

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.11.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.25 of 2022 in O.A.No.503 of 2020</p> <p>V.S. Pandhare Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks speaking to minutes in order dated 20.10.2022. In paragraph 2, instead of 'Rs.13,29,290/-' it be corrected as 'Rs.3,29,890/-'.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 08.12.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.11.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1097 of 2022</p> <p>K.S. ToradmalApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Applicant aspiring for the post of Police Constable appeared in the Maharashtra State Police Recruitment-2019. He challenges his deletion from the selection process by order dated 03.10.2022. He submits that he has participated in the process of selection of recruitment of the Police Constable. However, his appointment was cancelled on account of pendency of the Criminal against him. He submits that he was treated as juvenile. He was 16 years when the offence of forgery and cheating was committed in 2012.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.11.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>9. Adjourned to 11.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) ✓ Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.11.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.46 of 2022 in O.A.No.72 of 2022 With C.A.No.47 of 2022 in O.A.No.73 of 2022</p> <p>V.H. Patil R.H. PatilApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the Department is in process of compliance of order dated 01.03.2022. He submits that out of 75 employees, 30 employees have already been considered as Government servants and given all consequential benefits. Learned P.O. submits that with regard to the remaining 45 employees the final decision has to be taken by the Government and they are in the process and the proposal regarding the same has been sent to the Government. Final approval is required from the Government and accordingly they have moved proposal on 01.11.2022 and some query was made by the Chief Conservator of Forest.</p> <p>3. For reporting further compliance adjourned to 23.12.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">prk</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.11.2022</u></p> <p><u>M.A 586/2022 in O.A 613/2020 with M.A 587/2022 in O.A 541/2020 with M.A 585/2022 in O.A 508/2020</u></p> <p>S.N Swami J.C Kamble V.S Gaikwad ... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present Misc Applications is filed by the applicants seeking to expedite the hearing of the Original Applications as the applicants are dismissed from service in the year 2020. Learned counsel for the applicants further submit that there is a deviation on account of the law laid down in the case of Union of India & Ors Vs. Tulsiram Patel & Ors, AIR 1985 SC 1416.</p> <p>3. At present we are taking the matters of the year 2015 and thereafter we will take matters of the year 2016 as the old matters are pending.</p> <p>4. In view of the above Misc Applications stand disposed of.</p> <p>6. O.A Nos 613/2020, 541/2020 & 508/2020 be kept for final hearing on 9.2.2023 and the matters may be expedited.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.222 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.S. Padave ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed by respondent no.3 and no reply is filed by respondent no.1. Matter to proceed without reply of respondent no.1.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.12.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.11.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 10.11.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.634 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.J. Lavte ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.12.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.11.2022 10.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.758 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.V. Mali ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. Replies are filed by respondents no.1 and 2.</p> <p>3. Shri R.B. Khot, Ld. Advocate for respondent no.2 is directed to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.11.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.11.2022 10.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.823 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.T. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.12.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.11.2022 10.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.963, 980, 1005 & 1010 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.S. Mahajan & Ors. P.M. Desai & Ors. P.P. Bhople & Ors. K.C. Mundhe & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to verify.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.11.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.11.2022 10.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1073 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.B. Gungewale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Om M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.12.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.11.2022 10.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.11.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 580/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.N Pachkude ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.R Kamble, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.1.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O 12.1.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.11.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 359/2022 in O.A 580/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.N Pachkude ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.R Kamble, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant who was working as Police Constable challenges the order of termination dated 26.5.2010. Apparently, it appears that there is a delay of more than 10 to 11 years. However, in the present case, learned counsel submits that the said order of 26.5.2010 was challenged in appeal before the Respondent-State, Home Department in the year 2017. The said appeal was rejected on 15.12.2018. Thereafter, within one year the applicant was required to file the Original Application before the Tribunal. However, he did not file the same. Learned counsel submits that the period of limitation started running from 16.12.2019. Learned counsel further submits that he could not file the Original Application because the applicant was under depression and also suffering from tuberculosis. Thereafter, due to Covid-19 Pandemic, nation wide lockdown was declared from March, 2020, and as per the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court by order dated 10.1.2022 in M.A 21/2022 in M.A 665/2021 in Suo Motu W.P (C) no. 3/2020, has directed that the period of limitation is extended up to February, 2022. Learned counsel submits that the present O.A 580/2022 was filed in July, 2022. Thus, there is a delay of 4 to 5 months in filing the present Original Application, which needs to be condoned considering the health and depression of the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O opposes the application on the ground that there is an inordinate delay.