicant is placed at Sr. No. 291 in the said seniority list, which is under challenge. It is the contention of the applicant that he is entitled for seniority from the date of his appointment i.e. 29.07.2006.
- 2. The applicant / intervenor submitted that he is at Sr. No. 147 in the said seniority list and is likely to be affected if adverse order is passed in the O.A.
- 3. Heard Shri S.Y. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant in the present M.A. / intervenor, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for

the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 / applicant in O.A.

- 4. Upon perusal of the O.A., it appears that the applicant is seeking seniority as per his date of appointment i.e. 29.07.2006. In view of that he is claiming his seniority immediately after Sr. No. 99 whose date of appointment is of 27.04.2006, whereas the date of appointment of Sr. No. 101 is dated 01.10.2006. In view of the same, the applicant / intervenor is likely to be affected, if the order in O.A. is passed in favour of the applicant. Hence, it would be just and proper to allow the present applicant / intervenor to intervene in the O.A.
- 5. In view of above, the M.A. stands disposed of and the applicant / intervenor is allowed to intervene in the O.A. There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

O.A. Nos. 246/2020, 238/2020, 239/2020, 242/2020, 243/2020, 244/2020, 245/2020, 248/2020 & 249/2020 (Dattatraya M. Devkate & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As., Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 in all these O.As. and Shri S.Y. Shinde, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 to 7 in all these O.As.

2. S.O. to 08.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 759 OF 2022 (Manisha A. Rashinkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri O.D. Mane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
- 3. Today, learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 4. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.
- 5. Considering the nature of proceedings, it appears that the interim relief sought for by the applicant requires consideration of matter in its entirety. In view of the same, the present O.A. is fixed for final hearing on 02.12.2022.

C.P. No. 27/2019 in O.A. No. 260/2017 (Bhagwat D. Bedke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is closed for orders.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

C.P. No. 01/2020 in O.A. No. 824/2016 (Dr. Asha A. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 05.01.2023. for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 313 OF 2019 (Dr. Mahendra A. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri C.C. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 08.12.2022 as a last chance for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J) KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 409 OF 2019 (Hanumantrao B. Bhongale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.B. Gorde, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 08.12.2022 as a last chance for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

MEMBER (J)

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 843 OF 2019 (Kailas V. Aghav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri I.G. Durani, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice upon the respondent Nos. 4 to 7.
- 3. At the request of learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.
- 4. S.O. to 09.12.2022.

MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 857 OF 2019 (Bibhishan R. Chole Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant (Absent). Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 09.12.2022 as a last chance for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 947 OF 2019 (Dr. Anil R. Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 09.12.2022 as a last chance for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 09 OF 2020 (Dr. Anita R. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

non bic onti bijay Rumai, member

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 09.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 116 OF 2020 (Shaikh Akhtar Hussain Mohd. Hanif Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted as a last chance for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.
- 3. S.O. to 12.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 442 OF 2020 (Bhagwan S. Naik Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri V.V. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice upon the respondents.
- 3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 12.12.2022.

MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 540 OF 2020 (Shaikh Akbar Shaikh Rasul Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.12.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 119 OF 2021 (Sudhakar B. Aandhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri A.M. Gholap, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 12.12.2022 as a last chance for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 482 OF 2021 (Kishor U. Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri H.P. Randhir, learned Advocate for the applicant (Absent). Heard Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Smt. Sunita D. Shelke, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5, **absent**.

- 2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.
- 3. S.O. to 13.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 598 OF 2021 (Breamhdev M. Latpate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 13.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 636 OF 2021 (Vitthal S. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 09.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 770 OF 2021 (Gangaram S. Bele Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.S. Londhe, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 12.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 82 OF 2022 (Dattaram U. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 14.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 86 OF 2022 (Ranjit D. Shingare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 14.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 198 OF 2022 (Sachin H. Bidkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri R.B. Ade, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 14.12.2022 as a last chance for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 212 OF 2022 (Shantilal M. More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.
- 3. S.O. to 14.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 387 OF 2022 (Ashwini S. Jadhav & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.S. Janephalkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned C.P.O. filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 15.12.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 393 OF 2022 (Deepak V. Chatap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 15.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 418 OF 2022 (Ramhari S. Khade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 15.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 441 OF 2022 (Gajanan A. Taralkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri P.S. Anerao, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 16.12.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 515 OF 2022 (Sachin V. Hingole & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 16.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 568 OF 2022

