

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate (.....))

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.06.2019.</p> <p>O.A.Nos.498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506 507 &amp; 508 of 2019</p> <p>R. D. Nanaware &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit-in-Reply in O.A.Nos.498, 504, 505, 506 and 507 of 2019. Those are taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matters are adjourned for hearing on 02.07.2019.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.07.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>10/6/19</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Honble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>Madhavi A. Talekar &amp; Associates</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Navelekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent's <u>nos</u></p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>Head</u> <u>Shri A.A. Desai Adv for nos 004</u> <u>Shri K.R. Jagdale Adv for</u> <u>nos 25, 75, 82 and 97.</u></p> <p>order passed in Tribunal column. M.A. is allowed.</p>	<p><b>Date : 10.06.2019</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.283 of 2019 in O.A.No.445 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>M.T. Metake &amp; Ors. .... Applicants</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Ms. Madhavi Ayyappa, learned Advocate holding for M/S. Talekar &amp; Assoc., learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents No.1 to 3, Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondents No.25, 75, 82 and 97.</li> <li>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</li> <li>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</li> <li>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</li> <li>5. M.A. is allowed.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>10/6/19.</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Smt. Madhavi Ayyappa for M/S Talekar and Associates Advocate for Applicant</p> <p>Shri Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O. for the Respondents 1 to 3</p> <p>Adj. S.O. to H. Joshi</p> <p>A.A. Desai Adv for the Respondents No. 4, 25, 75, 82 and 97. Shri K.R. Jagdale Adv for the Respondents 25, 75, 82 and 97. M.A. 295/19 is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">P</p>	<p><b>Date :</b> 10.06.2019</p> <p><b>M.A.No.295 of 2019 in O.A.No.445 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>M.T. Metake &amp; Ors. .... Applicants</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Madhavi Ayyappa, learned Advocate holding for M/S. Talekar &amp; Assoc., learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents No.1 to 3, Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondents No.25, 75, 82 and 97.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.295/2019 is allowed in terms of prayer 5(a), which reads as below :-</p> <p>"a. To allow to amend the Original Application as per the draft of amendment contained in the schedule;"</p> <p>(Quoted from page 208 of the paper book of M.A.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**DATE :** 10/6/19.

**CORAM :**

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

**APPEARANCE:**

*Ms. Madhavi Ayyappa*  
*Talekar and Associates*  
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. *S.P. Manchekar*  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents *123*

Adj./S.O. to *heard*  
*Shri A.A. Desai Adv. for the Respondents*  
*Shri K.R. Jagdale Adv. for the*  
*Res. No. 25, 75, 82 and 97.*

*heard*  
*order passed in Tribunal*  
*column*  
*SO to 8/8/19.*

*e*

Date : 10.06.2019.

O.A.No.445 of 2019

M.T. Metake & Ors. .... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Madhavi Ayyappa, learned Advocate holding for M/S. Talekar & Assoc., learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents No.1 to 3, Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondents No.25, 75, 82 and 97.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.08.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 08.08.2019.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

m0495118  
Original Application No. 1030 of 20 14  
M.D. Sunderkar  
(Advocate Dr. G. Sadavarte)

DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <b>10.06.2019</b>
--	--

**M.A 495/2018 in O.A 1030/2014**

Mamta D. Sunderkar ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Dr Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicant. Heard Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. For the reasons recorded in O.A 1029/2014 & Ors, M.A stands disposed of.

DATE: 10/6/19

CORAM:

Honble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte

for the Applicant

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

for the Respondent/s

AGREED:

m0 stands disposed of.

