[P.T.O.

### SHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL IN THE MAH

Original Application No.	DISTRICT
	Applicant/s
Advocate	manus)
	versus
The State	of Maharashtra and others
The State	
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 10.03.2016
	O.A.No.163 of 2016
	1. Heard Shri S.R. Atre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Issue notice returnable on 29.03.2016.
	3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
	4. Applicant is authorised and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
DATE: 10/3/16 COPAM:  Hon'the State of Mark (Chairman) Hon'the State of Mark (Mamber) A ]  APPEAR ONCE: State of Mark (Mamber) A ]	6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
Althouse for the Applicant Shot-Shot in the Got ad C.L.U./P.O. for the Kospotalenus	7. S.O. to 29.03.2016. Sd/-
Adj. To. 29/3/16.	(R.B. Malik) Member(J)

riginal Application No. 0	f 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s
Advocate	)
	versus
The State	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memorandu of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 10.03.2016
	M.A.No.72 of 2016 in O.A.No.163 of 2016
	1. Heard Shri S.R. Atre, the learned Advocate for the
	Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting
	Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Considering the facts. The application to sue-jointly
	s granted subject to the payment of court fees if not
	already paid.
	3. Misc. Application stands disposed off with no order
	Sd/-
	10.0
	(R.B. Malik) Member(J)
	sba
	, and the second
DATE: 10/3/16	
Hon Vie Shri M. Jonnahikumar (Member)	
APPEARANCE;	
Sort S. B. Atte	
Austreas toritie Applicant	
Shir/Size and G. Galad C.P.O./F.O. for the Hespondent/s	
Adj. To MA stands disposed of	
93	

Original Application No. of	20 District
	, Applicant/s
(Advocate	
	versus
(m) Chara	f Maharashtra and others
The State o	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 10.03.2016
	O.A.No.730 of 2015
	1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for
	the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting
	Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record. Admit. Liberty
	to mention is granted.
	3. Sur-rejoinder, if any, must be filed on the next date
	when the matter appears before the bench and not
	thereafter.
DATE: 10/3/16	Sd/-
CORAM:	
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Karieshkumar (Member) A. J.	(R.B. Malik) Member(J)
AFFTARANCE:	sba
Adverse to the replicat	
Shri/Smt. The Goldon C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s	
Adj. To. Liberty to mention.	
Bre	

