## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 10.07.2024		
	O.A. No.511 of 2024		
	R.B. Bhil & Ors.,ApplicantVersusThe State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.		
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.		
	2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that 'Vacant Posts' of atleast 9 'Police Constables' in establishment of 'SP, Nandurbar' may by now have become available on account of 'Promotions' or 'Resignations' etc. and emphasizes that this 'Statistical Information' may also be obtained from 'SP, Nandurbar'.		
	3. Learned PO then states that he will today itself communicate earlier Order dated 25.07.2024 to 'SP, Nandurbar' and also seek 'Statistical Information' about availability of 'Vacant Posts' of atleast 9 'Police Constables' in establishment of 'SP Nandurbar' since 01.04.2024.		
	4. The 'SP, Nandurbar' is therefore informed to given monthwise 'Statistical Information' about 'Number of Posts' of 'Police Constables' which may have actually fallen vacant on account 'Promotions' or 'Resignations' etc. since 01.04.2024.		
	5. S.O. to 25.07.2024. Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)		
	AGR		

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

Date: 10.07.2024

#### O.A. No.634 of 2024

M. S. Nagarkar ......Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .....Respondents.

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant through 'Video Conference' and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 05.07.2024 on behalf of 'Respondent No. 1, 2, & 3' which mentions that request of Applicant could not be considered at time of 'General Transfer: 2023' for reasons stated therein Further it also affirms that request of Applicant cannot now be considered again during 'General Transfer 2024' as she is yet to complete 'Normal Tenure' of 3 years on present post as 'Assistant Commissioner (GST) Mazgaon' in Mumbai.

3. Learned PO based on information received from officer present on behalf of 'Commissioner, GST Maharashtra State, Mumbai' submits copy of Finance Department GR dated 15.03.2024 which is about approval to 'Revised Staffing Pattern' for the establishment of 'Commissioner GST, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'.

4. Learned PO states that after implementation of Finance Department GR dated 15.03.2024 when the 'General Transfers: 2024' will take place for cadre of Assistant Commissioner (GST), the request of Applicant will be placed for due consideration of 'CSB'.

#### Tribunal's orders

5. The request of Applicant is based on mitigating circumstances due to severe 'Personal Hardships' as her eldest 'Son' is suffering from 'Psychological Stress' & 'Mental Illness'.

6. The learned PO accordingly informed to obtain further instructions from office of 'Commissioner GST 'Maharashtra State, Mumbai' how request of Applicant can be expeditious redressed upon completion of ongoing exercise of implementation of Revised Staffing Pattern<sup>•</sup> as per Finance Department GR dated 15.03.2024.

7. The Applicant has requested since long to be transferred to any available posts of 'Assistant Commissioner (GST)' in Pune. Therefore; till definite information is received as to how grievance of Applicant who is facing severe 'Personal Hardships' is proposed to be redressed; the 'Commissioner GST, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' is directed to keep 'One Post' of 'Assistant Commissioner (GST)' vacant in Pune.

8. S.O. to 26.07.2024.

Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

AGR

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 10.07.2024	
	M.A. No.396 of 2024 in O.A. No. 189 of 2024	
	Dr. N.S. SaindaneApplicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for Applicant through 'Video Conference' and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.	
	2. The M.A. No. 396 of 2024 is allowed with directions that 'Additional Information' & 'New Documents' enclosed with M.A. No. 396 of 2024 but not placed earlier by Applicant on record in O.A. No. 189/2024; may now be done by filing 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Applicant within 'One Week'.	
	Sd/-	
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)	
	AGR	
*		

Tribunal's orders

#### Date: 10.07.2024

O.A. No. 189 of 2024

Dr. N.S. Saindane	Applicant
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.

1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for Applicant through 'Video Conference' and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant draws attention to contents of 'Dy. Director Health Services, Nashik Division Nashik' letter dated 26.04.2024 sent to Applicant with directions given to immediately join on post of 'Medical Officer' at 'Sub District Hospital Chopda, District Jalgaon' and warns that otherwise stringent action will be initiated against Applicant.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant emphasizes that no such directions were given even Orally on 26.04.2024 and thus it has been falsely mentioned under 'Reference No.3' of 'Dy. Director Health Services, Nashik Division Nashik' letter dated 26.04.2024.

