

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br>directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b>Date: 10.09.2024</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.789 of 2023 with O.A. No.790 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.M. Medhe</b><br/><b>P.A. Sawant</b> .....Applicants<br/><b>Versus</b><br/><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO informs that 'Principal Secretary, Agriculture Department' has not yet responded to observations and directions in 'Para 2' and 'Para 3' of 'Order' dated 18.7.2024 about 'Revised Seniority List' of 'Maharashtra Agricultural Services' 'Group B' which had been given against backdrop of 'Set of Documents' submitted by learned Advocate for Applicants on 18.7.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicants today presents extracts of 'Final Seniority List' of 'Maharashtra Agricultural Services' - 'Group B' published on 01.01.2003 wherein it is now specifically pointed out officers at (i) Sr. No.1573 - Shri Chetan Kumar Thakare, (ii) Sr. No.1579 - Shri Ashok Shridhar Damale, are juniors to Applicant in O.A. No.789/2023 who is at Sr. No. 1517 &amp; officer at (i) Sr. No. 1880 – Satish Karbhari Shirsath is junior to Applicant in O.A. No.790/2023 who is at Sr. No. 1605.</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. The learned PO accordingly is informed to seek confirmation about claims made as above by learned Advocate in respect of 'Seniority of Applicants' in (a) O.A. No.789/2023 and (b) OA No.790/2023 and if these are '*prima facie*' found to be correct; then whether 'Principal Secretary, Agricultural Department' would acknowledge that such an error of judgment had resulted in Applicants inadvertently not being allotted to 'Nashik Division' and 'Pune Division' although senior to few other officers in cadre of 'Maharashtra Agricultural Services' 'Group B'.

5. The finalization of 'Seniority List' of 'Maharashtra Agricultural Services' - 'Group B' as per 'Para 5' of GR dated 13.12.2022, will take much longer time than was expected; hence in above stated context in order to overcome the inadvertent error of judgment; whether 'Principal Secretary, Agricultural Department' would now be willing to make re-allotment of Applicants to 'Nashik Division' and 'Pune Division'.

6. The 'Principal Secretary, Agriculture Department' would also be expected to also provide information about 'Vacant Posts' as on 1.9.2024 in cadre of 'Maharashtra Agricultural Services', 'Group-B' in 'Nashik Division' and 'Pune Division'.

7. S.O. to 24.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b><u>10.09.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 824/2024</u></b></p> <p>R.B Tadvi ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 24.9.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b><u>10.09.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A Nos 1035, 1036, 1037, 1038, 1039, 1040, 1041, 1047 &amp; 1055/2024</u></b></p> <p>V.S Jagate<br/>E.N Kadam<br/>Y.C Khatal<br/>S.J Bhujbal<br/>V.B Jawane<br/>P.M Bhingare<br/>P.S Dange<br/>S.G Korabu<br/>R.S Jadhavar</p> <p align="right">... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, Smt K.S Gaikwad, Shri A.D Gugale, Shri D.R Patil, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that pursuant to the earlier order of this Tribunal, the cases of all the applicants are considered and the report about the same is awaited. Learned P.O submitted that the officers are coming from Solapur and the matter be kept back.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel also submitted that the matter be kept back.</p> <p>4. Today after sometime as I am not available, the matter is kept tomorrow.</p> <p>5. S.O to 12.9.2024. Interim relief, if any to continue.</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <p>Sd/-<br/>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson</p> </div> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b><u>10.09.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 943 with 944/2024</u></b></p> <p>A.R Hivarkar<br/>S.B Bandgar ... Applicants<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Matter called out. Learned counsel Nagargoje not present on V.C or present in person. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 24.9.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b><u>10.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1031/2024</u></b></p> <p>D.B Ahire ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondent No 1 to 3 and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for Respondent No. 4.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 3.10.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-<br/><br/>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b><u>10.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1018/2024</u></b></p> <p>S.P Bhosale ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.9.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-<br/>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b><u>10.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1577 with M.A 45/2024</u></b></p> <p>A.L Dure ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondent No 1 &amp; 2 and Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for Respondent No. 3.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Shri Dere submits that applicant has sent email to Shri Talekar to seek NOC from him. Learned counsel submits that his name is to be shown on Board.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that record of the C.S.B meeting will be given for inspection to the learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O to 24.9.2024. . . . . 1.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-<br/><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p> |



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><u>10.09.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1099/2024</u></p> <p>A.S Adurkar ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Matter called out. Learned counsel Nagargoje not present on V.C or present in person. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 24.9.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-<br/>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b><u>10.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 964/2024</u></b></p> <p>V.N Bhabad ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.D Gugale, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submits that from the beginning nobody from the Respondent-Department is present.</p> <p>4. Place for final hearing on 27.9.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-<br/><br/>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b><u>10.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 957/2024</u></b></p> <p>P. Suryawanshi ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Sakolkar through V.C, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents No 1 &amp; 2 and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for Respondent No. 3.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O is directed to file reply by 13.09.2024.</p> <p>4. S.O to 19.9.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-<br/><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 10.09.2024

O.A.NO.1104 OF 2024

R.D. Akrupe

...Applicant

**Versus**

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...**Respondents**

1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. None for Private Respondent No.3.
3. The Respondent-Department is directed to serve Private Respondent No.3 as Private Respondent No.3 is required to remain present.
4. Adjourned to 18.09.2024. Status quo, if any, to be maintained till next date.

