

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.201 of 2022 in O.A.No.26 of 2022</p> <p>A. K. Pawar & Ors.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., time is granted till 19.08.2022 for compliance of the order passed by the Tribunal on 08.08.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.561 of 2022</p> <p>Smt. Kalpana A. Salunkhe Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Amey Deshpande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A was initially disposed of by order dated 29.07.2022 in view of the statement made by the Applicant to withdraw the O.A. However, order dated 29.07.2022 is recalled today by separate order in M.A.No.455/2022 which is filed for recalling of the order.</p> <p>3. In view of above, O.A.No.561/2022 is restored to the file and it be kept for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.675 of 2022</p> <p>P.P. KaroteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p><u>L.O.</u></p> <p>4. Learned P.O. has tendered Affidavit in Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.3 and 4. It is taken on record. No reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.455 of 2022 in O.A.No561 of 2022</p> <p>Smt. Kalpana A. SalunkheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Amey Deshpande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to recall the order dated 29.07.2022. On that day, learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A. keeping all the contentions open. Accordingly, he was allowed to withdraw the O.A. and the O.A. as well as M.A. was disposed of.</p> <p>3. Now, by this M.A., learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he made wrong statement to withdraw the O.A. and M.A. and requested to recall the order dated 29.07.2022. According to him, he has good case in O.A.</p> <p>4. Insofar as M.A.No.360/2022 is concerned, he submits that it be treated as disposed of in view of the order dated 29.07.2022. As such, he wants to recall the order of withdrawal of O.A. to the extent of O.A. to decide the matter on merit.</p> <p>5. In the interest of justice, the order dated 29.07.2022 to the extent of withdrawal of O.A. is recalled.</p> <p>6. O.A. No.561/2022 be kept for hearing.</p> <p>7. This M.A.No.455/2022 is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm [P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.785 of 2022</p> <p>B.H. GhorpadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order 24.08.2021 <i>inter-alia</i> contending that he is subject to prolong suspension for more than one year without taking review.</p> <p>3. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant was arrested allegedly accepting bribe and was in custody for more than 48 hours. Consequent to it, he came to be suspended by order dated 24.08.2021.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that no charge-sheet in criminal case is filed but Departmental Enquiry has been completed but no final order is passed.</p> <p>5. In view of above, period of near about one year is over under suspension. It is therefore necessary to know whether review has been taken by the concerned authority. Learned P.O. directed to take instruction and to appraise the Tribunal so that O.A. could be disposed of with suitable direction.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">P. T. O. I.P.T.O.</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>L.O.</u></p> <p>6. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1144 of 2018</p> <p>P.P. PednekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwdekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 02.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.07 of 2022 with O.A. No.08 of 2022 with O.A. No.09 of 2022</p> <p>V.S. Hankare N.B. Patil V.V. JagtapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwdekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder in all these O.As on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 06.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.928 of 2019</p> <p>S.A. DhamaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.08.2022 along with connected matter O.A. No.937/2019.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.08.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.476 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. A.M. KadhikhayeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri D. Lokhande, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1, 2 & 4. Respondent No.3 - Zilla Parishad, Palghar has already filed Affidavit-in-Reply. The Applicant stands retired on 31.12.2020 but till date his retired benefits are not paid on the ground of invalid caste certificate. He was taken up on supernumerary post and retired while working on supernumerary post.</p> <p>3. When specific query is raised to learned P.O. he submits that infact no such order of appointing the Applicant on supernumerary post is issued, and ^{it} seems no proposal was forwarded by the concerned authority to the Government. As stated above, the Applicant stands retired on 31.12.2020 but till date his retirement benefits are held up.</p> <p>4. Whether, the Applicant is entitled to retired benefits or not to ^{has} to be decided in O.A. on its own merit. Enough time is already granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>5. O.A. is expedited, be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 07.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA No.129 of 2015 in OAs. No.142, 143 & 144 of 2015</u></p> <p>Dr. S.S. Deshpande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.P. Nalawade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that today he is filing affidavit in rejoinder of the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.8.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 10.