4. Learned PO then mentions that as per directions in 'Para 3' of Order dated 08.04.2024 the 'Dy. Director Health Services, Nashik Division Nashik' has now recommended on 19.04.2024 that Applicant may be transferred to either (a) Trauma Care Unit, Satana District Nashik (b) General Hospital; Malegaon, District Nashik (c) Trauma Care Unit, Sangamaner, District Ahmednagar.

5. The 'Additional Chief Secretary, Public Health Department to thus consider the earlier requests of Applicant as well as recommendations made by 'Dy. Director Health Services, Nashik Division Nashik' letter dated 19.04.2024 and thereupon modify Transfer Order dated 10.06.2023 of Applicant as it was ambiguously worded and now transfer Applicant on any suitable 'Vacant Post' in Nashik District where his services can be best utilized as an 'Orthopedic Surgeon'.

6. S.O. to 19.07.2024.

Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

### (G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No. Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders O.A.642/2024 A.P. Yamgar ...Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents **Speaking to Minutes** Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for 1. Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents. 2. The learned Advocate for Applicant has filed an application dated 10.07.2024 for 'Speaking to Minutes' of Order dated 08.07.2024 passed in OA 642/2024. He submits that similar relief as granted in OA 629/2024 & other connected OAs by Order dated 08.07.2024 be granted to present Applicant. 3. We therefore add 2 Clauses in OA 642/2024 as 'Para 3(iii) and 3(iv)', which reads as under :-3(iii) The Applicants shall appear before the authority concerned on or 23rd July, 2024 with prior intimation to the said Office. 3(iv) The authority concerned is directed to hear these Applicants and to decide the validity of their Sports Certificates in the light of GR dated 20th June, 2024 within two weeks thereafter and forward the report to the apppointing authority. The learned Advocate for Applicant further points out that in Para 3(ii), the Category is wrongly mentioned as 'Open General', it be corrected and read as 'NT-C General'. Sd (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Member-A Chairperson 10.07.2024 10.07.2024 (skw)

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 10.07.2024	
11170	O.A. No.539 of 2024	
The second secon	S.G.H. AtarApplicant Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.	
	2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that 'Joint Director Agriculture, Nashik Division, Nashik' was required to pass 'Reasoned Order' within 'Two Weeks' regarding request of Applicant to be transferred to any 'Vacant Post' of 'Agriculture Supervisor' in 'Nashik District'.	
	3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then draws attention to the fact that even though 'Joint Director Agriculture, Nashik Division, Nashik' has not passed 'Reasoned Order' within 'Two Weeks' about posting of Applicant at any 'Vacant Post' of Agriculture Supervisor, in Nashik District; on the other hand he has expeditiously conducted DPC and issued fresh 'Orders of Promotion' of some 'Agriculture Supervisor' on 08.07.2024 to fill up all 'Vacant Posts' of 'Agriculture Supervisor' in Nashik District.	

4. The 'Joint Director Agriculture, Nashik Division, Nashik' has not only failed to act immediately as per directions in 'Para 7' of Order dated 25.06.2024 but has

#### Tribunal's orders

not answered anything about directions in 'Para 6' of the Order dated 25.06.2024 as to why Applicant had been transferred on 31.05.2023 in discriminatory manner to post of 'Agriculture Supervisor' in office of 'Sub Divisional Agriculture Officer, Pachora, District Jalgaon'.

5. The facts and circumstances mentioned above *prima facie* shows that 'Joint Director Agriculture, Nashik Division Nashik' has willingly not complied with orders in 'Para 6' and 'Para 7' of Order dated 25.06.2024 and therefore he is directed to personally remain present on the 16.07.2024.