(Shivkanta J. Honwadajkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri B.G. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 16.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 569 OF 2022 (Aniruddha N. Jondhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri B.G. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 16.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 700 OF 2022 (Sujay S. Gaydhane & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri R.R. Karpe, learned Advocate for the applicant (absent). Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2. Same is taken on record.
- 3. S.O. to 16.12.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 710 OF 2022 (Dharmraj L. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned C.P.O. filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 4 & 6. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 19.12.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (A)

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 711 OF 2022 (Nandu B. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned C.P.O. filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 4 & 6. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 19.12.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 812 OF 2022 (Rashtra Seva Arogya Karmachari Sangathana Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P.D. Jarare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 19.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 883 OF 2022 (Ramchandra S. Pathak Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.L. Bhapkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice upon the respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 19.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 905 OF 2022 (Dr. Ansari Shenaz Begum Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

# **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice upon the respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 20.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 906 OF 2022 (Dr. Ratnakar D. Tandale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice upon the respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 21.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

M.A. No. 99/2020 in O.A. St. No. 283/2020 (Swati S. Maknikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri R.D. Biradar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
- 3. S.O. to 07.12.2022.

MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)

M.A. 146/2020 in M.A. St. 418/2020 in O.A. St. 419/2020 (Maharashtra Rajya Pashu Sawardhan Abhiyantriki Karmachari Sanghatana Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri G.L. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
- 3. S.O. to 07.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

M.A. No. 202/2020 in O.A. St. No. 443/2020 (Rama L. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
- 3. S.O. to 07.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

M.A. No. 304/2020 in O.A. St. No. 1095/2020 (Abhay A. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Priyanka Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
- 3. S.O. to 08.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 01/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1443/2020 (Ratnabai G. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
- 3. S.O. to 08.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 57/2021 in O.A. St. No. 118/2021 (Bhalchandra S. Waghule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
- 3. S.O. to 08.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 239/2021 in O.A. St. No. 366/2021 (Nandu D. Bhalerao Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Sajitkhan M. Pathan, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice upon the respondents.
- 3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 09.12.2022.

MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J) KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

M.A. No. 315/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1338/2021 (Chandrashekhar S. Iyer Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
- 3. S.O. to 09.12.2022.

MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 324/2021 in O.A. St. No. 947/2021 (Dr. Sudam H. Mogle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
- 3. S.O. to 12.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

M.A. No. 09/2022 in O.A. St. No. 1541/2021 (Laxmi B. Taji Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice upon the respondents.
- 3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.12.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

M.A. No. 16/2022 in O.A. St. No. 1505/2021 (Ramesh R. Kulthe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.12.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 56/2022 in O.A. No. 405/2021 (Dinesh N. Karande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 14.12.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any in M.A.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

M.A. No. 131/2022 in O.A. St. No. 528/2022 (Sitaram V. Inkare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.

MEMBER (J)

3. S.O. to 14.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

M.A. No. 306/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1305/2021 (Kiransingh A. Pal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 & 4.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 20.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 412/2019 in O.A. No. 705/2019 (Suresh S. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

# **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri A.M. Hajare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 08.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

M.A. No. 198/2020 in O.A. St. No. 667/2020 (Pratibha G. Ahire Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

# **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri A.M. Hajare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 08.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

M.A. No. 53/2019 in O.A. St. No. 185/2019 (Ashok B. Amrutkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 4 and Shri S.S. Chillarge, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 08.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 62/2021 in O.A. No. 461/2020 (The Chief Engineer & Ors. Vs. Shaikh Rahim Ameeroddin & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the applicants in the present M.A. / respondent authorities in O.A., Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for respondents in the present M.A. / applicant in O.A. and Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4 in O.A.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 09.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 328/2021 in O.A. No. 42/2013 (Ranapratapsinh N. Chauhan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri M.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 12.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

M.A. No. 212/2022 in O.A. No. 187/2020 (Basanti G. Padvi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.A. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**), Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 12.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 164 OF 2020 (Hemant M. Chhhajed Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

# **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri A.M. Hajare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 14.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1066 OF 2019 (Vasant A. Manorkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 & 4.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 20.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 670 OF 2019 (Kaushalya B. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri A.S. Tilve, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 20.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 807 OF 2021 (Sahebrao J. Ghadge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 401 OF 2022 (Kirti M. Nagargoje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

non die Sini bijay Kumai, membei

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 23.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

M.A. 109/2018 IN O.A. ST. 408/2018
M.A. 110/2018 IN O.A. ST. 410/2018
M.A. 111/2018 IN O.A. ST. 412/2018
M.A. 269/2018 IN O.A. ST. 1036/2018
M.A. 270/2018 IN O.A. ST. 1034/2018
(Punjaram N. Wathore & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and S/shri S.K. Shirse, V.R. Bhumkar, I.S. Thorat, M.P. Gude & N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective cases.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 08.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 109 OF 2019 (Sonali B. Sayamber Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 16.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 554 OF 2019 (Ashok V. Gade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Pawar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 16.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

O.A. Nos. 268/2017, 269/2017, 270/2017 & 271/2017 (Vishal W. Machave & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these O.As.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 09.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 633 OF 2017

(Dr. Shivshankar C. Harlayya Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**<u>CORAM</u>**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Amol Gandhi, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 09.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 663 OF 2017 (Subhash M. Pakhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 09.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 664 OF 2017 (Shaikh Kalim Sk. Mannu Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 08.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 743 OF 2017 (Subhash A. Dhokane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 08.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 824 OF 2017 (Jeevan B. Sutar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

# **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 20.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 922 OF 2017 (Pandurang R. Chinchale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 12.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 76 OF 2018

(Purushottam S. Andhale & ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Shritej Surve, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2, Shri Y.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R. Sirsath, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 7 to 9 and Shri L.M. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 to 6.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 7 to 9, S.O. to 12.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

O.A. Nos. 212/2018, 214/2018 & 215/2018 (Ashok G. Labde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and S/shri B.S. Deokar, I.S. Thorat and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective cases.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 471 OF 2018 (Raosaheb K. Pangre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

# **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 12.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 696 OF 2018 (Sandeep O. Chetti Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 13.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 721 OF 2018 (Bhagwan L. Sangle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 13.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 773 OF 2018 (Shivaji B. Satote Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 13.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

O.A. No. 805/2018 with O.A. NO. 806/2018 (Nagorao V. Karbhari & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri N.R. Thorat, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the O.As., Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the O.As., Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 in O.A. No. 806/2018 and Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4, 5 & 7.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 13.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

O.A. No. 829/2018 with O.A. No. 96/2020 (Kiran P. Prabhakar Kolte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant in both the O.As. and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 874 OF 2018 (Shashikant S. Yadav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

# **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri A.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 14.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 937 OF 2018 (Pradip S. Dahale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 07.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 941 OF 2018 (Dr. Neeta K. Hatkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

# **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 20.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 531 OF 2019 (Nagnath V. Hatkar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

# **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 15.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 537 OF 2019 (Narendra R. Thakur Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 15.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

M.A. No. 230/2020 in O.A. No. 325/2020 (Sandip B. Thakur Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 09.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 515 OF 2020 (Aniket D. Rakh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Swaraj Tandale, learned Advocate holding for Shri B.R. Kedar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 16.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 220 OF 2021 (Sayyed Shoukatali Sabirali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 09.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri H.M. Shaikh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 16.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)
KPB ORAL ORDERS 09.11.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.685/2022 (Nagesh Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.R.Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 14-11-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDERS 10-11-2022

O.A.NO.664/2021 WITH M.A.NO.77/2022 (Sanjay Gangawane & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Arguments are heard. At the request of both sides, S.O. to 16-11-2022 for filing written synopsis of arguments by both the parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDERS 10-11-2022

C.P.NO.46/2018 IN O.A.NO.207/2015 (Balika Tawshikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Shaikh Mazhar A. Jahagirdar, learned Advocate for the applicant. Shri Jiwan J. Patil, learned Special Counsel for respondent nos.1 and 2 is **absent**.

2. S.O. to 25-11-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

C.P.NO.50/2019 IN O.A.NO.242/2017 (Mohan Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) C.P.NO.51/2019 IN O.A.NO.648/2017 (Bhaskar Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) C.P.NO.52/2019 IN O.A.NO.878/2016 (Nitinkumar Adhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

<u>DATE</u> : 10-11-2022 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no.1 in C.P.No.50/19 and for respondent nos.1 and 2 in other C.Ps. and Smt. Sunita D. Shelke, learned Advocate for respondent nos.2 and 3 in C.P.No.50/19 and for respondent nos.3 & 4 in other C.Ps.

- 2. Learned P.O. placed on record communication dated 03-11-2022 received by the Office of CPO from respondent no.3 along with copy of another communication dated 03-11-2022 addressed to the Government Advocate Office in Supreme Court of India for filing SLP. Copies of communications are taken on record and marked as "X" collectively for identification.
- 3. In view of above, S.O. to 16-12-2022.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.859/2019 (Kalpesh Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri P.S.Anerao, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 28-11-2022. Part heard.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.271/2020 (Siddharth Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 28-11-2022. Part heard.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

T.A.NO.02/2012 IN W.P.NO.9902/2011 (Radha Choure Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri A.L.Tikle, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 28-11-2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.825/2016, 864/2016, 865/2016, 866/2016 & 867/2016 (Prakash A. Gaikwad & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri V.B.Jogdand Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants is **absent**.