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>OAs. No.716, 717, 718, 719, 720 & 730 of 2022</u> H.G. Sapkal G.A. Deshmukh Y.C. Girange S.A. Bhagat G.S. Hadbe A.P. Khatal ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. PO seeks three weeks time to file reply. 3. Time granted. 4. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that interim relief granted on 27.7.2022 is to be extended. 5. Interim relief granted on 27.7.2022 is extended till filing of the reply. 6. S.O. to 8.9.2022. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.8.2022 (sgj) </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> Sd/-  / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 10.8.2022 </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.773 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.A. Ingle ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale holding for Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.9.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.8.2022 10.8.2022</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.08.2022</u></p> <p><u>M.A 474/2019 in O.A 862/2019</u></p> <p>D.K Gunjal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for Respondent no. 2.</p> <p>2. This is adjourned by way of last chance and learned counsel for the applicant is directed to remain present and the matter will be heard finally.</p> <p>3. S.O to 28.9.2022. To be tagged with M.A 569/2017 in O.A 925/2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.350 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. R.H. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.9.2022 under the caption of 'Due Admission'.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.8.2022 10.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.372 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.B. Yadav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Vikas Somawanshi holding for Shri S.S. Randive, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.9.2022 under the caption of 'Due Admission'.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.8.2022 10.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.762 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.G. Suryawanshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale holding for Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.9.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.8.2022 10.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.41 of 2022 in O.A. No.1190 of 2019</u></p> <p>C.V. Nair ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Advaita Lonkar holding for Shri M.D.Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant has received the amount of DCRG. Ld. PO produces a copy of letter dated 5.8.2022 from Revenue & Forest Department, Mantralaya to the Manager, Bank of Maharashtra, Mantralaya Branch to that effect. The same is taken on record and marked Exhibit '1' for identification.</p> <p>3. The order in OA is complied with. Now nothing remains in CA and the same is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.8.2022 10.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1020 of 2021</u></p> <p>J.G. Naik ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Krishna Agarwal i/b. Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces English translation of letter dated 29.11.2021 written by Dy. Director, Sports and Youth Services, Kolhapur (Annexure A-12 page 126) and letter dated 26.11.2021 written by Commissioner, Sports and Youth Services, Pune (Annexure A-14 page 128).</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.8.2022 at 10.30 a.m.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.8.2022 10.8.2022</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's crders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.781 of 2022</u></p> <p>U.S. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 8.9.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.8.2022 10.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.08.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 454/2019</u></p> <p>Shri P.H Pandit ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 8.9.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="text-align: center;">10.08.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 377/2022 in O.A 82/2022</u></p> <p>A.N Agnihotri ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondent no 1 & 2 and Mr Iqbal Kureshi, learned counsel for Respondent no. 4.</p> <p>2. The present M.A is filed seeking amendment in the Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that she wants to bring certain documents and vital facts on record in respect of the candidates who have been selected from the Economically Weaker Section category.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O submits that affidavit in reply has already been filed and she opposes the amendment on the ground that there is a delay in filing the Misc Application and this will change the nature of the O.A.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for Respondent no. 4 file affidavit in reply and opposes the amendment.</p> <p>5. However, these facts can be decided at the time of hearing of the Original Application.</p> <p>6. Misc Application seeking amendment is allowed. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks two weeks' time to carry out the amendment and serve copy on the learned C.P.O and also the learned counsel for the Respondent no. 4.</p> <p>7. Permission granted. Learned C.P.O and learned counsel for Respondent no. 4 is directed to file reply to the amended O.A.</p> <p>8. O.A to be placed on Board on 29.9.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><small>Akn</small></p>	

