

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.256 of 2024</p> <p>N.P. GholapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that no action has yet been initiated by 'Additional Chief Secretary, Rural Development Department' in view of observation made in 'Para 4' of Order dated 18.03.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned PO seeks more time to ascertain about proposed course of action to be taken in respect of Applicant who has been posted to post of 'B.D.O. Panchayat Samiti, Shirol, Kolhapur' by Rural Development Department Government Order dated 23.02.2024 especially because it has been observed in 'O.A. No. 281 of 2024' about different stand being taken by Rural Development Department as 'Cadre Controlling Authority; as well as reconsideration of some transfer by 'C.S.B'. Further in 'O.A. No. 281 of 2024' it has also been observed that 'B.D.O' Panchayat Samitis' serving in 'Zilla Parishad, Kolhapur' who were assigned duties of 'Sector Officers' have not been transferred from Rural Development Department.</p> <p>4. Learned PO to also find out from 'Additional Chief Secretary, Rural Development Department' if there are any vacant posts of 'B.D.O' Panchayat Samitis' in 'Zilla Parishad Satara' and which posts of 'B.D.O' Panchayat Samitis' are likely to fall vacant in 'Pune Division' during 'General Transfers: 2024'.</p> <p>5. S.O. 18.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.36 of 2024</p> <p>R.S. NagpureApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Siddhant Sawai holding for Shri R. K. Mendadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Counsel for Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder. 4. S.O. to 30.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1107/2023 with O.A. Nos.1121/2023, O.A.761/2023, O.A.1021/2023, O.A.1059/2023, O.A.1297/2023 & O.A.1370/2023</p> <p>Dr. N. P. Banage & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO for Respondents relied on judgment in W.P.No.5402/2018 (Dr. Sanajay Ramrao Kadam & Ors. V/s State of Maharashtra), dated 20.03.2020.</p> <p>3. After going through the judgment of Dr Sanjay Kadam (cited supra), we found that the State Government had not follow the ratio laid down in the judgment while increasing the age from 58 to 60 years and 60 to 62 years when it was increased earlier by issuing GR dated 03.09.2015, 29.08.2018, 01.07.2019 and even after the judgment was passed on 20.03.2020 as State Government again issued GR dated 09.08.2021.</p> <p>5. Learned CPO submits that the 'State Cabinet' took the decision of increasing the age from 58 to 60 and 60 to 62 years by giving retrospective effect. However, still we have query how extension of age can be given when the person retires on next date after attaining the age of 58 years and therefore, we want to verify whether the ratio laid down in judgment in WP No.5402/2018 (Dr. Sanajay Ramrao Kadam & Ors. V/s State of Maharashtra), dated 20.03.2020 was breached and contrary decisions were taken by the State Government in increasing age of retirement of Medical Officers.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.281 of 2024</p> <p>K.P. ManeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S.S. Thorat, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 is absent.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that no action has yet been initiated by 'Additional Chief Secretary, Rural Development Department' in view of observation made in 'Para 5' and 'Para 6' of Order dated 18.03.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned PO seeks more time to ascertain about proposed course of action to be taken in respect of Applicant who has been transferred to not available post of 'Deputy Chief Executive Officer (EGS)' in office of 'Divisional Commissioner, Pune' by Rural Development Department Government Order dated 23.02.2024.</p> <p>4. Learned PO to also find out from 'Additional Chief Secretary, Rural Development Department' if there are any vacant posts of 'B.D.O Panchayat Samitis', in 'Zilla Parishad Satara' and which posts of 'B.D.O Panchayat Samitis' are likely to fall vacant in 'Pune Division' during 'General Transfers: 2024'.</p> <p>5. S.O. 18.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.100 of 2024</p> <p>A. M. Chavan & ORs.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel for Applicants submits that total 198 posts of 'Project Affected Persons' (PAP) and 'Earthquake Affected Persons' (EAP) had been advertised by MPSC on 10.05.2023 for the post of 'Staff Nurse' out of which 70 posts are filled in and 128 posts are vacant. Therefore, learned Counsel for Applicants prays that 8 posts of 'Staff Nurse' be kept vacant from 'PAP' and 'EAP' category till further orders.</p> <p>3. We allow the prayer and direct the Respondents to keep 8 posts of 'Staff Nurse' from the category of 'PAP' and 'EAP' vacant till further orders.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 10.04.2024