Sd/-  
**(A.H Joshi, J.J.)**  
Chairman

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

m# 497/18  
Original Application No. 1032 of 2014  
J.G. Rohankar  
(Advocate Dr. G. Sadavarte)

DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 10/6/19,</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE FOR:</b> Shri/Smt. Dr. G. Sadavarte, Advocate for Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaitwad, P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to m# 497/18 disposed of.</p>	<p><b>10.06.2019</b></p> <p><b>M.A 497/2018 in O.A 1032/2014</b></p> <p>Jyoti G. Rohankar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Dr Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicant. Heard Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. For the reasons recorded in O.A 1029/2014 &amp; Ors, M.A stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

*MA 496/18*  
Original Application No. *1031* of 20 *14*  
*S.S. Kinhekar*  
(Advocate *Dr. G. Sadavarte*)

DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> <i>10/6/19</i></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> <i>Dr. G. Sadavarte</i> Advocate for the Applicant <i>Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad</i> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to .....</p> <p><i>MA stands disposed of -</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>2</i></p>	<p><u>10.06.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 496/2018 in O.A 1031/2014</u></p> <p>Sulochana S. Kinhekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Dr Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicant. Heard Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. For the reasons recorded in O.A 1029/2014 &amp; Ors, M.A stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>J</i> Sd/- <b>(A.H Joshi, J)</b> Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

MA 494/18  
Original Application No. 1029 of 2014  
R.S Bidkar  
(Advocate Dr. G. Sadavarte)

DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others



..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>10/6/19</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>Dr. G. Sadavarte</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>R.S. Bidkar</u> P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>MA stands disposed of.</u></p>	<p><b>10.06.2019</b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 494/2018 in O.A 1029/2014</u></b></p> <p>Rupali .S Bidkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Dr Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicant. Heard Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. For the reasons recorded in O .A 1029/2014 &amp; Ors, M.A stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.H Joshi, J.)</b> Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 10/6/19</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><b>ADJ.S.O. to:</b> 18/6/19.</p> <p>Heard, order passed in Tribunal column.</p> <p><i>Ms. A.H. Joshi</i> 11/5/19</p>	<p><b>Date : 10.06.2019</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.513 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S.V. Rane</b> ..... Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ..... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.06.2019.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This Intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 18.06.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 10/6/19  CO: 19  Honble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:  Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale  Advocate for Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar  C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to Heard  order passed in Tribunal column  SO to 19/6/19 -  Steno copy and Hamdast  is allowed to learned  C.P.O.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"></p>	<p>Date : 10.06.2019  <sup>9</sup>  O.A.No.96<sup>9</sup> of 2018</p> <p>T.A. Diwan ..... Applicant  Versus  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents on instructions from Respondent No.3 states that it is not possible to concede to applicant's prayer for legitimate reasons.</li> <li>3. Respondent No.2 is directed as follows :- <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) He shall call papers and all related file of present O.A..</li> <li>(b) He shall satisfy himself if rejection if applicant's candidature was legal.</li> <li>(c) If rejection of applicant's candidature is not legal is the department under obligation to take corrective measures.</li> <li>(d) Ascertain what corrective measures are taken by his office.</li> <li>(e) If he is not obliged to take corrective measures what are legal reasons for this abstention.</li> <li>(f) He shall file his own affidavit of compliance and answer to points contained in foregoing sub-paragraphs.</li> </ol> </li> <li>4. Learned C.P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</li> <li>5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned C.P.O..</li> <li>6. S.O. to 19.06.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-    (A.H. Joshi, J.)  Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 10.06.2019.</b></p> <p><b>O. A. No.519 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>Dr. K. S. Deshpande</b></p> <p><b>....Applicant</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.      ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandivadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the impugned transfer order dated 31.05.2019 mainly on the ground that though the Applicant is due to retire on 31.08.2019, he was transferred in contravention of Section 5(1)(a) of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Transfer Act, 2005'.)</p> <p>3. Having gone through the pleadings and on hearing of the learned Advocate, prima-facie, the Applicant is entitled to the benefit of extension of tenure as he is due to retire within next three months as contemplated under Section 5(1) (a) of Transfer Act, 2005.</p> <p>4. This being the position, the impugned transfer order deserves to be stayed. In view of above, the impugned transfer order dated 31.05.2019 is hereby stayed till further orders in terms of Paragraph No.10(a) of the O.A.</p>

