[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 10.03.2022 M. A. No.37 of 2022 in O.A.No.978 of 2021
	Applicant
	R. R. Jirepati
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors
	1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. This M.A. is filed to recall the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.978/2021 on 18.01.2022 whereby O.A. was disposed of.
	3. The Applicant retired from the Government service on 31.05.2020 but his retiral benefits were not paid, therefore, initially in first round of litigation, he had filed O.A.No.230/2021 <i>inter-alia</i> contending that he had made representation on 29.07.2021 for exemption from passing examination in terms of Maharashtra Civil Services (Compulsory Marathi Shorthand and Marathi Typing Examination for English Stenographers and English Typists) Rules 1991 (hereinafter referred to as 'Rules 1991' for brevity) but not decided. O.A.230/2021 was disposed of by order dated 29.07.2021 with direction to the Respondents to decide the representation in terms of 'Rule 1991' within two
	4. However, no order was passed on representation within stipulated period of two months given by this Tribunal, and therefore, the Applicant has again filed M.A.No.978/2021. It is during pendency of O.A.
	dated 29.12.2021 to the effect that the Applied from passing examination under Rule 10 of 1991. However, again the Department had issued corrigendum by letter dated 10.01.2022 stating that exemption is granted under Rule 8 on completion of 50 years of age and not under Rule 10 or was earlier granted by order dated 29.12. 2021.
	10 as was earlier granted by order duted devia 5. When O.A.No.978/2021 was taken up for hearing learned P.O. had tendered order dated 10.01.2022. Tha time, on the basis of statement made by learned Counsel fo the Applicant following order is passed and O.A. came to b
	disposed of. "2. Today learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit of Sh Santosh P. Khorgade, Deputy Secretary in the Cooperation Marketing and Textile Department, Mumbai, in terms of ord passed by this Tribunal dated 07.12.2021. Learned P.O. has all filed order dated 10.01.2022 passed by Government granting exemption to the Applicant on the date of attaining age of years from passing requisite examination.

3.In view of above, Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that O.A. be disposed of since representation made by the Applicant is now decided by order dated 10.01.2022 and grievances is redressed. However he requested for direction to the Respondents to release retiral benefits in accordance to law in stipulated period. Submission is quite fair and deserved to be accepted.

4.In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondents to release retiral benefits of the Applicant in accordance to law within period of 6 weeks from today. 5.No order as to costs."

6. Now this M.A. is filed for recall the order dated 18.01.2022. Learned Counsel for the Applicant fairly stated that inadvertently he formed opinion that the Applicant is granted exemption under Rule 10 of Rules 1991 and under belief that his client will get retiral benefits, he made a statement that grievance is redressed. However, later, he realized that exemption is not granted under Rule 10 of Rules 1991 but it was granted under Rule 8 of Rules 1981 on completion of 50 years of age which makes lot of difference insofar as retiral benefits are concerned. He, therefore, prayed that order dated 18.01.2022 in O.A.No.978/2021 be recalled since he wants to challenge the order dated 10.01.2022. He further submits that in same O.A. the Applicant be allowed to challenge the order dated 10.01.2022 by recalling the order dated 18.01.2022.

7. Whereas, learned P.O. submits that the Applicant is required to file fresh O.A. to challenge the orders.

8. It is apparent that due to inadvertent statement made by learned Counsel for the Applicant, O.A. No.978/2021 was disposed of. Learned Counsel fairly submits that because of his inadvertence, Applicant should not suffer and for that purpose only he prayed to recall the order since he wants to challenge the order dated 10.01.2022 passed by the Government on merit.

9. In view of above, the Applicant is required to file fresh O.A. challenging the order passed by the Government on 10.01.2022. The subject matter of O.A.No.978/2021 was restricted to retiral benefits only. Therefore, it is clarified that Applicant is at liberty to challenge the order dated 10.01.2022 by filing fresh O.A. and the order passed by this Tribunal on 18.01.2022 will not come his way for challenging the same to that extent it is recalled.

10. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that except Provisional Pension for some period, no other retiral benefits are paid.

11. The Respondents are, therefore, directed to take necessary steps to release retiral benefits of the Applicant as per his entitlement in law and monetary benefits be paid within two months if found entitled to the same.

12. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

.

2

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date: 10.03.2022	
directions and negistral b com	0.A.No.1	8 of 2021
	Dr. D. B. Bhosale Versus The State of Maharashtra & O	Applicant rsRespondents.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1. Heard Shri A. V. Band the Applicant and Ms S. Presenting Officer for the Resp	liwadekar, learned Counsel for P. Manchekar, learned Chief pondents.
	 The Applicant is ser Collector, Land Acquisition charge sheet dated 25.05.201 10 of Maharashtra Civil Servi 1979 (hereinafter referred to the Government has passed imposing punishment of with one year with cumulative challenged this order in the passed 	ving in the cadre of Deputy and she was served with the L8 for minor penalty under Rule ces (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, o as 'Rules 1979'). Thereafter, order dated 15.12.2020 thereby hholding of next increment for e effect. The Applicant has present O.A.
	Tribunal has raised specific compliance of Rule 10, Sub- she fairly concedes that no	taken up for final hearing, the query to learned C.P.O. abou Rule 2 of 'Rules 1979'. However such procedure as mandated i les 1979' has been followed. She hand the matter to give liberty t
	4. Perusal of record sheet was issued for	reveals that though the charg minor penalty, ultimately th he order of withholding of ne n cumulative effect by order date
	E The procedures f	or imposing minor penalty a Rules 1979. Here, it would Rule 10 which is as under:-
	"10.Procedure for(1) Save as provided in sub-ruGovernment servant any of th	imposing minor Penalti le (3) of rule 9, no order imposing o le minor penalties shall be made exc
	 (a) informing the Governmentake action against him and misbehavior on which it is p reasonable opportunity of ma to make against the proposal; 	$\alpha \alpha \alpha \alpha \alpha \beta \gamma \beta \alpha \beta \alpha \beta \gamma \beta \gamma \beta \gamma \beta \gamma \beta $
	in which the disciplinary auth necessary; (c) taking into consideration the Government servant und	the representation, if any, submitte er clause (a) of this rule and the reco under clause (b) of this

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Triburgh	
directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	(d) recording a finding on each imputation of misconduct of	
	misbehavior; and (e) consultation is necessary.	
	(2) Notwithstanding anything contained in clause (b) of sub-rule (1), if in a case it is proposed, after considering the representation, if any, made by the Government servant under clause (a) of that sub- rule, to withhold increments of pay and such withholding of increments is likely to affect adversely the amount of pension payable to the Governments servant or to withhold increment of pay for a period exceeding three years or to withhold increments of pay with cumulative effect for any period 1(x x x) an inquiry shall be held in the manner laid down in sub- rules (3) to (27) of Rule 8, before making any order of imposing on the Government servant any such penalty.	
	 (3) The record of the proceeding in such cases shall include- (i) a copy of the intimation to the Government servant of the proposal to take action against to him; (ii) a copy of the statement or imputations of misconduct or misbehavior delivered to him; 	
	 (iii) his representations, if any; (iv) the evidence produced during the inquiry; (v) the advice of the Commission, if any; (vi) the findings un each imputation of misconduct or misbehavior; and (vii) the orders on the case together with the reasons therefore." 	
	6. Thus, as per Rule 10, Sub-Rule 2 of Rules 1979 when the proposed punishment is of withholding of increment i likely to affect adversely the amount of pension payable to the Government servant or to withhold increment of pay fo a period exceeding three years or to withhold increment o pay with cumulative effect for any period, in that event the inquiry shall be held in the manner laid down in Sub –Rule (3 to (27) of Rule 8 before making any order of imposing such penalty.	
	7. In present case, the impugned order of withholding next increment for one year with cumulative effect is adversely affecting the amount of pension payable to the Applicant.	
	8. This being the position, the Government ought to have held inquiry in the manner as provided in Sub Rule (3) to (27) of Rule 8 of 'Rules 1979'. However, the Government directly imposed punishment which is totally bad in law.	
	9 In view of above, impugned order dated 15.12.2020 being totally bad in law is quashed and set aside.	
	10. The matter is remanded back to the Respondents to comply Rule 10(2) of Rules 1979. This exercise should be completed within four months from today including passing final order therein.	
	 No order as to costs. ∧ Sd/- 	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	