</p> <p>4. We are of the view that there is apparent delay in filing the Original Application. However, the applicant has approached the first Appellate Authority after 7 years and at that time it was necessary to object the said appeal on the ground of delay. However, the appeal was decided on merit and therefore the delay of 7 years was condoned.</p> <p>5. Thus, considering the facts stated above by the learned counsel for the applicant, we condone the delay of 4 to 5 months.</p> <p>6. Misc Application accordingly stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.11.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.592 of 2022 in O.A.No.1007 of 2022</p> <p>Deepak Kumbhar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar holding for Shri K. B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M. A. No.592 /2022 is allowed. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.495/2022</u></p> <p>Shri K.K. Kamble ... Applicant. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit along with copies of enquiry proceedings. Allowed to file the same.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 29th November, 2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 10.11.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.535/2022</u></p> <p>SMT. S.R. Shaikh ... Applicant. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer is present.</p> <p>2. The matter is already proceeded without reply, since it is not filed within the time granted by the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. requested to take Affidavit-in-reply on record. The matter pertained to punishment imposed in departmental enquiry.</p> <p>4. In the interest of justice, allowed to file Affidavit-in-reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>5. Adjourned for final hearing to 1st December, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ^{WV} (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 10.11.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1136 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri Nasim A.A. Khan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As per the order dated 17.10.2022, Samridhi V. Angolkar, Deputy Secretary, GAD has filed affidavit dated 9.11.2022. However. we are surprised that affidavit of Under Secretary, Minority Development Department is not filed.</p> <p>3. We direct the Under Secretary, Minority Development Department to remain present at 1.00 p.m.</p> <p>4. Ld PO to act upon oral directions as written order will follow.</p> <p><u>Later on:</u></p> <p>5. S.O. to 11.11.2022. 11</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center"> (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.11.2022 10.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.11.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1093 of 2022</p> <p>Balaji R. Raut ...Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 17.8.2022 on the ground of prolong suspension without taking review and sought interim relief in view of change of Head Quarter. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out the Circular dated 19.03.2008 which <i>inter-alia</i> states that while suspending a Government servant, the Head Quarter should be the last place of duty normally and it is only on request of the concerned Government servant, the Head Quarter can be changed. She, therefore, sought stay to the suspension order particularly about change of Head Quarter. 4. The perusal of record reveals that the Applicant is suspended on 17.08.2022 as a deemed suspension invoking Rule 4(2)(a) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979 in view of his detention in custody for more than 48 hours consequent to registration of offence under the provision of Prevention of Corruption Act. 5. Insofar as change of Head Quarter is concerned, in view of Circular dated 19.03.2008, the Head Quarter should be the last place of duty. The Applicant was serving at Karjat, Dist. Alibaug but while suspending him, his Head Quarter is kept at the office of Deputy Superintendent of Land Records, Malvan, Dist. Sindhudurg which is <i>prima-facie</i> contrary to Circular dated 19.3.2008. 6. Learned P.O. sought to contend that there is another Circular which permits change of Head Quarter to other district. He sought one day time. 7. S.O. to 11.11.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.11.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1007 of 2022</p> <p>Deepak Kumbhar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar holding for Shri K. B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present O.A. is filed by the Applicants for direction to the Respondents to absorb them in Bomb Detection and Disposal Squad (B.D.D.S.). Presently, they are serving as Dog Handlers in Dog Squad in District Unit in various districts.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicants has pointed out that the Director General of Police, Mumbai has already forwarded the proposal dated 22.10.2021 to the Government for absorption of all the Police Personnel serving in Dog Squad into BDDS but it is not yet decided by the Government. He, therefore, requested to direct the Government to take appropriate decision and O.A. be disposed of.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. on instructions admits that the Director General of Police has forwarded the proposal to the Government on 22.10.2021 but it is not yet decided.</p> <p>5. Indeed, the period of one year is over but no decision is taken by the Government.</p> <p>6. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to take appropriate decision on the proposal dated 22.10.2021 forwarded by D.G.P., Mumbai in accordance to law within three months from today. The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant.</p> <p>7. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.11.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.927 of 2022</p> <p>B. A. K. ShaikhApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri S. V. Gutte, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. On 12.10.2022, learned P.O. made statement that affidavit in reply is under preparation and if possible it will be filed during the course of the day or latest by tomorrow. The statement was accepted, and matter was adjourned for filing Rejoinder today.</p> <p>3. However, today learned P.O. sought permission to file Affidavit in Reply stating that she could not file it earlier in terms of order passed by the Tribunal on 12.10.2022 due to personal difficulty and subsequent vacation. She apologized for the same.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant objected for taking reply on record stating that Respondents have no regard to the orders passed by the Tribunal and hearing of the O.A. is delayed because of non-filing of reply within time granted by the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. Since the learned P.O. apologized for the mistake, I am inclined to take reply on record so as to decide the matter on merit.