

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.465 of 2022</p> <p>P. B. Sonwane <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged initiation of D.E. on the ground of delay. He was subjected to departmental proceeding by service of charge sheet dated 30.10.2012 for alleged misconduct which is not finally concluded till date.</li> <li>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought to contend that there is inordinate delay of more than ten years to conclude the D.E. and sought stay to further proceedings in D.E.</li> <li>3. Whereas, learned C.P.O. submits that departmental enquiry is at the verge of completion and final order will be issued soon since the department has already received the report of enquiry officer.</li> <li>4. Thus, since the enquiry is at the verge of finality, we are not inclined to grant stay to the D.E. proceeding.</li> <li>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.6.2022.</li> </ol>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 27.06.2018.</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Bijay Kumar) Member (A) vsm</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.1037 of 20 2019 &amp; 9 Ors.</u></p> <p>1. Dr. G.B. Taware (OA.1037/2019)            2. Dr. V.A. Nadimath (OA.1039/2019)            3. Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar (OA.1040/2019)            4. Dr. N.B. Masaram (OA.1041/2019)            5. Dr. V.P. Dojjad (OA.1042/2019)            6. C.G. Patil (OA.1237/2019)            7. Dr. M.M. Pawar (OA.1238/2019)            8. Dr. P.R. Dhumal (OA.1239/2019)            9. Dr. P.N. Rathi (OA.1240/2019)            10. Dr. A.B. Pandav (OA.1241/2019)</p> <p align="right">..Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants in Sr. No.1 to 5, Ms. Savita Suryawanshi holding for Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Advocate for Applicants in Sr. No.6 to 10 and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief granted by order dated 24.10.2019 to continue.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.6.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-            (Medha Gadgil)            Member (A)            10.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.979 of 2019</p> <p>Y.K. Waghmare .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 07.09.2018 passed by S.D.O., Ulhasnagar whereby in D.E. he was dismissed from service in view of absenteeism from 06.02.2010 as proved in D.E. Being aggrieved by it he has filed appeal before Collector, Thane. However, surprisingly Collector, Thane by letter dated 10.12.2018 informed the Applicant that there is no provision of appeal against the said order in M.C.S. (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979 and directed the Applicant to redress his grievances before proper forum.</p> <p>3. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the right of appeal of the Applicant is wrongly denied by Collector, Thane though it is statutory appeal provided under Rule, 17 read with Rule, 18 of M.C.S. (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979.</p> <p>4. Specific query was raised to learned P.O. to show why the order passed by Collector, Thane is correct but she fairly submits that order of dismissal is appealable.</p> <p>5. In letter dated 10.12.2018 Collector, Thane has not referred any provision in support of his stand that appeal is not provided in M.C.S. (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979. All that he stated that there is no</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

provision which is totally wrong. Obviously, there is lack of knowledge and non application of mind. The order is passed without taking into account all the provision of M.C.S. (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979 particularly Rule, 17 and Rule, 18 which enumerates the order against which appeal is provided as well as appellate authority. It is thus explicit that the order passed by Collector, Thane is totally unsustainable and bad in law.

6. In view of above, we have no option to except to quash and set aside order and remand the matter to Collector, Thane to decide the appeal filed by the applicant against the order of removal from service.