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.07.2019.
6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
11. S.O. to 08.07.2019.
12. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 10.06.2019.
	<p>O.A.Nos.498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506 507 &amp; 508 of 2019</p> <p>R. D. Nanaware &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. In the present O.A., in all these Original Applications, the Applicants are seeking stay to impugned order dated 31.05.2019 whereby they have been transferred to different police stations within the area of Commissionerate , Pune.</li> <li>3. In terms of order passed by this Tribunal on 06.06.2019, the directions were issued to the Respondent No.2 to clarify inconsistency in between two orders passed on the same day i.e. 31.05.2019. in first order, the Applicants were shown transferred to Police Head Quarter whereas in 2<sup>nd</sup> order, they were shown transferred to different police stations.</li> <li>4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents clarified that the 2<sup>nd</sup> order transferring the Applicants to different police stations is valid one and pointed out that mistakenly the 1<sup>st</sup> order was issued without issuing posting of specific police station and the corrigendum has been accordingly issued.</li> <li>5. In fact, there ought to have been reference to corrigendum in 2<sup>nd</sup> order whereby the different police stations have been allotted to the Applicants.</li> <li>6. Be that as it may, the fact remains that the corrigendum was issued and it is 2<sup>nd</sup> order, whereby the Applicants are transferred to different police stations is final order.</li> </ol>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. The learned Advocate for the Applicants prayed for interim relief on the ground that none of the Applicant has completed normal tenure and the ground shown for transfer i.e. administrative exigency is not sustainable in law. Learned Advocate further sought to contend that the transfer appears punitive and, therefore, deserves to be stayed.</p> <p>8. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered a copy of Minutes of Police Establishment Board (PEB) which shows that the Applicants who were earlier attached to Social Security Cell have been transferred to different police stations within the Commissionerate area on the ground of public interest and on account of administrative exigency. Thus, it appears that PEB invoked Section 22 (N) (2) of the Maharashtra Police Act and found it necessary to transfer the Applicants amongst other to various police stations. In PEB, it is noted that the transfers of the Applicants to the various police stations is necessitated so as to avail their services for public at large and thought it necessary to transfer them to various police stations on account of administrative exigency.</p> <p>9. Merely because the Applicants have been transferred mid-term, it cannot be termed as punitive transfer. PEB under Section 22(N) and 22(2) is empowered to make such mid-term transfer in public interest and on account of administrative exigency.</p> <p>10. Prima-facie, the Applicants have been transferred to various police stations from Social Security Cell on administrative exigency.</p> <p>11. I am, therefore, not inclined to grant interim stay. The Respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>12. Learned P.O. also pointed that majority of Applicants have already relieved and joined their new place of postings.</p> <p>13. Learned Advocate for the Applicants is allowed to file service affidavit.</p> <p>14. S.O. to 25.06.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.06.2019.</p> <p>M.A. No.161 of 2019 &amp; M. a. No.162 of 2019 In O.A. No.862 of 2018</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>J. V. Bandgar ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri K. Y. Mali, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>2. The Applicant stands retired in 2014 but retiral benefits were not released. Therefore, he had filed O.A.No.862/2018 which was disposed of by order dated 29.01.2019 thereby giving directions to the Respondents to release retiral benefits within six weeks from the date of order. However, the directions were not complied with.</p> <p>3. The Respondent No.2 in O.A. No.862/2018 i.e. Chief Engineer, Minor Irrigation Local Sector, Pune who was supposed to make compliance has filed M.A No.161/2019 for condonation of delay and also filed M.A.No.162/2019 for extension of time to release retiral benefits.</p> <p>4. Thus, fact remains that the directions given by this Tribunal by order dated 29.01.2019 have not been complied with. These two Misc. Applications are filed on 26.03.2019 and thereafter also more than two months time has been availed by the Respondent No.2 i.e. Chief Engineer, Minor Irrigation Local Sector, Pune for compliance of the order but in vain.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. The Applicant in O.A.No.862/2018 has also initiated contempt proceeding.</p> <p>6. Today, the learned P.O. sought to contend that due to some administrative reasons, the file could not be processed earlier within time granted by the Tribunal and further stated that the retiral benefits will be released within a month.</p> <p>7. The disobedience of the order passed by this Tribunal as well as inaction and lethargy on the part of Chief Engineer, Minor Irrigation Local Sector, Pune is obvious from the record. The Applicant stands retired in 2014 and has been deprived of retiral benefits for the period of 5 years. There is absolutely no hurdle to finalise the pensionary benefits of the Applicant but seems to have been not processed due to sheer negligence and mal administration.</p> <p>8. I am, therefore, not inclined to extend the time for compliance of the order.</p> <p>9. Accordingly, both the Misc. Applications stand rejected.</p> <p>10. O.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>11. The Applicant in O.A. No.862/2018 is at liberty to proceed with contempt proceeding.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <b>O.A.530/19</b>
	<p><b>Shri R.R. Dhaktode</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A, the Applicant who was not due for transfer has challenged the impugned transfer order dated 29<sup>th</sup> May, 2019 <i>inter-alia</i> mainly on the ground that it has not been approved by next higher competent authority envisaged under the 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfer and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005' (hereinafter referred to as 'Transfer Act 2005').</p> <p>3. Whereas, the learned P.O. sought to contend that the transfer has been approved by the Hon'ble Minister. According to him, the powers are delegated to the Minister by Notification dated 25.04.2016.</p> <p>4. The perusal of Notification dated 25.04.2016 reveals that the powers are conferred upon the Hon'ble Minister as a competent authority for transfer as well as for mid-term transfer.</p> <p>5. However, Section 4(5) requires approval of immediately competent transferring authority i.e. Hon'ble Chief Minister. <i>Prima-facie</i>, in absence of amendment to 'Transfer Act 2005' by way of Notification, it cannot be done.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. The learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions and to make submission on merit.</p> <p>7. In view of above, in my considered opinion, <i>prima-facie</i> there is no compliance of Section 4(4)(ii) and 4(5) of 'Transfer Act 2005', as the transfer is not approved by the Hon'ble Chief Minister. The implementation of the transfer order dated 27.05.2019 is, therefore, stayed till filing of the reply.</p> <p>8. Issue notice returnable on 25<sup>th</sup> June, 2019.</p> <p>9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>11. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>12. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>13. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>14. S.O. to 25<sup>th</sup> June, 2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 10.06.2019</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
O.A. No.353 of 2019