Sd/-

/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b>Date : 10.09.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1159/2024</b></p> <p>S.P. Jawale .....Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the impugned order dated 05.09.2024 passed by Respondent No.1 under which he not only reverted the Petitioner from the post of Warden (Male) to Superintendent (Male) but also unilaterally change the division of the Petitioner from Nashik to Thane by Impugned order and therefore Petitioner be granted all consequential benefits as if the Impugned order was not passed. Applicant further prays that Respondents be directed to set aside the impugned order dated 27.08.2024 passed by the Respondent no.2 under which he approved the action of the Respondent No.1 in the form of the intended reversion from the promotional post of Warden (Male) to the post of Superintendent (Male) which is one the independent establishment of Respondent no.3 and further made the said decision would be binding on the Respondent No.3 as to the decision of the reversion of the Petitioner and accordingly the Petitioner be granted all the consequential service benefits, as it the impugned order has not been passed.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel has submitted that applicant has challenged the order dated 05.09.2024. Learned counsel has submitted that applicant is not working on the establishment of Respondent No.1, Additional Commissioner for Tribal Development, Thane. Applicant has been working on the promotional post of Warden (Male) since 04.07.2013. Learned Counsel has submitted that the Applicant is not reverted back till now and Respondent no.3 has not taken any action on the said notice. He has submitted that no show cause notice is ever issued to the Applicant. Learned Counsel on instructions from the Applicant who is present in the court makes statement that all the Respondents were served yesterday i.e. 09.09.2024 between 2 p.m. to 3 p.m..</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> |



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 10.09.2024**

**M.A.No.701/2023 in O.A.No.870/2021**

A.R. Ishware & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.V. Waghmare, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 12.09.2024. To be placed before the Bench which is going to be constituted.

SD/-

SD/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 10.09.2024**

**M.A.No.701/2023 in O.A.No.870/2024 (Mumbai)  
(O.A.No.328/2023 Nagpur)**

S.V. Kakade & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Madhavi Ayyappan holding for Mr. Talekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 12.09.2024. To be placed before the Bench which is going to be constituted.

SD/-

SD/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

prk



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 10.09.2024

**M.A.No.450/2024 in O.A.No.628/2024 (Mumbai)**  
**(O.A.No.328/2023 Nagpur)**

S.V. Kakade & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Madhavi Ayyappan holding for Mr. Talekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 12.09.2024. To be placed before the Bench which is going to be constituted.

SD/-

SD/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 10.09.2024**

**O.A.No.626/2024 with O.A.No.627/2024 with  
O.A.No.739/2024 with O.A.No.678/2024 with  
O.A.No.679/2024 with O.A.No.680/2024 with  
O.A.No.681/2024 with O.A.No.682/2024**

A.P. Alhat & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants in O.A.No.739/2024 and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar learned Counsel for the Applicants in O.A.No.678/2024 with O.A.No.679/2024 with O.A.No.680/2024 with O.A.No.681/2024 with O.A.No.682/2024 and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, Ms. Archana B.K., Mr. A.J. Chougule, Mr. A.D. Gugale and Mr. D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar has submitted that affidavit-in-reply is not filed in O.A.Nos.678, 679, 680, 681 and 682 of 2024 therefore these O.As are to be detagged and shown under the caption 'For Due Admission'.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.

4. Time granted. Matters to be detagged.

O.A.No.626/2024 with O.A.No.627/2024 with O.A.No.739/2024 adjourned to 26.09.2024.

O.A.No.678/2024 with O.A.No.679/2024 with O.A.No.680/2024 with O.A.No.681/2024 with O.A.No.682/2024 adjourned to 08.10.2024 to be shown under the caption 'Due Admission'

5. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

SD/-

SD/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 10.09.2024**

**O.A.No.1098/2023**

S.R. Shinde .....Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.A. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that out of total 38 posts advertised, 30 posts are filled up by the Department. Learned Counsel has submitted that applicant stands at Sr. No.16 in the merit list.

3. Learned P.O. has confirmed on instructions that 8 posts are not filled up and no orders are issued in respect of these 8 posts.

4. In view of the submissions of learned P.O., out of 8 vacant posts, one post of PAP category is to be kept vacant till next date.

5. Matter will be heard for interim relief on the next date. Adjourned to 01.10.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 10.09.2024**

**O.A.No.489/2023**

S.S. Walke .....Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Gaurav Kalekar holding for Mr. V.V. Kabade, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day she will file affidavit-in-reply.

3. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.

4. Adjourned to 01.10.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

SD/-

SD/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 10.09.2024**

**O.A.Nos.400/2023 to 455/2023**

A.N. Kanake & Ors. ....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. V.A. Nagrani, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that the matter is still pending before Mantralaya and the Deputy Director has already submitted the report to the Mantralaya.

