

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 10.04.2023

O.A. No.339 of 2023

H.R. PatankarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant stands retired on 31.10.2019 but Gratuity is not paid, leave encashment is also paid belatedly. The Applicant has therefore filed O.A. for direction to the Respondents to release retiral benefits with interest. Provisional pension was also paid for some time but later stopped for no reasons.
3. Learned P.O. on instruction submits that service book was sent for verification but it was returned with objections and therefore delay is caused. She fairly concedes that there is no Departmental enquiry or criminal prosecution against the Applicant.
4. The Applicant stands retired on 31.10.2019 and period of more than three years is over but Gratuity is not paid. Apart leave encashment is also paid belatedly. Initially provisional pension was granted upto 17.09.2019 and thereafter not paid.
5. Thus lethargy and inaction on the part of the Respondents is obvious from the record.
6. In view of above, O.A. deserves to be disposed of with suitable direction.
7. O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondents to release all remaining retiral benefits of the Applicant within four weeks from today.
8. The Applicant is at liberty to redress grievance of interest on belated payment independently.
9. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

**MISC. APPLICATION NO.563 OF 2022
IN
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.889 OF 2022**

Smt. P.N. Jadhav.)...**Applicant**

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra & 3 Ors.)...**Respondents**

Smt. Punam Mahajan, Advocate for Applicant.

Smt. A.B. Kololgi, Presenting Officer for Respondents.

CORAM : A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER-J

DATE : 10.04.2023

ORDER

1. The situation arising in the present matter is very unusual. The O.A. is filed by the widow of deceased Government servant for grant of family pension and other benefits. Her husband Narayanrao Jadhav was appointed as Police Sub-Inspector on 01.01.1971 and thereafter, promoted as Police Inspector. During the course of service, he was posted at Crime Investigation Department, Pune. He was relieved on 10.06.1988 for joining in Crime Investigation Department, Pune. However, he did not join and claims to have tendered application for Medical Leave w.e.f.11.06.1988. Thereafter also, he did not join on medical ground. Ultimately on 04.01.1997, he gave voluntary retirement notice addressed to Director General of Police, Mumbai. However, nothing happened thereafter for long time. It is by communication dated 13.03.1997, the Applicant was informed that since 11.06.1988, he is on

WZ

leave unauthorizedly and had not rendered 20 years' service upto 10.06.1988 for voluntary retirement. In the letter, it is further stated that it is only after necessary direction from the government about the sanction of leave, further orders would be passed. Later, he attained the age of superannuation on 31.01.2001 and expired on 23.02.2022. It appears that during his life time, he made some representations for retiral dues and after his death, Applicant also made representation, but it was not responded. It is on the above background, Misc. Application and Original Application are filed for condonation of delay and direction to Respondents to release retiral benefits of the deceased Government servant.

2. The perusal of record further reveals that Government by letter dated 11.12.2018 directed Commissioner of Police, Pune to reconstruct service book which was lost by the Department and further direction was given to take necessary action against concerned responsible person for loss of service book. That apart, again directions were given to take necessary steps for grant of provisional pension and gratuity.

3. Despite the direction given by the Government in letter dated 11.12.2018, there is no compliance by C.P, Pune.

4. When asked repeatedly, the learned P.O. submits that because of want of record, service book is not reconstructed.

5. In M.A. and O.A, reply is filed by Respondent No.3 – C.P, Pune only. The Affidavit-in-reply is totally silent about the compliance of the directions given by the Government in letter dated 11.12.2018.

6. Thus, the situation in the present case is very pitiable and family of the deceased Government servant seems to have deprived of retiral benefits because of loss of service book as well as for not regularizing the absence period from 1988. If there was shortage of some years' service

for completing 20 years' period, it is necessary to see whether there was any leave including Earned Leave or Medical Leave at the credit of deceased Government servant so as to pass further orders or to grant Extra-Ordinary Leave for the period which is short for completion of 20 years' service. For this purpose, reply of Government – Respondent No.1 is essential.

7. Likewise, Respondent No.3 – C.P, Pune is also required to file Additional Affidavit about the steps taken by him in pursuance of letter issued by the Government on 11.12.2018 and balance of leave at the credit of deceased Government servant.