Dr. Indu B. Bhimte ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. List for admission hearing after removal of office objections.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
10.6.2019

(sgj)

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman  
10.6.2019

DATE 10/6/2019

Shri A. N. Joshi (Chairman)  
P.N. Dixit (Member) A

A. A. Desai

Applicant

N. G. Gohad

for the Respondent/s

List for admission  
hearing after removal of  
objections

B.T.E

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

ADVOCATE (.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer (.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
Directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**  
O.A. No.352 of 2019

Dr. Shreekrishna Undre ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. List for admission hearing after removal of office objections.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
10.6.2019

(sgj)

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman  
10.6.2019

10/6/2019

SECRET

Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Shri P. N. Dixit (Member) A

A. Desai

Applicant

N. G. Gohad

Presenting Officer of the Respondents

List for hearing after  
removal of office objections.

HT

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>10/6/2019</p> <p><u>P. N. Dixit</u> (Chairman)</p> <p><u>Shubham Kaushal</u></p> <p><u>S. P. Manchekar</u> (Member) A</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 3.7.2019, subject to removal of office objections.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.351 of 2019</u></p> <p>Dr. C.A. Padalkar &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Shubham Kaushal, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 3.7.2019, subject to removal of office objections.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 10.6.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.H. Joshi) Chairman 10.6.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>10/6/2019</u></p> <p>SECRETARY</p> <p>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p><u>P.N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p>Ms. Aditi Saxena</p> <p>S.P. Manchekar</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 3.7.2019, subject to removal of office objections.</p> <p>(sgj)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.356 of 2019</u></p> <p>A.A. Ambore &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Aditi Saxena, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 3.7.2019, subject to removal of office objections.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 10.6.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.H. Joshi, JN) Chairman 10.6.2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

Advocate \_\_\_\_\_)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**  
M.A. No.190 of 2019 O.A. No.356 of 2019

A.A. Ambore & Ors. ..Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. Aditi Saxena, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By this MA, the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.