	O.A.No.404 of 2024
	S. H. NadafApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.
	1. Heard Smt. Vaishali Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant through video conference and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Interim Relief to continue till next date.
	3. S.O. to 29.04.2024.
	Sd/- Sd/-
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
	Member (A) Chairperson
	vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>M.A.No.110 of 2024 in O.A.No.220 of 2024</p> <p>S. B. YadavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned PO for Respondents submits that delay of 9 months which is counted is not correct and seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply to application of condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.420 of 2024</p> <p>B. K. Redekar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. H. Pawar, learned Counsel for Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Status Quo' to maintain till further orders.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.07 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. D. G. ThakurApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. S. Kelkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned CPO submits that reply is already filed. 3. Learned Counsel for Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder. 4. S.O. to 22.04.2024. <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.47 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. A. D. Rathod & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Karan Gajra holding for Shri A. A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. S.O. to 24.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.95 of 2024</p> <p>R. S. SalunkheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Smt. Rekha Musale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents2. Learned CPO seeks time to take instructions.3. S.O. to 25.04.2024. <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.196 of 2024</p> <p>F. M. MullaApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Patil holding for Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 23.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.171 of 2024 With O.A.204 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. C. D. Bodhe & Ors. Dr. P. N. PatilApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Rashmi Shirke holding for Shri Kandarkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants in O.A.171/2024, Shri A. S. Reddy, learned Counsel for Applicant in O.A.204/2024 and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned CPO for Respondents submits that these matters be tagged along with O.A.No.07/2024.</p> <p>3. Learned CPO further submits that reply is filed in O.A.204/2024 and seeks time to file reply in other matters.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.04.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.199 of 2024</p> <p>S. B. GhodakeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record. 3. The learned Counsel for Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder. 4. S.O. to 16.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.381 of 2024</p> <p>R. B. GunjalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Vaishali Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant through video conference and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 12.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.380 of 2024</p> <p>V. R. Nagargoje & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. S. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant through video conference and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.245 of 2024</p> <p>K. H. BorseApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Smt. Shweta Marathe holding for Shri S. B. Chandan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Learned CPO seeks time to file reply as no instructions received from department.3. S.O. to 24.04.2024 to file reply by way of last chance. <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.268 of 2024</p> <p>J. P. ChavhanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard A. R. Rote, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned CPO seeks time to file reply. 3. Interim Relief to continued till next date. 4. S.O.to 29.04.2024. <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
Date : 10.04.2024	O.A.No.243 of 2024
G. M. KanadeApplicant
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.	
1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant through video conference and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
2. Interim Relief to continue till next date.	
3. S.O. to 13.06.2024.	
Sd/-	Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
vsm	