	Applicant/s
	· · ·
Advocate	
	versus
The Charles of	f Maharashtra and others
The State of	
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 10.03.2016
	O.A.No.912 of 2015
	1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate
	for the Applicant, Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Special
	Counsel with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Present
	Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3, Ms. S.P. Manchekar,
	the learned Advocate holding for Shri M.R. Patil, the
	the learned Advocate holding for Shri M.R. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 19, 22, 32, 34 &
	learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 19, 22, 32, 34 &
	the learned Advocate holding for Shri M.R. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 19, 22, 32, 34 & 44 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.38.
	learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 19, 22, 32, 34 & 44 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for
	learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 19, 22, 32, 34 & 44 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for
DATE: 10/3/16	learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 19, 22, 32, 34 & 44 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.38.  2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.
DATE: 10/3/16 CORAM:	learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 19, 22, 32, 34 & 44 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.38.
	learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 19, 22, 32, 34 & 44 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.38.  2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.
CORAM: Hon'bic testing Stri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Recaelinkurnar (Member) A. J. APPEANANCE:	learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 19, 22, 32, 34 & 44 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.38.  2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.  3. O.A. proceeds without the reply of the Respondents who have not filed rejoinder so far.
Hon'tile Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'tile Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 19, 22, 32, 34 & 44 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.38.  2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.  3. O.A. proceeds without the reply of the Respondents who have not filed rejoinder so far.  4. Adjourned for rejoinder to 29.03.2016.
CORAM: Hon'ble Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri A. B. Hoshi (Chairman) APPEARANCE: Shri/Stra: Yuram Vahaja? Advocate for the Anchoset	learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 19, 22, 32, 34 & 44 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.38.  2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.  3. O.A. proceeds without the reply of the Respondents who have not filed rejoinder so far.  4. Adjourned for rejoinder to 29.03.2016.  Sd/-
CORAM: Hon'the Sesting Strick, H. Joshir (Chairman) Hon'the Shri M. B. Joshir (Member) A. J. APPEALANCE: Shri/Strat: Lungm Nahaja? Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Strat: D.B. Khaire St. Counsel with	learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 19, 22, 32, 34 & 44 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.38.  2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.  3. O.A. proceeds without the reply of the Respondents who have not filed rejoinder so far.  4. Adjourned for rejoinder to 29.03.2016.  Sd/-
CORAM: Hon'the Sesting Strick, H. Joshir (Chairman) Hon'the Shri M. B. Joshir (Member) A. J. APPEALANCE: Shri/Strat: Lungm Nahaja? Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Strat: D.B. Khaire St. Counsel with	learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 19, 22, 32, 34 & 44 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.38.  2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.  3. O.A. proceeds without the reply of the Respondents who have not filed rejoinder so far.  4. Adjourned for rejoinder to 29.03.2016.  Sd/-
CORAM: Hon'ble Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) APPEALANCE: Shri/Son: Luram Nahaja? Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Son: - D. W. Kalle St. Counsel with C.P.D / P.O. for the Respondent's Sairwad for for Role. 1403.	learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 19, 22, 32, 34 & 44 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.38.  2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.  3. O.A. proceeds without the reply of the Respondents who have not filed rejoinder so far.  4. Adjourned for rejoinder to 29.03.2016.  Sd/-  (R.B. Malik)  Member(J)
CORAM: Hon'the lesting Grid. II. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'the Shrid. B. Mallar (Member) A. J.  APPEALANCE: Shri/Strat: Lunam Naha) 6.7  Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Strat: D.B. Khaire 911: Counsel with C.P.D/P.O. for the Respondent's GRINWAD P.O. for Rose. 1 to 3.	learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 19, 22, 32, 34 & 44 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.38.  2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.  3. O.A. proceeds without the reply of the Respondents who have not filed rejoinder so far.  4. Adjourned for rejoinder to 29.03.2016.  Sd/-
CORAM: Hon'ble Shri M. D. M. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. D. Malkumar (Member) A. J.  APPEALANCE: Shri/Stra: Lungm Nahaja? Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Stra: D. D. Malle 911: Counsel with C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent's S. Fairwad, P.O. for Rosp. 1+03. Adj. To. Adv. S. P. Manchar holding adv. M.R. Patil for R. 19, 22, 32, 34	learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 19, 22, 32, 34 & 44 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.38.  2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.  3. O.A. proceeds without the reply of the Respondents who have not filed rejoinder so far.  4. Adjourned for rejoinder to 29.03.2016.  Sd/-  (R.B. Malik)  Member(J)
CORAM: Hon'the listing State A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'the Shri M. S. Addition (Member) A. J.  APPEALANCE: Shri/Stat: Luram Mahaja? Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Stat: D.B. Khaire sti Counsel with C.P.D / P.O. for the Respondent's Adj. To. Adv. S. P. Mancher holding Adj. To. Adv. S. P. Mancher holding Adj. To. Adv. S. P. Mancher holding Adv. M.R. Pati) for R. 19,22,32,34	learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 19, 22, 32, 34 & 44 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.38.  2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.  3. O.A. proceeds without the reply of the Respondents who have not filed rejoinder so far.  4. Adjourned for rejoinder to 29.03.2016.  Sd/-  (R.B. Malik)  Member(J)
CORAM: Hon'ble Shri M. D. M. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. D. Malkumar (Member) A. J.  APPEALANCE: Shri/Stra: Lungm Nahaja? Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Stra: D. D. Malle 911: Counsel with C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent's S. Fairwad, P.O. for Rosp. 1+03. Adj. To. Adv. S. P. Manchar holding adv. M.R. Patil for R. 19, 22, 32, 34	learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 19, 22, 32, 34 & 44 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.38.  2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.  3. O.A. proceeds without the reply of the Respondents who have not filed rejoinder so far.  4. Adjourned for rejoinder to 29.03.2016.  Sd/-  (R.B. Malik)  Member(J)

Original Application No. of	
	Applicant/s
Advocate	)
Advocate	
	versus
The State of	f Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 10.03.2016.
•	O.A.No.16 of 2016
	1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned
	Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. K.S.
	Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the
	Respondent.
	2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. after
	two weeks for affidavit-in-reply.
	3. Adjourned to 28.03.2016.
	3. Adjourned to 28.03.2016.
	Sd/-
DATE: 16/3/1C CORAM:	(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)
Han' bie Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	Vice-Chairman
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkulmar (Member) A V/C	prk
APPEARANCE:	
Shrtiston: M.D. Lalker	
Advocate to the Applicant	
Shirt KS Galkykd	
C.P.O / P.G. for the Respondent/s	
Adj. To. 28/3/16	
8k	
	The state of the s