S.O. to 16.07.2024

Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

AGR

4.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	O.A. No.598 of 2024		
	S.Y. N	Aali Vs.	Applicant
	The S	tate of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents
			ar, learned Advocate for the e, learned Presenting Officer
	2. & 5.	Ld. PO files reply dated	9.7.2024 of respondents no.1
	3.	S.O. to 1.8.2024 for filir	ng rejoinder.
			Sd/-
			(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.7.2024
	(sgj)		

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.496 of 2024		
	M.S. Bhumkar Vs.	Applicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents	
	Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwad the Applicant and Shri A.D. C Officer for the Respondents.	dekar, learned Advocate for Bugale, learned Presenting	
	2. Ld. PO files reply dated 1.	7.2024 of the respondent.	
	3. S.O. to 1.8.2024 for filing	rejoinder.	
		Sd/-	
		(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.7.2024	
	(sgj)		
	10 A CAR		

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

1

Original Application No.

IN

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>O.A. No.252 of 2024</u>		
	V.R. Mane (Kharat) Vs.	Applicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents	
	Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, for the Respondents.	learned Advocate for the learned Presenting Officer	
	2. Admit.		
	3. S.O. to 12.7.2024 for final	hearing.	
		Sd/-	
		(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	
	(sgj)	10.7.2024	
	and the second second		

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Trib	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.84 of 2024</u>		
	Y.P. Khonore Vs.		Applicant
	The State of Maharasht	ra & Ors.	Respondents
			earned Presenting Officer ate for the applicant is
	2. Ld. PO files rep	ly dated 9.7.2	2024 of respondent no.3.
	3. S.O. to 24.7.202	24.	
			Sd/-
			(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.7.2024
	(sgj)		

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>O.A. No.59 of 2024</u>		
	D.J. Chavan		Applicant
	Vs. The State of M	Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	the Applican		ekar, learned Advocate for B.K., learned Presenting
	2. Ld. A	dvocate for the applic	ant files rejoinder.
	3. Admit	t.	
	4. S.O. to	o 14.8.2024 for final l	nearing.
	1. 1. 1. 1.		Sd/-
			(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.7.2024
	(sgj)		10.7.2024
	See 1		

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		ers
	<u>O.A. No.2 of 2024</u>		
	R.S.	Patil & Ors.	Applicants
	The	Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	the . Office	Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwa Applicant and Shri A.J. Ch cer for the Respondents.	dekar, learned Advocate for
	2.	Ld. PO seeks time to file	reply.
	3.	S.O. to 1.8.2024 by way of	of last chance.
			Sd/-
			(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.7.2024
	(sgj)		
	1.1		
	1		

#### O.A. No.817 of 2024

A.R. Gangode & Anr. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Applicants

...Respondents

Heard Shri O.A. Wable, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.8.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.7.2024

(sgj)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>O.A. No.816 of 2024</u>		
	T.G. Kande Vs.	Applicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents	
	Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar Advocate for the Applicant an Presenting Officer for the Respon		
	2. Ld. PO states that there is Advocate for the applicant se condonation of delay.	s delay in filing the OA. Ld eeks time to file MA for	
	3. S.O. to 5.8.2024.		
		Sd/-	
		(Medha Gadgif) Member (A) 10.7.2024	
	(sgj)		

Tribunal's orders

### O.A. No.811 of 2024

S.N. Jadhav

Vs.

.. Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar h/f Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.8.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgíl) Member (A) 10.7.2024

(sgj)

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A. No.789 of 2024

D.R. Thete

Vs.

.. Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.. Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Upasani, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.8.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.7.2024

(sgj)

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>10.07.2024</u>	
	<u>0.4 4</u>	194/2023
	S.K Nachare & Ors Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra	
	1. Heard Shri S.S E applicant and Ms Swati 1 Respondents.	Dere, learned counsel for the Manchekar, learned C.P.O for
	<ol> <li>Not on Board. Taken on Board at the request of the learned counsel for the applicants.</li> <li>If any promotions are granted, that will be subject to the outcome of this Original Application.</li> </ol>	
	4. S.O to 10.9.2024.	
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

