

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.10.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.797 of 2019</b></p> <p>Dr. B. V. Jagtap .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 03.08.2019 whereby he was transferred from the post of Medical Superintendent, Rural Hospital, Mahad, Dist. Raigad to Medical Superintendent, Rural Hospital, Dhebewadi, Dist. Satara. In transfer order, he is shown transferred on his request. However, the Applicant contends that he never made any such request and the transfer order is <i>ex-facie</i> illegal.</p> <p>3. The Tribunal has perused the file tendered by learned P.O. at the time of considering interim relief and it was noticed that the Applicant is shown transferred along with other officials on their representation or request. However, no such request or representation of the Applicant was forthcoming in the file. Besides, there was no approval of Civil Services Board for such mid-term transfer. The Tribunal has specifically mentioned this aspect while granting interim relief and implementation of transfer order was stayed.</p> <p>4. Enough chances were granted to the learned C.P.O. for filing reply or to show that Applicant has in fact made any such request for transfer. However, besides enough chances, neither reply is filed nor it is shown that there was any application of the Applicant for request transfer.</p> <p>5. Suffice to say, the Respondents could not justify the transfer order.</p> <p>6. In view of above, it is explicit that impugned transfer order is bad in law and interim relief granted by the Tribunal needs to be confirmed.</p> <p>7. In view of above, interim relief granted by this Tribunal on 19.08.2019 is made absolute.</p> <p>8. Original Application is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>10.10.2019</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 43/2017 in O.A 315/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.N Salunkhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 07.11.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. Issue notice returnable on 7.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) <span style="float: right;">(P.N Dixit)</span> Member (J) <span style="float: right;">Vice-Chairman (A)</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">AKH</p>

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>10.10.2019</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 33/2019 in O.A 752/2018</u></b></p> <p>Dr R.V Solanke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Prachi Mestry, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned CPO files reply explaining the delay and request four weeks' time for deciding the appeal.</p> <p>3. S.O to 26.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

Akn

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Courts,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**10.10.2019**

**M.A 544/2019 in O.A 977/2019**

K G Dhanyjay & Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri J.V. Patil, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents

2. The present Misc Application is filed to sue jointly as the cause of action arose is the same.

3. M.A to sue jointly is allowed subject to payment of necessary court fees if not already paid.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

Aks

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Circumstances,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders.

**10.10.2019** Tribunal's orders

**O.A 975/2019 with O.A 976/2019 with O.A 977/2019**

Shri G.S Bhajbhujje [O.A 975/2019]  
Shri B. A Karale [O.A 976/2019]  
K.G Dhanyjay & Ors [O.A 977/2019]... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri J.V Patil, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 20.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.

8. The appointment of the selected candidates will be subject to the outcome of this Original Application.

9. S.O to 20.11.2019

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Aka

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**10.10.2019****M.A 555/2019 in O.A 999/2019**

Shri N.V. Thokar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 19.11.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.
8. Issue notice returnable on 19.11.2019.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhokar)  
Member (J)**

Sd/-

**(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)**

AKG

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

MA/R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.10.2019</u></p> <p><u>C.A 17/2017 in O.A 586/2016</u></p> <p>Dr S.S Chappalwar ... Applicant Vs The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bondiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O to 22.10.2019. No further extension.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office-Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>10.10.2019</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 993, 994, 995 &amp; 996/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri V.D Kalsait [O.A 993/2019]  Shri S.A Narawade [O.A 994/2019]  Shri S.Y Raypure [O.A 995/2019]  Shri S.P Tadavalekar [O.A 996/2019] ... Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 19.11.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. Issue notice returnable on 19.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) <span style="float: right;">(P.N Dixit)</span>  Member (J) <span style="float: right;">Vice-Chairman (A)</span></p> <p><small>Also</small></p>



(Advocate.....)

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 10.10.2019

M.A.No.475 of 2019 in O.A.No.925 of 2017 with  
M.A.No.476 of 2019 in O.A.No.925 of 2017

D.K. Gunjal ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondents No.7, 11, 19, 21, 24, 26,42, 45, 52, 53, 31, 15, 54 and 55.

2. Learned Advocate Shri C.T. Chandratre for the Applicant submits that he may be allowed to withdraw the M.A.No.476 of 2019 and substitute the same.

3. Accordingly, learned Advocate for the Applicant is permitted to withdraw the M.A. and to file fresh M.A.

4. Adjourned to 07.11.2019.

5. Registrar is directed that the matter be tagged along with O.A.No.830 of 2019 on next date.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

IN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<p><b>10.10.2019</b></p> <p>Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p><b>C.A 40/2019 in O.A 392/2016</b></p> <p>D.D Kambie ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 07.11.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. Issue notice returnable on 7.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>



The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondents

Presenting Officer, ...

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
O.A. No. 256 of 2019 with O.A. No. 257 of 2019

Dr. S.D. Kulkarni  
Dr. P.D. Shendge  
Vs  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors

Applicants

Respondents

Heard Shri. A.G. Pawar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of ... CPO adjourned to 6.11.2019 for filing reply. No further extension will be granted.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)  
10.10.2019

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
10.10.2019

(Sd)

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondents

Presenting Officer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

MA No. 489 of 2019 with O.A. No. 873 of 2019

K.V. Yadav ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri P.L. Satankar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Ld. Advocate seeks adjournment for making necessary corrections in this MA.
- 3. At his request adjourned to 21.11.2019.

Sd/-  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)  
10.10.2019

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
10.10.2019

(Sd/-)

Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondents

Presenting Officer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 10.10.2019

M.A.No.289 of 2019 in O.A.No.512 of 2019

G.T. Garud ..... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents prays for time to file reply in M.A. and O.A. both

3. Time granted. Adjourned to 05.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_ District \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s \_\_\_\_\_

Advocate/s for the Applicant/s \_\_\_\_\_  
versus

The State of Maharashtra and others \_\_\_\_\_ Respondent/s

Presenting Officer \_\_\_\_\_

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Forum,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
M.A. No 507 of 2019 with O.A. No.846 of 2019

V.P. Salunke & Ors. \_\_\_\_\_Applicants  
Vs  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. \_\_\_\_\_Respondents

Heard Shri N.P. Deshpande, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. By this MA, the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fee, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-  
(A.P. Karhekar)  
Member (J)  
10.10.2019

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
10.10.2019

OsgD

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No 846 of 2019

V.P. Salunke & Ors. ..Applicants  
Vs  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri N.F. Deshpande, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manehekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Issue notice returnable on 20.11.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, O.A shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)  
10.10.2019

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
10.10.2019

(sgd)





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

MA/RA/CA No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<p><b>10.10.2019</b></p> <p>Tribunal's orders <b>C.A 35/2019 in O.A 835/2018</b></p>
	<p>Dr R.V Solanke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Prachi Mistry, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned CPO submits apology for the delay. She also produced communication dated 7.10.2019, whereby decision has been taken as per directions given by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. In view of the same, nothing survives in the C.A. C.A therefore stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-    <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-    <b>(P.N. Dixit)</b>  <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p>

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Court,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
Directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**10.10.2019**

**C.A 34/2019 in O.A 835/2018**

Dr R.V Solanke ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1 Heard Ms Prachi Meshry, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned CPO submits apology for the delay. She also produced communication whereby decision has been taken as per directions given by this Tribunal on 5.10.2018.

3. In view of the same nothing survives in the C.A. C A therefore stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Asst

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**10.10.2019**

**C.A 36/2019 in O.A 834/2018**

Dr R.V Solanke Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents

1. Heard Ms Prachi Mestry, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents
2. Learned CPO submits apology for the delay. She also produced communication dated 7.10.2019, whereby decision has been taken as per directions given by this Tribunal.
3. In view of the same nothing survives in the C.A. C A therefore stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Aku

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**10.10.2019**

**C.A 37/2019 in O.A 322/2017**

Dr P.W Khandezod ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant, Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for Respondents no 1 & 2 and Ms G.G Gavli, learned advocate for Respondent no. 3.

2. Learned advocate for Respondent no. 3 submits affidavit

3. S.O to 5.11.2019 for intimating progress.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akt

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Circum,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**10.10.2019**

**C.A 38/2019 in O.A 634/2017**

Shri S.D Sutar ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.G Ambekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. S O to 17.1.12019 to intimate the progress of the matter.

k

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

AKO

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.912 of 2019 with M.A.No.527 of 2019</p> <p>Y. T. Daunde .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has tendered the order passed by the Commissioner dated 04.10.2019 whereby the Applicant has been posted as Assistant Commissioner (Food), Zone-6, Thane for the time being. He further pointed out that the Applicant has already made representation dated 23.09.2019 to confirm his posting at the said place or to transfer him in Zone-5.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that the Government will take appropriate decision on the representation made by the Applicant after elections.</p> <p>5. In view of above, the matter is adjourned to 07.11.2019.</p> <p>6. Hamdast and steno copy is granted.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 07.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.942 of 2019 with O.A.No.943 of 2019</p> <p>P. S. Pawar A. C. Shinde .....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2 and Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3 in O.A. No.942/2019.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 in both the Original Applications. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3, one week's time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 10.10.2019
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.627 of 2019</p> <p>P. B. Pawar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 26.02.2019 whereby the Applicant is kept under suspension in view of registration of crime against him.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents on instructions from Shri Sanjeev Dhuri, Under Secretary, Rural Dev. Department submits that the matter was placed before the Review Committee. In meeting dated 21.09.2019, the Review Committee has recommended to revoke the suspension and to reinstate the Applicant in service. She submits that further orders are <del>accepted</del><sup>accepted</sup> after elections are over.</p> <p>4. In view of above, the matter is adjourned awaiting further orders.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 04.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>vsm</p>



Date : 10.10.2019.

O. A. No.992 of 2019

Dr. P. N. Chaudhari

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.11.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 14.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

Date : 10.10.2019.

R.A. No.19 of 2019 in O.A.No.817 of 2019

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Applicants  
(Ori. Respondents)

Versus

S. R. Karande ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)

1. Heard Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Ms Iswarya Subbiah holding for Shri K. Gidh, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.11.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of R.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Review Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 14.11.2019.
9. Since, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant) is present, service of review is dispensed with. Original Applicant should file reply in R.A. on the next date.

Sd/- 

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>10.10.2019</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 978/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri D.B Jadhav &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri P.S Bhavake, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice returnable on 20.11.2019.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. Respondents are directed to file a short affidavit clarifying the reason as to why the applicants were held ineligible to appear for the examination.</li> <li>9. S.O to 20.11.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (I)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>M.A. No.338 of 2019 in O.A. No.600 of 2019</u>
	<p>M.M. Dhule ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 4.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 10.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>M.A. No.239 of 2019 in O.A. No.436 of 2019</u>
	<p>B.K. Kalekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 4.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 10.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.367 of 2019</u>
	<p>A.S. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 4.11.2019 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.11.2019 for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 10.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.10.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 545/2019 in O.A 978/2019</u></p> <p>Shri D.B Jadhav &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.S Bhavake, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present Misc Application is filed to sue jointly since the cause of action arose is the same.</p> <p>3. M.A to sue jointly is allowed subject to payment of necessary court fees if not already paid.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.10.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 551/2019 in O.A 991/2019</u></p> <p>Shri B.N Bastewad &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present Misc Application is filed to sue jointly since the cause of action arose is the same.</p> <p>3. M.A to sue jointly is allowed subject to payment of necessary court fees if not already paid.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <b><u>10.10.2019</u></b>
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 991/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri B.N Bastewad &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice returnable on 22.10.2019.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. Respondents are directed to file reply referring to para 6.17.</li> <li>9. S.O to 22.10.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Alkn</p>

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.1019 of 2015</p> <p>J. V. Patil &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Ms Mansha Khemka with Ms Bhagyashree Upadhyay, learned Counsels for Respondent Nos.5, 6 and 7. Respondents Nos.4 and 8 are served but absent, nobody appears on their behalf.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondent has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3 to the counter Affidavit dated 30.08.2018 filed by the Applicants. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. This matter is High Court remanded matter with directions to decide expeditiously. Hence, the matter is adjourned for final hearing with directions that no further time will be given.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....


*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.850 of 2019
	<p>B.M. Donglikar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today Shri Kailash Verulkar, Legal Advisor from the office of Public Health Department is present. On his instructions Ld. PO produces a communication dated 9.10.2019. However, the letter is not pertaining to the points raised in the OA viz. nonpayment of leave encashment and travelling allowance.</p> <p>3. The respondents are directed to ensure that the reply is filed within two weeks as claimed by the Ld. PO.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 5.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N' Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 10.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.568 of 2019</u></p> <p>Ashok D. Sawant ..Applicant Vs: ..Respondents The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is before this Tribunal with the prayer to quash the impugned order dated 22.3.2019 (Exhibit A page 50) issued by respondent no.2 (Commissioner of Police, Mumbai) and considering him for promotion to the rank of Police Head Constable. The impugned order states that in the year 2010-2011 the applicant was having B+ in his ACR. However, he was promoted on 10.6.2016. As he would complete 3 years in this post by June, 2019 he would be considered for promotion to the rank of Head Constable thereafter.</p> <p>3. During hearing the l.d. Advocate for the applicant on instructions produces communication from respondent no.1 (Home Department) enclosing order by appellate authority dated 9.7.2019. The relevant portion of the same reads as under:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">२) वादी श्री. अशोक द-ताराम सावंत, पोलीस नाईक क्र.१९८९१, तत्का. जेम. मरीन ड्राईव्ह पोलीस ठाणे मुंबई यांना शिस्तभंग प्राधिकारी यांनी अंतिम आदेशात दिलेली "पोलीस नाईक पदाच्या मूळ वेतनावर ३ वर्ष ठेवणे" ही शिक्षा रद्द करून त्याऐवजी पोलीस शिपाई पदाच्या मूळ वेतनावर एक वर्ष ठेवणे" ही शिक्षा देण्यात येत आहे.</p> <p>4. He also submits revised orders from Additional Commissioner of Police, South Region dated 11.5.2017 whereby the leave granted to him has been regularized as Earned Leave/Commuted Leave. Considering both these orders tendered during hearing, respondent no.2 is directed to revise his consideration for being promoted as Naik and thereafter as Head Constable. It appears that the impugned order issued on 22.3.2019 has not taken into account the communication dated 11.5.2017 by Additional Commissioner of Police as well as the order issued by appellate authority dated 9.7.2019.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, the OA is allowed and impugned order is quashed and set aside. The revised order for his promotion as Police Naik and Police Head Constable should be prepared within a period of four weeks from today and communicated to the applicant within two weeks thereafter. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N.Dixit)• Vice-Chairman (A) 10.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No. \_\_\_\_\_**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.10.2019.</p> <p align="center"><b>D.A.No.451 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>S. B. Bhat</b> <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Drs. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter pertains to punishment imposed in D.E. which pertains to Bench No.1 as per office order.</p> <p>3. In view of above, Registrar is directed to examine the matter and place it before appropriate bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.10.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.189 of 2018</p> <p>S. D. Patil <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Drs. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The matter pertains to appointment for Police Patil and today, it is fixed for final hearing. However, the Applicant and his Counsel both are absent.</li> <li>3. Perusal of record reveals that they are not attending the matter regularly.</li> <li>4. Thus, the Applicant appears not interested in the matter.</li> <li>5. In view of above, Original Application is dismissed in default.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.981 of 2018</p> <p>S. S. Gargote ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. R. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Present O.A. is filed for interest on delayed payment which pertains to Bench No.1 in terms of recent office order.</p> <p>3. In view of above, Registrar is directed to examine the matter and place it before appropriate bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.962 of 2019

M.N. Korade ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 25.11.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
10.10.2019

(sg)