Heard Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri H.A.Joshi, learned Advocate for respondent no.7 to 9 (O.A.No.825/16), Shri Pradeep Patil, learned Advocate for respondent no.5 (O.A.No.864/16), Shri Satvajit Bora, learned Counsel for respondent no.5 (O.A.No.865, 866 & 867 all of 2016).

2. S.O. to 15-11-2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.876/2016 (Anuradha Gavane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri I.D.Maniyar, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.S.Bhosle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both sides, S.O. to 18-11-2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.914/2016 (Ravi Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri I.D.Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both sides, S.O. to 16-11-2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.37/2017 (Balasheb Raut & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri S.S.Dambe, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 28-11-2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.190/2017 (Dattatraya Zombade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both sides, S.O. to 17-11-2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.66/2018 (Dnyaneshwar Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Advocate with Shri Kuldeep S. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both sides, S.O. to 21-11-2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.618/2018 (Sharad Raut Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both sides, S.O. to 21-11-2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.716/2018, 634/2018, 635/2018 & 636/2018 (Atul Shirke & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both sides, S.O. to 16-11-2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.25/2019 (Dnyaneshwar Kale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri R.N.Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both sides, S.O. to 21-11-2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.337/2019 (Namdev Londhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Counsel holding for Shri O.Y.Kashid, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both sides, S.O. to 18-11-2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.377/2019, 378/2019, 379/2019, 281/2019, 382/2019, 565/2019 630/2019, 660/2019, 661/2019, 988/2019, 108/2020, 109/2020 & 656/2022 (Somnath Nande & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri I.D.Maniyar, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S.Panpatte, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri R.C.Brahmankar, learned for the applicants in respective cases and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both sides, S.O. to 23-11-2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.492/2019 (Pritam Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both sides, S.O. to 23-11-2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.768/2019 (Balasaheb T. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both sides, S.O. to 21-11-2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.950/2019 (Jayshri Thakur Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri M.S.Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both sides, S.O. to 22-11-2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.223/2020 (Divya Nandi & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.S.Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both sides, S.O. to 18-11-2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A.ST.NO.664/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.665/2022 (Reshma Kamble & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri S.S.Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both sides, S.O. to 18-11-2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.355/2020 (Chandan Kokani Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both sides, S.O. to 22-11-2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.141/2021 IN O.A.NO.295/2019 WITH C.P.NO.03/2021

(State of Maharashtra & Ors. V/s. Maharashtra Rajya Hangami Hivtap Prayogshala Karmachari Sanghatana)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Ku. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicants in O.A., Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents (applicants in M.A.), Shri Vinod P. Patil, learned Advocate for respondents.

2. By consent of both sides, S.O. to 24-11-2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.398/2021 (Vasant Medhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities. Shri S.D.Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent no.2 has filed **leave note** on record.

2. By consent of both sides, S.O. to 24-11-2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.702/2021 (Vivekanand Dhongade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri A.S.Khedkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both sides, S.O. to 28-11-2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

Review Application No.01/2022 in O.A.No.384/2019 (Vinayak Kapse & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

### **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.B.Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 17-11-2022 for necessary order.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

Review Application No.04/2022 in O.A.No.108/2019 (Krishna Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Ku. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 24-11-2022 for necessary order.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.215/2022 (Salim Mohd Hanif Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri U.L.Momale, learned Advocate for respondent no.4 to 20 & 22 to 24 in O.A.No.215/2022.

2. By consent of both sides, S.O. to 22-11-2022 for re-hearing. Interim relief granted earlier to continue.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.222/2022 (Annasaheb Shinde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Jiwan J. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri P.R.Katneshwarkar, learned Special Counsel for the respondents is **absent**.

2. S.O. to 29-11-2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.261/2022 WITH M.A.NO.257/2022 IN O.A.NO.447/2022

(Dr. Pratap Shinde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10-11-2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri R.R.Kale, learned Advocate for the applicants in M.A.No.261/2022 & 257/2022 (absent), Shri S.G.Chapalgaonkar, learned Advocate for applicants in O.A.No.447/2022 (absent).