3. Adjourned to 30.09.2024

SD/-

SD/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 10.09.2024**

**O.A.No.1158 of 2024**

M.R. Pawar .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. O.A. Wable/A. Khade, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 12.09.2024. To be placed before the Bench which is going to be constituted under the caption 'For Urgent Admission'.

SD/-

SD/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1104 OF 2024 (S.B.)**

R.D. Akrupe

...**Applicant**

**Versus**

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...**Respondent**

Applicant in person.

Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

None for Private Respondent No.3.

**CORAM** : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**

**DATE** : **10.09.2024**

**O R D E R**

1. Not on board. Taken on board at the request of learned Counsel Ms. Anshu Agarwal.
2. Learned Counsel Ms. Anshu Agarwal has submitted that she has instructions to appear for the Applicant on behalf of learned Counsel Mr. C.T. Chandratre. However, learned Counsel Ms. Agarwal has neither signed vakalatnama along with learned Counsel Mr. Chandratre and nor learned Counsel Ms. Agarwal has produced NOC of learned Counsel Mr. Chandratre, therefore it is not appropriate to hear learned Counsel Ms. Agarwal especially on speaking to the minutes. However, matter is taken up at the request of the Applicant in person who is present in the Tribunal
3. Applicant has produced the copy of order dated 06.09.2024 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.No.12737/2024, Raju D. Akrupe Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. The Hon'ble High Court in the said order at paragraph 3 has mentioned that the Tribunal is requested to consider the prayer for grant of interim relief prior to the returnable date which is 18<sup>th</sup> September, 2024. Today when the matter

is called out learned Counsel Mr. Chandratre who was on video conference for some time is not available when the matter is taken up. However, twice and thrice his name was called out. It is a fact that on 03.09.2024 one junior of learned Counsel Mr. Chandratre has appeared on his behalf. However, he had placed circulation along with copy of the order and draft of speaking to the minutes dated 03.09.2024 in respect of order dated 02.09.2024 in O.A.No.1104/2024. Learned Counsel Mr. Chandratre was held on in High Court so it was kept back. Later when the matter was mentioned by learned Counsel Mr. Chandratre, copy of speaking to the minutes was handed over to learned P.O. Mr. Chougule. However, learned P.O. could not confirm the speaking to the minutes because learned P.O. Ms. K.S. Gaikwad has in fact appeared on 02.09.2024. In between the Applicant moved the Hon'ble High Court and the Hon'ble High Court directed the Tribunal to decide interim relief before 18.09.2024 so today the matter is taken up in view of the order of the Hon'ble High Court.

4. Learned P.O. has submitted that the Applicant was relieved by order dated 31.08.2024 from Thane II and Respondent No.3 has joined.

5. I wanted learned P.O. to confirm the said draft of speaking to minutes. Today learned P.O. Ms. Gaikwad has submitted and confirms paragraphs 2 and 3 of the draft of speaking to the minutes. In respect of paragraph 1 of the draft of speaking to the minutes learned P.O. has submitted that these were the submissions of the factual position which are different and not accepted by the State. In the draft of speaking to the minutes at paragraph 4 it is mentioned as below :

'In such circumstances, it is directed to maintain status quo in respect of posting of Applicant at Thane 2 and in respect of Respondent No.3 at Thane-23 till filing of reply'

However, in respect of paragraph 4 of the draft she states that the Tribunal has expressed of granting simplicitor status quo and only to that effect the speaking to the minutes is required i.e.,



'In such circumstances, it is directed to maintain status quo'

6. It is further pointed out that Respondent No.3 who is a Private Respondent and who is going to be directly affected was not present on that day before the Tribunal. On query made to the Applicant he has submitted that separate notice of speaking to the minutes was not given to Respondent No.3.

7. In view of this, speaking to the minutes be incorporated as follows :

"Learned Advocate submits that Applicant has not been relived from Thane-2 and Respondent No.3 has joined in place of Applicant.

Learned Advocate for Applicant further submits that nobody has been posted in place of Respondent No.3 i.e. at F.S.O., Thane-23 and therefore there are not compelling circumstances to relive Respondent No.3 for joining at Thane-2. In addition, he submitted that many posts at Thane district lying vacant.

Learned P.O. on query submits that no specific reasons are stated in the CSB minutes for not continuing the Applicant at Thane-2 or for not considering his option at Thane-14, Thane-04 and Thane-35 which are lying vacant and requested to grant time for filing reply.

In such circumstances, it is directed to maintain status quo."

8. Ordered accordingly. Adjourned to 18.09.2024. Status quo, if any, to be maintained till next date.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 10.09.2024**

**O.A.No.1122/2024**

P.B. Sonawane

....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 13.09.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-

/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p align="center"><u>O.A. No.154 of 2024</u></p> <p>Hemant S. Patil ..Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 are present.</p> <p>2. Matter is called out at 12.10 p.m. however, Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is not available on Videoconferencing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.9.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-<br/>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson<br/>10.9.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |