

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**10.10.2016**

**O.A No 984/2016**

Smt Dr R.D Raokhande ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned Special Counsel for the Respondent.

Learned Advocate Shri Lonkar seeks one weeks time to file reply so that the matter can be worked out finally.

Status quo to continue till next dte. The matter is fixed for filing reply on 24.10.2016.

DATE: 10/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri S. S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri M. D. Lonkar

~~C.O./P.O.~~ for the Respondents

~~Spl. Counsel~~

~~Ag. S.O. to 24/10/16.~~

poor Reply.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No: ..... of 20 ..... DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**10.10.2016**

**O.A No 255/2016**

Dr V.K Patne ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

Affidavit in rejoinder is filed by the Applicant. O.A is admitted. Respondents are at liberty to file sur-rejoinder, if need be.

Place for final hearing on 8.11.2016.

DATE: 10/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad~~

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~

O.A. is admitted.

~~Adj. To. S.O. to 8/11/16.~~

*FH*  
*@/10/16*

Akn

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**10.10.2016**

**O.A No 694/2016**

Shri T.L Wankhede ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri A.R Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

Affidavit in rejoinder is filed by the Applicant. O.A is admitted. Respondents are at liberty to file sur-rejoinder, if need be.

Place for final hearing on 27.10.2016.

DATE: 10/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.R. Joshi.....

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondents

Rejoinder filed by Applicant.

Adj. To 27/10/16.....

O.A. is admitted.

Akn

FH  
Agarwal

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 10/10/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) <del>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</del></p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>S. S. Dere holding</u> <u>for M.V. Thorat</u> Advocate for the Applicant <del>Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaikwad</del> <del>C.P.O. P.O. for the Respondents</del></p> <p><u>Adj. To</u> <u>S.O. to 17/10/16.</u></p>	<p><b><u>10.10.2016</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A No 835/2016</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.S Benake ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S Dere, holding for Shri M.V Thorat, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned Advocate Shri Dere states that the title of the Original Application is required to be amended and instead of Principal Secretary, Public Health Department, the Respondent no. 1 is Principal Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department. He, therefore, prayed that he may be allowed to amend the title of the O.A. The oral request of the learned Advocate to amend the title of the O.A is granted.</p> <p>Amendment to be carried out within one week and copy of the amended O.A be served on the Respondents.</p> <p>S.O to 17.10.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>



O.A.No. 614/2014 with O.A.938/2016

**Shri D.E. Hirde (OA 614/14)**

**Shri V.V. Chavan & Ors (OA 938/16)**

**... Applicant**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & ors.**

**... Respondents**

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate in O.A.614/2014, Smt Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the respondent nos.1 & 2, Shri M.R. Patil, the learned Advocate for Respondent Nos. 3 to 7 in O.A.938/2016, Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.938/2016, Smt Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondent Nos.1, 2, 3 and 5 and Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 therein.

We have heard the submissions at the Bar. The learned Advocate Shri Dere insisted on interim orders to be made in order to make sure that 26<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 in his O.A. (Exb.A, Page 15 of the Paper Book) is not given effect to order as of today reserving his right.

In view of the fact that the matter is already pending and pleadings have been completed, the Respondents must file their Affidavit-in-Reply in OA 938/2016 on the next day and irrespective of whether the said reply is filed or not, the OA shall also proceed to its next stage. It is possible that we may hear both the OAs together.

Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate and the learned P.O. are hereby directed to place formally the order above referred to in OA 614/2014 as well.

S.O. to 26.10.2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)

109.10.2016

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

09.10.2016

DATE:

10/10/16

COMAM:

Shri RAJIV AGARWAL

(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri

C.T. Chandratre  
and S.S. Dere  
Advocates for the Applicants,

Shri/Smt.

K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents No. 1 to 3 & 5.

C.T. Chandratre for R.No.4.

COA 938/16)

Adj. To

S.O. to 26/10/16.

*(Signature)*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**M.A.303/2016 in O.A.664/2013**

**Shri A. H. Kaktikar ... Applicant**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The respondents must keep the relevant record for the perusal of this Bench on the next date and this direction must be complied within the time limit fixed thereby. Hamdast.

S.O. to 24.10.2016.

DATE: 10/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Ms. M. D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Ms. N. G. Gohad

P.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 24/10/16

*[Handwritten signature]*

Sd/- Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)

10/10.2016

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

09.10.2016

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

~~M.A. 254/2016 in O.A. 614/2016~~

**Shri S.K. Manthalkar ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Affidavit-in-Reply is taken on record. Learned Advocate Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar undertakes to file rejoinder during the course of the day, today.

On this statement of the learned Advocate, Admit. To come up in due course. Liberty to mention granted.

DATE: 10/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. G.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. N.G. Gohad

~~Presenting Officer for the Respondents~~

Reply filed by G.A. Bandiwadekar

Adj. To

O.A. is Admit  
Liberty to mention is granted.

[Signature] (vsm)

Sd/-

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

10/10/2016

09.10.2016

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.No. 195/2015 with 265/2015  
with 985/2015**

**Shri H.S. Avhad & Ors. (O.A.195/15)  
Shri M. L.Shirsat (O.A.265/15)  
Shri C.T. Thorat (O.A.985/15) ... Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the applicants in O.A.No.195/15. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A.No.265/15. Smt Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. holding for Shri K.B. Bhise, learned P.O. for the respondents in O.A.195/15 and also in O.A. Nos.265/15 & 985/15 for respondent nos. 1 & 2.

Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the respondents no.3 in O.A.985/15 informs that his client has already taken no objection from him and Shri A.A. Desai, the learned Advocate files vakalatnama on behalf of the respondent no.3 which is taken on record.

Shri Lonkar, the learned advocate on his request is formally relieved from the responsibility as an advocate from the said matter.

S.O. to 07.11.2016.

**DATE:** 10/10/16

**CORAM:**

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale and  
A.V. Bandiwadekar  
Advocate for the Applicants.  
Shri/Smt. K.S. Geerkewad  
P.O. for the Respondents  
holding for R.B. Bhise  
M.D. Lonkar for R.No. ~~324~~  
Adj. To S.P. Manchekar for R.No.324  
(COA 265/15)  
A.A. Desai for R.No.3 (COA 985/15)  
S.O. to 7/11/16.

Sd/- \_\_\_\_\_ Sd/- \_\_\_\_\_

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
10/10.2016

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman  
10/10.2016

(vsm)



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**C.A.79/2016 in O.A.677/2012**

**Dr. Vishnu R. Tidke ... Applicants**  
**V/s.**  
**1. Smt. Sujata Saunik & 3 others ...**  
**Respondents**

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 15.10.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> November, 2016. The learned C.P.O. do waive service.

DATE: 10/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) *[Signature]*

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 15/10/16

*[Signature]*

Sd/- Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)

10.10.2016

(VSM)

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

09.10.2016

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 10/10/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Shri R.B. Malik (M)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Kameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u></p> <p>Shri S.S. Dere</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri S. Suryawanshi</p> <p>C.R.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... 24/10/16</p> <p style="text-align: right;">BT</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.112/2015</u></b></p> <p><b>Ms. V.S. Jalke</b> ... Applicant</p> <p><b>Vs.</b></p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Shri Dere, the learned Advocate requests that the directions be given to the Respondent - MPSC to place the result of the Applicant in a sealed cover on the next date. It may not be accurate for me today to make any detailed comments, but it needs to be mentioned that there is a possibility that there may have been some mix up in comprehending my order of 20.10.2014. It is not possible for me to make final announcement today, but as of today, I direct that the Respondent - MPSC shall produce before me result of the Applicant in a sealed cover on the next date. There have been other submissions which if need be will be considered later.</p> <p>S.O. to 24<sup>th</sup> October, 2016.</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 20px; margin: 10px auto;"></div> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(R.B. Malik) 10.10.16</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">10.10.2016</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>10/10/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> <u>Shri R.B. Malik (MJ)</u> Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> Hon'ble <u>Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> <u>Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>MA is allowed.</u></p>	<p><b><u>M.A.405/2016 in O.A.980/2016</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri S.P. Yadav &amp; Ors. ... Applicants</b> <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>Sd/- <u>[Signature]</u> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 10.10.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>10/10/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> <u>Shri R.B. Malik (M/J)</u> Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri V. Rameshkumar (Member) A</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> <u>Shri J.A. Kamble</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>Shri K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>Admit.</u></p>	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.279/2016</u></b></p> <p><b>Dr. S.V. Gaikwad</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri J.N. Kamble holding for Shri G. Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad holding for Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Shri Kamble makes a statement that the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder. Admit.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>Considering the facts in light of the submissions, this matter be placed before the Bench on 8<sup>th</sup> November, 2016.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) <u>10.10.16</u> Member (J) 10.10.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 10/10/16</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Shri R.B. Malik (MJ)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b></p> <p>Shri Punam Mahajan</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri N.K. Rajpurohit</p> <p>CEO/T.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... 10/11/16.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">BTE</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.905/2016</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri K.P. Patil</b> ... Applicant</p> <p><b>Vs.</b></p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Smt. Mahajan, the learned Advocate mentioned the matter after it was adjourned. Heard. She makes a statement that the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder. Admit.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>Considering the facts in light of the submissions, this matter be placed before the 2<sup>nd</sup> Division Bench for hearing on 10<sup>th</sup> November, 2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(R.B. Malik)</p> <p>Member (J)</p> <p>10.10.2016</p> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**M.A. 407/2016 in O.A.642/2016**

**Shri G.J. Rasal ... Applicant**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This is an application for expediting the hearing of the OA.

At the outset, be it noted that Ms. Gohad wanted time to take instructions probably implying that she wanted to file Affidavit-in-reply hereto. However, regard being had to the nature of the relief herein claimed, I am not so disposed as to prolong this matter any further and therefore the request of the learned P.O. is turned down.

Regard being had to ~~the~~ all these facts and circumstances herein mentioned, the hearing of the OA is expedited and the expedited OA be shown for final hearing on 27<sup>th</sup> October, 2016 which is the date already given.

S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> October, 2016.

DATE: 10/10/16  
CORAM: Shri R.B. Malik (MJ)  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:  
Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar  
Advocate for the Applicant  
Shri A.G. Sehgal  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 27/10/16.

*[Handwritten signature]*

(skw)

Sd/- [Signature]  
(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
10.10.2016

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.982/2016**

**Shri N.M. Pathan ... Applicant**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 10.11.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 10<sup>th</sup> November, 2016.

**DATE:** 10/10/16  
**CORAM:** Shri R.B. Malik (MJ)  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramasubramanian (Member) A

**APPEARANCE:**  
Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant  
K.S. Gaikwad  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. P. 10/11/2016

*BT*

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 10/10/16  
Member (J)  
10.10.2016

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.980/2016**

**Shri S.P. Yadav & Ors. ... Applicants**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 20.11.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 10<sup>th</sup> November, 2016.

DATE: 10/10/16

CORAM: Shri R.B. Malik (M)  
Hon'ble Justice Shri H. Joshi (Chairman)

Shri B. S. Kamesh Kumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 10/10/16

*[Handwritten signature]*

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 10.10.16  
Member (J)  
10.10.2016

(skw)