2

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 10.03.2022	
	O.A. No.1037 of 2019 with O.A. No.1039 of 2019 to O.A. No.1042 of 2019 with O.A. No.1237 of 2019 to O.A. No.1241 of 2019	
	Dr. G.B. Taware Dr. V.A. Nadimath Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar Dr. N.B. Masaram Dr. V.P. Doijad C.G. Patil Dr. M.M. Pawar Dr. P.R. Dhumal Dr. P.N. Rathi Dr. A.B. Pandav Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.	
	 Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A. No.1037/19 with O.A. No.1039/19 to O.A. No.1042/19 and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A. No.1237/19 to O.A. No.1241/19 are on leave note. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. Learned Counsels for the Applicants sought time to file appointment orders and requested to adjourn the matter. 	
	 Interim relief to continue till next date. 	
	4. S.O. to 24.03.2022.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) VSM	
	[<i>P.T.O.</i>	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 10.03.2022
	O.A.No.958 of 2021
	S. H. SuryawanshiApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Smt. Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D. B Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the matter is being handled by Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, P.O. but due to personal difficulty, she is unable to remain present today.
	3. Interim relief to continue till next date.
	4. S.O. to 25.03.2022.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	[PT.O.

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 10.03.2022 O.A.No.574 of 2021	
	S. B. KaleApplicant	
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. In present O.A., the Applicant has challenged transfer	
	order dated 09.08.2021 whereby he was transferred to the	
	post of Talathi, Sajja Salgar, Tal. Akkalkot, Dist. Solapur.	
	When the matter was taken up for hearing, at the very	
	outset, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that	
	subsequent to filing of O.A., the Applicant is suspended by	
	order dated 07.01.2022 in view of registration of crime and	
	arrested under Section 7, 7(a) of Prevention of Corruption	
	Act, 1988. The Applicant is still under suspension.	
	3. As such, in view of suspension of the Applicant	
	challenge to transfer order dated 09.08.2021 has become	
	infructuous and O.A. is , therefore, disposed of with no order	
	as to costs.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar)	
	Member(J)	
	vsm	
	[<i>PŢ.O</i> .	