8. Two weeks' time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply to the Government as well as to file Affidavit-in-reply by C.P, Pune.

9. S.O. to 24th April, 2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. KURHEKAR)
Member-J

skw

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s
(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others
..... Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

10.04.2023

C.A 22/2023 in O.A 674/2022

V.D Suryavanshi ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O on instructions from Shri Anil Chaudhary, Desk Officer, Cooperation Department submits that the applicant will be reinstated in service within 7 days.

3. In view of the above, matter stands adjourned to 17.4.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2023</p> <p align="center"><i>MA No. 237 of 2023 in 'y</i> O.A. No.375 of 2023</p> <p>U.K. Kor & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 10.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.375 of 2023</p> <p>U.K. Kor & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants have challenged order dated 09.02.2022 whereby directions were issued to recover pay and allowances paid to the Applicants from June 2012 to May 2018.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought interim relief <i>inter-alia</i> contending that the Applicants are Group 'D' employees and they need to be protected by interim relief.</p> <p>4. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicants were absorbed in service in terms of G.R. dated 16.10.2012. They were regularized by order dated 28.05.2018 stating that they were appointed w.e.f. 01.06.2012.</p> <p>5. It appears that only difference was to be paid for the period from 2012 to 2018 but they were paid regular pay and allowances which now sought to be recovered by impugned order dated 09.02.2022. The payment appears to have been made mistakenly by the Department.</p> <p>6. In view of above, since the Applicants are Group 'D' employees there shall be no recovery in pursuance to the impugned order dated 09.02.2022 till filing of Reply.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.05.2023.</p> <p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>13. S.O. to 04.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.04.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1029/2019</u></p> <p>Pillai M. Velswamy ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant gives points to the Respondents which are to be responded so that it will be easy for the department to understand the controversy.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O prays for time as the Hon'ble Minister is visiting Maharashtra and the Respondents will be busy with the said programme.</p> <p>4. S.O to 24.4.2023 at 10.30. H.O.B.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medhā Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.04.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 354, 355, 356, 357, 358 and 359/2023</u></p> <p>A.B Bahirwal A.P Tupe V.U Sanas V.J Shelke R.R Amrutkar K.H Kolekar ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. The applicants are working as Junior Clerk in Public Health Department. In O.A 355/2009 the Tribunal has passe order on 8.12.2020 directing the Respondents to segregate the tainted candidates from untainted candidates after providing opportunity of hearing to the candidates and then pass fresh order in accordance to law. The said exercise should be completed within six months. 3. By order dated 30.1.2023, the Respondents have taken decision to terminate the service of the applicants as they are treated as tainted candidates. Pursuant to that order, charge sheet was served ion 21.2.2023. 4. Learned counsel submits that similarly placed Government servants have challenged the order before the Hon'ble High Court in W.P 3159/2023 and by order dated 13.3.2023 the Hon'ble High Court directed that till next date the adverse orders with regard to the service of the Petitioner may not be issued. 5. Learned P.O points out to para 6 of the order of the Hon'ble High Court dated 13.3.2023 in above Writ Petition and submitted that departmental enquiry should proceed. 6. We reproduce para 6 and 7 of the said order dated 13.3.2023 in W.P 3159/2023. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> “6. We are not inclined to stall the enquiry. The enquiry may proceed further. 7. However, till the next date, the adverse orders with regard to the service of the Petitioner may not be issued.” 7. In view of the above, we direct the Respondents to follow the order dated 13.3.2023 passed by the Hon. High Court in W.P 3159/2023. 8. S.O to 24.4.2023. <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

10.04.2023

C.A 15/2023 in O.A 652/2022

D.K Mayekar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Pooja Singh holding for Shri A.S Dhannawat, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O seeks time to comply with the order of this Tribunal as the Respondents as per G.R of 2008 wants time to fix the responsibility on the concerned person who is responsible for the delay in not releasing the retirement dues.

3. As per Section 10 of the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 we grant two weeks' time to the Respondents to comply with the order of this Tribunal.