Heard Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 29-11-2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1007 OF 2019 (Mustafa D. Khonde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

### **ORAL ORDER**:

Shri K.R. Doke, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 01.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 646 OF 2019 (Manoj N. Pande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P.A. Rathod, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.R. Rathod, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4, 5 & 7. Shri V.M. Mane, learned Advocate for respondent No. 6, **absent**.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 468 OF 2022 (Smita S. Ingle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O., S.O. to 16.11.2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 300 OF 2015 (Santosh P. Namdas Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 18.11.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 990 OF 2022 (Archana T. Tribhuvan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

<u>DATE</u> : 10.11.2022 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate holding for Shri B.T. Bodkhe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 19.12.2022.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 19.12.2022.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

#### MEMBER (A)

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 988 OF 2022 (Dr. Nitinkumar B. Shah Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

<u>DATE</u> : 10.11.2022 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 20.12.2022.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 20.12.2022.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 758 OF 2017 (Dadarao D. Borade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant placed on record pursis stating that the applicant has informed him on cellphone that he has engaged another Advocate. Same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.
- 3. Second set not filed.
- 4. S.O. to 09.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 940 OF 2022 (Kamlakar S. Aandhale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The present Original Application filed challenging the impugned communication dated 03.10.2022 (Annexure-A) issued by the respondent No. 1 to the extent of clause B and C, whereby criminal prosecution was directed to be initiated in respect of issuance of bogus certificates to temporary Seasonal Spray Workers.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicants on instructions on behalf of the applicants seeks permission to withdraw the present O.A.
- 4. The withdrawal is unconditional. Hence, we have no reason to refuse the permission. In view of the same, permission to withdraw the O.A. granted. The O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 700 OF 2021 (Somnath S. Reddy Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for respondent No. 7.

- 2. Record shows that all the respondents have served notices. The affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 4 & 7. In spite of grant of opportunities, the other respondents have not filed affidavit in reply.
- 3. In view of above, S.O. to 07.12.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 797 OF 2021 (Ranjana D. Jaybhaye Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.
- 3. S.O. to 15.12.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 410 OF 2019 (Santosh R. Jagdale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 05.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 729 OF 2018 (Satish N. Shrimangle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P.D. Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 15.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 461 OF 2018 (Pravin M. Houle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 16.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

O.A. No. 892/2018 with O.A. No. 901/2018 (Dhananjay D. Chandodkar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the O.As. and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the O.As.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit in both the O.As.
- 3. S.O. to 12.12.2022. Interim relief granted earlier in O.A. No. 892/2018 to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 494 OF 2022 (Balu D. Kurhade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, the affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondents.
- 3. In the interest of justice, one more last chance is granted to the respondents for filing affidavit in reply subject to payment of costs of Rs. 1000/- (Rs. One Thousand Only). The amount of costs shall be deposited in the registry of this Tribunal.
- 4. S.O. to 19.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 495 OF 2022 (Datta S. Yelake Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, the affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondents.
- 3. In the interest of justice, one more last chance is granted to the respondents for filing affidavit in reply subject to payment of costs of Rs. 1000/- (Rs. One Thousand Only). The amount of costs shall be deposited in the registry of this Tribunal.
- 4. S.O. to 19.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 694 OF 2018 (Somnath S. Reddy Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 5 & 6. Shri M.S. Sonawane, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, **absent**.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 07.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

O.A. Nos. 86/2016, 89/2016, 92/2016, 93/2016, 148/2016, 150/2016, 90/2016, 226/2015 & 149/2016 (Bankat N. Ghule & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As., Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these O.As. and Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4 in all these O.As.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 06.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 803 OF 2021

(Parmeshwar D. Bellale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri M.L. Paithane, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.A. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 15.12.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 804 OF 2021 (Vilas S. Rokade & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri M.L. Paithane, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.A. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 15.12.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 197 OF 2022 (Abhijit V. Bharane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri G.K. Muneshwar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.
- 3. S.O. to 16.12.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 388 OF 2022 (Sunil P. Jaybhaye Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 20.12.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 35/2018 in O.A. St. No. 97/2018 (Nilesh R. Tagad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.12.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

O.A. Nos. 716, 717, 718 & 719 all of 2021 (Meena V. Shinde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

: 10.11.2022

ORAL ORDER:

DATE

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these O.As.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 02.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 143 OF 2021 (Rahul Devidas Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant placed on record withdrawal pursis stating that the applicant has been reinstated in service. Same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification. In view of the same, nothing remains to be decided in the present O.A. Hence, permission to withdraw the O.A. is granted. Accordingly, the O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 538 OF 2020 (Sachin S. Pardeshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**<u>CORAM</u>**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 10.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri J.S. Gangawane, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 10.01.2023 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 762 OF 2018

(Sahebrao D. Deshmukh & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The present matter is to be treated as part heard.
- 3. S.O. to 15.11.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

O.A. No. 96/2021 with O.A. No. 127/2021 (Shriram P. Chavan & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the cases and S/shri B.S. Deokar & M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officers for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 14.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 395 OF 2021 (Bhau N. Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri A.M. Gholap, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 19.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

O.A. Nos. 670, 671, 672 & 673 all of 2021 (Gokul D. Patil & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these O.As.