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.08.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1223/2019</u></p> <p>Shri A.P Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this Original Application, the applicant who aspires to become Talathi and claims appointment in the reserved category, i.e. Special Backward Class seeks directions that the record and proceedings in respect of the advertisement dated 28.2.2019 to be called from Respondent no. 1.</p> <p>3. In this matter, affidavit in reply dated 4th March, 2020, on behalf of Respondent no. 2 is filed by S.D.O, Bhiwandi, wherein it is mentioned in para 13 that in the said advertisement dated 28.2.2019 one vacancy for S.B.C was available on the basis of G.Rs dated 25.7.2018 and 5.12.2018. However, in view subsequent G.R dated 7.1.2019 the post reserved for S.B.C lapsed. In the affidavit in reply the Respondents have also referred to the G.R dated 12.2.2019, issued by G.A.D by which the reservation of S.B.C was reduced from 16% to 13% and allotted 10% reservation for Economically Weaker Section and instructions were given to revise the roster as per the amendment. In that process the post of S.B.C was abandoned.</p> <p>4. In view of this affidavit and in view of the prayer made and the period lapsed in between, matter needs to be heard immediately. The I/C Registrar is directed to contact Mr P.S Pathak, advocate, today itself as the matter is fixed on 12.8.2022.</p> <p>5. Matter is fixed for hearing on 12.8.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)^v Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.08.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 309/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.B Sawant & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Dr Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply and copy is served on the learned counsel for the applicants.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 14.9.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>10.08.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 253, 254, 255, 256, 257 & 258/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.L Salunkhe & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that she has received para wise reply. There are certain corrections and they are to be carried out. Hence, she seeks two weeks' time.</p> <p>3. Time granted.</p> <p>4. S.O to 24.8.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.08.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 385/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.K Hankare & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, holding for Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O ton 24.8.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) ✓ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.08.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 455 & 456/2022</u></p> <p>S.R Gadhari P.V Shirbhate ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicants. Heard Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 29.9.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.08.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 591/2022</u></p> <p>Shri P.P Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that affidavit in reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O to 15.9.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.08.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 457/2022</u></p> <p>Dipalee A. Deokar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant, Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondent no 1 to 5 and Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for Respondent no.6.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Shri Bandiwadekar, seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 24.8.2022. Fixed the matter for final hearing. H.O.B.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.6 of 2022 in O.A. No.574 of 2020</u></p> <p>L.D. Veer ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.3 & 4, and Ms. Advaita M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondents No.1 & 2 and Ms. Rukaiya, learned Advocate for Respondents no.5 & 6.</p> <p>2. CA No.7/2022 in OA No.574/2020 is already disposed off by order dated 27.7.2022.</p> <p>3. Ms. Advaita Lonkar, Ld. Advocate for Respondents no.1 & 2 submits that there are no directions for respondents no.1 and 2 in respect of applicant in order dated 30.9.2021 passed in OA and they are not responsible for compliance.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO submits that pursuant to order dated 30.9.2021 in above OA (para 13), service book of the applicant has been updated by respondents no.3 & 4. While updating service book of the applicant it came to the notice of Respondents no.1 and 2 that there is unauthorized absence of 285 days approximately of the applicant which has not been condoned and respondent no.1 has submitted a proposal to Commissioner, Education, Pune dated 18.7.2022 for taking necessary decision regarding condonation of unauthorised absence. Copy of letter dated 18.7.2022 is taken on record and marked Exhibit 'A' for identification. In view of this unauthorized absence applicant does not complete the minimum requirement of 10 years service for making her eligible for pension as per MCS (Pension) Rules, 1982.</p> <p>5. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time for compliance.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 24.8.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.). Member (A) Chairperson 10.8.2022 10.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 10.08.2022</p>
	<p>O.A.No.483 of 2022</p> <p>M.G. PrajapatApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S. Suryavanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.7.</p> <p>2. Interim relief to be continued till next date. Adjourned to 18.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 10.08.2022