4. S.O to 24.4.2023.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)**

Akn

re h
Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

10.04.2023

M.A 229/2023 in O.A 428/2021

S.A Kamble ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant brought to our notice the order dated 17.2.2023 in W.P 1731/2023 by the Hon'ble High Court. In the said order it is mentioned that the matter be expedited considering the urgency involved.

3. In fact, the matters regarding appointment of the years 2016, 2017 and 2018 are still pending.

4. We direct a responsible officer from the office of M.P.S.C is present on the next date.

5. S.O to 21.4.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1074/2022</u></p> <p>Shri M.P. Satpute ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri Om M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for final hearing, but learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks permission to file reply. In the interest of justice, allowed to file the same.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for final hearing to 4th May, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 10.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.381 of 2023</p> <p>M.T. AhireApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed this O.A. for direction to the Respondents to release retiral benefits which are not paid though he stands retired.</p> <p>3. The Applicant was serving as Range Forest Officer, He was suspended by order dated 28.07.2016 in contemplation of D.E. Later he was reinstated in service by order dated 27.08.2018. He stands retired on 31.05.2019 and D.E. was continued. In D.E. Government by order dated 19.04.2022 imposed punishment of deduction of 33% pension per-month permanently.</p> <p>4. Thus, once D.E. is completed and final order of punishment is imposed there was no hurdle to release the Applicant's Gratuity and retiral benefits in terms of punishment order. But those are not paid though near about one year is over. Even provisional pension which was paid for some period is not continued later.</p> <p>5. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondents to take all necessary steps and to ensure payment of remaining retiral benefits to the Applicant within six weeks from today.</p> <p>6. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 10.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.379 of 2023</p> <p>M.T. Ahire,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A. the Applicant is seeking simpliciter direction to decide the appeal within 6 weeks.</p> <p>3. The perusal of record reveals that Government by order dated 19.04.2022 imposed punishment of 33% reduction of Pension per-month permanently. As such, even if the Applicant is not challenging the punishment, but he is seeking direction to decide the appeal arising from the order of permanent reduction of Pension which is subject matter which pertains to Division Bench.</p> <p>4. I/C. Registrar is directed to place the matter before Division Bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.204 of 2023 in O.A. No.891 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. D.R. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Sonali Humane, learned Advocate holding for Shri R. Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to restore O.A. No.891/2018 dismissed in default on 17.03.2023.</p> <p>3. The perusal of record reveals that Applicant and his Advocate were absent on 10.03.2023 and again on 17.03.2023 and therefore matter was dismissed in default.</p> <p>4. However, in the interest of justice M.A. is allowed and O.A. is restored subject to cost of Rs.1,000/- (One Thousand Only) as condition president payable within seven days from today. If cost is paid O.A. be listed for hearing. If costs is not paid within stipulated period matter stands dismissed without reference to the Tribunal.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 10.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.68 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. V.P. KaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 sought one week time to file Sur-Rejoinder. Respondents have already filed Affidavit-in-Reply and thereafter the Applicant has also filed Rejoinder. As such, if Respondents were to file Sur-Rejoinder then it ought to have been filed today but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. In view of above, O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Sur-Rejoinder, if any within a week with copy to other side in advance so that matter could be heard on next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.153 of 2023 in M.A. No.158 of 2023 in O.A. No.268 of 2023</p> <p>M.A. Chopade & Anrs.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply in M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.04.2023</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.136 of 2020 in O.A. No.201 of 2020</p> <p>I.R. Shahapurkar Legal heirs R.B. ShahapurkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.A. Naik, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that M.A. and O.A. has been filed on 05.03.2020 and notices were issued by order dated 06.10.2020. However, matter was before Registrar for removal of objection for long time. The Applicant and his counsel failed to remove office objections and ultimately matter was listed before Tribunal on 24.03.2023. That day Applicant and his Advocate both were absent and matter was adjourned to give one opportunity to the Applicant and his Counsel. However today again they are absent, thus Applicant and his Counsel seems not interested in the matter. Hence, M.A and O.A. is dismissed in default with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.368 of 2023</p> <p>S @ B.R. KaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 23.03.2023 in view of registration of crime against her on 13.02.2023 for the offence registered under the provision of Prevention of Corruption Act 2019. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant challenged the suspension order on the ground that in criminal case charge-sheet is already filed and the Applicant was already transferred from the place where the alleged incident has taken place. Thus according to her there was no need to exercise ^{invoke} the powers of suspension. 4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.05.2023. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 03.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 10.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.375 of 2023</p> <p>U.K. Kor & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants have challenged order dated 09.02.2022 whereby directions were issued to recover pay and allowances paid to the Applicants from June 2012 to May 2018.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought interim relief <i>inter-alia</i> contending that the Applicants are Group 'D' employees and they need to be protected by interim relief.</p> <p>4. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicants were absorbed in service in terms of G.R. dated 16.10.2012. They were regularized by order dated 28.05.2018 stating that they were appointed w.e.f. 01.06.2012.</p> <p>5. It appears that only difference was to be paid for the period from 2012 to 2018 but they were paid regular pay and allowances which now sought to be recovered by impugned order dated 09.02.2022. The payment appears to have been made mistakenly by the Department.</p> <p>6. In view of above, since the Applicants are Group 'D' employees there shall be no recovery in pursuance to the impugned order dated 09.02.2022 till filing of Reply.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.05.2023.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

13. S.O. to 04.05.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.491/2022

Shri R.K. Hiramani & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.
2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit along with copy of order dated 10.04.2023 whereby decision was taken by the Government on the communication dated 22.09.2022 made by Deputy Director, Health Services, Pune. It is taken on record.
3. This O.A. be kept for hearing along with connected O.A. on 13th April, 2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
10.04.2023

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

10.04.2023

O.A 1121/2022

P.Y Doke ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.

3. S.O to 24.4.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

10.04.2023

O.A 1205/2022

A.M Sapkal ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Admit.

3. Place for hearing on 24.4.2023. H.O.B.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

10.04.2023

O.A 1025/2022

A.D Gangawane ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri O.M Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

3. S.O to 10.7.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.04.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 430/2022</u></p> <p>R.L Phulmali ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O to 10.7.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>10.04.2023 Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p><u>M.A 316/2021 in O.A 658/2021 with M.A 409/2022 in O.A 671/2022</u></p> <p>P.R Kshirsagar S.M Chavan ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Place both the matters under the caption of "Dismissal".</p> <p>3. S.O 25.4.2023. 1/ 1/</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

10.04.2023

O.A 353/2023

Smt Bhagirithi B. Pawar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents

2. The applicant prays that the Tribunal be pleased to quash and set aside the order dated 30.1.2023 and charge sheet dated 21.2.2023 with all consequential service benefits.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.4.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit in not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '**for Dismissal**' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."

9. S.O to 17.4.2023.

A

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

10.04.2023

O.A 348/2023

Shri Nilesh B. Bhonde ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicant prays that the Tribunal be pleased to quash and set aside the impugned order dated 10.2.2023 and direct the Respondents to consider him for the post of P.S.I.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.4.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry; along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit in not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '**for Dismissal**' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."

9. S.O to 17.4.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.04.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 51/2023 in O.A 1276/2022</u></p> <p>J.R Deshmukh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs Vaishali Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1 & 2 and Shri Mishra, learned counsel for Respondents no 3 to 7.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Shri Mishra files reply on behalf of Respondents no 3 to 7.</p> <p>3. S.O to 5.6.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

10.04.2023

M.A 97/2023 in O.A 667/2022

R.H Patil & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants pray that directions be issued to the Respondents to revise the seniority list of Maharashtra Development Service, Group-B, based on the Notification dated 31.1.2023.

3. Learned C.P.O states that matter regarding revising the seniority list from 1979 is under process and the Respondents cannot give any time frame as to when the seniority list will be finalized.

4. Deputy Secretary, in the office of Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai to remain present tomorrow before this Tribunal and inform as to when the provisional seniority list of Maharashtra Development Service, Group-B & Group-A will be published.

5. S.O to 11.4.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

10.04.2023

M.A 69/2023 in O.A 773/2021 with O.A 840/2021

Dr S.G Kadam ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks permission to amend the Original Application in terms of Schedule-A.
3. Misc Application seeking amendment is allowed. Amendment to be carried out with a week and copy to be served on the Respondents.
4. O.A to be placed on Board on 5.6.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

10.04.2023

M.A 428/2022 in O.A 633/2022

Shri N.U Patil ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the Misc Application can be disposed of as during the pendency of the Misc Application, the Respondent-State has taken decision on 16.11.2022 that the applicant will not be given promotion.

3. Learned counsel submits that in view of this, she needs to amend the Original Application by filing Misc Application.

4. Permission to file Misc Application is granted.

5. The present Misc Application stands disposed of.

6. O.A 633/2022 to be placed on 5.6.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.04.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 617/2022 in O.A 1060/2022</u></p> <p>Smt J.V Salunkhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks two weeks' time to take decision in the matter</p> <p>3. S.O to 24.4.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>10.04.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1204/2022</u></p> <p>Shri M.V Haldekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays that the present matter be detagged from O.A 1029/2019.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, the present Original Application be detagged from O.A 1029/2019.</p> <p>4. S.O to 17.4.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 10.04.2023
---	--

**M.A 750/2022 in O.A 1038/2022 with Cavet
114/2022 with M.A 751/2022 in O.A 1039/2022
with Cavet 115/2022**

V.R Pawar
V.P Wagh

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned counsel for the applicants, last chance is given to argue the matter, as the issue is already decided.

3. S.O to 17.4.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.176 of 2023 in M.A. No.190 of 2022 in O.A. No.880 of 2021 with M. A. No.178 of 2023 in M.A. No.191 of 2022 in O.A. No.881 of 2021 with M. A. No.177 of 2023 in M.A. No.197 of 2022 in O.A. No.882 of 2021 with M. A. No.179 of 2023 in O.A. No.883 of 2021 with M.A. No.180 of 2023 in M.A. No.192 of 2022 in O.A. No.884 of 2021 with M. A. No.181 of 2023 in M.A. No.195 of 2022 in O.A. No.885 of 2021 with M.A. No.194 of 2022 in O.A. No.886 of 2021 with M. A. No.182 of 2023 in O.A. No.887 of 2021 with M.A. No.196 of 2022 in O.A. No.888 of 2021 with O.A. No.889 of 2021 with M. A. No.183 of 2023 in M.A. No.193 of 2022 in O.A. No.917 of 2021</p> <p>D.B. Mane & Ors.Applicants V/s The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule with Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, two weeks time is granted for hearing of M.A. for amendment and for hearing of M.A. for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.903 of 2016</p> <p>S. B. SasteApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is kept in sine die list as per the detailed order passed by this Tribunal on 03.08.2017 in view of pendency of W.P.No.7997/2016 challenging the decision given by this Tribunal in O.A. No.876/2014.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant as well as learned P.O. submits that W.P. is still subjudice and requested to adjourn the matter awaiting the decision of W.P.</p> <p>4. S.O.to 07.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.380 of 2023</p> <p>M.T. AhireApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged this O.A. for direction to the Respondents to take decision in respect of Suspension period from 28.07.2016 to 27.08.2018.</p> <p>3. The Applicant was suspended by order dated 28.07.2016 in contemplation of D.E. Thereafter, he was subject to D.E. by charge-sheet dated 11.04.2017. During the pendency of D.E. the Applicant was reinstated in service by order dated 27.08.2018. However, at the time of reinstatement in service no order was passed about suspension period. Later, Government by order dated 19.04.2022 passed final order of imposition of penalty of 33% reduction in pension per-month permanently. Thus in D.E. though final order is passed no decision is taken in respect of the suspension period which ought to have been taken much earlier.</p> <p>4. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondents to take appropriate decision about suspension period from 28.07.2016 to 27.08.2018 in accordance to Rules within eight weeks from today and the decision as the case may be shall be communicated to the Applicant.</p> <p>5. No order as to costs.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.15 of 2023 with O.A. No.105 of 2023 with O.A. No.106 of 2023 with O.A. No.129 of 2023 with O.A. No.145 of 2023 with O.A. No.165 of 2023 with O.A. No.172 of 2023 with O.A. No.181 of 2023 with O.A. No.182 of 2023 with O.A. No.191 of 2023 with O.A. No.194 of 2023 with O.A. No.215 of 2023 with O.A. No.312 of 2023 with O.A. No.265 of 2023</p> <p>S.K. Kuklare D.S. Chavan & Ors., S.P. Pulate & Ors., S.A. Shendge M.B. Barmate B.B. Rane K.K. Pawar D.A. Potbhare & Ors., G.S. Revgade & Anrs., A.R. Roman & Anrs., S.M. Gosavi S.J. Shendre & Ors., K.M. Hirave & Anr. T.I. Mujawar & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Om Lonkar, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondents by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.44 of 2023</p> <p>P.B. SureApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sarang Gundajwar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. time till 12.04.2023 is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.02 of 2023 in O.A. No.1274 of 2022</p> <p>B.S. KapseApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Singh, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Dhannawat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of newly added Respondent Nos.4 & 5 by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.58 of 2023</p> <p>A.D. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.05.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- vv' (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.139 of 2023</p> <p>R.S. ManeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.201 of 2023</p> <p>S.V. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.214 of 2023</p> <p>P.B. Bhoite & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has also filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is also taken on record. 4. Since pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 27.04.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 10.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.254 of 2023</p> <p>B.J. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archan B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation and the same will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.05.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.46 of 2023</p> <p>P. P. Gharat & 2 Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short affidavit on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record. 3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission on the point of limitation. 4. S.O. to 27.04.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.187 of 2023</p> <p>V. K. DhumalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed additional Affidavit on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. In this O.A., the Applicant sought two reliefs, first to direct the Respondents to allow the Applicant to resume the duties and secondly to decide the representations/applications made by the Applicant from time to time for grant of leave.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that after filing of this O.A., the Applicant joined duties on the establishment of Respondent No.3 and now the grievance remains about the decision on applications made for leave.</p> <p>5. In view of above, nothing has to be adjudicated in the O.A. as of now and it is for the Respondents to pass appropriate order on the leave applications made from the Applicant from time to time.</p> <p>6. In this view of the matter, the O.A. is disposed of with directions to Respondents to pass appropriate order on the leave applications made by the Applicant from time to time in accordance to law and the decision be communicated to the Applicant within six weeks from today.</p> <p>7. No order as to costs</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.48 of 2023</p> <p>K. A. ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.A. Shinde, the Applicant in Person and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, Applicant in person has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record. 3. Since the pleading is already complete, the O.A. is required to be decided on its own merit. 4. Learned P.O. however requested for time to argue the matter finally and if necessary to file Sur-Rejoinder. 5. S.O. to 17.04.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.179 of 2023</p> <p>S. S. MurudkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri H. M. Inamdar, learned Counsel for the Applicant. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is shortly adjourned for final hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.357 of 2016</u></p> <p>C.A. Ghodke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant and his advocate both are absent.</p> <p>3. This matter is of 2016.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.4.2023 under the caption of 'For Dismissal'.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.4.2023 Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 10.4.2023 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.710 of 2016</u></p> <p>A.C. Sonawane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Abhijeet Khade holding for Shri S.P. Dighe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Earlier this matter was dismissed for default on 14.11.2022 and restored by order dated 12.12.2022.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that Shri S.P. Dighe, Ld. Advocate is unwell due to viral infection and requests for adjournment.</p> <p>4. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 17.4.2023.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.4.2023</td> <td align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 10.4.2023</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.4.2023	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 10.4.2023
Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.4.2023	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 10.4.2023		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.304 of 2016</u></p> <p>P.N. Dalal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that though the matter was on board on last two dates, however, the brief could not be reconstructed and she seeks one days time to do the same.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 11.4.2023.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.4.2023 (sgj) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 10.4.2023 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.670 of 2021 with M.A. No.392/2021</u></p> <p>A.S. Chandanwale (OA.670/2021) ..Applicant Dr. S.D. Nanandkar (MA.392/2021) ..Intervener Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter called out. Neither applicant nor intervener or their advocates are present.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.6.2023.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(Medha Gadgil)</td> <td align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Member (A)</td> <td align="center">Chairperson</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">10.4.2023</td> <td align="center">10.4.2023</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)	Member (A)	Chairperson	10.4.2023	10.4.2023
Sd/-	Sd/-								
(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)								
Member (A)	Chairperson								
10.4.2023	10.4.2023								