**Order**

- a) Order of Collector, Thane dated 10.12.2018 is quashed and set aside.
- b) Matter is remitted back to Respondent No.1 – Collector, Thane for decision on appeal filed by the Applicant. District Collector, Thane is directed to decide the appeal within two months from today in accordance to law and decision as the case may be shall be communicated to the Applicant.
- c) No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Bijay Kumar)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.06.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.1064 of 2016 to O.A. No.1067 of 2016 with O.A. No.1122 of 2019 to O.A. No.1124 of 2019 with O.A. No.1127 of 2019 to O.A. No.1128 of 2019</p> <p>E.J. Barshinge .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The perusal of order passed by this Tribunal on 08.07.2021 reveals that Tribunal had directed for listing O.As. No. 1122/2019, 1123/2019, 1124/2019, 1127/2019 and 1128/2019 along with other connected O.As filed in 2016.</p> <p>3. In O.As. filed in 2016 challenge is to the initiation of Departmental enquires on various ground. There seems to be four Departmental Enquires initiated against the Applicant. Later enquires are completed and the Applicant is subjected to various punishment against which the Applicant has filed five separate O.As in 2019.</p> <p>4. In view of above, Office is directed to list all these O.As of 2016 and 2019 filed by the Applicant together for Final Hearing.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. is directed to see that Reply is filed in the O.As of 2019, if not filed earlier so that matter can be taken up for hearing on next date.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 07.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.97 of 2016</u></p> <p>Dr. F.A.F. Shaikh &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the applicants.</p> <p>2. Matter is called out. Ld. Advocate for the applicants is not present. The matter is on board under the caption 'For Dismissal'. In all fairness we keep the matter at 1.00 p.m.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.00 p.m.</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</td><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</td></tr><tr><td style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.6.2022</td><td style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 10.6.2022</td></tr></table> <p>(sgl)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.6.2022	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 10.6.2022
Sd/-	Sd/-				
(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.6.2022	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 10.6.2022				

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>10-06-2022</u>
	<u>O.A 525/2022</u>
	Ms Prachi P. Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Shri S.S Deokar with Shri S.C Mangle, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. The applicant, who is working as Taxation and Administrative Officer, Group-C with Kurundwad Municipal Council, challenges order of her suspension dated 3.6.2022 issued by the Collector, Kolhapur.
	3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that there are no allegations against the applicant in the letter dated 30.5.2022, which was submitted by Sarva Paksha Kurundwad Shahar Bhachav Kruti Samittee, Dist-Kolhapur. Learned counsel for the applicant produces a copy of letter dated 7.6.2022 written by the Chief Officer, Kurundwad Municipal Council, Kurundwad, written to the Collector, Kolhapur of withdrawal of the allegations by the original complainant Trimurti Waghela.
	4. Perused the order of suspension dated 3.6.2022. Prima facie it appears that the order of suspension is without any basis. However, as prayed by the learned P.O, that he requires time to verify whether any fact or documents is not produced before this Tribunal by the applicant, which is available in the office of the Collector, Kolhapur.
	5. In view of the submissions made, the matter is fixed on 15.6.2022. A responsible officer from the office of the Collector, Kolhapur, is directed to remain present to give instructions to the office of the learned C.P.O.
	6. It is to be noted that the office of the Collector, Kolhapur, was served notice on 7.6.2022. However, today nobody is available from the office of the Collector, Kolhapur.
	7. S.O to 15.6.2022.
	Sd/-
	/
	<b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b>
	[PTO.
	Akn



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <b>10.06.2022</b>
	<p align="center"><b><u>M.A 178/2021 in O.A 490/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.R Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that Misc Application is filed to condone the delay in filing the Original Application seeking regularization of service on the post of Talathi, as per G.R dated 10.3.2005.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant has placed reliance on the order of this Tribunal dated 2.5.2022 in M.A 602/2021 wherein this Tribunal has condoned the delay and granted the same relief as was granted by this Tribunal by order dated 12.4.22 in M.A 445/2021 in O.A 833/2021. In the said order the applicant was claiming benefits of parity with the person in whose favour relief was granted by this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O while opposing this Misc Application submits that the applicant was aware of the G.R dated .10.3.2005 and yet he did not approach the Tribunal for last 15 years.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that if there is such G.R of regularizing the services, then it is the duty of the Respondent-State to implement the G.R on its own and regularize the services. Moreover, it is the continuous cause of action and hence the delay if any be condoned.</p> <p>6. For the reasons stated above, the Misc Application seeking condonation of delay is allowed.</p> <p>7. O.A 490/2020 to be placed on Board on 15.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

Asn

[P.T.O.



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="781 783 1008 816">Date: 10.06.2022</p> <p data-bbox="1013 860 1281 893">O.A. No.789 of 2017</p> <p data-bbox="781 937 1481 971">S.K. Pawar .....Applicant</p> <p data-bbox="781 978 873 1012">Versus</p> <p data-bbox="781 1020 1511 1053">The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="781 1136 1511 1257">1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="781 1316 1511 1478">2. Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant requested for adjournment stating that matter was undated and today for the first time it is listed for hearing.</p> <p data-bbox="781 1537 1240 1571">3. Adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p data-bbox="781 1630 1118 1664">4. S.O. to 06.07.2022.</p> <p data-bbox="938 1759 997 1792">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1261 1759 1320 1792">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="857 1836 1036 1908">(Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p data-bbox="1208 1836 1414 1908">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p data-bbox="781 1952 824 1975">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 10.06.2022

O.A. No.559 of 2019

P.S. Pereira .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant requested for adjournment stating that matter was undated and today for the first time it is listed for hearing.
3. Adjourned for Final Hearing.
4. S.O. to 07.07.2022.

Sd/-  
  
(Bijay Kumar)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="781 788 1008 821">Date: 10.06.2022</p> <p data-bbox="1013 865 1284 899">O.A. No.763 of 2019</p> <p data-bbox="781 942 1511 1058">K.V. Giri .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="781 1141 1511 1308">1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="781 1365 1511 1579">2. Learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule submits that matter is being handled by Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. but she is unable to remain present today due to her personal difficulties, and therefore requested for adjournment for hearing.</p> <p data-bbox="781 1635 1122 1669">3. S.O. to 17.06.2022.</p> <p data-bbox="862 1754 1040 1921">Sd/-  (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p data-bbox="1214 1754 1414 1921">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p data-bbox="781 1960 829 1986">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.650 of 2020</p> <p>G.G. Barathe .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that matter is being handled by his Senior but he is unable to remain present today and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1134 of 2019</p> <p>V. S. Dalve <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that this O.A. was actually heard by the Division Bench along with O.A.No.994/2019 and was closed for order. However, the Tribunal has decided the O.A.No.994/2019 alone by order dated 03.11.2020. He has also shown the copy of final order passed in O.A.No.994/2019.</p> <p>3. In view of above, <sup>this O.A. is</sup> needs to be heard afresh and decided independently.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="margin-left: 100px;">2</span> Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) <span style="margin-left: 100px;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 100px;">Member(J)</span></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.06.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.339 of 2022 in O.A.No.542 of 2015</p> <p>R. H. Jadhav <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed raising some legal questions challenging the order of punishment post departmental enquiry.</p> <p>3. Needless to mention, the legal questions can always be raised during the course of hearing and for that purpose no amendment is required. Only facts are required to be completed and not law. Therefore, we don't think necessity to file M.A. The legal submissions can be canvassed during the course of final hearing.</p> <p>4. Accordingly, M.A. is disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float:right">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) <span style="float:right">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="float:right">Member(J)</span></p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.730 of 2021</p> <p>R. G. Jadhav .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="margin-left: 100px;">22</span> Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) <span style="margin-left: 100px;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 100px;">Member(J)</span> vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.06.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.477 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. Jadhav .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>3. Affidavit-in-Reply is already filed by Respondent No.2. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1.</li> <li>4. Since, pleading is complete O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 08.07.2022.</li> </ol> <p>Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p><sup>NMN</sup> <u>L.O.</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>6. Learned Counsel for the Applicant appears and requested for continuation of stay till next date.</li> <li>7. In present O.A., the Applicant has challenged initiation of D.E. on the ground that it has been taken up after the lapse of limitation of four years as mandated under Rule 27(2)(b) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982.</li> </ol>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. The Tribunal has already granted interim relief having prima-facie found that initiation of charge sheet is not in accordance to law.</p> <p>9. Therefore, interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>10. The matter be kept on 13.06.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.620 of 2021</u></p> <p>H.B. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.7.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="center">- 1</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.6.2022 10.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1139 of 2016 (Mumbai)</u> <u>O.A. No.666 of 2016 (Nagpur)</u></p> <p>D.P. Antapurkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri M.M. Sudame, learned Advocate for the Applicant is not present.</p> <p>3. Registry is directed to contact Advocate Shri M.M. Sudame to remain present on 24.6.2022.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.6.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.6.2022 10.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.468 of 2022</u></p> <p>Vaishali A. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>10.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>10.6.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.946 of 2016</u></p> <p>V.B. Salunkhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to file MA for amendment.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. S.O. to 17.6.2022. .</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.6.2022 10.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.283 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.K. Rajput ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 1.7.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.6.2022 10.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>OA.207/2022 with OA.909/2021 and OA.141/2022 with O.A. No.292 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.N. Gutte &amp; 24 Ors. A.M. Chandanshiv V.S. Tengale A.R. Kende ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicants in OA.207/2022 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants in OAs.909/2021 &amp; 141/2022 and Shri Y.B. Lengare, learned Advocate for Applicant in OA No.292 of 2022 are not present.</p> <p>3. As the same issue is involved, all the above matters are clubbed together and they are kept on 17.6.2022.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.6.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.6.2022 10.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.74 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.P. Pimpalgaonkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the applicant.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 1.7.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.6.2022 10.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>10.06.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 643, 645 &amp; 892 /2021</u></b></p> <p>S.B Kharat [O.A 643/2021]  R.R Rathod [O.A 645/2021]  R.B Jaybhay [O.A 892/2021] ... Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Madhvi Ayyappan i/b Talekar &amp; Associates and Shri Amol Chalak, learned counsel for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that earlier interim relief was in force in two matters (O.A 643/2021 &amp; 892/2021) and in one matter, i.e. O.A 645/2021 the services of the applicant was terminated. Learned counsel for the applicants submitted that no departmental enquiry was conducted though the show cause notice was given to the applicants and in absence of extension of interim relief, the services of the two applicants are likely to be terminated so their right to seek interim relief in the Writ Petition will be defeated.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O maintained that the interim stay is opposed by the Respondent-State on the ground of the clear observations on the point of fraud made by this Tribunal in the judgment dated 9.6.2022.</p> <p>3. We have considered the submissions made by the learned counsel for the applicants and the learned C.P.O.</p> <p>4. We have uploaded the judgment in the above matters yesterday to enable both the parties to go through the reasoning given by us. We have already held that the applicants have submitted forged and fake Sports Certificates and secured the job. There are many such cases of fraud in respect of the Certificates in the same Sports from the same organization in Aurangabad. Thus, though generally the interim relief is continued for few days for approaching the Higher Forum, however, when there is conclusion of fraud, the continuation of interim stay, in our view, is not legal.</p> <p>5. Hence, interim stay granted in these two matters is not extended.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1148 of 2016</u></p> <p>V.K. Gandhile ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that to the best of the knowledge of applicant, department has already moved proposal for granting relaxation for training and deemed date of promotion to the applicant. Applicant is already given promotion on 9.11.2021. She further prays that department should inform the progress, if any, by next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.6.2022 10.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 10.06.2022**

**O.A.No.466 of 2022**

S.N. Ghatkamble & Ors. ....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.07.2022.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. Adjourned to 01.07.2022.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Tribunal's orders Date : 10.06.2022</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>M.A.No.294 of 2022 in O.A.No.466 of 2022</b></p> <p>S.N. Ghatkamble &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 10.06.2022**

**O.A.No.519 of 2022**

M.P. Shinde ....Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant is promoted from the post of State Tax Officer to the post of Assistant Commissioner of State Tax by order dated 13.05.2022. She was working at Pune since last six months and is given posting at Kolhapur after promotion. She prays for her transfer to Pune on the ground of discrimination as the Respondent No.4 who is junior to her is kept at Pune since last more than 20 years and Respondent No.3 is also junior to her though the criterion of seniority is applied in respect of choice posting on promotion. Learned Advocate further submits that the daughter of the Applicant is now in 10<sup>th</sup> standard and husband is working in B.J. Medical College.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.07.2022 to Respondents No.3 and 4.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. Adjourned to 01.07.2022. Applicant to join at Kolhapur without prejudice.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Date: 10.06.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.396 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>R.S. Patil</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule submits that matter is being handled by Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. but she is unable to remain present today due to her personal difficulties, and therefore requested for adjournment.</li> <li>3. Perusal of record reveals that matter was lying in the office undated and today for the first time it is listed for Final Hearing.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 06.07.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;"> <span style="display: inline-block; width: 45%;">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</span> <span style="display: inline-block; width: 45%; text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</span> </p> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.671 of 2016</p> <p>P.P. Puranik .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>Perusal of record reveals that matter was lying in the office undated and today for the first time it is listed for Final Hearing. Therefore to give one opportunity adjourned for Final Hearing.</li> <li>S.O. to 08.07.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.105 of 2017</p> <p>S.Y. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>Smt. Archana B.K., learned Advocate holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>Perusal of record reveals that matter was lying in the office undated and today for the first time it is listed for Final Hearing. Hence in the interest of justice it is adjourned for one week to give one opportunity to the Advocate and his Applicant.</li> <li>S.O. to 07.07.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.180 of 2017</p> <p>R.A. Konapure .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>Perusal of record reveals that matter was lying in the office undated and today for the first time it is listed for Final Hearing. Hence in the interest of justice it is adjourned for one week to give one opportunity to the Advocate and his Applicant.</li> <li>S.O. to 06.07.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.242 of 2017</p> <p>S.K. Bolbhat .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that matter was lying in the office undated and today for the first time it is listed for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant matter is adjourned for hearing</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.281 of 2022 in O.A. No.142 of 2021</u></p> <p>Subhash K. Davar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Rahul B. Khot, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. For the reasons stated therein MA for restoration is allowed and OA No.142 of 2021 is restored to file.</p> <p>3. OA adjourned to 1.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.220 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.G. Kadam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A.Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 10.6.2022 on behalf of respondent no.1 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.6.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.1037 of 20 2019 &amp; 9 Ors.</u></p> <p>1. Dr. G.B. Taware (OA.1037/2019)            2. Dr. V.A. Nadimath (OA.1039/2019)            3. Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar (OA.1040/2019)            4. Dr. N.B. Masaram (OA.1041/2019)            5. Dr. V.P. Doijad (OA.1042/2019)            6. C.G. Patil (OA.1237/2019)            7. Dr. M.M. Pawar (OA.1238/2019)            8. Dr. P.R. Dhumal (OA.1239/2019)            9. Dr. P.N. Rathi (OA.1240/2019)            10. Dr. A.B. Pandav (OA.1241/2019)</p> <p align="right">..Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants in Sr. No.1 to 5, Ms. Savita Suryawanshi holding for Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Advocate for Applicants in Sr. No.6 to 10 and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief granted by order dated 24.10.2019 to continue.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.6.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-            (Medhā Gadgil)            Member (A)            10.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.06.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.279 of 2022 with M.A. No.280 of 2022 In O.A.No.879 of 2018</p> <p>The Commissioner, Commissionerate of Social Welfare, Pune &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">....Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>Smt. Trupti R. Apte &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents. (Ori. Applicants)</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri B. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Respondents (Ori. Applicants).</p> <p>2. These Misc. Applications are filed by Ori. Respondents for condonation of delay and for extension of time to comply the order passed by the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer states that matter is entrusted to Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. but she is unable to remain present due to personal difficulties.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float:right">^ Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) <span style="float:right">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="float:right">Member(J)</span></p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.677 of 2019</p> <p>H.T. Shinde .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- ▼▼ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.06.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.595 of 2021 in O.A. No.1054 of 2021</p> <p>S.N. Rotellu .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sushil Inamdar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.A. Inamdar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- ▼ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
	<p>Date: 10.06.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.20 of 2021 in O.A. No.55 of 2018 with O.A. No.56 of 2018</p> <p>A.T. Mane / N.B. Kamble .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that before Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition he made statement that he will not proceed with the contempt petition. He therefore requested to adjourn the matter for four weeks.</p> <p>3. S.O. 08.07.2022.</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</td> <td align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</td> </tr> </table> <p>NMN</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Bijay Kumar) Member (A)	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
Sd/-	Sd/-				
(Bijay Kumar) Member (A)	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)				
	[P.T.O.]				

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 10.06.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.467 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>R.B. Kokpak .....Applicant</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghatе, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. The Applicant has challenged order of removal from service passed by disciplinary authority and maintained by appellate authority.</li><li>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li><li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.07.2022.</li><li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li><li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li><li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li><li>8. S.O. to 04.07.2022.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Sd/-</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Sd/-</b></span></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Bijay Kumar)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>NMN</p>