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No. 232 of 2024</p> <p>A. S. RathodApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for Applicant submits that O.A. 232/2024 is wrongly tagged with O.A.404/2024 and prays to de-tag.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.225 of 2024</p> <p>R. S. ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Smt. Vaishali Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant through video conference and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.3. S.O. to 12.06.2024. <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.229 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. P. R. BhuyekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant through video conference, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S. P. Patil for Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for Respondent No..3.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned CPO, the matter is adjourned to 15.04.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 10.04.2024 Tribunal's orders O.A.No.475 of 2024</p>
	<p>A. J. Kale and Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicants has produced 'Chart '. It is taken on record and marked as 'Court Exhibit-1'.</p> <p>3. As per above mentioned 'Chart', we direct the Respondents' to keep 7 posts of 'Multi-Purpose Health Worker' vacant till further order.</p> <p>4. Learned CPO on instructions submits that the State will constitute a Committee under the Chairmanship of Directorate of Health Services and the Committee will verify the certificates of all candidates and to whom certificates were issued by Respondent No.8 -'Central Institute of Vocational and Technical Education (CIVTE).</p> <p>5. S.O. to 06.06.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.478 of 2024</p> <p>V. D. LandageApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. B. Mangale, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.478/2024 and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Counsel for Applicant submits that Applicant aspires to become 'Talathi' in SC Category for 'Ex-Servicemen' quota in Solapur District and has challenged 'Revised Merit List' as well as 'Select List' published by Respondent No.2 on 14.03.2024. 3. Learned Counsel for Applicant submits that in O.A. No.420/2024 'Status Quo' has been granted by Order dated 27.03.2024 and prays to pass similar order in O.A.478/2024. 4. Learned CPO for Respondents confirms the statement made by learned Counsel for Applicant in respect of 'Status Quo' granted on 27.03.2024 in similar matter in OA No.420/2024. 5. Hence, we grant 'Status Quo' till further orders and O.A.478/2024 be tagged with O.A.No.420/2024. 6. Learned CPO for Respondents seeks time to file 'Affidavit in Reply'. 7. S.O. to 25.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.469 of 2024</p> <p>R. R. NalawadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. Learned PO produces the order of appointment of Ms Kajal Rathod dated 16.03.2024 and states that Ms Kajal Rathod has joined on post of 'Craft Instructor (Electrician) on 18.03.2024. It is taken on record. 4. Learned PO seeks time to file 'Affidavit in Rely'. 5. S.O. to 16.04.2024. <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 10.04.2024 Tribunal's orders O.A.No.448 of 2024</p>
	<p>S. H. PallewadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. The learned Counsel for Applicant submits that he has impleaded (i) Additional Chief Secretary, Cooperation Department as Respondent No.3 and (ii) Shri Mahesh Kharat as Respondent No.4. 3. By order dated 01.04.2024, we have made query to MPSC about their power of removing the name of Applicant from 'Final Select List'. 4. Shri Mahesh Kharat, Respondent No.4 is present before the court and seeks 'One Week' time to engage Counsel. 5. The responsible officer from MPSC is directed to remain present before the court on next date. 6. We direct GAD to keep one post of 'Assistant Section Officer' vacant. 7. S.O. to 22.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>M.A.No.644 of 2024 in O.A.No.460 of 2023</p> <p>K. B. Ghodke & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Gaikar , learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At request of learned Counsel for Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 24.04.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.04.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 105/2023 in O.A 1201/2016</u></p> <p>A.D Jadhav ... Applicant . Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O pursuant to the order of this Tribunal dated 28.2.2024, produces copy of letter dated 12.3.2024 and further seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 15.4.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1577 of 2023 with M.A. 45 of 2024</p> <p>A.L. DureApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent no.3. 2. Learned Advocate presents 'Application for Speaking to Minutes' dated 10.04.2024, wherein it has been mentioned that although Advocate for Applicant had stated on 01.04.2024 that adjournment be granted only as last chance and no further adjournment should be granted; these statements do not find mention in the Order dated 01.04.2024 which has been uploaded on 'Website'. 3. Learned Advocate for Applicant in 'Application for Speaking to Minutes' dated 10.04.2024 has expressed serious concern that in the past orders dictated in 'Open Court' were subsequently changed before they were uploaded on 'Website'. 4. The Orders which are dictated in 'Open Court' by this 'Single Bench' at times are required to be corrected for omission; solecism and pellucidity before they are uploaded on 'Website'. 5. The learned Advocate of Applicant has expressed serious concern in his 'Application for Speaking to Minutes' dated 10.04.2024, about Orders dictated in 'Open Court' by this 'Single Bench' and thus apprehends prejudice regarding further hearing of this O.A. No. 1577 of 2024 with M.A. No. 45 of 2024 6. The O.A. No. 1577 of 2024 with M.A. No. 45 of 2024 is therefore required placed for further hearing before other 'Single Bench'. 7. The 'Registrar' of 'Mumbai Bench' accordingly informed to place this O.A. No. 1577 of 2024 with M.A. No. 45 of 2024 before other 'Single Bench'. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1465 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. JathureApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No. 1 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No. 2.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that as per directions in 'Para 8' of Order dated 28.03.2024 the (i) 'Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department' (ii) 'Director General of Police, Maharashtra State' and (iii) 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' respectively have been made 'Respondent No.3'; 'Respondent No.4' & 'Respondent No.5' in O.A. No. 1465 of 2023.</p> <p>3. Learned PO states that she requires some more time as no specific information has not been received from 'Additional Director General of Police & Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune' with regard to directions in 'Para 7' of the Order dated 28.03.2024.</p> <p>4. The (i) 'Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department' (ii) 'Director General of Police, Maharashtra State' are informed to file their 'Affidavit-in-Reply' with regard to directions in 'Para 7' of Order dated 28.03.2024 given to 'Additional Director General of Police & Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune' about examining the option to take on 'Contract Basis' some 'Security Personnel' from amongst 'Ex Servicemen' who may have acquired 'Basic Training' in 'Wireless Operations' while serving in 'Indian Army' and are now registered with 'Maharashtra State Security Corporation' (MSSC) for temporarily deployment on vacant posts of 'Police Head Constable' – 'Wireless Operators'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 10.04.2024

**M.A.No.704/2023 in M.A.No.340/2023 in
O.A.No.1072/2022**

S.G. RamugadeApplicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Mr. V. Kolekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.
3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 30.04.2024 for filing reply.

SD/-

SD/-

**(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1255 of 2023</p> <p>B.A. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant has filed 'Additional Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of Applicant by 'email' dated 08.04.2024. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has also filed 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is also taken on record.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. mentions that 'Public Health Department' now seeks liberty to initiate further necessary action to withdraw 'Public Health Department' 'Government Order' dated 05.09.2023 by which Applicant has been transferred from 'Deputation Post' of 'Health Officer, Pune Municipal Corporation' to post of 'Assistant Director, Health Services (Blindness Control Programme), Mumbai.'</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. further states that Applicant was posted for only 'One Year' on 'Deputation Post' of 'Health Officer, Pune Municipal Corporation'; so it has now been decided that services of Applicant are required back by 'Public Health Department'. Thereafter, 'Public Health Department' will consider whether to appoint any other officer to 'Deputation Post' of 'Health Officer, Pune Municipal Corporation'.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Learned P.O. further states that amended copy of O.A. No.1255/2023 whereby 'Municipal Commissioner, Pune Municipal Corporation' had been added as Respondent No.4 was not served to office of 'C.P.O.'</p> <p>7. Learned Advocate for Applicant contends that by 'Urban Development Department Government Order dated 10.03.2023' it had been agreed that Applicant would work on 'Deputation Post' of 'Health Officer, Pune Municipal Corporation' for period 'Two Years'.</p> <p>8. The continuation of Applicant beyond period of 'One Year' on 'Deputation Post' of 'Health Officer, Pune Municipal Corporation' is now contended by the 'Public Health Department'. Therefore before considering the liberty sought by 'Public Health Department' it is necessary to seek views of (a) 'Urban Development Department – Respondent No.2' and (b) 'Municipal Commissioner, Pune Municipal Corporation' - 'Respondent No.4' regarding implementation of the 'Urban Development Department Government Order dated 10.03.2023 by which the Applicant had been transferred to 'Deputation Post' for period of 'Two Years', subject to right of 'Public Health Department' as the 'Cadre Controlling Authority' to recall Applicant along with revocation of 'Public Health Department Government Order dated 05.09.2023'.</p> <p>9. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 17.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.497 of 2024</p> <p>M.R. NaladkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant has been transferred to post of 'Competent Authority – 3' in 'S.R.A., Mumbai' by 'Revenue & Forest Department' Government Order dated 12.02.2024 in place of the incumbent Officer who has been transferred to post of 'Forest Settlement Officer, Konkan' by 'Revenue and Forest Department' Government Order dated 15.02.2024 against the backdrop of 'General Elections: Lok Sabha 2024'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that by 'Housing Department' Government Order dated 22.02.2024 the Applicant was laterally shifted to post of 'Competent Authority – 9' in 'S.R.A., Mumbai' w.e.f. 22.02.2024 and Shri Jairam Pawar, Deputy Collector who was serving on post of 'Competent Authority – 9' in 'S.R.A., Mumbai' has also been laterally shifted to the post of 'Competent Authority – 3' in 'S.R.A., Mumbai' on which Applicant has been transferred by 'Revenue & Forest Department' Government Order dated 12.02.2024.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that the Applicant had made representation to 'Additional Chief Secretary, Housing Department' on 28.02.2024 as well as 'Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' on 27.02.2024 to post him as 'Competent Authority – 3' in 'S.R.A., Mumbai' as per 'Revenue & Forest Department' Government Order dated 12.02.2024.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Forest Department' Government Order dated 12.02.2024 because it is notified as that of 'A.R.O.' of '158 – Jogeshwari East LAC'.

5. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that the only prayer of Applicant is to consider and decide about representation dated 28.02.2024 submitted to 'Additional Chief Secretary, Housing Department' on 28.02.2024.

6. Learned P.O. accordingly states that they will file their 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Additional Chief Secretary, Housing Department' about what course of action they propose to take about representation submitted by Applicant on 28.02.2024.

7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.04.2024.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

13. S.O. to 25.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2024.</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1407 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. L.G. BhalshankarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time of another 'Two Weeks' to take instructions from 'Public Health Department' with regard to decision about representations submitted by Applicant to (i) Hon'ble Minister of Public Health Department on 16.06.2023 and (ii) Principal Secretary of Public Health Department on 15.06.2023 requesting that she be continued for 'One Year' on the post of 'Medical Officer, Primary Health Centre, Talegaon, Tahsil Dindori, District Nashik'.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 10.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.690 of 2023</p> <p>S.D. DoiphodeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri V.V. Golambade, learned Advocate on behalf of Respondent No.2 is absent.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant presents copy of 'WhatsApp Message' of Applicant were she has requested to withdraw O.A. No.690/2023.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant in this context also presents copy of Government Order dated 31.01.2024 of 'Revenue & Forest Department' by which Applicant has been transferred to the 'Vacant Post' of 'Tahasildar (Land Revenue Recovery), Mumbai Suburban District.'</p> <p>4. The O.A. No.690/2023 is therefore disposed off as per request of Applicant. The order of 'Interim Relief' passed on 19.06.2023 stands withdrawn. 'No Order as to Costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of '20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1256 of 2023</p> <p>R.M. MujawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 10.04.2024

O.A. No.789 of 2023 with O.A. No. 790 of 2023

S.M. Medhe

P.A. Sawant

.....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' on behalf of Respondent in O.A. No. 789/2023. It is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 17.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)

Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.309 of 2024</p> <p>V.D. SapleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Gaikar holding for Shri S.N. Biradar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO files 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No. 1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1130 of 2023</p> <p>S.G. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S. Jagtap, learned Advocate for Respondent No. 2.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of '20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1599 of 2023 with O.A. 60 of 2024</p> <p>S.A. Barse & Ors., S.P. Rathod & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No. 1599 of 2023 and Shri K.R. Upasani, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No. 60 of 2024 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO present 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' on behalf of Respondent No. 1 and Respondent No. 2 in O.A No. 60 of 2024. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicants in (i) O.A. No. 60 of 2024 & (ii) O.A. No. 1599 of 2023 state that as pleadings are now complete; these may be kept for 'Final Hearing'.</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 02.05.2024.</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 10.04.2024

O.A. No.1475 of 2023

R.A. Patil


.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned 'Special Counsel' for the Respondent No.1 and Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.

2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'(HOB) 

3. S.O. to 17.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.279 of 2024</p> <p>R.A. KshirsagarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through 'Video-Conference' and Shri Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO states that instructions have been received & seeks 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'DGP, Maharashtra State'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**



M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.825 of 2023</p> <p>S.M. Sarangdhar & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Commissioner of Police, Mumbai' and 'Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department' with respect to the observance made in 'Para 5' of the Order dated 24.01.2024 by way of last chance. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.488 of 2017</u></p> <p>K.A. Jadhav & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, Ld. Advocate for the Applicants, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Ld. Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, Ld. Advocate for Respondents No.24, 26, 42 & 90.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that by order dated 27.4.2007 issued by GAD (Exhibit-G page 160 of OA) the officers working as Assistants (82 in number) were promoted on ad hoc and temporary basis.</p> <p>3. We would like to know from the State why two words 'ad hoc' and 'temporary' are used in the order dated 27.4.2007. Whether they are similar or there is difference in connotation. The terms and conditions are mentioned in para 2 of the order and clause (b) and (c) are important.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant refers to reply dated 23.8.2017 filed by the respondents (page 386) in which the order dated 17.7.2008 issued in respect of Smt. M.R. Vasudev, Assistant is annexed at page 411. She was given temporary appointment from 9.8.2007 to 8.7.2008. By order dated 5.9.2007 she was given extension of 11 months and that is mentioned in the order dated 17.7.2008. She was taken back to original post of Assistant and however it is treated as demotion from temporary post. She is reverted by giving one day break. Ld. PO to explain.</p> <p>5. Ld. PO submits that Smt. M.R. Vasudev retired on 31.3.2020. Ld. PO submits that as per seniority list her services were regularized as Section Officer by order dated 17.5.2017 by giving her deemed date of 3.3.2008. We direct the State to produce the order of regularization of Smt. M.R. Vasudev.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 16.4.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.4.2024 </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 10.4.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1619/2023</p> <p>R.S. TakateApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 18.04.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p align="center">SD/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">SD/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1602/2023</p> <p>A.R. LoharApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed. Admit. Place for Final hearing.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 11.06.2024 with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1589/2023</p> <p>P.D. Shinde ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 29.04.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1578/2023</p> <p>J.S. BansodeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day reply of Home Department will be filed. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 16.04.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1575/2023</p> <p>R.R. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 04.06.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p align="center">SD/- SD/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1402/2023</p> <p>R.B. KawaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. V.V. Gujar, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 30.04.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1390/2023</p> <p>S.V. VarneApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. T.T. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 28.04.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p align="center">SD/- SD/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1311/2023</p> <p>R.M. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant (through video conference) and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day reply will be filed. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 04.06.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1300/2023</p> <p>V.C. PasalkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 22.04.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1288/2023</p> <p>L.G. Gavande & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Mr. M. Khairnar, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 25.04.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1257/2023</p> <p>S.S. KadavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant (through video conference) and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 23.04.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1252/2023</p> <p>M.R. BatuleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents2. Mr. P.B. Kamble, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.3. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 23.04.2024 for filing reply. <p style="text-align: center;">SD/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SD/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1233/2023</p> <p>M.V. KadamApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 16.04.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1179/2023</p> <p>A.P. DevkateApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. A.S. Ranade, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 30.04.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1043/2023</p> <p>M.U. PandagaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant (through video conference) and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day reply will be filed. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concerned.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 07.05.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1037/2023</p> <p>S.G. YewaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant (through video conference) and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 30.04.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.928/2023</p> <p>Y.V. Bhise & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Mr. K. Gidh, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 23.04.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p align="center">SD/- SD/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.772/2023</p> <p>K.R. KambleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents2. Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 23.04.2024 for filing reply. <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>prk</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.171/2023</p> <p>S.A. Rasankar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 07.05.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p align="center">SD/- SD/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1035/2021</p> <p>K.S. GaikwadApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 30.04.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1186/2023</p> <p>Dr. S.P. MirgundeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Mr. D. Satale, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Registry to take note that O.A. is to be removed from the caption of FOB.</p> <p>4. Adjourned 16.04.2024. To be removed from the caption of FOB.</p> <p align="center">SD/- SD/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p>M.A.No.95/2024 in M.A.No.96/2024 in O.A.No.1591/2023</p> <p>R.L. BaliApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. A.S. Kolapkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 30.04.2024.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.337/2023 in O.A.No.971/2019 with M.A.No.338/2023 in O.A.No.973/2019 with M.A.No.339/2023 in O.A.No.1022/2019</p> <p>R.P. Gaikwad & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 23.04.2024.</p> <p align="center">SD/- SD/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 10.04.2024</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.95/2024 in M.A.No.96/2024 in O.A.No.1591/2023</p> <p>R.L. BaliApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. A.S. Kolapkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 30.04.2024.</p> <p align="center">SD/- SD/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>10.04.2024</p> <p>C.A 86/2023 in OA 599/2023</p> <p>D.D Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. None present for Respondent No. 3.</p> <p>2. C.A stands dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.04.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 90/2023 in O.A 13/2017</u></p> <p>N.S Navale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Darshana Kambli, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O on instructions from Shri A.V Saundkar, Industry Officer in the Directorate of Industries submits that they have sent proposal to the Industries and Energy Department on 6.3.2024 and Industry Department has further forwarded the file to the Finance Department on 13.3.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O is directed to inform the progress in the matter.</p> <p>4. S.O to 15.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.04.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 105/2023 in O.A 1201/2016</u></p> <p>A.D Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O pursuant to the order of this Tribunal dated 28.2.2024, produces copy of letter dated 12.3.2024 and further seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 15.4.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.04.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 112/2023 in O.A 214, 215 & 216/2022</u></p> <p>S.T Motkari B.K Kanoji S.G Shirsat ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the proposal of the applicants is rejected and the order will be communicated to the applicants. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 16.4.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.04.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 21/2024 in O.A 416/2022</u></p> <p>A.S Jage ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the D.P.C meeting was held on 11th & 12th March, 2024 and the name of the applicant was considered and the file is sent to G.A.D on 8.4.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that as per para 11 of the order dated 10.8.2023 the applicant deposited the amount within a week from the date of the order and thereafter the D.P.C shall be convened to open the sealed envelope and Respondents shall take appropriate decision about the promotion of the applicant to the post of Sub Divisional Officer in accordance with law and the same be communicated to the applicant. The said exercise should be completed within four weeks from the date of depositing the remaining penalty amount. Learned counsel submitted that thus the meeting of the D.P.C should have been convened on 15.12.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O submitted that the meeting of the D.P.C was held on 11th & 12th March, 2024 and there was delay of 2 ½ months and the delay occurred on account of the orders passed by M.A.T, Aurangabad Bench dated 1.11.2023 in O.A 826/2022 and 25.9.2023 in O.A 774/2022, as directions were given to fix the seniority of the persons in the feeder cadre, i.e., Junior Engineer for promotion to the post of Sub Divisional Officer.</p> <p>5. S.O to 17.4.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.04.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 30/2024 in O.A 111/2022</u></p> <p>D.D Satpute ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the Collector is the competent authority and against the said order appeal was preferred to the Divisional Commissioner. The Divisional Commissioner decided the appeal and rejected the appeal by order dated 31.3.2017. Therefore, under Rule 25 of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979, the revision application is filed before the Addl. Chief Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department. Learned C.P.O further submitted that the order in the Original Application was wrongly passed in respect of the authority who is going to hear the revision application. However, the revision application was sent back to the Appellate Authority, who is the Divisional Commissioner, who has already decided the appeal.</p> <p>3. It appears that there is a confusion of interpreting Rule 25 of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979 and therefore the order of this Tribunal dated 3.4.2024 is kept in abeyance.</p> <p>4. S.O to 23.4.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.04.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 34/2024 in O.A 653/2023</u></p> <p>K.P Jagtap ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that pursuant to the order dated 15.12.2023 of this Tribunal directing the Respondents to issue order of transfer to the post of Supply Inspector at Tahsil office, Koregaon, the Collector, by order dated 28.3.2024 cancelled the impugned order and posted the applicant as Supply Inspector at Tahsil office, Koregaon. Learned counsel however submitted that the applicant is not allowed to join on the said post.</p> <p>3. We direct the Collector and all lower officers to allow the applicant to join on the said post by 12.4.2024.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, C.A stands disposed of.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.04.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 607/2022 in O.A 465/2020</u></p> <p>G.Y Deshpande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that there is a development pending the present Misc Application that in the Cabinet Meeting dated 13.3.2024, proposal to create post of Joint Director, Fodder and Feed was granted.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O further submitted that no D.P.C can be conducted unless the post is created and no G.R can be issued for creation of the post because of the Election Code of Conduct in force, though the decision is taken by the Cabinet for creation of the said post.</p> <p>4. S.O to 24.4.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.04.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 999/2017</u></p> <p>P.S Mahamuni & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O to 18.4.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>