- 2. At the request of learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing sur-rejoinder to the rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicants in all these O.As.
- 3. S.O. to 13.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 559 OF 2020 (Vishranti B. Giri Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Abhishekh Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 13.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 446 OF 2022 (Giriraj K. Joshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.A. Nimbalkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.P. Latange, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and Shri S.G. Bhalerao, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 & 4.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 12.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 461 OF 2022 (Sunil P. Vispute Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 16.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 51/2019 in O.A. St. No. 354/2017 (Ashruba B. Jaybhaye Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Renuka Ghule, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 09.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 100/2020 in O.A. No. 236/2018 (Krushnalal R. Shirshi Gule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P.S. Mule, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.R. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 09.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 48/2021 in O.A. St. No. 175/2021 (Chandu G. Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 60/2021 in O.A. No. 85/2021 (Dr. Balaji M. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 10.01.2023 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 398/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1666/2021 (Sadashiv S. Shingare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 05.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 12/2022 in O.A. St. No. 1746/2021 (Keshawrao W. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

 $\frac{\text{CORAM}}{\text{CORAM}}$ : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 07.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 154/2022 in O.A. St. No. 517/2022 (Savitra S. Warale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 10.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri V.P. Narwade, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 14.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. 480/22 with M.A. 481/22 in O.A. 826/2022 (Sanjay R. Bhavsar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) and

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Mahesh Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in M.A. No. 480/2022, Shri U.L. Momale, learned Advocate for the applicants in M.A. No. 481/22, Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicants in O.A., Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5 in O.A. and Shri D.R. Shelke, learned Advocate for respondent No. 6 in O.A. and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 22.11.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 979 OF 2022 (Chagan Y. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

**DATE** : 10.11.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Yogesh P. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

- 2. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant sought leave of this Tribunal to correct the address of respondent No. 1. Leave as prayed for is granted. The necessary amendment be carried out forthwith.
- 3. Applicant has filed the present Original Application seeking quashment of the order dated 5.5.2022, as well as, order dated 11.7.2022, whereby the recovery is directed against the applicant on account of alleged unauthorized occupation of the Government quarter after being transferred from the said place. Learned counsel submitted that the applicant's transfer was one way transfer and nobody has been appointed in his place. Learned counsel further submitted that wife of the applicant is undergoing a serious ailment and

she is under treatment at Parbhani and that was the reason that the applicant was required to retain the quarter at Parbhani in his possession even after he is transferred to Deglur. Learned counsel further submitted that on account of ill-health of the wife of the applicant he also made an application for cancellation of his transfer and for his retention at Parbhani and the same request is under consideration. Learned counsel submitted that before ordering recovery of the impugned amount the applicant was not given any opportunity of hearing nor any notice was given to the applicant. Learned counsel submits that three installments have already been recovered from the salary amount of the applicant. It is further contended that after deduction of the said amount very meager amount is being received by the applicant, which is too insufficient for bearing household expenses, as well as, medical expenses towards ill-health of his wife. Learned counsel in the circumstances has prayed for an interim relief thereby restraining the respondents from recovering the amount on the basis of the impugned order dated 11.7.2022.

- 4. Learned Presenting Officer has opposed for granting any interim relief as has been prayed for by the applicant. Learned P.O. pointed out that in the very first notice dated 5.5.2022 the applicant was given clear understanding that he has not submitted any application for retention of quarter and if he fails to vacate the quarter within 7 days of receipt of the said notice he may be subjected for penal charges according to prevailing practice. Learned P.O. submitted that even after receipt of the said notice, till today the applicant did not make any formal request for retaining the quarter and he is illegally occupying the quarter. As such, according to the learned P.O., no case is made out by the applicant for granting interim relief as has been prayed by him.
- 5. I have carefully considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel appearing for the applicant and the learned Presenting Officer appearing for the respondent authorities. I have also gone through the documents filed on record.