### (G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate ......)

versus

### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's or	ders
	<u>C.A.47/2024 in C</u> Wi <u>C.A.57/2024 in C</u>	ith
	S.B. Chavan & Ors.	Applicants
	Vs.	
	The State of Mah. & Ors.	Respondents
	1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO holding for Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.	
	2. It is submitted that Smt. A.B. Kololgi learned PO has filed 'Leave Note'.	
	3. S.O. to 11 <sup>th</sup> July, 2024	
	Sf ( (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 10.07.2024 (skw)	Sd/( (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 10.07.2024

#### (G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000—3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's or	ders
	<u>0.A.656/2024</u>	
	R.L. Gaikwad & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Mah. & Ors.	Respondents
	1. Heard Shri A.S. Gaikwa for Applicant and Smt. K.S. C for Respondents.	
	2. Affidavit-in-Reply on b is filed.	ehalf of Government
	3. The learned PO subm	
	Reply of MPSC is awaited and	it is under process.
	4. S.O. to 12 <sup>th</sup> July, 2024	•
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 10.07.2024	Sef /
	(skw)	-
	24	
	A CALL STATE OF	
	Constant and the	
	the second second second	
	Share and	

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's o	rders
	0.A.614/2024	4
		<u>.</u>
	J.H. Patil Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Mah. & Ors.	Respondents
	1. Shri R.M. Kolge, le Applicant is absent. Smt. PO for Respondents.	
	2. The learned PO seeks in-Reply. Time Granted.	time to file Affidavit-
	3. S.O. to 31 <sup>st</sup> July, 2024	<b>i.</b>
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 10.07.2024 (skw)	Sd/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 10.07.2024

## (G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A.558/2024</u>	
	P.R. GhorpadeApplication Vs.	nt
	The State of Mah. & OrsRespond	dents
	1. Heard Shri K.R. Upasani, learned Ad for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learn for Respondents.	
	2. The learned PO submits that sh received Parawise Comments and therefore time. Time Granted.	
	3. S.O. to 31 <sup>st</sup> July, 2024.	
	Sd ( (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhat Member-A Chairper 10.07.2024 10.07.20 (skw)	son
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

## The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>0.A.475/2024</u>	
	A.J. Kale & OrsApplicants Vs.	
	The State of Mah. & OrsRespondents	
	1. Heard Shri R.V. Shinde, learned Advocate with Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.	
	2. The learned Advocate for Applicants seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.	
	3. S.O. to 24 <sup>th</sup> July, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue.	
	SAL (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 10.07.2024 (skw) (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 10.07.2024 (skw)	

## (G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate ......)

versus

## The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders OA Nos. 71 to 73/2024	
	N.N. Nalegaonkar H.L. Dakhore K.G. ChemteApplicants Vs. The State of Mah. & OrsRespondents	
	<ol> <li>The State of Mah. &amp; OrsRespondents</li> <li>1. Heard Shri Jay Salunke, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.G. Patil, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</li> <li>2. The learned Advocate for Applicants files Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Admit.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 23<sup>rd</sup> July, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue.</li> </ol>	
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 10.07.2024 (skw) (kw)	

### (G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A.689/2024</u>	
	S.S. SudewadApplicant Vs.	
	The State of Mah. & OrsRespondents	
	1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.	
	2. Learned CPO seeks time to take instructions. Time Granted.	
	3. S.O. to 16 <sup>th</sup> July, 2024.	
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 10.07.2024 (skw)	

### (G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>M.A.393/2024 in O.A.689/2024</u>	
	S.S. SudewadApplicant Vs.	
	The State of Mah. & OrsRespondents	
	1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.	
	2. In this MA 393/2024, it is prayed that directions be given to Respondent No.1 to take decision on explanation letter dated 21.06.2024 in pursuance to queries raised by letter dated 19.06.2024.	
	3. We direct Respondent No.1 to take decision as prayed in MA.	
	4. Thus, MA No.393/2024 stands disposed off.	
	Seferation Seferation (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Member-A Chairperson 10.07.2024 10.07.2024	
	(oaw)	

#### Tribunal's orders

### M.A.241/2024 in O.A.239/2024

Dr. B.S. Karekar ...Applicant Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. This MA is filed for condonation of delay of 3 Years, 5 Months and 25 Days.

3. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that if pendency of matter of the same issue before Hon'ble High Court in Contempt Petition No.336/2018 is considered, there is no delay.