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 10.03.2022 O.A.No. 194 of 2022	
	S. A. ShindeApplicant	
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. On request of learned P.O. one day time is granted	
	for filing reply in terms of order passed by this Tribunal on	
	02.03.2022.	
	3. S.O. to 11.03.2022.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	
	vsm	
	[P.T.O.	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 10.03.2022	
	O.A. No.802 of 20	021
	N.B. Kolekar Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant Respondents.
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadel for the Applicant and Smt. Ar Presenting Officer for the Respond	kar, learned Advocate chana B.K., learned
	2. At the very outset, learned Applicant has pointed out that Re S.D. Shelke is due for transfer in 2022, and therefore if Department for Kolhapur the grievance can be further pointed out that the Applit of Kolhapur, Palghar and Nashik bu given to Respondent Nos.2 to 4. Nos.2 to 4, Respondent No.2 is du general transfer.	spondent No.2 – Shri n general transfer of it consider his option e redressed. He has cant has given option ut all those post were Out of Respondent
	3. Respondent is therefore d Tribunal as to whether it is cons Kolhapur to the Applicant which general transfers of May 2022. Le to appraise about it to the Tribuna	idering the option of is falling vacant in arned P.O. is directed
	4. This is without prejudice raised by the parties in O.A.	e to the contention
	5. S.O. to 21.03.2022.	
		Sd/-
	-	. Kurhekar) ember (J)
	NMN	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 10.03.2022	
	O.A. No.180 of 202	22
	S.K. Sawant Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	 Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocation for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Learned P.O. submits that issue reconsideration of posting of the Applicant since she physically handicapped is in consideration Government and necessary orders will be passed with two weeks. 	
	3. S.O. to 24.03.2022.	
		Sd/-
	V	1-
	(A.P M	. Kurhekar) ember (J)
	NMN	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 10.03.2022	
	O.A. No.69 of 20	22
	S.S. Thakre	Applicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurk holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougu Officer for the Respondents.	learned Advocate for
	2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks tir	
	granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.	
	3. S.O. to 24.03.2022.	N Sd/-
	(A.I	v P. Kurhekar) 1ember (J)
	NMN	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 10.03.2022 O.A. No.66 of 2022	
	Dr. A.E. PawarApplicant	
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	 Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. On previous date direction were given to learned Advocate for the Applicant to take necessary steps for service of O.A. on Respondent No.2. However, till date there is no service. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant time is granted to take necessary steps for service of O.A. on Respondent No.2 	
	3. Learned P.O. has also requested for two weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1.	
	3. S.O. to 24.03.2022.	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)	
	NMN	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 10.03.2022 O.A. No.57 of 2022	
	B.D. KshirsagarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsResponden	
	1. Heard Shri S.T. Bhosale, learned Advocate the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Present Officer for the Respondents and Shri Ashok Mi learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.	
	 On request of learned P.O. one week time granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply as most last chance. 	a is
	3. S.O. to 17.03.2022.	
	N Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)	
	NMN	

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 10.03.2022
	O.A. No.1059 of 2021
	D.V. GuravApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	 On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.
	3. S.O. to 17.03.2022.
	î∖ Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 10.03.2022 M.A. No.556 of 2021 in O.A. No. 984 of 2021
	S.B. GopaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant shortly adjourned for hearing of M.A.
	3. S.O. to 08.04. 2022.
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar)
	Member (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 10.03.2022 M.A. No.79 of 2022 in M.A. No.532 of 2021 in O.A. No.945 of 2021
	J.G. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. This M.A. is filed for amendment to substitute para 6.1 of O.A. so as to correct the factual position about number of heirs of deceased employee in original pleading. In para 6.1 it is stated that deceased had left 3 heirs. However, in fact he had left 6 heirs. The Applicant wants to bring on record correct factual aspect, in respect of the No. of heirs of the deceased. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that mistake occurred inadvertently.
	3. Since M.A. is for correction of pleading as per factual aspect, permission is granted to amend para 6.1. As prayed for amendment be carried out immediately.
	 M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.
	5. M.A. No.532/2021 in O.A. 945/2021 is adjourned to 31.03.2022. Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN
	IPTO.

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 10.03.2022
	M.A. No.122 of 2022 in O.A. No.1118 of 2019
	D.T. KadamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Since M.A. is filed in pending O.A. issuance of notice is dispensed with.
	3. Learned P.O. shall file Affidavit-in-Reply in M.A. within three weeks.
	4. S.O. to 31.03.2022.
	Sd/-
	Vv -
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL (G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019) MUMBAI

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 10.03.2022
	O.A. No.894 of 2021
	V.D. Salok & OrsApplicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	 Today learned Advocate for the Applicants has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicants. It is taken on record.
	3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission along with O.A. No.475 of 2019.
	4. S.O. to 17.03.2022.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN
	[PT.C

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 10.03.2022	
	O.A. No.711 of 201	19
	M.N. Gaikwad	Applicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	 Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadeka for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Presenting Officer for the Responde 	Chougule, learned
	2. Learned Advocate for the A issue is of whether person carrying 9000 falls in Group 'B' or 'C' is Division Bench for which this O.A. time to time.	not yet decided by
	3. In view of above, this O.A. after decision of Division Bench on	requires to be heard the issue.
	4. S.O. to 07.04.2022.	
		Sd/-
	(A.P	ی - ۲. Kurhekar) Iember (J)
	NMN	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 10.03.2022	
	O.A. No.425 of 20	020
	Dr. M.B. Deolikar Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	 Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadel for the Applicant and Shri A.J Presenting Officer for the Respond 	. Chougule, learned
	2. In this O.A. the Applic suspension order dated 06.11.7 arrested under Section 7 of Prev Act, 1988 and was in custody for As such, it was the case of Deem Rule 4(2) of Maharashtra Civil S Appeal) Rules, 1979.	2019 since he was rention of Corruption more than 48 hours. red Suspension under
	3. However, during the pe Applicant is reinstated in serv 11.01.2021.	
	4. As such, in view of reinsta challenge to the suspension order	
	5. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the Applicant sought to contend been revoked belatedly by order there is failure on the part of review immediately after 90 day Applicant is entitled to pay and period of 90 days of suspension.	l that suspension has dated 11.01.2021 and Respondents to take ys, and therefore the
	6. Learned P.O. submits that progress. Insofar as criminal case that he is not aware as to whethe in criminal case.	is concerned he states
		[P.T.O.

 $\mathbf{2}$

ŧ

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date : 10.03.2022		
	O.A.No.206 of 2022		
	R. T. KadamApplicant Versus		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.		
	1. Heard Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.		
	2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order		
	dated 16.2.2022 issued by the Respondent No.3-Police		
	Commissioner, Railway, Mumbai and sought interim relief.		
	3. Admittedly, the Applicant is staff Nurse and her		
	appointing authority is Respondent No.2-Deputy Director,		
	Health Services, Mumbai as seen from appointment order		
	dated 03.12.2005. By order of Civil Surgeon, Thane dated		
	18.12.2017, she was transferred at Railway Police Hospital, Mumbai. The Respondent N0.3 – Commissioner, Railway		
	Mumbai suspended her for certain misconduct invoking Rule		
	4(1) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules,		
	1979 which inter-alia provides for suspension in		
	contemplation of D.E.		
	4. As per provision of MCS (D &A) Rules 1979, it is for		
	the appointing authority, the power of suspension vests with		
	the appointing authority. The Respondent No.3 –		
	Commissioner of Police, Railway is admittedly not appointing		
	authority. It is totally different authority. As such, prima-facie		
	impugned suspension order is not sustainable in law. 5. in view of above, the suspension order dated		
	5. in view of above, the suspension order dated 16.02.2022 is stayed till filing of reply.		
	[<i>P.T.O.</i>		

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.04.2022.

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

12. S.O. to 07.04.2022.

/sm

ſ\ Sd/-W۳

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

 $\mathbf{2}$

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.457 of</u>	2021
	N.A. Netke	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwade the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Ma Presenting Officer for the Responde	anchekar, learned Chief
	2. Ld. CPO produces copy issued by Public Health Departme has been absorbed as Medical Off order is taken on record and identification.	icer. Group B. The said
	3. S.O. 4.5.2022.	· · ·
	۲۲. Sd/-	Sd/-
		dula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 10.3.2022

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders			
		<u>O.A. No</u>	0.484 of 2021	
	V.V. Mot			Applicant
	The State	of Maharashtra &	Ors.	Respondents
	the Appl	eard Smt. Punam icant and Smt. A or the Respondents	rchana B.K.,	rrned Advocate for learned Presenting
	2. L	d. PO states that re	eply is already	filed.
	3. A	dmit.		
	4. S rejoinder	with liberty to file		
		ا Sd/-	S	nin 11 7 Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.3.2022	(Mridula B Chair 10.3.	person
	(sgj)	10.3.2022	10.5.	2022
				[<i>P.T.O</i> .

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

<u>(OA.91</u> D.B. Kurale Vs. The State of Maharashtra Heard Ms. S.P. M Officer for the Responder 2. Shri S.B. Bhosale has sent the Leave Note. 3. Reply is already f	Ianchekar, l nts. , learned A	<u>gpur)</u> A R earned Chi	
Vs. The State of Maharashtra Heard Ms. S.P. M Officer for the Responder 2. Shri S.B. Bhosale has sent the Leave Note. 3. Reply is already f	Ianchekar, l nts. , learned A	R earned Chi	espondents ief Presenting
 The State of Maharashtra Heard Ms. S.P. M Officer for the Responder 2. Shri S.B. Bhosale has sent the Leave Note. 3. Reply is already f 	Ianchekar, l nts. , learned A	earned Chi	ief Presenting
Heard Ms. S.P. M Officer for the Responder 2. Shri S.B. Bhosale has sent the Leave Note. 3. Reply is already f	Ianchekar, l nts. , learned A		
has sent the Leave Note.3. Reply is already f		dvocate for	the applicant
	iled.		the appendix
4. Admit.			
5. S.O. to 19.4.2022 rejoinder, if any.	2 for final h	earing with	n liberty to file
Sd/-	1	Sd/-	14
(Medha Galigil) Member (A) 10.3.2022	(Chairperson	r, J.) 1
(sgj)			
	 4. Admit. 5. S.O. to 19.4.2022 rejoinder, if any. Sd/- (Medha Gaagil) Member (A) 10.3.2022 	 4. Admit. 5. S.O. to 19.4.2022 for final h rejoinder, if any. Sd/- (Medha Galgil) (Medha Galgil) (Mrid Member (A) (0.3.2022 	 4. Admit. 5. S.O. to 19.4.2022 for final hearing with rejoinder, if any. Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Galgil) (Mridula Bhatka Member (A) 10.3.2022

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.94 of 202</u>	<u>2</u>
	S.S. Zade	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learn Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learn Officer for the Respondents.	ned Advocate for the rned Chief Presenting
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant affidavit of service and notice.	states that he has filed
	3. Respondent No.1 to produce Certificate of Respondent No.2 – Mr. on the next date.	copy of Home Guard Yogesh K. Sonkamble
	4. S.O. to 30.3.2022 by way of last	st chance.
	Member (A) Ch	Sd/- a Bhatkar, J.) airperson .3.2022

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.203 of 20</u>	022
	A.M. Halsangi	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji hole learned Advocate for the Applicant ar learned Chief Presenting Officer for the	nd Ms. S.P. Manchekar,
	2. The office objections, if any, court-fees to be paid, if not already pa	are to be removed and aid.
	3. Issue notice before adm 17.3.2022. The respondents are direct	ission returnable on ted to file reply.
	4. Applicant is authorized and Respondents intimation/notice of authenticated by Registry, along wi of O.A. Private service is allowed COVID-19 Pandemic situation. R notice that the case would be taken the stage of admission hearing.	date of hearing duly th complete paper book in view of this present espondents are put to up for final disposal at
	5. This intimation/notice is or the Maharashtra Administrative Tril 1988, and the questions such as remedy are kept open.	bunal (Procedure) Rules,
	6. The service may be done post/courier and acknowledgem produced along with affidavit of cowithin one week. Applicant is direcompliance and notice.	ent be obtained and ompliance in the Registry
	Sd/-	50/-
	Member (A) 10.3.2022	lula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 10.3.2022
	(sgj)	
		[P.T.O.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.48 of 2022</u>	
	B.V. Kale Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Applicant and Advocate both an S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Preser Respondents.	re absent. Heard Ms. ting Officer for the
	2. We direct the Ld. Advocate for copy of OA in the office of CPO and Re	the applicant to serve espondents.
	3. S.O. to 1.4.2022.	
		17 1 id/-
	Member (A) Cha	Bhatkar, J.) irperson 3.2022
	(sgj)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI .

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

4

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's order	s
	<u>O.A. No.189 of</u>	2022
	Dr. V.S. Abnave Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant Respondents
	Heard Smt. Punam Mahaja the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Ma Presenting Officer for the Responde 2 The office objections, if an	anchekar, learned Chie ents. y, arc to be removed an
	 court-fees to be paid, if not already 3. Issue notice before ac 13.4.2022. The respondents are directly 	lmission returnable o
	4. Applicant is authorized a Respondents intimation/notice of authenticated by Registry, along v of O.A. Private service is allow COVID-19 Pandemic situation. notice that the case would be tak the stage of admission hearing.	Ind directed to serve of f date of hearing du with complete paper boo ed in view of this prese Respondents are put
	5. This intimation/notice is the Maharashtra Administrative T 1988, and the questions such a remedy are kept open.	ribunal (Procedure) Rui
	6. The service may be done post/courier and acknowledge produced along with affidavit of within one week. Applicant is compliance and notice.	compliance in the Regis
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (M Member (A) 10.3.2022 (sgj)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal' s	orders
	<u>O.A. No.2</u>	<u>22 of 2022</u>
	S.S. Padave	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & O	rsRespondents
	Heard Shri B.A. Bandi the Applicant and Ms. S.F Presenting Officer for the Res	wadekar, learned Advocate for P. Manchekar, learned Chief pondents.
	2. The office objections, court-fees to be paid, if not all	if any, are to be removed and ready paid.
	3. Issue notice before 13.4.2022. The respondents a	
	Respondents intimation/noti authenticated by Registry, al of O.A. Private service is a	zed and directed to serve on ice of date of hearing duly long with complete paper book allowed in view of this present tion. Respondents are put to be taken up for final disposal at ng.
	the Moharashtra Administrat	e is ordered under Rule 11 of tive Tribunal (Procedure) Rules uch as limitation and alternate
	post/courier and acknow	e done by hand delivery/ spee- ledgement be obtained an vit of compliance in the Registr nt is directed to file affidavit o
	Sd/-	Sd/-
		(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 10.3.2022
	(sgj)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.652 of</u>	2020
	M.B. Borkar	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri M.V. Thorat, In Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Officer for the Respondents.	earned Advocate for the learned Chief Presenting
	2. The applicant has prayed suspension period. Hence, matter Single Bench.	d for regularization of er be placed before the
	3. S.O. to 16.3.2022 before Sin	
	Sd/-	sd/- 2
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mrid	dula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 10.3.2022
	(sgj)	
		[PTO.

[*P.T.O.*

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.232 of 20</u>	021
	C.S. Khose	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan. the Applicant and Smt. Archana B Officer for the Respondents.	, learned Advocate for .K., learned Presenting
	2. Affidavit in reply is filed. applicant submits that rejoinder is not	Ld. Advocate for the trequired.
	3. Pursuant to order dated 4.1 have sought three months time f However, we have adjourned the m see progress in the matter. The matter	natter for four weeks to
	4. Ld. PO, on instructions from Kadam, Assistant Legal Advisor, I Administration, states that enquiry before the Hon'ble Minister. Three 4.4.2022. However, the responde enquiry.	is completed and file is months will get over on
	5. S.O. to 5.4.2022.	
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) / (Mrid Member (A)	ula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 10.3.2022
	(sgj)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's c	orders
	<u>O.A. No.1</u>	54 of 2021
	S.S. Samant	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & O	rsRespondents
	Heard Shri D.B. Ka Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manc Officer for the Respondents.	le, learned Advocate for the hekar, learned Chief Presenting
	Ex conviceman has been s	file regarding de-reservation of ent from Revenue & Forest nane for obtaining NOC from
	3. S.O. to 23.3.2022.	
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha/Gadgil) / Member (A) 10.3.2022 (sgj)	Chairperson 10.3.2022
		[<i>P.T.O</i> .

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

of 20 Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>10.03.2022</u>
	O.A 695/2018 with O.A 312/2019 with O.A 355/2019 with O.A 1194/2019 with O.A 1195/2019 & O.A 1196/2019
	Shri N.K Chavan & Ors Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	 The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents 1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Shri D.R Irale Patil, learned counsel for the applicants in O.A 312/2019 & Ors has filed leave note.
	3. Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A 695/2018, prays that the Original Application be detaggged from the present group as the applicants are from P.W.D.
	4. However, we direct Mr Irale Patil, Shri S.D Patil, Ajay Deshpande and V.P Potbhare to appear on the next date.
	5. O.A 695/2018 be detagged and placed on Board on 31.3.2022.
	6. All the other Original Applications also be placed on board on 31.3.2022.
	Sd/- Sd/- 2
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson
	[P.T.O.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 10.03.2022
	O.A. No.193 of 2022
	B.S. ThakurApplicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri M.P. Dalvi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. As per title clause the Applicant's residence is shown at Lamkani, Tal. District Dhule, secondly the Applicant's prayer is for direction to the Respondents to issue permanency certificate.
	3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant however submits that after retirement the Applicant is residing at Ratnagiri, and therefore O.A. is filed before this Tribunal.
	 Liberty is granted to make correction in title clause.
	5. As stated above, the relief claim is for direction to the Respondents to issue permanency certificate.
	6. I/C. Registrar is therefore directed to examine the matter as whether it pertain to Single Bench or Division Bench, since it does not fall in the subject of Single Bench as per office order dated 28.05.2021 and as per the residuary clause the matter which is not shown in Single Bench assignment needs to be place before Division Bench.
	Sd/-
	(A.Ř. Kurhekar) Member (J) [<i>P.T.O</i> .
	NMN

Office Notes, O	ffice Memoranda	of Coram,
Appearance	ce, Tribunal's ord	lers or
directions	and Registrar's	orders

$\mathbf{2}$ Tribunal's orders Date: 10.03.2022 M.A. No.134 of 2022 in O.A. No.190 of 2022Applicant Dr. V.S. AbnaveRespondents. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Issue notice before admission returnable on 2. 07.04.2022. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Miscellaneous Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) 5. Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained 6. and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. In case notice is not collected within seven days

7. In case notice is not collected within easy before or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 07.04.2022.

Sd/vv

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Date: 10.03.2022

M.A. No.29 of 2022 in O.A. No.250 of 2021

R.Y. ChandramoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri I.M. Koparkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice of M.A. before admission returnable on 07.04.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 07.04.2022.

∖ Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

2

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 10.03.2022
	M.A. No.138 of 2021 in O.A. No.249 of 2021
	M.S. WaghApplicant Versus Beamandants
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	 Heard Shri I.M. Koparkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Issue notice before admission returnable on
	07.04.2022. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Miscellaneous Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
	7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
	8. S.O. to 07.04.2022.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

ł

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 10.03.2022
	O.A. No.220 of 2022
	S.G. KadamApplicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The Applicant stands retired by superannuation on 31.10.2018. However, gratuity and leave encashment is not paid. She has earlier filed O.A. No.505/2018 challenging suspension order dated 09.09.2014. O.A. was decided on 22.02.2019 and orders passed that the suspension deemed to have been revoked w.e.f. 10.02.2017 and the Applicant is held entitled to service benefits with deemed date of revocation of suspension. Further liberty was granted to proceed further in D.E. and Criminal prosecution.
	3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that till date D.E. is not completed finally and criminal case is still subjudice.
	4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.04.2022.
	5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
	6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	[<i>P.T.O.</i>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	8. The service may be done by Hand delivery speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to fil Affidavit of compliance and notice.
	9. In case notice is not collected within seven day or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days befor returnable date, Original Application shall stan dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers b consigned to record.
	10. S.O. to 07.04.2022.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

2

ŧ

ł

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 10.03.2022
	O.A. No.179 of 2022
	A.V. SwamiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	 Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate fo the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presentin Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The Applicant has challenged order date 12.02.2017 whereby he was subject to punishment of withholding of increment for 3 years without specifyin as to whether it is with cumulative effect or otherwis which is confirmed by appellate authority by orde dated 05.03.2019.
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable o 07.04.2022.
	4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal a this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall no be issued.
	5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve o Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing du authenticated by Registry, along with complete pape book of Original Application. Respondents are put t notice that the case would be taken up for final dispose at the stage of admission hearing.
	6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 1 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation an alternate remedy are kept open.
	7. The service may be done by Hand deliver speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to fin Affidavit of compliance and notice. [P.T.O.]

1

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
	9. S.O. to 07.04.2022.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

ŧ