6. It is not in dispute that the applicant did not make any formal application for retention of the quarter after he was transferred from Parbhani to Deglur. However, from the record which the applicant has produced, it is revealed that there are several other quarters, which are vacant and no inconvenience is likely to be caused because of retention of the quarter by the applicant. It was, of course, the responsibility of the applicant to make application for retention of quarter, which he has not made. Learned counsel pointed out that subsequently such application is made by the applicant and request has also been made by giving reply to the notice dated 7.7.2022. As has been submitted by learned counsel for the applicant it is beyond capacity of the applicant to pay damages at the rate they are charged. Learned counsel also invited my attention to the Government Resolution dated 15.6.2015 laying down the guidelines for payment of damages in case of retention of quarter after transfer or after retirement. There appears some substance in the arguments advanced by the learned counsel that before imposing such heavy penalty clear notice was required to be given to the

applicant in that regard. As such, it appears to me that *prima facie* case is made out by the applicant at least till affidavit in reply is filed by the respondent authorities. In the circumstances, I deem it appropriate to pass the following order: -

## ORDER

- (i) Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 2.12.2022.
- (ii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- (iii) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- (iv) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

## :: - 6 - :: O.A. NO. 979/2022

- (v) The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- (vi) Respondents are restrained from recovering any more amount in pursuance of the notice dated 7.7.2022 till filing affidavit in reply by them.
- (vii) S.O. to 2.12.2022.
- (viii) Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORAL ORDERS 10.11.2022-HDD

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 31 OF 2022 (Vijay Ravindra Bangar Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

DATE : 10.11.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

Shri Girish B. Kadlag, learned counsel for respondent No. 5 (absent).

- 2. The applicant has filed the present Original Application seeking quashment of the order passed by respondent No. 4 on 29.8.2015 and consequently has prayed for direction against the said respondent to include name of the present applicant for getting compassionate appointment in place of the deceased Government employee namely Ravindra Sitaram Bangar.
- 3. Respondent No. 4 has rejected the request of the present applicant for compassionate appointment or for substituting his name for of compassionate appointment in place his stepbrother namely Abhijit Ravindra Bangar on the ground that the Service Rules governing the Police employee do not permit such substitution. Few

facts are necessary to be noted down for the effective decision of the present application, which are thus,

4. The deceased Government employee namely Ravindra Bangar was having two wives. As has been claimed by the applicant his mother was first wife of the deceased Ravindra and mother of respondent No. 5, Abhijit was second wife of the Deceased Ravindra died on 25.5.2010. deceased. On 2.6.2010 the applicant presented application with respondent No. 4 for seeking compassionate appointment and inclusion of his name in the list of such candidates. Respondent No. 5 also preferred application with the same request on 3.6.2010. the Respondents considered application respondent No. 5 and included his name in the list of the candidates to be eligible for giving appointment on compassionate ground. The said decision was challenged by the present applicant by filing O.A. No. 481/2016 before this Tribunal. The said O.A. came to be disposed of by this Tribunal with the direction to the respondent authorities to reconsider the decision in light of the decision rendered by the Tribunal in O.A. No. 184/2005 and

with the said direction the aforesaid application was permitted to be withdrawn by this Tribunal with liberty to file fresh O.A. if contingency so arises. The applicant, therefore, made a fresh representation on 27.12.2017 with reference of the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 481/2016. Respondent No. 5 however, rejected the request of the applicant vide impugned order on the same ground on which earlier request of the applicant was rejected. Respondent No. 4 has stated that in the rule which governs the service condition of deceased employees do not have any provision of substitution of the nominee of the deceased for getting compassionate appointment.

5. Learned Counsel appearing for the applicant submitted that in fact the applicant who is son of first wife claimed the compassionate appointment earlier to the application of the respondent no.5, however, without even informing the present applicant or requiring him to show cause as to why appointment shall not be issued to respondent no.5, respondent no.4 straightway considered the case of respondent no.5 and included the name of the said

respondent in the list of eligible candidates for compassionate appointment. Learned Counsel further pointed out that the dispute was going on between two families i.e. the family of the first wife and second wife of the deceased Ravindra Bangar. Learned Counsel further submitted that M.C.A. No.162/2010 was filed by mother of the present applicant, present applicant and his sister seeking succession certificate being legal heirs of the deceased Ravindra Bangar. Similar application was filed by Shantabai W/o Sitaran Bangar (Deceased), the mother of deceased Ravandra Bangar, second wife of the deceased, namely, Smt. Anjali Ravindra Bangar, the mother of respondent no.5 along with others and the said MCA was numbered as MCA No.258/2010. Learned Civil Judge Senior Division, Parbhani was pleased to allow both applications in part and the present applicant was declared the of deceased Ravindra successor Bangar succession certificate was accordingly directed to be issued in his name along with his mother and his The said decision was challenged by sister. Shantabai W/o Sitaram Bangar (Deceased) i.e. mother of deceased employee Ravindra Bangar

along with mother of respondent no.5, namely, Smt. Anjali Bangar, respondent No. 5 himself & his brother namely Abhilash S/o Ravindra Bangar, by filing M.C.A. No.25/2013 before the District Judge at Parbhani. Learned Counsel further pointed out that in the said MCA, the parties arrived at compromise and the said appeal was disposed of in terms of the said compromise. Learned Counsel further pointed out that in the compromise so arrived between the parties, it has been agreed that the right to receive the compassionate appointment is to be exercised by the present applicant and the respondent no.5 accorded his no objection for substitution of name of the present applicant in his place. Learned Counsel submitted that all these documents, though were duly brought to the notice of respondent no.4, respondent no.4 did not change his earlier order and ultimately, rejected the request of the applicant. The applicant has, therefore, preferred the present Original Application with the prayer as noted hereinabove.

6. Learned counsel has prayed for allowing his application relying on the judgment of the Hon'ble

Bombay High Court in the case of **Vinod Dashrath Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra and others, W.P. No. 10592/2019**. Learned counsel has also relied upon the judgment delivered by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 12/2020 on 26.11.2021 in the matter of Vaibhav Venkat Chandle & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra and others. Learned counsel invited my attention to the discussion made by the Hon'ble Division Bench of the Bombay High Court in paragraph Nos. 5 to 8, which read thus,

- "5. The respondent No. 4 has also given no objection for entering the name of the present petitioner in the list of the appointed persons to be compassionate ground. The heirship proceedings have been decided and in the heirship proceeding it has been settled between the parties that the petitioner would claim appointment compassionate ground and pension would be claimed by the mother of the respondent No. 4.
- 6. As the name of the respondent No. 4 was only included provisionally and that was subject to decision in the legal heirship proceedings, the legal heirship proceeding culminated in settlement and the petitioner was allowed to claim

appointment on compassionate ground, whereas respondent No.4's mother was allowed pensionary benefits.

- 7. In view of the above, we set aside the impugned order passed by the Tribunal and order of the respondent authorities holding that the name of the respondent No. 4 is to be included in the seniority list.
- 8. The respondents shall include the name of the petitioner in the seniority list of the persons to be appointed on compassionate ground and as per his turn shall consider to appoint the petitioner on the post commensurate to his qualification."
- 7. Learned Presenting Officer has opposed the application on the ground that in the service record pertaining to the deceased employee the mother of respondent No. 5 was named as nominee and also name of respondent No. 5 was there in the office record as his son and nominee of the deceased employee and in the circumstances name of respondent No. 5 was considered by respondent No. 4 and was accordingly included in the list of eligible candidates for giving compassionate appointment. Learned P.O. further submitted that, as such, no

error can be found in the order so passed by respondent No. 4 and he, therefore, prayed for dismissal of the O.A.

- 8. I have duly considered the submissions advanced on behalf of the applicant and on behalf of the respondents. I have also perused the documented filed on record.
- 9. It is not in dispute that in the M.C.A. No. 25/2013 compromise was arrived at and according compromise the right of seeking compassionate appointment was given in favour of the present applicant. It is further not in dispute that the present applicant has been duly declared as successor of deceased Ravindra Bangar. In view of the fact that in the present O.A. by filing his written statement, respondent No. 5 has also given no objection for substituting name of the present applicant in the list of eligible candidates for giving compassionate appointment, in fact, there was no hurdle for respondent No. 4 for causing such substitution. This issue has been dealt with by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 12/2020 and relying on the

judgment of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in W.P. No. 6267/2018 it has been unambiguously held that there is no impediment for substituting the name of the legal heirs if any such contingency arises. In the aforesaid Writ Petition the Hon'ble Bombay High Court has declared the clause imposing prohibition for substituting the name of one legal representative by another legal representative to be unjustified and has been directed to be deleted.

10. In view of the facts as aforesaid and more particularly having regard to the decision rendered by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court on 11.3.2020 in W.P. No. 6267/2018 [Dnyaneshwar Ramkishan Musane Vs. the State of Maharashtra and others], as well as, the decision given by the Hon'ble Division Bench of the Bombay High Court in W.P. No. 10592/2019 decided on 29.1.2020 [Vinod Dashrath Chavan Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Others] there remained no doubt that name of one legal heir of the deceased can be substituted by another legal representative if the contingency so arises. In the present matter, respondent No. 5 has given his no

objection for substituting the name of the present applicant for offering him appointment compassionate ground. In such circumstances, the order passed by respondent No. 4 on 29.8.2015 deserves to be quashed and set aside and it is accordingly set aside. Respondent No. 4 is directed to include the name of the present applicant in place of respondent No. 5 in the list of the candidates eligible to be appointed on compassionate ground and to offer him such appointment as and when his turn comes. It is clarified that the seniority of the applicant in the list of such candidates shall be reckoned from 2.6.2010 on which the application was made seeking appointment on compassionate ground for the present applicant.

11. The present O.A. stands disposed of in above terms however, without any order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**