4. Issue notice made returnable on 24.07.2024.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the M.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the M.A. shall stand dismissed.

9. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. to 24th July, 2024.

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 10.07.2024

(skw)

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A.832/2024

V.B. Patil & Ors. ...Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The Applicants who are working as 'Primary Teachers' seeking promotion to the post of 'Secondary Teachers'.

3. The learned Advocate for Applicants submits that the names of Applicants are excluded from 'Select List' on the ground that they have obtained the qualification i.e. B.Ed Degree 'Without Leave'. He further submits that there is another OA bearing No.1239/2024 where this Tribunal has directed the Respondents to complete the process of promotion from 'Primary Teachers' to 'Secondary Teachers' on or before 31<sup>st</sup> July, 2024.

4. Issue notice returnable on 15.07.2024.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

9. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2024 under the Caption of Urgent Admission'.

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 10.07.2024

Sd ( (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 10.07.2024

2

(skw)

### (G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	M.A.396/2024 i	in O.A.832/2024
	V.B. Patil & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Mah. & Ors.	Respondents
	1. Heard Shri L.S. Advocate for Applicants an learned PO for Respondents	
	2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.	
	(Debashish Chakrabarty Member-A 10.07.2024 (skw)	Sf( (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 10.07.2024
		(
1		

Tribunal's orders

### O.A.823/2024

D.M. Umak & Anr. ...Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri O. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 24.07.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 24<sup>th</sup> July, 2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

Sef( (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 10.07.2024

(skw)

Sd/-(Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 10.07.2024

2

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

Т

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>M.A.392/2024 in O.A.823/2024</u>	
	D.M. Umak & AnrApplican Vs.	
	The State of Mah. & OrsResponde	ents
	1. Heard Shri O. Kulkarni, learned Adv for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, lea CPO for Respondents.	
	2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly all the Applicants are seeking similar relief MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to pay of Court Fees, if not already paid.	f, the
	SP(	on
	(MAXY)	

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's	orders
	<u>O.A.796/2024</u>	
	S.M. Patil	Applicants
	Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.	Respondents
	1. Heard Shri K.R. Upa for Applicant and Shri A.I for Respondents.	sani, learned Advocate D. Gugale, learned PO
	2. The Applicant who is working as 'Senior Clerk' in the office of Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai wants to be promoted to the post of 'Head Clerk'.	
3. The learned Advocate for submits that many 'Representations' a Applicant dated 09.06.2022, 2 25.10.2023, 05.01.2024 and 04.04 these 'Representations' are requir decided, so that the case of Applica considered for promotion.		entations' are made by 5.2022, 21.07.2023, and 04.04.2024 and are required to be
	4. In view of griecance pass the following order.	e made before us, we
	(	ORDER
	decide the 'F	ents are directed to Representations' made on or before 31 <sup>st</sup> July,
	(ii) OA stands di with no order	sposed off accordingly as to costs.
	(Debashish Chakrabarty Member-A 10.07.2024 (skw)	) (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 10.07.2024

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A.776/2024</u>	
	D.R. Dawane & OrsApplicants Vs.	
	The State of Mah. & OrsRespondents	
	1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.	
	2. We direct learned CPO to make submissions and Respondents to give instructions on the basis of earlier Order passed by this Tribunal on 03.07.2024, as it is a matter of selection.	
	3. We keep this matter on 19 <sup>th</sup> July, 2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.	
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 10.07.2024 (skw)	

#### (G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A.718/2024</u>	
	P.S. ShidApplicant Vs.	
	The State of Mah. & OrsRespondents	
	1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.	
	2. The Respondents are directed to give instructions on or before 23 <sup>rd</sup> July, 2024 in the light of GRs dated 15.12.2017 & 30.08.2018.	
	3. S.O. to 23 <sup>rd</sup> July, 2024 under the Caption of 'Urgent Admission'.	
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Member-A Chairperson 10.07.2024 10.07.2024 (skw)	

