|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribur	al's orders
	<u>10.12.2021</u>	
	<u>M.A 467/20</u> 2	21 in O.A 860/2017
	Shri S.S Bhosle Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtr	a & Ors Respondents
		Khaire, learned advocate for the Manchekar, learned C.P.O for
	2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is not pressing the Misc Application.	
	3. Misc Application	is accordingly disposed of.
	Sd/-	Sd/- 7
	(Medha Gadgií) Member (J)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	AKI	
	1	[<i>P.T.O.</i>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

•

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 10.12.2021 684 O.A.No.799 of 2021 U.R. Shinde & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Mr. A.A. Siddiquie & Assoc. learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. 3. The learned P.O. produces the order dated 26.10.2021 and submits that in the O.A. the Applicant has challenged the Departmental Enquiry. The copy of order be taken on record and marked as Exhibit-A. The said D.E. is complete and the order is passed, so nothing remains in this O.A. 4. Today learned Advocate is not present. Matter is adjourned to 13.12.2021 for his appearance, otherwise the matter will be disposed of as it has become infructuous.	
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 10.12.2021
	O.A.No.868 of 2021
	A.R. Wakde & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for
	the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The learned Advocate submits that the issue is mainly against RespondentNo.4, Finance Department as it is pertaining to Time Bound Promotion.
	3. Adjourned to 07.01.2022.
	Sd/- / Sd/
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson
	prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribuna	l's orders
	10.12.2021	
	<u>M.A 299/202</u>	<u>1 in O.A 370/2021</u>
	Shri R.A.R Sheikh Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra	& Ors Respondents
	 Heard Shri Hrishikesh Chitley, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekear, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has collected copy of the affidavit in reply filed by the Respondents and seeks time to file rejoinder, if required. 	
	3. Learned counse compilation of the judgm be given to the learned day.	el further submits that ents which he is relying on will C.P.O during the course of the
	4. Interim relief to co	ontinue till next date.
	5. S.O to 14.1.2022.	<u>^</u>
	Sd/-	Sd/- 2
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (J)	/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn	
		[<i>P.T.O</i> .

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribur	al's orders
	<u>10.12.2021</u>	
	<u>O.A</u>	860/2017
	Shri S.S Bhosle Vs. The State of Maharashtr	Applicant ra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri D.B applicant and Ms Swatt the Respondents.	Khaire, learned advocate for the i Manchekar, learned C.P.O for
	2. Original Applica on 13.1.2022.	tion be placed for final hearing
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (J)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>10.12.2021</u> 0.A 995/2021	
	 Shri M.D Saste Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents 1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice made returnable on 14.1.2022. 3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and 	
	 alternate remedy are kept open. 5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 6. S.O to 14.1.2022. Sd/- 	
	(Medha Gadgi) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (J) Chairperson Akn	

[*P.T.O.*

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 10.12.2021 O.A.No.684 of 2021
	D.D. SonuneApplicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Mr. A.A. Siddiquie & Assoc. learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.
	3. The learned P.O. produces the order dated 26.10.2021 and submits that in the O.A. the Applicant has challenged the Departmental Enquiry. The copy of order be taken on record and marked as Exhibit-A. The said D.E. is complete and the order is passed, so nothing remains in this O.A.
	4. Today learned Advocate is not present. Matter is adjourned to 13.12.2021 for his appearance, otherwise the matter will be disposed of as it has become infructuous.
	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson
	prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunal's orders Date : 10.12.2021 O.A.No.66 of 2021 D.D. Sonune Vs. Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents. 1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The learned P.O. submits that during the course of the day she will file affidavit-in-sur- rejoinder. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon learned Advocate for the Applicant. 3. Admit. Adjourned to 04.02.2022. Sd/- Y
	(Medha Gadgif) Member(A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

