

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.629/2024****A.R. Shinde****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri T.A. Quadri, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The Applicant has appeared for the Examination pursuant to Advertisement dated 08.11.2022 for filling-up 353 posts of 'Police Constables'. The Applicant belongs to VJ(A) Category and 11 posts are reserved for VJ(A) Category.

3. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Applicant is a 'State Level Sportsman' in 'Sepaktakraw'. His 'Sports Certificate' was validated by Respondent No.4 - Deputy Director, Sports and Youth Services, Aurangabad. 'Form-3 Certificate' was also issued to Applicant. The 'Sports Certificate' of Applicant was sent for re-verification, but it was invalidated. On the basis of invalidation of 'Sports Certificate', the selection of Applicant is cancelled vide Order dated 19.04.2024 passed by Respondent No. 8 - 'Deputy Commissioner of Mumbai Police (Head Office-2), Mumbai'.

4. The case of Applicant is about 'Sports Certificate' in 'Sepaktrakraw'. However, it is necessary to note that till today, we have received OA Nos.619/2024, 620/2024, 635/2024, 642/2024, 645 & 646/2024 where also of 'Sports Certificates' that have been subsequently invalidated in respect of games of (a) Ice-Hockey, (b) Soft Tennis and (c) Karate and contrary 'Affidavit-in-Replies' have been filed about 'Affiliations' with concerned 'Sports Associations'.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. We find it very necessary to get proper assistance from School Education & Sports Department and Directorate of Sports and Youth Services. The concerned 'Senior Officers' from Respondent Nos.1 & 2 to thus remain personally present on 19.06.2024.

7. We further direct not to proceed with any appointments in 'Sports Categories' in respect of these 4 games i.e. (a) Ice-Hockey, (b) Soft Tennis (c) Karate and (d) Sepaktakraw.

8. S.O. to 19<sup>th</sup> June, 2024.

*sd/-*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
10.05.2024

*sd/-*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
10.05.2024

(skw)



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.580/2024**

**S.R. Shinde & Ors.**

**... Applicants**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for Applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicants who are Police Constables of 'SRPF Group-11 at Navi Mumbai challenge their non-selection in 'SPU'. The learned Advocate for Applicant prays for 'Interim Relief' that Respondent No.3 - Additional Director General of Police, SRPF, Mumbai to extend the validity of 'Merit List' dated 08.05.2023 for further period of One Year or till all such time as 'Police Constables' who have already completed 5 years' tenure in 'SPU' are relieved, whichever is later and they join back in respective 'SRPF Groups'.

3. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that the 'Merit List' for selected Police Constables for 'SPU' is going to expire on 08.05.2024.

4. The learned PO submits that the 'Select List' prepared was of 504 candidates who had appeared for 'Written Examination' for 'SPU'. Out of 504 candidates, only 187 candidates from the 'Select List' have joined duty in 'SPU'.

5. We would like to know that out of 504 candidates in the 'Select Lists', what are the ranks of all these 18 candidates who are Applicants.

6. The Respondents to explain when all these 18 candidates who have also secured 100 marks in 'Written Examination' why they were not selected for 'SPU'.

7. S.O. to 1<sup>st</sup> July, 2024 for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.

*Sd/-*  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
Member-A  
10.05.2024

*Sd/-*  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J)**  
Chairperson  
10.05.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.642/2024**

**A.P. Yamgar  
Vs.**

... Applicant

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 19<sup>th</sup> June, 2024 with OA 645/2024, OA 646/2026, OA 619/2024, OA 620/2024, OA 635/2024 and other connected matters.

*sd/-*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
10.05.2024

*sd/-*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
10.05.2024

(skw)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's Orders

Tribunal's orders

**M.A.644/2023 in O.A.460/2023**

**K.B. Ghodke & Ors.**

**... Applicants**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri A.V. Gaikar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 20<sup>th</sup> June, 2024 under the caption of 'FOB-P.H'.