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

Т

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A.805/2024</u>	
	N.N. Mukhyadal Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Mah. & Ors.	Respondents
	1. Heard Ms. Pur- Advocate holding for Shi Advocate for Applicant ar learned CPO for Responde	nd Ms. S.P. Manchekar,
	2. The learned Advoc time to amend the OA, a from Pimpri-Chinchwad, Commissioner of Police, 'Party Respondent'. Allow	, she wants to add Pimpri-Chinchwad as
	3. The learned Ad submits that Applicant participate in 'Physical Te Constable' which is a Chinchwad, as Applican Chinchwad. She further is 'Transgender' who I separate category for 'Tra Test' other than male and	est' for the post of 'Police going on at Pimpri- nt applied in Pimpri- submits that Applicant has prayed to create unsgenders' for 'Physical
	4. The learned Advoc prayed to give direction t 'Admit Card' to Applicant participate in 'Physical Ter	t and she be allowed to
	5. The learned CPO p has not annexed the Application for recruitmen the year 2023 which is go further points out that Application Form of recru 2021 and not of 2023.	nt of 'Police Constable' of oing on at present. She Applicant has annexed

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

6. The learned Advocate for Applicant is directed to place the 'Photocopy' of Application Form of Applicant on record of the year 2023. Unless the fact that Applicant has applied for 2023 recruitment of 'Police Constables', she cannot be given 'Admit Card'.

7. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that in OA 481/2024 by Order dated 28.03.2024, this Tribunal has granted 'Age Relaxation' to the Applicants therein.

8. S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> July, 2024.

ッイノー (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 10.07.2024 (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 10.07.2024

(skw)

#### (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN .

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 10.07.2024	
	O.A. No.335 of 2024	
	A.S. PatilApplicant	
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate	
	for the Applicant through 'Video-Conference', Smt. K.S.	
	Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the	
	Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned	
	Advocate for Respondent No.4.	
	Advocate for Respondent No.4.	
	<ol><li>Interim Relief to continue till 'Next Date'.</li></ol>	
	3. S.O. to 15.07.2024.	
	5. 5.0. to 15.07.2024.	
	Sd/-	
	(Debashish Chakrabarty)	
	Member (A)	
	Wender (A)	
	NMN	
A REAL PROPERTY OF A READ REAL PROPERTY OF A REAL P		
the second and second second second second		
and the second		

#### (G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017) [Spl- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 10.07.2024	
	O.A. No.597 of 2024	
	K.N. Rathod Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwade for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chouge Officer for Respondents.	
	2. Learned Advocate for A Week' time to file 'Affidavit-ir prayed for is granted.	and the second
	3. S.O. to 19.07.2024.	Sd/-
	(Deł	bashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)
×	AGR	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders 2

#### 10.07.2024 Tribunal's orders R.A 2/2024 in O.A 1016/2018 The State of Maharashtra & Ors .. Applicants (Ori Respondents) Vs. C.S Atkar ... Respondent (Ori Applicant) Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the 1. Applicants (Ori Respondents) and Shri S.G Ranjane, learned counsel for the Respondent (Ori Applicant). This Review Application is preferred by the 2. Applicants (Ori Respondents) against the order dated 15.3.2023 passed by this Tribunal. This pertains to the recovery of penal rent of the service quarters. Learned P.O submits that the Tribunal has not properly considered or appreciated the G.R dated 19.7.2011 and so also subsequent G.R dated 1.6.2018. The Respondent, (Ori Applicant) is from Thane District. But however, the rules for penal rent which are applicable to Greater Mumbai are also applicable to Government servants residing in Thane and that G.R dated 24.8.2009 and 11.2.1998 was not considered in the judgment. Learned P.O further submitted that under such circumstances, the applicants-Original Respondents have moved this Review Application. Learned P.O submitted that there is a delay in filing this Review Application and the Tribunal has condoned the delay. Learned counsel for the Respondent (Ori 4. Applicant) opposes this Review Application on the point that there is no ground to review the order passed by this Tribunal which is elaborate and well written. I had gone through the order, prayer in the Original Application and also the Review Application. I am of the view that if a particular point of view is taken by a Judicial Officer or a particular document is not properly appreciated or ignored, then it cannot be said that it is a mistake or error apparent on the face of the record. I am of the view that the applicants (Ori 6. Respondents) if they were aggrieved by the order of this Tribunal, other Forum was available to them. In view of the parameters mentioned in Rule 1, Order 47 of the Civil Procedure Code, Review Application is not maintainable. Accordingly, Review Application is accordingly 7 dismissed. Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Akn

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 10.07.2024 <u>O.A 760/2017</u>	
	S.R Yadav Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharash	a Pradhan i/h Chai D. D. M.
		the applicant and Ms Swati P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks ti	
	3. S.O to 31.7.2024	4.
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn	Champerson
	· ·	

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	10.07.2024	at the second
	0./	1036/2022
	B.P Deokate Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharasht	ra & Ors Respondents
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>Learned counsel seeks time.</li> </ol>	
and the second second second second	3. S.O to 7.8.2024.	
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn	
	The state of the	
		· ·

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	10.07.2024	
	<u>O.A Nos 1147/2023</u> 329/2023	with M.A 521/2023 in O.A
	A.R Jadhavar Vs. The State of Maharasht	Applicant ra & Ors Respondents
	1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O with Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.	
	2. Adjourned to 31.	7.2024.
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) <sup>Akn</sup>	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	1	

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders 10.07.2024 C.A 108/2023 in O.A 623/2017 S.G Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the 1. applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents. By consent, matter adjourned to 18.7.2024. 2. Sd/-Sd/-Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson kn

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders 10.07.2024 C.A 05/2024 in O.A 1016/2018 C.S Atkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents Heard Shri S.G Ranjane, learned advocate for 1. the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. Matter adjourned and kept peremptorily for 2. hearing on 7.8.2024. Sd/-Sd/-Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson lkn

ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders 10.07.2024 C.A 15/2024 in O.A 451/2015 S.H Ghadge & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents Shri G.D Kure files leave note. Heard Shri A.J 11. Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents. Matter adjourned to 5.8.2024. 2. Sd/-Sd/-(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson kn

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders 10.07.2024 M.A 278/2023 in M.A 436/2023 in O.A 744/2016 U.M Kashyap ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. Learned P.O submits that O.A 744/2016 which 2. was filed in the year 2016 was dismissed for want of prosecution on 5.3.2019. Thereafter, Misc Application is filed seeking condonation of delay of 6 years along with Misc Application seeking restoration of the Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to Β. remain present on the next date. Matter is kept under the caption 'For Dismissal' 4 on 18.7.2024. Sd/-Sd/-Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson kn

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 10.07.2024	
	<u>M.A 109/20</u>	024 in O.A 1210/2019
	A.K Shaikh Vs. The State of Maharasht	Applicant tra & Ors Respondents
	<ol> <li>None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swa Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</li> </ol>	
	3. S.O to 18.7.202 <sup>2</sup>	4.
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders , directions and Registrar's orders 10.07.2024 O.A 1035/2021 K.S Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents 1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O seeks time. 3. S.O to 7.8.2024. Sd/-Sd/-Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson kn

#### (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000–2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 10.07.2024	
	<u>O.A 103/2023</u>	
	S.G Chavan & Ors Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors 1. None present for the applicant	
	1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.	
	2. Learned P.O seeks time.	
	3. S.O to 31.7.2024.	
	Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson	

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

#### (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	10.07.2024	
	<u>O.A 1232/2023</u>	
	L.M Koli & Ors Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharasht	ra & Ors Respondents
	for Respondent No. 1, 3	Bandiwadekar, learned advocate Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O Shri K.R Upasni, learned counsel None present for Respondent No.
	<ol> <li>Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the Respondent-State has not filed reply.</li> <li>Matter adjourned to 31.7.2024.</li> </ol>	
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn	
and the second second		
	and the second second	

[Spl.- ·MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders 10.07.2024 M.A 397/2024 in O.A 981/2023 (Through Video Conference) R.A Kulkarni ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for the 1. applicant was not available on Video Conference when matter was called out. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for Respondents. 2. Learned P.O request for time. 3. S.O to 7.8.2024. Sd/-Sd/-(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson Akn