</p> <p>6. One week time is granted to learned Counsel for the Applicant to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 16.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.923 of 2022</p> <p>S. Y. BaviskarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the communication dated 23.03.2022 whereby request of the Applicant No.2 to substitute his name in place of his mother (Applicant No.1) in the waiting list is rejected.</p> <p>3. The husband of Applicant No.1 namely Yogesh Baviskar died in harness on 19.09.2015. After his death, the Applicant No.2 applied for compassionate appointment on 17.12.2015 and that time he was around 15 years old. Thus, he was not major, therefore, his claim was rejected by communication dated 27.01.2016 stating that he can apply within one year on attaining majority. Thereafter, Applicant No.1 (widow of the deceased Government servant) applied for compassionate appointment on 03.09.2016 and requested to provide compassionate appointment to her. Accordingly, her name is taken in the waiting list.</p> <p>4. Thereafter, Applicant No.1 made representation on 03.01.2022 requesting to substitute the name of his son in her place in the waiting list. However, it is rejected by communication dated 23.03.2022 which is challenged in the present O.A.</p> <p>5. Thus, the fact remains that still the name of Applicant No.1 is in the waiting list.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought to contend that now the Applicant No.1 is around 41 years old and considering long waiting list, there is apprehension of not getting appointment till the age of 45 years.</p> <p>7. Whereas learned P.O. has pointed out that the name of Applicant No.1 is still in the waiting list and whenever her turn comes, necessary steps will be taken for issuance of appointment order.</p> <p>8. In terms of G.R. dated 20.05.2015 once the heir attains age of 45 years, the name is deleted from the waiting list. Thus, it is under apprehension that Applicant No.1 will not get appointment till attaining the age of 45 years, the O.A. is filed for substitution of name of Applicant No.2 in place of Applicant No.1.</p> <p>9. When the issue of maintainability of O.A. brought to the notice of learned Counsel for the Applicant that since admittedly the name of Applicant No.1 is in the waiting list and O.A. is premature, he sought permission to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to approach the Tribunal, if she does not get appointment or her name is deleted from the waiting list.</p> <p>10. As of now, the fact remains that name of the Applicant No.1 is in the waiting list and whether she will be given compassionate appointment before she attains 45 years or not, is the only guesswork and nothing can be said conclusively at this stage. As of now, there is no cause of action.</p> <p>11. In view of above, allowed to withdraw O.A. with liberty to approach the Tribunal whenever Applicant gets cause of action for the same as may be permissible in law.</p> <p>12. In view of above, allowed to withdraw the O.A. with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.39 of 2021</p> <p>Minal JawadekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has filed present O.A. for absorption on the post of Technical Superintendent (Stores) in the office of Pune. 3. According to Applicant, she made various representations on one or other ground but those are not responded. 4. Learned P.O. fairly stated that the representations are not decided but he sought to oppose the relief claimed by the Applicant in O.A. 5. The perusal of record reveals that the Applicant was appointed as Craft Instructor (Basic Computer Application in Industrial Automation) by order dated 20.04.2011 and posted at ITI, Malegaon, Tal. Baramati, Dist. Pune. However, thereafter she was declared surplus amongst others. Thereafter she was absorbed as Craft Instructor (Basic Computer Application in Industrial Automation) and was posted at I.T.I., Pandharpur by order dated 30.09.2014. However, she was absent for long time and D.E. was initiated in which punishment of withholding of increment for two years with cumulative effect was imposed by order dated 23.11.2021. <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Today, when the matter was taken up for hearing, learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that no decision is taken on representation made by the Applicant and she be allowed to make representation afresh for appropriate decision and O.A. be disposed of.</p> <p>7. Learned P.O. submits that if the representation is made, it will be decided appropriately in accordance to rules.</p> <p>8. In view of above, permission is granted to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to make representation afresh to Respondent No.1 – The Joint Director, Vocational Education & Training, Pune Region, Pune for absorption on equivalent post at Pune within a month from today.</p> <p>9. The Respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation in accordance to rules within two months from the date of receipt of representation and the decision As the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant.</p> <p>10. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.11.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.825 of 2021</p> <p>G.S. KaranjeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.12.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.370 of 2021 with M.A. No.299 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.A.R. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Hitesh B. Sangle holding for Shri H.S. Chitale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that W.P. No.148 of 2021 is fixed before the Hon'ble High Court on 8.12.2022.</p> <p>3. Hence, the matter is adjourned to 12.1.2023. Interim relief to continue.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 10.11.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.627 of 2022 in O.A. No.965 of 2022</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. D.J. Patil & 2 Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondents-original Applicants.</p> <p>2. This MA is filed by the State of Maharashtra & Anr. on 27.10.2022 for extension of one month period for implementation of the order dated 20.10.2022 passed in the above OA wherein the respondents were directed to comply the order on or before 27.10.2022.</p> <p>3. Shri M.D. Lonkar, Ld. Advocate for Respondents-original applicants opposes the MA by pointing out that no such time should be extended as already more time than specific date is consumed by the Applicants-original Respondents.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO submits that on account of Diwali festival and vacation the order could not be implemented. Hence, time is sought.</p> <p>5. We grant one week time as a last chance and that is up to 17.11.2022 for compliance of the order. MA disposed off accordingly. We grant liberty to the Ld. Advocate for the Respondents-original applicants to move a simple application if at all the order is not complied with.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.11.2022 10.