	2	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal'	s orders
	Date : 10.12.2021	
		O.A.No.88 of 2020 WITH 34 of 2019
	Dr. V.R. Bhailume Vs.	Applicant
		& OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Mr. K.R. Ja	gdale, learned Advocate for
		. K.S. Gaikwad, learned
	Presenting Officer for the	
	that the Government has	he learned P.O. points out taken decision to conduct ent of Government servant
		f the Maharashtra Civil
		1982 It is also pointed
		as served not only with the
		erved with the notice of
	suspension by the Depart	ment on the 28.02.2019 at
	11.15 p.m.	
	3. The learned Advo	cate submits that he was
	not served with the orde	er of suspension, but the
	order was pasted on th	e door of his residential
	service quarter at 11.15 p	.m.
	4. The learned P.O. a	also relies on Rule (6)(a)of
		es 1982 which reads as
	under:	
	deemed to be instit the statement of Government servar Government servar	al proceedings shall be tuted on the date on which charges is issued to the nt or pensioner, or if the nt has been placed under an earlier date, on such
	5. The learned Advoca	ate submits that he wants
	to rely on one judgment	
	Court, four judgments of	
	two judgments of this	Tribunal on this issue.
	Hence, it will take sometim	e.
	6. The learned P.O. i	s directed to provide the
	acknowledgment of the ser	
	7. Adjourned to 13.12	.2021 for interim relief.
	I Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
	Member(A)	Chairperson

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 10.12.2021	
	O.A.No.330 of 2021 S.N. JoshiApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In view of the affidavit-in-reply filed by the State, we would like to know what is the stage of compliance as on today ? 3. Adjourned to 23.12.2021. Sd/- Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member(A) Chairperson	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal	's orders
	Dete : 10 10 0001	
	Date : 10.12.2021	
		0.343 of 2021
	S.G. Rajpur & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharash	tra & OrsRespondents.
		Jagdale, learned Advocate for
		s. S.P. Manchekar, learned
	Chief Presenting Office	i for the Respondents.
		C.P.O. for the Respondents
	seeks time.	
	3. Time granted t	by way of last chance to give
		paragraph 5 of order dated
	29.10.2021.	
	4. Adjourned to 19	9.01.2022.
	Sd/-	·
	(Medha/Gadgil) Member(A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	prk	
		[<i>P.T.O.</i>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 10.12.2021
	D.A.No.457 of 2021 Dr. N.A. NetkeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The learned C.P.O. request for time as the proposal of the regularization of the Applicant is submitted to the Director of Health Service on 08.12.2021. 3. Time granted. Adjourned to 22.12.2021. Sd/- (Medha Gadgilf/ Member(A) Prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 10.12.2021 O.A.No.477 of 2021	
	S.R. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi,, learned Advocate holding for Mr. V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Interim relief to continue till next date. 3. Adjourned to 20.01.2022.	
	Member(A) Chairperson	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 10.12.2021 O.A.No.620 of 2021	
	H.B. ShindeApplicant Vs.	
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Interim relief to continue till next date.	
	3. Adjourned to 19.01.2022.	
	Sd/- Sd/- 2	
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson	
	prk	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Tribunal's orders Date : 10.12.2021 M. A. No.551 of 2021 in M.A.No.552 of 2021 in O.A.No. 378 of 2020 S. N. RaktateApplicant			
		Versus	
		The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
1. Heard Shri Shantanu Rakate , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Office for holding for Ms S. P.Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.			
2. These Misc. Applications are filed for condonation delay and for restoration of O.A. which is disposed by ordeted 21.09.2021.			
 dated 21.09.2021. 3. This O.A. was filed for direction to release retir benefits which were held up on account of pendency of D. The Applicant stands retired in 2013 from the post of Additional Collector, Nasik. Before his retirement, the charge sheet was served in 2011. The Enquiry Officer was appointed in 2016 since there was no further progress in D.E. The Applicant, therefore, had filed this O.A. 4. In O.A., the Respondent No.2 had filed reply alor with order passed by the Government dated 01.04.202 whereby Government had taken decision to close the D.E. If view of it, the Tribunal by order dated 08.04.2021 directed the Respondents to ensure completion of formalities and to release retiral benefits as per his entitlement within eigh weeks from the date of order. However, so far as the interest part is concerned, the matter was adjourned for hearing. 			
5. On 21.09.2021, the Applicant and his Counsel we absent. The Tribunal, therefore, disposed of O.A. by passi following order:-			
	Date : 10.12.2021 M. A. No.551 of 2021 in M.A.No.552 of 2021 in O.A.No. 378 of 2020 S. N. Raktate Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. Heard Shri Shantanu Rakate , learned Counsel for Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Offifor holding for Ms S. P.Manchekar, learned Chief Present Officer for the Respondents. 2. These Misc. Applications are filed for condonation delay and for restoration of O.A. which is disposed by or dated 21.09.2021. 3. This O.A. was filed for direction to release ret benefits which were held up on account of pendency of D.The Applicant stands retired in 2013 from the post Additional Collector, Nasik. Before his retirement, the chai sheet was served in 2011. The Enquiry Officer was appoint in 2016 since there was no further progress in D.E. T. Applicant, therefore, had filed this O.A. 4. In O.A., the Respondent No.2 had filed reply alc with order passed by the Government dated 01.04.20 whereby Government had taken decision to close the D.E. view of it, the Tribunal by order dated 08.04.2021 direct the Respondents to ensure completion of formalities and release retiral benefits as per his entitlement within eig weeks from the date of order. However, so far as the interv part is concerned, the matter was adjourned for hearing. 5. On 21.09.2021, the Applicant and his Counsel we absent. The Tribunal, therefore, disposed of O.A. by passi		

office Notes, Office alemoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Surction 1 and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

"2. The Applicant retired in 2013 but his retiral benefits were withheld on account of pendency of D.E. which ultimately closed by the Government on 01.04.2021. Taking note of this development, the Tribunal has passed an order on 08.04.2021 directing the Respondent No.2 to ensure the completion of all formalities and to release retiral benefits of the Applicant as per his entitlement within eight weeks from the date of order.

3. Now, the period of more than five months is over but still the Applicant is deprived of retiral benefits.

4. Since the Tribunal has already passed detailed order on 08.04.2021 to release retiral benefits within eight weeks from the date of order, it is for the Respondents to implement the same failing to which they may face contempt proceeding.

5. In view of above, O.A. deserves to be disposed of and the Applicant may take suitable steps for contempt proceeding.

6. The Applicant is at liberty to avail further remedy for grant of interest on belated payment independently.

7. O.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.

6. It is on the above background now these Misc. Applications are filed for condonation of delay and to file restoration application with request to restore O.A.

7. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that interest part needs to be adjudicated in this O.A., and therefore, O.A. be restored.

8. Indeed, while dismissing the matter by order dated 21.09.2021, liberty was granted to the Applicant to avail remedy for grant of interest on belated payment independently.

9. As such, the Applicant can take recourse of law for grant of interest independently.

10. In view of above, there is no necessity to restore the O.A. since the Applicant is at liberty to redress his grievance about interest independently.

11. Misc. Application are dismissed with no order as to costs.

∖ Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

 $\mathbf{2}$

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 10.12.2021	
	O.A.No. 992 of 2021	
	Dr. S. P. HandralmathApplicant	
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	 Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Office for the Respondents. 	
	2. The Applicant who is serving as Head of the	
	Department, Orthopedics, Government Medical Colleg	
	Solapur has challenged the order dated 30.09.2021 passed	
	the Respondent No.3- Dean, Government Medical Colle	
	whereby abruptly his charge of Head of the Department w	
	withdrawn temporarily and was given to Shri R.D. Jaykar and	
	also challenged the order dated 03.10.2021 passed by the	
	Dean whereby Applicant is sent on compulsory leave witho	
	pay and allowances w.e.f. 02.10.2021 attributing certa	
	misconduct to the Applicant.	
	3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits th	
	impugned orders are ex-facie illegal since the compete	
	authority and disciplinary authority of the Applicant	
	Government and, even if, misconduct is attributed to the	
	Applicant, the Dean has no authority or jurisdiction to ser	
	the Applicant on compulsory leave without pay. According t	
	her, the impugned orders are by way of punishment an since it is totally bad in law without following due process of law.	
	4. When the matter was taken up for hearing in mornin	
	session, on request of learned P.O. it was adjourned t	
	second session for instructions from Dean.	
	5. In the afternoon session, learned P.O. submits that	
	she had spoken with Deputy Dean who informed her that th	
	Dean has forwarded proposal to the Government fo	
	disciplinary action in view of serious misconduct of the [P.T.O.] Applicant.	

6. Learned P.O. could not point out any provisions of law/rules whereby the Dean is empowered to send the Applicant on compulsory leave without pay and allowances. Indeed, she could not point out any such provisions of even empowering appointing authority or disciplinary authority to send a Government servant on such compulsory leave.

7. Even assuming for a moment that Applicant has committed some misconduct then it is for the disciplinary authority to take appropriate action in accordance to law. *Prima-facie*, the Dean, Medical College, Solapur has no authority to pass such orders of compulsory leave.

8. I am, therefore, satisfied that *prima-facie* impugned
orders are without jurisdiction and required to be stayed.

9. Interim order dated 03.10.2021 sending the Applicant on compulsory leave without pay and allowances is stayed till flling of reply.

10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.01.2022.

11. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

12. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

13. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

14. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

15. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

16 S.O. to 11.01.2022. Hamdast granted.

vsn

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

Sd/-

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

•

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 10.12.2021.	
	O.A.No.979 of 2021	
	V. K. Hasage Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	1. Heard Shri A. E. Mokal, Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. This O.A. is filed for direct	tion to accommodate the
	Applicant as per his option Nos.1	
	transfer or at Parimandal-11 inter-alia contending that his	
	son is suffering from mental retardness, and therefore, in	
	terms of G.R. dated 26.08.1993 he	is entitled for posting by
	giving preference to his options.	
	3. The Applicant is transf	erred by order dated
	03.08.2021 to Protection and Securi	ty Unit which was his fifth
	option. The Applicant has tend	ered medical certificate
	showing mental retardness of son to	o the extent of 50%.
	4. Issue notice before ad 24.12.2021.	mission returnable on
	5. Tribunal may take the case stage and separate notice for fin issued.	
	6. Applicant is authorized an Respondents intimation/notice of authenticated by Registry, along with O.A Respondents are put to notic taken up for final disposal at the stag	date of hearing duly h complete paper book of e that the case would be
	7. This intimation/notice is orde Maharashtra Administrative Tribu 1988, and the questions such as remedy are kept open.	inal (Procedure) Rules,
		[<i>P.T.O.</i>

 Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

10. S.O. to 24.12.2021.

11. In the meantime, the Respondent No.2 – Commissioner of Police, Mumbai shall consider whether there is vacancy at the place as per option nos.1 to 4 given by the Applicant and to see whether he can be accommodated in terms of G.R. dated 26.08.1993. Learned P.O. should apprise the Tribunal about the same by next date.

12. S.O. to 24.12.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

Date : 10.12.2021

O.A.No.985 of 2021

....Applicants

Dr. B. P. Satpute & Ors.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this O.A., the Applicant has challenged the show cause notice dated 06.09.2021 whereby the Respondent No.1 called explanation of the Applicant as to why pension to the extent of 10% per months should not be deducted permanently in view of enquiry report holding the Applicant guilty for the charges leveled against him.

3. As such, the Applicant is challenging the show cause notice and though there is no order of punishment as of now. As such O.A. is premature.

4. When this aspect is brought to the notice of learned Counsel for the Applicant, he submits that he intends to challenge the departmental proceeding itself by amending O.A..

5. If the Applicant wants to challenge departmental proceeding itself as well as show cause notice in that event, the O.A. will have to be placed before the Division Bench.

6. Even otherwise also where proposed punishment is of permanent deduction of pension to the extent of 10%, it is to be heard by the Division Bench in term of office order of allotment of subject dated 28.05.2021.

7. Registrar is, therefore, directed to place the matter before appropriate bench.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

2

Versus

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

• • •

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>0.A.335/2020</u>	
	Shri S.M. Sathe Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra	a & Ors Respondents
	L. Heard Applicant in pe learned Presenting Officer for	rson and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, Respondents.
	transpired that the Applicant	heard for some time, it is t is claiming deemed date of t Secretary w.e.f. 01.05.2016 s.
	8. He is promoted by order dated 22.06.2020 and since then working in the said post.	
	4. However, during the Government granted deeme 01.04.2016, as seen from or No.103 of P.B.).	
	5. Now in O.A, the issue allowances from deemed data of promotion w.e.f. 01.04.20 G.A.D. has only filed Aff Applicant is not entitled to reference to Rule 32 of M.O. Services) Rules, 1981.	16. The Respondent No.1 – idavit-in-reply stating that to pay and allowances in
	6. On the request of lear granted to file Affidavit-in-rep pay and allowances claime 01.04.2016.	rned P.O, two weeks' time is ly of Respondent No.2 about ed by the Applicant from
	7. S.O. to 24 th December,	2021 as part heard.
		Sd/-
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 08.12.202 1
		2 12 12 12021

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 10.12.2021 O.A.No.913 of 2021	
	R. M. MahajanApplicant	
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	Speaking to Minutes	
	1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the	
	Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer	
	for the Respondents.	
	2. This matter is on today's board for 'Speaking to	
	Minutes' to correct some inadvertent mistake occurred in	
	Para No.5 of the matter. The O.A. was disposed of by order	
	dated 02.12.2021. The Applicant was under suspension on	
	01.01.2016 as discussed in order dated 02.12.2021. However	
	in Para No.5 inadvertently it is stated as "Indisputably the	
	Applicant was under suspension on 01.01.2016 instead of	
	stating indisputably the Applicant was not under suspension	
	on 01.01.2016.	
	3. As such, sentence no.1 in Para No.5 of the order	
	should be corrected as under:-	
	"Indisputably the Applicant was not under suspension	
	on 01.01.2016."	
	4. Correction be accordingly made.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	
	vsm	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 10.12.2021	
	O.A. No.50 of 2019	
	V.T. JadhavApplicant	
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Shri V.A. Sugdare, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. When the matter is taken up for hearing it is transpired that the Applicant has not produced order of M.A.T., Aurangabad Bench dated 19.12.2007, which is referred in order dated 28.12.2007. It appears that O.A. filed by the Applicant was dismissed by M.A.T. and thereafter the Applicant was relieved from service.	
	3. It is therefore necessary to know what was under challenge before M.A.T. and its effects.	
	4. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted.	
	5. S.O. to 06.01.2022.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)	
	NMN	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 10.12.2021 O.A. No.465 of 2021	
	S.M. ShindeApplicant Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	 Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presentin Officer for the Respondents. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant ha filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant It is taken on record. 	
	3. S.O. to 07.01.2022.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)	
	NMN	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 10.12.2021	
	M. A. No.90 of 2021 in O.A.No.164 of 2021	
	D. D. Dimete	
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar holding for Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. In reference to the order passed by this Tribunal on	
	22.11.2021, learned P.O. submits that file is still under	
	consideration of Finance Department and requested for grant	
	of time.	
	3. Now, M.A. be kept for hearing and in the meantime	
	appropriate decision on the proposal sent by the Director	
	General of Police dated 08.06.2021 be taken.	
	4. S.O. to 06.01.2022.	
	Sd/-	
	۲ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's ord	lers
	Date : 10.12.2021	
	O.A.No.634	
	U. D. Kharat & Ors.	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors	sRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwa Applicant and Shri A. J. Chou Gaikwad, learned Presenting Off	
	2. This O.A. was initially pl	aced before the Single Bencl
	but later in view of the office or	rder of change of assignment
	it was placed before the Divisio	n Bench. However, again the
	subject of recovery with Time Bo	ound Promotion is assigned to
	Singh Bench by office order date	ed 25.10.2021.
	3. It is on the above backgr	ound, this O.A.is listed before
	me for the first time today.	
	4. Perusal of record revea	Is that pleading is complete
	and the matter is at the stage of	final hearing.
	5. O.A. be kept for final hea	aring.
	6. S .O. to 04.01.2022.	
		Sd/-
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	vsm	
		[<i>P.T.O.</i>

[*P.T.O.*

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Date : 10.12.2021 O.A.No.428, 429, 430 & 431 of 2020 N. R. Tekam & OrsApplicants
N. R. Tekam & OrsApplicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Dr. Gunrata Sadavarte, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counel for the Applicant
requested for time on the ground that Shri Sadavarte
learned Counsel for the Applicant is unwell and requested to
keep the matter on 22.12.2021.
3. S.O. to 22.12.2021.
κ
Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
vsm Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 10.12.2021	
	O.A.No.990 of 2021	
	C. V. PotdarApplicant	
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel f the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presentin Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. The Applicant has challenged the communicatidated 22.02.2021 whereby the claim for appointment compassionate ground is rejected on the ground of have third child to the deceased after cut-off date in terms of G dated 31.12.2001.	
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable o 18.01.2022.	
	4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.	
	5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve or Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book o O.A Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.	
	6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.	
	7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.	
	8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.	
	9. S.O. to 18.01.2022.	
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar)	

2

Member(J)

Date : 10.12.2021

O.A.No.991 of 2021

A. S. GhodakeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 28.12.2020 whereby he is suspended (deemed suspension) invoking Rule 4(2)(a) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979.

3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the period of more than one year is over without taking any review, and therefore, prolong suspension is impermissible.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.01.2022.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

10. S.O. to 11.01.2022.

11. In the meantime, learned P.O. should apprise the Tribunal as to why review is not taken in the matter of suspension of the Applicant by next date.

Sd/-(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 10.12.2021	
	M. A. No.276 of 2021 in O.A.No.489 of 2021	
	Dr. R. R. AsawaApplicant	
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	 Heard the Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B. K learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 	
	2. Today, learned P.O. filed reply to Misc. Application. It	
	is taken on record.	
	3. Insofar as O.A. is concerned, on request of learned	
	P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last	
	chance.	
	4. S.O. to 24.12.2021.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	
	vsm	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 10.12.2021	
	M. A. No.29 of 2020 in O.A.No.1125 of 2019	
	S. L. LolgeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	 Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 	
	2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is under preparation	
	and the same will be filed during the course of the day. The	
	statement is accepted. It be taken on record.	
	3. The matter is adjourned for hearing.	
	4. S.O. to 07.01.2022.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) vsm	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 10.12.2021	
	O.A.No.458 of 2021	
	A. R. SalunkheApplicant	
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Shri Mohar Kumbhar holding for Shri S. K. Hande , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted	
	for filing reply by way of last chance.	
	3. S.O. to 24.12.2021.	
	λ	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	
	11164	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 10.12.2021	
	O.A.No.727 of 2021	
	P. N. PatilA	pplicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRes	oondents.
	1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the R	for Smt. Kranti
	2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the	
	Respondent. It is taken on record.	
	3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of	
	admission.	
	4. S.O. to 07.01.2022.	
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurheka Member(J)	r)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 10.12.2021	
	O.A.No.728 of 2021	
	S. S. KhaladkarApplicant	
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted	
	for filing reply by way of last chance.	
	3. S.O. to 23.12.2021.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	
	1	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 10.12.2021	
	O.A.No.698 of 2021	
	A. R. UttareApplicant	
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	 Heard Shri Dnyaneshwar Jaibhave holding for Shri S. A. Kasar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel who appeared today on behalf of the Respondent No.4. 	
	2. On request of learned P.O. and learned Counsel for	
	the Respondent No.4, two weeks time is granted for filing	
	reply.	
	3. S.O. to 23.12.2021.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	
	vsm	
	[<i>P.T.O.</i>	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 10.12.2021 O.A.No.759	of 2021
	R. N. Gaikwad	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	 Heard Shri R. M. Kolge Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule for the Respondents. 	, learned Counsel for the e, learned Presenting Officer
	2. Today, learned P.O. has t	filed reply on behalf of the
	Respondent No.2. It is taken on re	
	 The matter is adjourned admission. 	for hearing at the stage of
	4. S.O. to 20.12.2021.	
		Sd/-
		.P. Kurhekar)
	vsm	Member(J)