*Sd/-*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
10.05.2024

*Sd/-*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
10.05.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders.

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.617/2024****B.K. Gule****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Respondent No.3. is trying to avoid service of notice. The Applicant is present and he submits that he has gone personally to serve Respondent No.3.

3. We are not going to accept his statement unless he makes 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on 'Oath'. 'Interim Relief' is for direction to furnish minutes of 'DPC' meeting to the Applicant.

4. We direct Respondent No.1 to furnish the copy of minutes of 'DPC' to Applicant by which promotion order dated 07.03.2024 is issued.

5. We further direct Respondent No.1 to decide the representation made by Applicant on 18.03.2024.

6. Smt. Akshata Desai, Desk Officer, GAD is present and she informed that GAD has forwarded the Department. file to 'Disabled Welfare Department'.

7. 'Interim Relief' in respect of Prayer Clause (a) and (b) of OA is allowed.

8. S.O. to 1st July, 2024.

sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
10.05.2024

sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
10.05.2024

(skw)

[P.T.O.]



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.598/2023****Dr. C.S. Lone****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents and Shri D.V. Sutar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.

2. Learned CPO points out that by order dated 15.02.2024, the Applicant is reinstated and he has already joined the service.

3. The learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to take instructions.

4. S.O. to 30<sup>th</sup> July, 2024.

34/5  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
10.05.2024

80/1  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
10.05.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.307/2024****R.V. Kothmire****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for Applicant prays for Interim Relief.

3. Learned CPO submits that the case of Applicant is kept in 'Sealed Cover'. She further informs that in '1st DPC' conducted on 19.08.2022, the Applicant came in the zone of consideration. Thereafter, in '2nd DPC' conducted on 21.08.2023, the case of Applicant was considered and kept in abeyance on account of criminal case pending against him. She then submits that the period of 2 years is not yet completed and Applicant is going to retire on 31.05.2024.

4. S.O. to 10<sup>th</sup> June, 2024.

*skw*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
10.05.2024

*sdh*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
10.05.2024

(skw)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.216/2024****Dr. S.D. Wagh****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Applicant is meritorious in 'OBC Female Category' and therefore she can be considered for selection.

3. Learned CPO points out that present Applicant has also filed OA No. 160/2024 and has asked for one seat to be reserved in 'OBC Female' at Satara and this Tribunal has accordingly kept one seat at Satara vacant for this candidate. She further submits that there is basic issue of eligibility of experience of Applicant on the post of 'Assistant Professor', which requires experience of 'Senior Resident' of one year.

4. It is made clear that if at all Government wants to appoint any candidate from 'OBC Female Category', it will be subject to outcome of this OA and it is to be specifically noted in the appointment order of such candidate.

5. S.O. to 10th June, 2024.

Sd/-  
(**Debashish Chakrabarty**)  
Member-A  
10.05.2024

Sd/-  
(**Mridula Bhatkar, J**)  
Chairperson  
10.05.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.602/2024****Dr. D.B. Khelkar****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Smt. Daksha Pungera, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. The Applicant is working as 'Medical Officer' working in Government Hospital. His date of birth is 14<sup>th</sup> May, 1966 and he is going to retire on 31<sup>st</sup> May, 2024.

3. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that the benefit of order passed in similarly situated 'Medical Officers' seeking extension in age were granted interim protection by Hon'ble Bombay High Court in **Writ Petition No.463 of 2024 [Bharti P. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.] decided on 01.02.2024.**

4. This Tribunal relying on the same Order of Hon'ble Bombay High Court, 'Interim Relief' had been granted by extending the age of retirement of 'Medical Officers' till next date. Hence, similar order is passed by way of 'Interim Relief' in respect of present Applicant.

5. Admit with liberty to file Rejoinder.

6. This OA No.602/2024 to be placed along with OA No.305/2024, OA No.437/2024, OA No.578/2024 and other connected OAs.

7. S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> June, 2024.

*skw*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
10.05.2024

*skw*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
10.05.2024

(skw)

[P.T.O.]



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.618/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>S.T. Motkari &amp; Ors.                      ... Applicants</b> <b>      Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.                ... Respondents</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.</li> <li>2. The learned PO files short Affidavit-in-Reply. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. This matter has checked history.</li> <li>4. We keep this matter for 'Interim Relief' on 11th June, 2024.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sd/-</i> <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member-A 10.05.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sd/-</i> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J)</b> Chairperson 10.05.2024</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.631/2024**

**R.S. Mahadeshwar**

**... Applicant**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri D.H. Pawar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. Already 'Status Quo' is granted in OA No.420/2024 & OA/2024.
3. Issue notice returnable on 14<sup>th</sup> June, 2024.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> June, 2024 for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.

*sd/-*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
10.05.2024

*sd/-*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
10.05.2024



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.641/2024**

**S.V. Abhange & Ors.**

**... Applicants**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Ms. Rama Somani, learned Advocate holding for Shri Chetan Alai, learned Advocate for Applicants, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents and Ms. Suvidha R. Patil, learned Advocate for Respondent - MPSC.

2. Ms. Suvidha R. Patil, learned Advocate for Respondent - MPSC seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply.

3. Issue notice returnable on 01.07.2024.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 1st July, 2024.

*Sd/-*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
10.05.2024

*Sd/-*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
10.05.2024

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s.

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

### M.A.306/2024 in O.A.641/2024

**S.V. Abhange & Ors.**

**... Applicants**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Ms. Rama Somani, learned Advocate holding for Shri Chetan Alai, learned Advocate for Applicants, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents and Ms. Suvidha R. Patil, learned Advocate for Respondent - MPSC.

2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

*sd/-*  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
Member-A  
10.05.2024

*sd/-*  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J)**  
Chairperson  
10.05.2024

(skw)





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.937 of 2023</u></p> <p>K.M. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the case of the applicant is covered by the judgment and order dated 12.12.2023 passed by the Aurangabad Bench of this Tribunal in OA No.171/20222 Madansing S. Gusinge Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO to verify.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO seeks time to take instructions and file reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 27.6.2024.</p> <p align="center">             (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)            10.5.2024            (sgj)         </p> <p align="center">             (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson            10.5.2024         </p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.646 of 2017</u></p> <p>Gaya S. Choudhari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.S. Shukla, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has challenged the select list dated 1.7.2017 for the post of Assistant Motor Vehicle Inspector published by the respondents pursuant to the recruitment process as per advertisement dated 30.1.2017.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that applicant appeared for the examination in OBC Female category however her name was not found in the impugned select list though she has secured more marks i.e. 9 than the cutoff of 1 mark for Open Female in the preliminary examination. She therefore prayed that her case is to be considered from Open Female category by way of migration and she should be allowed to appear for the mains examination which was to be held on 6.8.2017 and the last date for filling the application form for mains examination was 14.7.2017.</p> <p>4. We have not come across any interim order passed in favour of the applicant before mains examination was conducted. The matter remained as it is and nothing is shown to us.</p> <p>5. Ld. CPO states that the examination is already conducted and the recruitment process is completed.</p> <p>6. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that matter be kept alive and adjourned beyond vacation as he filed the Vakalatnama in 2018. He would like to find out from the earlier Advocate Shri S.D. Dhongde, whether any interim order was obtained and whether applicant was allowed to appear in the examination.</p> <p>7. We are not inclined to accept this prayer and post the matter after vacation. We can see from the noting in this matter that present advocate has appeared in the matter from 5.12.2023 till today. Sufficient time was given to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant to take instructions.</p> <p>8. Under such circumstances, matter has become infructuous by efflux of time and the same is disposed off as such accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <span style="margin-right: 100px;">Sd/-</span> <span>Sd/-</span>  <span>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</span> <span>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span>  <span>Member (A)</span> <span>Chairperson</span>  <span>10.5.2024</span> <span>10.5.2024</span> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.569 OF 2024 (D.B II)**

R.B. Zendage & Ors.

**..Applicants**

**Versus**

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

**..Respondents**

Mr. S.S. Dere holding for Mr. S.A. Ambulkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants.

Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.2.

Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for Respondent No.1 (M.P.S.C.) .

**CORAM** : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**  
**Mr. Debashish Chakrabarty, Member (A)**

**DATE** : **10.05.2024**

**O R D E R**

1. Maharashtra Public Service Commission (M.P.S.C.) gave us factual data based on rough work pursuant to order passed on 09.05.2024. As per this data 49 Candidates are recommended for the post of Sub Registrar (SR) and 159 Candidates are recommended for the post of Sales Tax Inspector (STI) on 22.04.2024 and 24.04.2024 respectively to State Government. 164 Candidates are yet to be recommended for post of Assistant Section Officer (ASO), as Final Select List is yet to be published by M.P.S.C.

2. 'Secretary, M.P.S.C' Ms. Suvarna Kharat has further informed that out of total 96 candidates, names of 14 Candidates are repeated in the list of Sub Registrar (SR) and Assistant Section Officer (ASO), while names of

2 Candidates are repeated in the list of Sub Registrars (SR), Assistant Section Officers (ASO) and Sales Tax Inspectors (STI) and further names of 80 Candidates are repeated in the list of Sales Tax Inspector (STI) and Assistant Section Officer (ASO). Thus 96 which is quite a big number of more than 60% in view of the total recommendation of 164 candidates of Assistant Section Officers (ASO) which is yet to be made by M.P.S.C.

4. In view of the information received from M.P.S.C. and considering the submissions made by learned Counsel of both the sides, we are of the view that 'Rule 11.1' and 'Rule 11.2' of the impugned Advertisement No.070/2023 dated 15.09.2023 can be observed by following the procedure :

- (i) M.P.S.C. will issue letters to obtain consent from all the candidates from the recommendation list of Sub Registrars (SR) and Sales Tax Inspectors (STI) and also provisional list of Assistant Section Officers (ASO).
- (ii) 49 Candidates recommended for Sub Registrar (SR) and 159 Candidates recommended for Sales Tax Inspector (STI) are to inform which post they are going to give up and the post which they are going to choose, if they have been recommended for two or more posts simultaneously by M.P.S.C.
- (iii) The letters to such candidates are to be issued by M.P.S.C. on or before 24.05.2024.
- (iv) The Consent is to be sought on or before 30.05.2024 from the recommended candidates from the post of Sub Registrars (SR) and Sales Tax Inspectors (STI) and Assistant Section Officers (ASO).



- (v) The Final Revised Recommendation List of Sub Registrar (SR) and Sales Tax Inspector (STI) to be then corrected and sent to respective Administrative Departments of State Government by 04.06.2024.
- (vi) At that time M.P.S.C. will come to know how many persons are selected on two or three posts from the provisional list of 164 Candidates for post of Assistant Section Officer (ASO).
- (vii) If out of 164 posts of Assistant Section Officer (ASO) some posts are found vacant further by considering the merit, M.P.S.C. to prepare recommendation list of Assistant Section Officer (ASO) of 164 candidates and publish the same on or before 11.06.2024.
- (viii) All the recommended candidates of Sub Registrar (SR) and Sales Tax Inspector (STI) and also provisional list of Assistant Section Officer (ASO) are to be served by 'WhatsApp', 'e-mail', 'SMS' and by exploring all the means of communication.
5. Adjourned to 15.06.2024.

Sd/-  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>10.05.2024</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 650/2024</u></b></p> <p>Manoj Bhure ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li><li>2. The applicants pray that the Respondents be directed to transfer the applicant out of cade to the post of Sub-Registrar, Office of the Sub-Registrar, Yavatmal Division pursuant to S. 20 of Person's with Disability Act, 2016.</li><li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.6.2024.</li><li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li><li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li><li>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li><li>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</li><li>8. S.O to 11.6.2024.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>10.05.2024</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 638/2024</u></b></p> <p>M.B Sonawane &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants pray that the impugned order dated 10.11.2022 be quashed and set aside and Respondent No. 3 be directed to relieve the applicants as per order dated 11.6.2022 passed by Respondent No. 4, Commissioner of Police, Mumbai.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.6.2024.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 11.6.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>10.05.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 634/2024</u></b></p> <p>M.S Nagarkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 11.6.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 10.05.2024</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.48/2024 in O.A.No.837/2022 (D.B. I)</b></p> <p>Dr. V. Salunkhe @ Pawar .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Amar Bodke holding for Mr. M.V. Thorat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 25.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

**Date : 10.05.2024**

**C.A.No.49/2024 in O.A.No.1115/2018 (D.B. I)**

M.S. Jadhav .....Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that requisition has come from the Government for appointment to the post of Sub Inspector Excise on 17.09.2023. The Respondent could not take action due to pendency of C.A.No.84/2023 in O.A.No.168/2018. However, now by order dated 08.04.2024, C.A.No.84/2023 in O.A.No.168/2018 is disposed of. She has submitted that now Respondent seeks one week time to recommend the names.

3. In view of above, adjourned to 18.06.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

prk



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 10.05.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1249/2023 (D.B. I)</b></p> <p>Dr. A.B. Parjane .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. P. Kasar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel has submitted that the Applicant completed M.B.B.S. course in the year 2015. On 21.11.2015 the Applicant was appointed as Medical Officer. He worked as Medical Officer as in service candidate for a span of one year in Thane. He went on educational leave for three years. He completed his MS Course of three years from B.J. Medical College, Pune on 14.09.2020. On 02.08.2021 Applicant joined as Medical Officer in Sub District Hospital, Taluka, District Raigad. Applicant appeared for Super Specialty Examination of the year 2021-22. The results were declared of the said examination and Applicant stood at Sr. No. 115 in all India Rank. He secured admission in Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery at Grant Medical College, Mumbai. It is three years course.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel has submitted that at the time of admission to MS Course he did not execute bond of five years. He submits that there is no condition of executing any bond till date. He relies on G.R. dated 26.03.2020 (page 50).</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 25.06.2024. P.H.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

**Date : 10.05.2024**

**M.A.No.701/2023 in O.A.No.870/2021 (D.B. I)**

A.R. Ishware & Ors. ....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Shashikant Jagtap holding for Mr. S.V. Waghmare, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned P.O. on instructions has submitted that Deputy Director, Pune informed to Raigad, Civil Surgeon Office to update the service book of the Applicant.

3. Adjourned to 03.07.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

prk



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

**Date : 10.05.2024**

**O.A.No.1519/2023 (D.B. I)**

D.S. Kamtekar & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time as nobody has come today to give her instructions.
3. Adjourned to 28.06.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

**Date : 10.05.2024**

**M.A.No.301/2024 in O.A.No.1198/2022 (D.B. I)**

G.M. Chaudhari ....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. G.B. Solanke, learned Counsel for the Applicant (through video conference) and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Adjourned to 04.07.2024.

Sd/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

**Date : 10.05.2024**

**O.A.No.501/2020 (D.B. I)**

S.B. Mali .....Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that the Applicant was working as Police Head Constable and he was reverted to the post of Police Naik by order dated 10.08.2020. Learned Counsel has submitted that he worked on that post for one year but was not given notice and the same is admitted by the Respondents in their affidavit-in-reply dated 31.03.2021.

3. We direct the concern Respondent to produce noting of D.P.C. meeting before us on the next date.

4. Adjourned to 24.07.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

**Date : 10.05.2024**

**O.A.No.1492/2023 (D.B. I)**

S.L. Kokade & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that the case of the Applicants is covered by the judgment dated 11.10.2023 passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.1060/2018.

3. Learned P.O. is directed to verify the same. Also learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.

4. Adjourned to 04.07.2024

Sd/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
**Member (A)**

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**