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1100 of 2022</p> <p>D.L. ChavanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. O.M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.12.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 15.12.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.11.2022 O.A.No.1095 of 2022</p> <p>M.S. ThoraveApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate prays that by way of interim relief directions be given to the Respondents to decide representations dated 05.09.2022, 12.09.2022 and 10.10.2022.</p> <p>3. We, therefore, direct the Department to decide the said representations within a period of two weeks.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.11.2022.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>10. Adjourned to 24.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.972 of 2022</p> <p>H.S. AlaspureApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>SPEAKING TO MINUTES</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. This matter has been disposed of by this Tribunal on 21.10.2022. Today, the matter is taken on Board for 'Speaking to Minutes' in view of Praecept dated 07.11.2022.</p> <p>(a) In paragraph No.4, line No.3 at page no.3, instead of 'punishment of stoppage of increment was cancelled on 13.12.2021' be replaced as 'punishment of stoppage of increment modified as censure on 30.12.2021'</p> <p>3. In view of above, Praecept dated 07.11.2022 stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.622 of 2021</p> <p>P.R. KoliApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>SPEAKING TO MINUTES</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. This matter has been disposed of by this Tribunal on 20.10.2022. Today, the matter is taken on Board for 'Speaking to Minutes' in view of Praeipce dated 07.11.2022.</p> <p>(a) In paragraph No.2, line No.14, instead of '22.09.1981' it be corrected as '25.09.1981'.</p> <p>(b) In paragraph No.2, line No.16, instead of '30.06.2022' it be corrected as '30.06.2021'.</p> <p>3. In view of above, Praeipce dated 07.11.2022 stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.11.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.4 of 2020 in O.A.No.1119 of 2015</p> <p>Dr. B.M. LadApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Akash Reddy, learned Advocate i/b. M/S. Talekar & Asso. learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Suo moto speaking to minutes of the order dated 07.09.2022. In paragraph 2, instead of '15.09.2021' it be corrected as '15.09.2022'.</p> <p>3. On 21.09.2022 learned Advocate has made statement before the Hon'ble High Court that Contempt application will not be pressed further in the light of the above order.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, C.A. is adjourned to 08.12.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) ✓ Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.11.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1060/2022 with M.A 617/2022</u></p> <p>Mrs J.V Salunkhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant widow of the deceased Government servant has challenged the impugned order dated 20.4.2007 and other consequential service benefits.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.12.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>9. S.O 15.12.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)/ Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.11.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1074/2021</u></p> <p>Shri M.P Satpute ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri O.M Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is seeking exception to the order dated 8.9.2022 issued by Respondent no. 2, by which benefit under A.C.P.S is declined.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.12.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>9. S.O 15.12.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.11.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1075/2021</u></p> <p>Shri Ashok M. Atram ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri O.M Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has challenged the impugned order dated 31.5.2022 issued by Respondent no. 2 and to declare the same as illegal and bad in law with all consequential service benefits.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.12.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>9. S.O 22.12.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.11.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1077/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.H Kamble & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that Respondent no. 1, MPSC to revisit the final answer key as published on 21.9.2022 on the basis of objections raised in the present Original Application.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.11.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>9. S.O 16.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.11.2022</u></p> <p><u>M.A 624/2022 in O.A 1077/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.H Kamble & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.11.2022</p> <p>C.A.No.16 of 2022 in O.A.No.223 of 2020</p> <p>D.N. Kamble & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the applicant's bill has been submitted to the Treasury Office. He has tendered copy of letters dated 04.11.2022 and 09.11.2022. Taken on record and marked as Exhibit-I and Exhibit-II respectively. He request for two weeks time for further compliance.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 08.12.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>10.11.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1082/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.C Pujari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks leave to amend the prayer clause 10(a), wherein the word 'proposal' is to be replaced by the word 'representation'. Permission granted.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel prays that his representations dated 11.1.2022 & 25.3.2022 be decided within a stipulated period.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, we direct Respondent no. 1 to decide the representations of the applicant dated 11.1.2022 & 25.3.2022 on or before 31.1.2023.</p> <p>6. With the above directions, O.A stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 10.11.2022 O.A.No.1097 of 2022</p> <p>K.S. ThormalApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Applicant aspiring for the post of Police Constable appeared in the Maharashtra State Police Recruitment-2019. He challenges his deletion from the selection process by order dated 03.10.2022. He submits that he has participated in the process of selection of recruitment of the Police Constable. However, his appointment was cancelled on account of pendency of the Criminal against him. He submits that he was treated as juvenile. He was 16 years when the offence of forgery and cheating was committed in 2012.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.11.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p align="right">[PTO.</p>

**Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders**

Tribunal's orders

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

9. Adjourned to 11.11.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1086 OF 2022

DISTRICT : SANGLI

Dr. Shri Sanjay J. Patil **...Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. **...Respondents.**

Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant

Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**
Ms. Medha Gadgil (Member) (A)

DATE : **10.11.2022**

PER : **Ms. Medha Gadgil (Member) (A)**

O R D E R

1. Applicant has applied for the post of District Civil Surgeon, Maharashtra Medical and Health Services pursuant to advertisement No.272/2021 dated 30.12.2021. However his candidature was rejected on the ground that he did not have experience of more than 5 years of working in the hospital after acquiring post graduation degree. His candidature was rejected vide notification dated 02.11.2022 wherein it was stated that he has worked as Consultant in two hospitals i.e.

- (i) Vivekanand Hospital (Multispecialty Hospital & Research Center).
- (ii) Aditya Orthopedic and General Surgical Hospital.

The Applicant has worked as Consultant in these two hospitals which does not amount to full time employment. He submitted the



application to M.P.S.C. on 04.11.2022 stating that he had been declared as ineligible because he has mentioned his designation as Consultant. However he stated that this was inadvertent technical error. He further requested M.P.S.C. to go through his application wherein in the experience certificate of both the hospitals it is clearly mentioned that he has worked on full time basis. He, therefore, prays that he should be allowed to appear for the interview for the post of Civil Surgeon, Maharashtra Medical and Health Services which are currently going-on i.e. on 09.11.2022, 10.11.2022 and 11.11.2022.

3. Learned C.P.O. opposes the O.A. on the ground that the applicant has himself stated that he worked as Consultant and this experience cannot be counted as valid experience as per clause 8.1 of the said advertisement dated 30.12.2021. She further pointed out that Consultant can be working in multiple hospitals but the Applicant may not be necessarily working as full time employee in the same hospital.