	<p align="center">C.A.No.52 of 2022 in O.A.No.52 of 2021</p> <p>A.D. NaganeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that the Writ Petition Stamp No.12456/2022 is filed before the Hon'ble High Court on 07.05.2022.</p> <p>3. In view of this, C.A. adjourned to 15.09.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.25 of 2022 in O.A.No.503 of 2020</p> <p>V.S. PandhareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the applicant places the copy of the order written by Mr. Manish Pabale, Assistant Government Pleader (AGP), dated 04.08.2021, written to one Mr. Prashant R. Pimpale, Under Secretary to the Government, Agriculture, Animal Husbandry and Dairy Development Department. The AGP has informed that the Hon'ble High Court has refused to intervene in this issue as it is under consideration before the Government.</p> <p>3. Under such circumstances, we expect the Government to implement the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 15.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.08.2022</u></p> <p><u>M.A 422/2019 in O.A 646/20161</u></p> <p>Shri S.P Sable ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As the brief from the office of the learned C.P.O is misplaced, learned P.O request for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O to 24.8.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.707 of 2022</p> <p>B. S. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., time is granted to file Affidavit of Additional Chief Secretary, Planning (EGS), Mantralaya, Mumbai as directed by the Tribunal in order dated 05.08.2022 till 12.08.2022.</p> <p>3. Affidavit should be filed on 12.08.2022 without fail.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.765 of 2022</p> <p>A.A. KumbharApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed by retired Government servant for direction to enter the name of his 2nd wife as nominee in service book.</p> <p>3. When specific query was raised to the learned Advocate for the Applicant she fairly submits that Smt. Seema is the 2nd wife of the Applicant and there is no dissolution of marriage with 1st wife. This being the position obviously status of Smt. Seema is of 2nd wife who could not be said legal nominee for heir of the Applicant.</p> <p>4. In view of above, learned Advocate for the Applicant has to satisfy the Tribunal how O.A. is maintainable. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant three days time is given.</p> <p>5. O.A. be kept on Friday.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 12.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.08.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.619 of 2022</p> <p>M.D. ChaudhariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is listed before this Bench for passing order of disposal since in the order dated 28.06.2022 passed by the Tribunal there were no such mention and next date was given in the matter.</p> <p>3. Indeed, perusal of order dated 28.06.2022 passed by the Tribunal reveals that the impugned order having found <i>ex-facie</i> illegal was quashed and set aside and the Applicant was directed to join his post as Naib Tahasildar (Revenue), Bhiwandi and Respondent were also directed not to obstruct from taking charge.</p> <p>4. This impugned order has been quashed and set aside and O.A. deemed to be disposed of.</p> <p>5. Accordingly, O.A. deemed stands disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.584 of 2022</p> <p>P.L. Baswant & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.594 of 2022</p> <p>B.B. GawdeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.515 of 2022 with O.A. No.516 of 2022</p> <p>V.S. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. These O.As are filed for retiral benefits which are held up for long time. Therefore, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. Both these O.As are kept for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply.</p> <p>4. However, liberty is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply one week before the date of hearing with copy to other side so that matter is not adjourned on the next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 05.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.498 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept on 17.08.2022 with connected O.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.478 of 2022</p> <p>U.N. FundeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that additional Reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 10.08.2022
	<p align="center">O.A. No.399 of 2022</p> <p>T.D. KumbhareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.284 of 2022</p> <p>Y.A. InamdarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Mohan Kumbhar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1047 of 2021 to O.A. No.1049 of 2021 with O.A. No.187 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. R.V. Chougule Dr. N.I. Shaikh Dr. A. Chavan Dr. V.G. PetkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted by way of last chance to file Reply. 4. S.O. to 19.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.462 of 2022 in O.A. No.128 of 2022</p> <p>M.N. KatteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for amendment of O.A. for adding certain more ground to challenge the order of eviction. Allowed to amend O.A. so as to decide O.A. on merit. Amendment be carried out within three days. Accordingly, M.A. is disposed of.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to learned P.O. to file Reply to the amended O.A.</p> <p>4. O.A. is adjourned to 25.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.125 of 2022 in O.A. No.163 of 2022 with M.A. No.204 of 2022 in O.A. No.162 of 2022</p> <p>D.Y. Ghadage & Ors. M.A. InamdarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.W. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted by way of most last chance to file Reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.675 of 2022</p> <p>P.P. KaroteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.641 of 2022</p> <p>K.S. KadlagApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.636 of 2022</p> <p>K.S. KadlagApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.628 of 2022</p> <p>V.R. KumbharApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>