DATE: 10/6/2019  
CHAIRMAN (Chairman)  
P.N. Dixit (Member) A

Aditi Saxena

S.P. Manchekar

MA is allowed

*[Signature]*

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
10.6.2019

(sgj)

Sd/-  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman  
10.6.2019

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.06.2019.</p> <p>O. A. No.515 of 2019</p> <p>N. T. Nehete .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.07.2019.</li><li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li><li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li><li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li><li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li><li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li><li>8. S.O. to 08.07.2019.</li><li>9. Issue of interim relief is kept open.</li></ol> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.06.2019.</p> <p>O. A. No.522 of 2019</p> <p>S. A. Shinde .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri-A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.07.2019.</li><li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li><li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li><li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li><li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li><li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li><li>8. S.O. to 08.07.2019.</li></ol> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.06.2019.</p> <p>O. A. No.521 of 2019</p> <p>G. K. Galkwad .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.07.2019.</li><li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li><li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li><li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li><li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li><li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li></ol> <p>8. S.O. to 08.07.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 10.06.2019.

O. A. No.523 of 2019

M. V. Tambe

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.06.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 18.06.2019.
9. Issue of interim relief is kept open.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 10.06.2019.

O.A.No.334 of 2017

G. N. Peherkar & Ors. ....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicants and their Advocate are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, the matter is fixed for final hearing at the stage of admission but the Applicants and their Advocate are absent.
3. The Applicants seem to have been appointed on contract basis and on completion of tenure, they were discharged from service. They are seeking relief of regularization.
4. The Applicants and their Advocate appear not interested in the O.A. and are continuously absent.
5. Original Application is, therefore, dismissed in default.
6. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 10.06.2019.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O. A. No.528 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>A. A. Chile</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.06.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 25.06.2019.</p> <p>9. Allowed to correct the title of Respondent No.2 as Principal Secretary, Revenue &amp; Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. It be carried out immediately.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 10.06.2019.
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.1000 of 2018</b></p> <p>L. G. Lonkar &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri S. D. Doie, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that the Rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day.</li><li>3. The statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</li><li>4. The matter is adjourned for hearing.</li><li>5. S.O. to 08.07.2019.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 10.06.2019.

O.A.No.332 of 2019

L. M. Kamble

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar , learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Despite specific order passed by this Tribunal on 02.05.2019<sup>AD</sup> the directions to respondents to consider the provisions of Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 and pass appropriate order for grant of pension, no steps have been taken.

3. On the contrary, learned P.D. for the Respondents submits that she has no instructions from the department.

4. Again, two weeks time is granted to the Respondents to take remedial measures, if they want to choose or they are at liberty to file reply on the next date without fail.

5. S.O. to 24.06.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 10.06.2019.</b></p> <p><b>M.A. No.277 of 2019 in O. A. No.465 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S. K. Mukherjee .....Applicant</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.07.2019.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 08.07.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(I)</b></p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.957/18

Shri S.S. Sawant ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. The Applicant and his Advocate absent. Heard Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. for Respondents.

2. The perusal of order-sheet reveals that the Applicant and his Advocate are continuously absent.

3. Today, the matter is fixed at the stage of admission. However, the Applicant and his Advocate seems not interested in the matter. Hence, the O.A. is dismissed in default.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J

L.O.

Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant appeared and requested to restore the matter contending that he was held-up in another Court, and therefore, could not remain present in the matter.

In view of his statement, the O.A. is restored with the file.

S.O. to 19<sup>th</sup> June, 2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
10.06.2019

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.514/19

Shri P.H. Pharande ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. S. D. Dole, learned P.O. for Respondents.
2. The issue of interim relief is kept open.
3. Issue notice returnable on 19<sup>th</sup> June, 2019.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
9. S.O. to 19<sup>th</sup> June, 2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
10.06.2019

(skw)




**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Writ/A.C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

Official Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>10.06.19</u></p> <p><b>FORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> 1. For the Applicant 2. For the Respondent/s 3. For the Registrar</p>	<p><b>Date : 10.06.2019</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.186 of 2019 In O.A.No.300 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>D.S. Pawar ..... Applicant</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents adjourned to 18.06.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. ....

of 20 .....

DISTRICT .....

..... Applicant/s

Address: .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer: .....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
CA.4/19 in RA.6/17 in OA.105/16

R.K. Potle

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Giri, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. CA is disposed off in view of compliance.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman  
10.6.2019

DATE: 10/6/19

CORAM:  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

By: M.D. Giri

For: the Applicant

By: S.P. Manchekar  
For: the Respondents

By: N. S. ...

CA is disposed off.  
in view of compliance

(sg)

2

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. ....

of 20 .....

DISTRICT .....

..... Applicant/s

Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer .....

Office Noted, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
CA.2/19 in RA.6/17 in OA.106/16 with OA.107/16

S.K. Patil & Anr. ..Applicants


Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Giri, learned Advocate for the  
Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief  
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. CA is disposed off in view of compliance.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi,   
Chairman  
10.6.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 10.6.19

Signature:  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

For Applicant: M.D. Giri

For the Applicant:

For Respondent's: S.P. Manchekar

For the Respondent/s

For: Head

CA is disposed off  
in view of compliance.



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

Advocate.....)

*VERSUS*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

10.6.2019

O.A No 466/2019

DATE: 10/6/19

FORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri/A.H. Joshi (Chairman)

Dr R.D Katke ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri S.P. Manjekar

Advocate for the Respondent/s

DATE: 10/6/19.



1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O states that four days' time be granted for filing reply.

3. Reply be filed on or before 14.6.2019 and record be annexed to affidavit.

4. S.O to 19.6.2019.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J)  
Chairman

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_

of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

..... Applicant/s

Advocate (.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer (.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders C.A. No.40 of 2018 in O.A. No.498 of 2018
---	--

P.G. Sanase ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In view of compliance, applicant desires to withdraw present CA. Hence, CA is disposed off.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman  
10.6.2019

(sgl)

10/6/19

Heard by Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

**APPEARANCE:**

For the Applicant: M. A. Kadam

For the Respondents: S. P. Manchekar

Presenting Officer: S. P. Manchekar

For the Respondents: Head

CA is disposed off



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

Advocate's Name: .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer: .....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
C.A. No.51 of 2014 in O.A. No.465 of 2008

The Tracers Association ..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO prays for time to carry out compliance of remainder.

3. S.O. to <sup>10</sup>17.7.2019.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman  
10.6.2019

DATE: 10/6/19  
BY: [Signature]  
Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

INTERDICTANCE:  
[Signature]

BY: S.P. Manchekar  
for the Respondent/s

DATE: 10/6/19

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

Subject: (.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**10.6.2019**

**C.A 15/2019 in O.A No 595/2018**

Shri A.D Sawant ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Case No 10/6/19

DATE

At the Office of Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

For the Applicant: Shri M.D. Lonkar

For the Respondents:

Shri A.D. Sawant

For the Respondents:

Heard

Order passed in

Final Order

is responded to.

*[Handwritten signature]*

1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Prima facie it is a case of grievance about the decision than a contempt.

3. Hence there is no contempt. Applicant shall be free to agitate grievance, if he has.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.  
Chairman

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

PLA/C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

Final Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>10/06/19</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPLICANT in person present</p> <p>for the Applicant S.P. Manchekar</p> <p>for the Respondent's present</p> <p>is disposed as withdrawn.</p>	<p>Date : 10.06.2019</p> <p>C.A.No.36 of 2018 in O.A.No.35 of 2018</p> <p>D.J. Ambilwade ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. C.A. is disposed as withdrawn. <i>S</i></p> <p>Sd/- <i>(A.H. Joshi, J)</i> Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. ....

of 20 .....

DISTRICT .....

..... Applicant/s

Advocate's .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.  
appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
objections and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

10.6.2019

O.A No 236/2019

Shri G.G Waghmare

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

DATE 10/6/19  
BY  
A.H. JOSHI (Chairman)

BY  
Sd/-  
A.H. JOSHI

BY  
Sd/-  
A.H. JOSHI

BY  
Sd/-  
A.H. JOSHI

order passed in  
number 2014/119  
steno copy and  
Hamdast is granted.

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In view that O.A is being opposed on technical grounds. learned Presenting Officer is called to cite any provision of rule of law/regulation/standing order which requires the Officer promoted on adhoc basis or put into charge shall be under bounden duty to unilaterally relinquish or handover charge of his post to any specified officer.

3. Learned P.O prays for weeks' time.

4. S.O to 20.6.2019.

5. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned C.P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)  
Chairman



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> 10/6/19.</p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p><b>APPLICANT:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar s/o. [unclear]</u> with <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><b>RESPONDENT:</b> <u>Ms. S.P. Manekar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad./S.D. to <u>Heard.</u> order passed by Tribunal Column. place before DIB on 27/6/19.</p>	<p>Date : 10.06.2019</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.4 of 2018</p> <p>S.M. Jadhav &amp; Ors. .... Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate with</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents No.1 to 3. and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 to 70.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manekar for the Respondents prays for a week's time for :</p> <p>(a) Filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Director General of Police.</p> <p>(b) Also for replying to the amended portion of O.A..</p> <p>(c) Filing Rejoinder, if necessary.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>4. Irrespective of filing of affidavit, list the O.A. for final disposal before Division Bench on 27.06.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**10.6.2019**

**O.A No 474/2019**

Shri N.R Thakur ... Applicants  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

Shri H. A. Joshi, learned Advocate for

1. Heard Shri S.S Dera, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned advocate for applicants has tendered pursis praying to vacate Interim Relief granted on 13.5.2019. Interim relief in terms of para 15 of order dated 13.5.2019 is vacated.

3. Learned advocate for the applicant prays for leave to amend for substituting O.A. Leave to amend as prayed for is granted.

4. Issue notice to added Respondents returnable on 8.8.2019.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

6. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 22 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.

10. With liberty to circulate in case any urgency crops up.

11. S.O to 8.8.2019. Hamdast and Steno copies granted.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

Akn

**DATE :** 10/6/19.

**CORAM :**

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri H. A. Joshi for S.S. Dera

Ms. S. B. Manchekar

for the Respondents

Adj./S.O. to Heard

order passed in  
Tribunal Column.

S.O to 8/8/19,  
Hamdast and Steno copy  
is granted.

2

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 10/6/2019</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Shri P.N. Dixit (C/M)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A.D. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri A.D. Karanjkar (Member) ✓</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b></p> <p>Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar</p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent's holding for Smt. Archana B.K. for P.O.S</p> <p>Adj. To Registrar is directed to verify the same and accordingly place the matter before Single Bench.</p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p><b>Date : 10.06.2019</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.174 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>S.B. Chavan</b> ..... Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ..... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri M.D. Lonkar for the Applicant states that the subject matter of 'Deemed date of Promotion' pertains to Single Bench.</p> <p>3. Registrar is directed to verify the same and accordingly place the matter before Single Bench.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(A.D. Karanjkar) (P.N. Dixit)</p> <p>Member(I) Vice-Chairman(A)</p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>









Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 10/6/2019</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Shri P. N. Dixit (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. A. D. Karanjkar (Member) - J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. S. S. Dere Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: Issue notice before admission returnable on 8/7/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;">B/D</p>	<p>Date : 10.06.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.455 of 2019</p> <p>A.T. Patil ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.07.2019.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. Respondents are directed that the final selection will be subject to the decision in this O.A. and the concerned may be notified. Respondent No.5 is directed to furnish the addresses of Respondents No.6 and 7.</li> <li>9. 5.O. to 08.07.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (A.D. Karanjkar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman(A)</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.324 of 2018</u>
---	---

D.A. Dalvi ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO states that the matter is under consideration of the Hon'ble Chief Minister. She states that time may be granted to her till 14.6.2019 for further instructions.

3. Matter should be pursued on day to day basis.

4. S.O. to 14.6.2019.

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
10.6.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 10/6/2019

CORAM: Shri P.N. Dixit (V/C-A)  
Hon'ble Justice H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri V. K. Kashikar (Member) A

APPEAR:

Shri/Smt: K.R. Jagdale

Adv:

Shri: S-P-Manchekar

C.P.O./R:

Ad. To: 14/6/2019

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No. 790 of 2018 with O.A. No. 482 of 2018
<p>DATE: <u>10/6/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: <u>Shri P.N. Dixit (C.V.C-A)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A-</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>G.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri /Smt. : <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>27/6/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>V.R. Thok R.J. Shelke ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks four weeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.6.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 10.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.115 of 2019
<p>DATE: 10/6/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Shri. P.N. Dixit (CJ-C-1)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice S. Ramchekar (Member-A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S.K. Gunjkar</p> <p>Ld. Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar</p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 18/6/2019</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>A.M. Sawarkar ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.K. Gunjkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.6.2019. Interim relief to continue till then.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 10.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.890 of 2018</u>
<p>DATE: <u>10/6/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: <u>Shri P.A. Dixit C.V.(CA)</u>  <del>Hon'ble Justice H. Joshi (Chairman)</del>  <del>Hon'ble Justice P. Manjekar (Member) A</del></p> <p>Applicant: <u>Applicant as well as</u>  Respondent: <u>are absent</u></p> <p>Advocate for Applicant: <u>S.P. Manjekar</u>  Advocate for Respondent: <u>are absent</u></p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>S.P. Manjekar</u>  C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s: _____</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>14/6/2019</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>B.N. Nagre ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant as well as Advocate for the applicant are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manjekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that reply has already been filed.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.6.2019 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman (A)  10.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.444 of 2019</u>
---	---

A.R. Lembhe & Ors. ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO seeks two days to file reply. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has no objection.

3. By consent S.O. to 12.6.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
10.6.2019

(sgl)

DATE: 10/6/2019

CORAM: Shri P.N. Dixit (CJG-1)  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Sr. R.M. Kolge

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Sr. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad. To: 12/6/2019

*Handwritten signature*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.62 of 2019</u>
<p>DATE: 10/6/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Shri P.N. Dixit (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Member (Member A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri U.V. Bhosale Ld. Adv. holding for Shri M.R. Patil Ld. Advocate</p> <p>Shri Anish Khandekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent's</p> <p>Ld. Adv. for R.No.1.</p> <p>Adv. for Shri S.P. Manchekar Ld. C.P.O. for R.No.2.</p> <p>S.O. to 8/7/2019</p> <p>ST</p>	<p>H.B. Shinde ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.R. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri Anish Khandekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.1 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.1 and rejoinder thereto on behalf of applicant is already filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 8.7.2019.</p> <p>(sg)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 10.6.2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No. 1127 of 2018</u>
<p>DATE: <u>10/6/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: <u>Shri P.N. Dixit C.J.C.A.</u> Hon'ble <u>Joshi (Chairman)</u> Hon'ble <u>Kamachikumar (Member) A</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt <u>S.T. Bhosale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>18/6/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>S.B. Kadam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.T. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks one week's time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.6.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 10.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>