4. We have perused the experience certificate furnished by the Applicant of both hospitals. It is clearly stated that he has worked in the said hospitals as 'Medical Officer Anesthesiologist and Intensive Care Physician'. Hence, in view of the above, prima facie, case has been made out for grant of interim relief. We allow the applicant to appear for the interview tomorrow, subject to the final out-come of this O.A. M.P.S.C. is further directed to allow the applicant to appear for the interview tomorrow i.e. on 11.11.2022.

5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.



6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.11.2022.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
11. Hamdast. Adjourned to 24.11.2022.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

19 h
Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.11.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.1103 of 2022</p> <p>M.G. DavariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed present O.A. seeking modification in the order dated 30.05.2022 seeking posting in Kolhapur District.</p> <p>3. The perusal of record reveals that by order dated 30.05.2022 the Applicant is promoted and posted in Pune Division. He had given option of Pune Division as seen from his option letter dated 28.03.2022. Thus apparently the Applicant was given Pune Division as per his option and posted as Deputy Director Health Services (Malaria & Falaria ^{Talaria} Pune. He made representation for posting at Ichalkaranji or Gadhinglaj, District Kolhapur.</p> <p>4. Since, the Applicant is promoted and given posting as per his option in Pune Division the question of breach of Divisional Cadre Allotment Rules does not survive. The Applicant can't ask for particular place in Pune Division.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is therefore directed to satisfy how O.A. is maintainable.</p> <p>6. On request adjourned for tomorrow.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 11.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.711/2019 with O.A.741/2021</u></p> <p>Shri M.N. Gaikwad Shri C.P. Yelve Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">... Applicants ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In these O.As, the issue is whether a person carrying pay scale of Rs.5600-9000 falls in Group 'B' or 'C'. This Tribunal made reference about the said issue to the Division Bench by order dated 23.12.2021 passed in O.A.No.498/2020.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant as well as learned P.O. has pointed out that the matter is still subjudice before Division Bench.</p> <p>4. In view of above, hearing of these O.As is adjourned awaiting decision of Division Bench.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 7th December, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 10.11.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>	

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.827 of 2022 with O.A. No.966 of 2022 with O.A. No.607 of 2022 with O.A. No.608 of 2022 with O.A. No.620 of 2022</p> <p>D.D. Sonawane S.V. Raje S.L. Sawant P.B. Kadam S.G. GhatgeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer along with Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent in O.A. No.607/2022, 608/2022 & 620/2022.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply in O.A. No.827/2022 & 966/2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.11.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.1061 of 2021 with O.A. No.195 of 2022</p> <p>B.G. Karde T.S. SableApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.2. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note.3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.4. In view of leave note, adjourned for Final Hearing on 16.11.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.11.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.953 of 2022</p> <p>A.A.K. ShaikhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.4. S.O. to 28.11.2022. <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.743 of 2022 with O.A. No.744 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. K.S. MadarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. On previous date last chance was granted but in vain. I am therefore not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing without Reply.</p> <p>4. Interim stay to continue till next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 28.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.470 of 2022</p> <p>C.D. AnbhuleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. P. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note. 3. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to O.A. 5. S.O. to 17.11.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.326 of 2022 with O.A. No.863 of 2022</p> <p>N.N. KulkarniApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.N. Kulkarni, Applicant-in-Person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In O.A. No.326/2022, Respondent No.3 had already filed Affidavit-in-Reply to which the Applicant has filed Rejoinder today. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Insofar as O.A. No.863/2022 is concerned, on request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1102 of 2022</p> <p>J.A. PagarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant is seeking Inter District Transfer from the establishment of The Commissioner of Police, Mumbai to the establishment of the Superintendent of Police, Nashik (Rural). 3. This O.A. be kept with connected O.A. of Inter District Transfer on 14.11.2022. 4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 5. In the mean time, issue notices of O.A. to the Respondent before admission returnable on 14.11.2022. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 14.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 10.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1101 of 2022</p> <p>V.D. ParabApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 08.04.2022 & 17.08.2022 whereby pay is revised and recovery is sought after retirement. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.11.2022. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. <p align="right">[PTO.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. Interim stay is granted to the recovery order dated 17.08.2022 till filing of Reply.

10. This O.A. be kept along with connected O.A. No.827/2022 & Ors.

11 S.O. to 28.11.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN