

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate


versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>10.07.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 811/2023</u></p> <p>Shri Swapnil D. Chaudhari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Jadhavar, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that this Tribunal be pleased to quash and set aside the impugned clause 2(c) of the Recruitment Rules dated 1.6.2022, which is termed as Limited Sectional Competitive Examination for selection and appointment to the post of Assistant Department Officer, Group-B (Non-Gazetted) and also seek that the applicant be allowed to fill up the Application Form.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays that today is the last date of filling up the Application Form and therefore he prays for interim relief.</p> <p>4. Interim relief cannot be granted at this stage because the advertisement is dated 14.6.2022 and so the applicant has enough time to take legal recourse. Further the Respondents are required to be heard as the clause 2(c) of the Recruitment Rules is challenged.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on <u>7.8.2023</u>.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 7.8.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 10.07.2023

O.A.No.457 of 2022
(DB)

X. Y. Z.

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3 to the amendment made by the Applicant in O.A. It is taken on record.

3. O.A. be kept for final hearing.

4. S.O. to 02.08.2023.

^

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.07.2023</u></p> <p><u>Later on:</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 289/2016</u></p> <p>Shri S.L Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Mr S.K Joshi, O.S.D, Legal has informed that Advocate Mr Shivaji N. Dhanage, Notary, has informed that he is at present at Thane and hence unable to remain present. He further stated that the concerned Register is not with him and it is in his house.</p> <p>2. In view of the difficulty of Advocate Mr Shivaji N. Dhanage, Notary, we keep this matter tomorrow and Advocate Mr Shivaji N. Dhanage is directed to remain present tomorrow at 10.30 am. However, he is directed to send a scanned copy with regard to the relevant entry to Mr. Joshi, O.S.D, today itself. Mr Joshi, on instruction further reported that Advocate Mr Shivaji N. Dhanage, Notary's seal was stolen at the relevant time and for that he has registered Police case. Therefore, Mr Shivaji N. Dhanage, Notary is also asked to send the C.R No and copy of FIR.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel Mr Dere files affidavit stating that he has prepared the Misc Application for condonation of delay through his Junior Advocate Ms Pooja Mankoji. However, the said application was filed without his knowledge. Our query about the signature of the learned counsel on the verification dated 5.5.2023, has remained unanswered. The learned counsel has not mentioned in his affidavit that his signature on the Verification and on the application are not his but are also forged. The said affidavit is taken on record and marked as Exh. No. 3.</p> <p>4. Affidavit dated 10.7.2023 is filed by Mr Harshad D. Dhavade, Clerk of the learned counsel Mr Dere. The same is taken on record and marked as Exh. R. In this affidavit, he has stated that the restoration application was drafted by Advocate Pooja Mankoji in January, 2022 and the said draft was signed by the applicant himself. However, the said draft application could not be filed within time and so it was filed in the Registry on August, 2022. However, we fail to understand why he is giving the explanation about the affidavit of restoration application when it is about the verification on the application of condonation of delay.</p> <p>5. S.O to 11.7.2023 at 10.30 am.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ s (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.07.2023</u></p> <p><u>C.A 49/2020 in O.A 822/2019</u></p> <p>Dr G.P Jangid & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is to be noted that a specific time of three months was granted by the Hon'ble High Court by order dated 14.9.2022 in W.P 9427/2022 to comply with the order. The said period of three months is already over.</p> <p>3. After our repeated queries and giving time to the Respondent-State for compliance of the order of this Tribunal and the order of the Hon'ble High Court, the order has not yet been complied with. Thus, we are constrained to issue notice under the Contempt of Courts, Act, 1977, as to why action should not be taken against you for not complying with the order.</p> <p>4. Issue notice to Shri Sanjay Khandare, Principal Secretary, Public Health Department under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1977 as to why action should not be taken against him for not complying with the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>5. S.O to 8.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>10.07.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 408 & 409/2023</u></p> <p>Mahesh Y Patil Ganesh U. Randhave ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant has submitted application dated 30.6.2023 for withdrawal of the Original Application. The said application is taken on record and marked as Exh. I.</p> <p>3. Therefore, in all fairness the matter is fixed on 12.7.2023 and the learned counsel for the applicant is directed to remain present.</p> <p>4. S.O to 12.7.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- 17 / 11 (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- 17 / 11 (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.07.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 811/2023</u></p> <p>Shri Swapnil D. Chaudhari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Jadhavar, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that this Tribunal be pleased to quash and set aside the impugned clause 2(c) of the Recruitment Rules dated 1.6.2022, which is termed as Limited Sectional Competitive Examination for selection and appointment to the post of Assistant Department Officer, Group-B (Non-Gazetted) and also seek that the applicant be allowed to fill up the Application Form.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays that today is the last date of filling up the Application Form and therefore he prays for interim relief.</p> <p>4. Interim relief cannot be granted at this stage because the advertisement is dated 14.6.2022 and so the applicant has enough time to take legal recourse. Further the Respondents are required to be heard as the clause 2(c) of the Recruitment Rules is challenged.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.7.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 7.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.07.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 803/2023</u></p> <p>Shri Manoj N. Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind G. Ambetkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is a Graduate Teacher working with Zilla Parishad Primary School, had applied for the post of Deputy Education Officer, vide advertisement dated 17.5.2017. The applicant prays that this Tribunal be pleased to direct the Respondents and all concerned authorities to allow the applicant to appear and participate in the entire selection process. He cleared the written examination. However, he was not allowed to appear for the interview.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant relied on the order of the Hon'ble High Court dated 7th July, 2023 in W.P No. 8344/2023, Shrikisan N. Solanki & Ors Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors, wherein the Petitioners are permitted to participate in the interview process scheduled to be held from 11th July 2023 to 14th July, 2023.</p> <p>4. In view of the order of the Hon'ble High Court High Court dated 7th July, 2023 in W.P No. 8344/2023, Shrikisan N. Solanki & Ors Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors and decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of State of U.P & Ors Vs. Arvind Kumar Srivastava & Ors, (2015) 1 SCC 347, we direct the Respondents to allow the applicant for interview for the post of Deputy Education Officer scheduled to be held from 11th July 2023 to 14th July, 2023.</p> <p>5. With the above directions, Original Application stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gádgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.707 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. A.O. Dahad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant and Advocate are not present.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that in this matter the Government has issued GR dated 7.7.2023 by which the Government has adopted the Recruitment Rules of Directorate of Ayurved for the post of Director of AYUSH.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.7.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.7.2023 10.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.07.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 346/2020</u></p> <p>Shri G.S Karande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant challenges the order of denial of appointment to the post of Assistant Inspector of Motor Vehicles and specifically orders dated 25.2.2020 & 16.6.2020. The applicant has applied for the post of Assistant Inspector of Motor Vehicle pursuant to the advertisement dated 14.12.2016 for the Preliminary Examination and for the Main Examination pursuant to the advertisement dated 1.7.2017. The applicant belongs to S.C category. His educational qualification is B.E (Mechanical). Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has applied in S.C Sports category. The applicant secured second place in the State level Kho-Kho competition of 2007-08. His eligibility was refused on the ground that provisions in paras 2.5 and 2.8 of the advertisement dated 1.7.2017 are not fulfilled, as it was beyond the cut-of date, i.e., 15.2.2017. Learned counsel for the applicant further submitted that applicant applied to the office of Deputy Director, Sports & Youth Services on 21.8.2017 for validation of his Sports Certificate and he got the Sports Certificate validated on 10.10.2017.</p> <p>3. S.O to 13.7.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.575 of 2023</u></p> <p>K.R. Dighe & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that the W.P. No.5299 of 2023 & 4 Ors. filed in the Hon'ble High Court challenging the Full Bench judgment of this Tribunal dated 17.3.2023 passed in OA No.144 of 2022 and other group matters (Amit H. Daphal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) was adjourned to 21.8.2023. Now the same are listed tomorrow i.e. on 11.7.2023.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that Government is awaiting order which is going to be passed by the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that identical matters OAs No.538, 539, 694 and 695 of 2023 are adjourned to 23.8.2023. Present OA may be tagged along with these matters.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 23.8.2023 along with identical matters.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.7.2023 10.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.412 of 2023 with O.A. No.420 of 2023</u></p> <p>B.P. Patil, D.M. Bhamray ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO to take instructions about promotion of D.M. Bhamray.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.7.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.7.2023 10.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>MA.389/2023 in OA.998/2021 with OA.1006/2021</u></p> <p>D.C. Girase S.K. Salve ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Neha Kachi i/b. Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO informs that copy of MA is not served in the office of CPO.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate to serve copy of MA in two days in the office of Ld. CPO.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.7.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.7.2023 10.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>10.07.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 747 & 748/2023</u></p> <p>S.S Gosavi A.V Badhe ... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard C.T Chandratre, learned counsel for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. We, therefore, direct the Respondents that if any promotions are issued, that will be subject to the outcome of the present Original Applications and that is to be mentioned in the order of promotion.</p> <p>4. S.O to 7.8.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.136/2023</p> <p>D.M. KhutaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter be placed before appropriate Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.810/2023</p> <p>R.B. Vaishnav & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V. Golambade, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The three applicants are working as Additional Tahasildar (Non-Agriculture), Assistant District Supply Officer, Alibaug and Sanjay Gandhi Yojana Tahasildar, Kalyan respectively. The Applicants challenge transfer order dated 30.06.2023 wherein Applicants working in Kokan Division are transferred to Amaravati Nagpur Division.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time till tomorrow.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 10.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.07.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.438/2023 in O.A.No.810/2023</p> <p>R.B. Vaishnav & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V. Golambade, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>10.07.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 735/2023</u></p> <p>K.V Badave ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that the Respondents be directed to consider the case of the applicant for promotion to the post of Tahsildar, if found fit for promotion and prays for consequential relief.</p> <p>3. In the present case, the Respondents by order dated 24.5.2023 granted 15.6.2009 as the deemed date of promotion the applicant to the post of Naib Tahsildar. At that time the Respondents fixed the seniority of the applicant at Sr. No. 4233-B. Learned counsel for the applicant pointed out that though deemed date of 15.6.2009 was given to the applicant by order dated 24.5.2023, prior to the issuance of the order dated 24.5.2023, the Respondents issued orders of promotion on 20.4.2023, promoting juniors to the applicant from the post of Naib Tahsildar to Tahsildar. Learned counsel for the applicant pointed out to the promotion order dated 20.4.2023, Exh. A-21, issued by the Joint Secretary, and at Sr. No 7, 4233-A, one Mr P.S Vatkar is found. Therefore, the applicant prays after Sr. No. 4233-A, the applicant's name at Sr. No. 4233-B should appear and accordingly he is entitled to get promotion to the post of Tahsildar form 20.4.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O is directed to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>5. S.O to 11.7.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.618/2023 with O.A.No.676/2023</p> <p>S.B. GoneApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day reply will be filed.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 19.07.2023. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.506/2023</p> <p>N.K. MujawarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Ganesh Masurkar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 24.07.2023 for rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.406/2023</p> <p>S.M. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 24.07.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 10.07.2023</p>
	<p>O.A.No.637/2023</p> <p>R.R. YadavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate has submitted that as on today 18 posts are vacant in Mumbai office and wife of the Applicant is serving in Mumbai, therefore applicant is to be accommodated in Mumbai.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 11.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.659/2023</p> <p>S.G. Beldar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that during the course of the day reply will be filed.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 24.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.214/2023</p> <p>P.B. Bhoite & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate seeks time to place on record some documents in respect of complainant.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 17.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.07.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.438/2023 in O.A.No.810/2023</p> <p>R.B. Vaishnav & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V. Golambade, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.765/2023</p> <p>M.B. KanitkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that they have received para-wise comments and seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 11.07.2023. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.374/2023</p> <p>K.M. Suravase.Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. In view of the order dated 03.07.2023 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition NO.5983/2023 & Ors., State of Maharashtra Versus Trupti Kolte (Trupti Umesh Patil), O.A. adjourned to 14.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.798/2023</p> <p>R.A. Bariya & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. C.K. Bhangoji, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officers for the Respondents</p> <p>2. There are four applicants. Application for sue jointly is not filed. Learned Advocate has submitted that on the next date he will file application for sue jointly.</p> <p>3. O.A. will be entertained only if the M.A. for sue jointly is filed. On the next date if M.A. is not file O.A. will be dismissed.</p> <p>4. Applicants seek implementation of G.R. dated 01.08.2022 which pertains to request to Safaigar by relaxing age limit as special case.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time.</p> <p>6. Time granted. Adjourned to 24.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.374/2023</p> <p>K.M. Suravase.Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. In view of the order dated 03.07.2023 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition NO.5983/2023 & Ors., State of Maharashtra Versus Trupti Kolte (Trupti Umesh Patil), O.A. adjourned to 14.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.733/2023</p> <p>V.J. ManeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate has raised query why two juniors of the applicant Mr. Ratnakar Arjun Kamble and Mr. D.L. Swami are given posting as per the preferences given by them and the same was not done in the case of the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. on instructions has submitted that on the post at Tirhe, Solapur the name of some other person is being proposed. Learned P.O. seeks time to place all facts on record through filing affidavit.</p> <p>4. Time granted to learned P.O. to inform the date when name of some other person was proposed on the vacant post at Tirhe, Solapur.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 11.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.745/2023</p> <p>V.P. BacchavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officers for the Respondents 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.08.2023. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed. 8. Adjourned to 07.08.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 10.07.2023

O.A.No.368 of 2023

S. B. Kale

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that in criminal case the Applicant has filed application for discharge which is already argued and orders are awaited. She, therefore, sought one week time.
3. In view of above, the matter is adjourned to 19.07.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 815 OF 2023

DISTRICT : NASIK

Shri Bhushan R. Pawar)...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors)...**Respondents**

Smt Vaishali Jagdale, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**
Mrs Medha Gadgil (Member) (A)

DATE : **10.07.2023**

ORDER

1. The applicant prays that this Tribunal be pleased to direct the Respondent to allow the applicant to appear for the interview for the post of Assistant Chemical Analyzer, General State Services, Group-B, for one post of S.T category.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that by the impugned communication, issued by the Respondent, the applicant was informed that as he is not fulfilling the requisite educational qualification, he is disqualified and not allowed to appear for the interview.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks permission to amend the Original Application by adding Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, Secretary, Higher & Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai and the Director, Directorate of Forensic Science Laboratory, Mumbai, Respondents. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith and copy to be served on the added Respondents.
4. Learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has completed M. Sc (Medicinal Chemistry) and as per clause 8. 1 of the advertisement dated 14.12.2022, the candidate is required to possess "a post graduate at least in second class in any branch of Chemistry or Bio-Chemistry of a recognized University or an equivalent qualification". Further, it is stated in clause 8.3 of the said advertisement that the equivalence is decided as per G.R dated 18.10.2016. The said G.R dated 18.10.2016, is at Exh. L, page 40, about equivalence on educational qualification. In the said G.R, at Sr. No. 31. Column no. II states about Disciplines, that is Chemistry, Column No. III states about Bachelor's Degree and Column No. IV states about Master's Degree, i.e., in equivalent Disciplines. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant also holds degree in B. Sc (Chemistry). In Column No. IV, regarding Master's Degree, Medicinal Chemistry is not shown as equivalent.
5. It is true that Medicinal Chemistry is not shown as equivalent. However, the applicant has produced a letter dated 6.7.2023 from the Centre for Advanced Studies in Chemistry of Department of Chemistry, Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune, stating that "the Medicinal Chemistry course conducted by the Department of Chemistry is equivalent to the Maters course in Chemistry." The said letter is signed by Prof. V.S Shinde,

Coordinator, Medicinal Programme and Prof. A.S Kumbhar, Head, Department of Chemistry.

6. In view of this letter, we direct Respondent, M.P.S.C to allow the applicant to appear for the interview for the post of Assistant Chemical Analyzer, General State Services, Group-B, which is scheduled tomorrow. However, the result of the interview, if at declared, will be subject to the outcome of this Original Application and the same should be informed to all the candidates.

7. Further, Respondents no 2 & 3, Secretary Home Department and Secretary, Higher & Technical Education Department is directed to take decision on the equivalence regarding educational qualification of M. Sc (Medicinal Chemistry) with Chemistry, especially in view of the letter dated 6.7.2023 issued by the Centre for Advanced Studies in Chemistry of Department of Chemistry, Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune

This decision may be taken within a period of two weeks

8. S.O to 24.7.2023. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Place : Mumbai
Date : 10.07.2023
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.589/2021, 808/2021 & 1247 of 2021</p> <p>G. R. WaghmodeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P. O. sought adjournment on the ground that matter is being handled by Shri A. J. Chougule, learned P.O. <i>but he is unable to remain present to-day</i></p> <p>3. Adjourned for final hearing on 07.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">D Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.846 of 2021</p> <p>Late Mrs. K. d. Likhari since deceased Through her heir and L.R. Mr.Dhanaraj Likhari ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The matter is adjourned for hearing to give one opportunity to Applicant and his Counsel.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 10.07.2023

O.A.No.761 of 2021

V. P. Bhoir

...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.

2. The matter is adjourned for hearing to give one opportunity to Applicant and his Counsel.

3. S.O. to 08.08.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 10.07.2023

O.A.No.1319 of 2022

V. P. KolekarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, the matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.

3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.

4. S.O. to 12.07.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 10.07.2023

O.A.No.198 of 2023

B.N. Gite

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.

2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.

3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.

4. S.O. to 03.08.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.07.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.30 of 2023 in O.A. No.774 of 2022</p> <p>V.K. Adsul & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Contempt Application is filed for disobedience of the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A. No.774/2022 decided with connected O.A. on 19.12.2022. The Commissioner of Police, was directed to relieve the Applicant within two months from the date of order for joining at their respective place by way of Inter District Transfer. The period of more than six months is over but the order of the Tribunal is not implemented.</p> <p>3. In view of above, <i>prima-facie</i> there is contempt of the order passed by the Tribunal. Issue notice to the Contemnor to Show Cause as to why action for contempt of order passed by the Tribunal should not be initiated against him as per Contempt of Court Act, 1971.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 10.07.2023

O.A.No.431 of 2021

N.B.MoreApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.

2. The matter is adjourned for hearing to give one opportunity to Applicant and his Counsel.

3. S.O. to 07.08.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 10.07.2023

O.A.No.427 of 2018

R. J. Sawant

...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought adjournment on the ground that his senior is not available.

3. S.O. to 03.08.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.452/2022</p> <p>D.A. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. confirms on instructions that the person named Mr. Bajne Arjun Dattatray is going to be affected if the present O.A. is allowed.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Ms. Mankoji seeks time to verify the address and prays for leave to amend to add the name of Mr. Bajne Arjun Dattatray in the array of Respondents.</p> <p>4. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith. Notice is to be issued to all the concerned.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 20.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 10.07.2023

O.A.No.89/2016

S.S. RakhApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned C.P.O. request for adjournment in view that Mr. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is not present today.

3. Adjourned to 11.07.2023

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.806 of 2023</u></p> <p>N.Y. Kuchekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.N. Naikwadi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to withdraw the above OA.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.7.2023 10.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.07.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.289/2016</p> <p>S.L. PawarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. informs that the Applicant expired on 01.05.2022 and M.A.No.518/2022 & M.A.No.519/2022 is filed on 05.05.2022. Learned P.O. produces affidavit along with copy of death certificate which discloses the date of death of the Applicant as 01.05.2022. It is taken on record and affidavit is marked as Exhibit-1 and copy of death certificate is marked as Exhibit-2. On perusal of the M.A. seeking condonation of delay of 13 months which was filed on 05.05.2022, it shows that the verification in the name of Mr. S.L. Pawar was signed and the date is put as 05.05.2022.</p> <p>3. We called Mr. Harsha Dhanawade, Clerk of learned Advocate Mr. Dere for enquiry. On query he informs that he had sent one boy with these papers on 05.05.2022 and got it verified and Notarized. The Registrar is hereby directed to call the learned Advocate Mr. Shivaji N. Dhanage, Notary Government of India to remain present before the Tribunal.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">P.T.O</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. Learned Advocate Mr. Dere has submitted that the Applicant expired on 01.05.2022 and thereafter M.A. for condonation of delay was filed on 05.05.2022. Hence, the M.A. becomes infructuous and in view of the same O.A. has also become infructuous. He prays that both O.A. and M.A. may be disposed of. Learned Advocate further states that the M.A. for condonation of delay was wrongly filed. Learned Advocate Mr. Dere has submitted that in the M.A. his signature is also forged by somebody. He has submitted that earlier his Clerk Mr. Rohit Rewale had got those documents notarized without his knowledge and has also forged his signature. Learned Advocate Mr. Dere further states that the Clerk Mr. Rewale has also replaced the original documents signed by learned Advocate Mr. Dere.

5. We keep this matter alive and we would like to know about forgery as who has signed the M.A. for condonation of delay and how the Notary, Mr. Shivaji N. Dhanage verified it. Hence, we called upon Advocate Mr. Shivaji N. Dhanage to remain present before this Tribunal today itself.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.505 of 2023</p> <p>A.K. UgalmugaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three days time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 10.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.427 of 2023 to O.A. No.436 of 2023 with O.A. No.472 of 2023 with O.A. No.473 of 2023</p> <p>N.R. Shinde & Ors., S.H. Koli & Ors., R.D. Sonawane A.N. Adwal S.K. Masmar S.M. Shinde N.M. Bhosale & Anrs., A.B. Pawar S.D. Bachhav S.B. Salunkhe & Ors., A.C. Bharte S.M. KakadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.509 of 2023</p> <p>S.D. Wagh & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.482 of 2023</p> <p>R.M. ShirsathApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Though enough time is availed, no Reply is filed till date. The Applicant stands retired on 31.03.2017 but his retiral benefits are not paid on the ground that his caste claim was pending before Caste Scrutiny Committee. Interestingly, Caste Scrutiny Committee has not decided the claim. The Applicant is now deprived of retiral benefits for near about six years. Respondents appear not interested in filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. In view of above, I am not inclined to grant further time to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>4. In view of above, O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 31.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 10.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.343 of 2023 with O.A. No.344 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. Parate C.B. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has tendered Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2 in both the O.As. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 31.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.07.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.238 of 2023 in O.A. No.377 of 2023 with M.A. No.246 of 2023 in O.A. No.386 of 2023</p> <p>U.S. Bhagwat A.A. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply in both the M.As. by way of last chance. 4. S.O. to 25.07.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 10.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.974 of 2022</p> <p>N.G. KabadiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant is conducting the matter in person but absent today. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Since Applicant is absent today, adjourned in the interest of justice to given him one opportunity. 4. S.O. to 03.08.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 10.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.668 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. P.P. Kende,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 10.07.2023

O.A. No.589 of 2023

M.K. Gadekar,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.
3. Interim Relief to continue.
4. S.O. to 25.07.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 10.07.2023

O.A.No.866 of 2021

S. M. BadeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought adjournment.

3. S.O.t o 09.08.2023.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 10.07.2023

O.A.No.1025 of 2022
(DB)

A. D. GangawaneApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.

3. Two weeks' time is granted to file Rejoinder, if any.

4. S.O. to 09.08.2023.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 10.07.2023

O.A.No.629 of 2023

S. D. Vhatkar & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record.

3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.

4. S.O. to 07.08.2023.

^

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 10.07.2023

C. A. No.17 of 2023 in O.A.No.50 of 2019
(DB)

Vithal Tushiram JadhavApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. submits that order from the Hon'ble High Court is not yet uploaded and sought short time to ~~brought~~ ^{produce} order.

3. S.O. to 17.07.2023.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.65 of 2022</p> <p>P.P. BhosaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed. 3. Affidavit-in-Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.2. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1. 4. Therefore the matter to proceed without Reply of Respondent No.1. 5. Admit. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing. 6. S.O. to 07.08.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.07.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1081 of 2022</p> <p>A.S. JamkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance. 4. S.O. to 24.07.2023. <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1193 of 2022</p> <p>P.S. TikawadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance. S.O. to 24.07.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.07.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A. No.29 of 2023 in O.A. No.552 of 2020</p> <p>A.D. MehetreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant as well as Learned P.O. submits that part of the order is already complied with and sought one week time for remaining compliance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;"> \wedge Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.729 of 2022</p> <p>G.N. Jadhav & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2 will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record. Copy be supplied to other side. 3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder. 4. S.O. to 25.07.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>