Original Application No.	of 2	20 DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
(Advocate	***************************************	······/
		versus
•		
Th	ie State of	Maharashtra and others
		Respondent/s
0.07		
(Presenting Officer		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of	Согат,	
Appearance, Tribunal's orders	sor	Tribunal's orders
directions and Registrar's or	ders	
		Date: 10.03.2016.
		O.A.No.784 of 2015
	٠.	O.A.NO. 154 51 2525
		1. None for the Applicant. Heard Shri K.B.
	,	Bhise, the Presenting Officer for the
	e ·	
·		Respondents.
	. •	2. It appears from the proceedings that the
		affidavit-in-reply has been already filed on behalf
	v	of Respondent No.3.
		3. Learned P.O. Shri K.B. Bhise on
		instructions stated that separate reply on behalf
		of Respondents No.1 and 2 is not necessary.
		of respondent
		4. It appears from the proceedings that O.A.
		honos SO after three
DATE: 10/3/16		weeks for final hearing.
corant:		_ 14 21 02 2016
Shri hari P. Davar 4	man) er) A- √(C	5. Adjourned to 31.03.2016.
Haarbie Sheif <del>M. Rameshkilmär (Memb</del>	<del>gr) A</del> V(C	Sd/-
APPLICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF	01	- Cui
Small stone for the co	<b>7</b> 11.	(Sheibori D. Dovore, I.)
Advisors for the Applicant,		(Shrihari P. Davare, J.) Vice-Chairman
Shiring K.B. Bhize		
C.P.O./ U.O. for the Respondent/s		prk
Adj. To 31/3/16.		
Mail. Decembration de la constitución de la constit		

Tribunal's orders

Date: 10.03.2016.

### O.A.No.226 of 2016

- 1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Issue notices to the Respondents returnable after four weeks, S.O. after four weeks.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)
Vice-Chairman

DATE: 10/3/2016 CORAM:

Hon his Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Fion Lie Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A-

APPRARANCE:

Striffer P. B. Khaila

Advotate for the Applicant
Shri/San K.B. Bhire
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 7/4/16.

#he

#### Tribunal's orders

Date: 10.03.2016.

### O.A.No.321 of 2015

- 1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3.
- 2. Learned Counsel Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar stated that added Respondents No.4 and 5 have already been served and under took to file affidavit of service on behalf of Respondents No.4 and 5. Nobody appears for Respondents No.4 and 5 and no reply have been filed on their behalf.
- 3. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad files affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.3, M.P.S.C., and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon learned Counsel Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar for the Applicants.
- 4. It appears from the proceedings that affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 has been already filed.
- 5. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present O.A. it is admitted with liberty to the Applicants to file affidavit-in-rejoinder/s, if any, S.O. after three weeks for final hearing.
- 6. Adjourned to 31.03.2016. Sd/-

(Shrihari P. Davare, J.) Vice-Chairman

DATE: 10 3 1 C

COR:M:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri A. Kames Ruther (Moniber) A. V/C

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: G. A. Dan Jivodekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: It. C. Fahad

C.P.O / P.O. For the Respondent/s

Adv. To. 31 21 16.

the

Original Application No. of	20 District Applicant/s
(Advocate	) versus
The State of	Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 10.03.2016.
	O.A.No.17 of 2016
	1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.
	2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad appearing for the Respondent on instructions stated that she would file the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent during the course of the day and
	would serve the copy thereof upon the learned
	Counsel for the Applicant.  3. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present O.A., it is
DATE: 10/3/16  COSAM:  Hon M: Justice Shri A. H. Joshj (Chairman)  Hon'ble Shri M. Rumeshkumar (Member) A	admitted with liberty to the Applicant to file affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any, and if such rejoinder is filed, copy thereof be served upon
APPEARANCE:	the learned P.O. for the Respondent, at least two
State State M.D. Lowler	days in advance of the next date. S.O. after two
Advocate for the Applicant	weeks, for Final Hearing.

4. Adjourned to 28.03.2016.

Sd/-

(Shrihari P. Davare, J.) Vice-Chairman

the

Shri/Smt. . K. S. GaiVubd. C.P.O / F.O. for the Respondent's

Adj. To...

Original Application No. of	20 DISTRICT
Original Application No. of	Applicant/s
(Advocate	)
	versus
The State of	Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	)
Company of Company	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corum, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 10.03.2016.
	O.A.No.543 of 2014
	1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned
	Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad
	1
	the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	a the same of loorned Counsel Shr
	2. At the request of learned Counsel Shr
	M.D. Lonkar appearing for the Applicants, S.O.
	after two weeks.
	3. Adjourned to 28.03.2016.
	Sd/-
	(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)
	Vice-Chairman
PATE: 10/3/16 DIB	prk
PANEL STATE OF THE	
Hon's Shrikari P. Davare Hon's Alle	
1, (manus 1, ) = 0, C	
AT MARK CALL	
Ship. M.D. Loukar	
Automote for the composite	
Shellow Th. F. FOMCA C.F.O./ P.O. for the Kaspondons/s	
Adj. To. 28/3/2016	

	Ale or	
Original Application No.	$\mathbf{of}$	20 District
	1 (6.5)	Applicant/s
4		
(Advocate	hbary	)
	$x = x_1 = x_2 = x_1$	
		versus
	The State of	f Maharashtra and others
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda	af Corum.	
Appearance, Tribanal's ord	ers or	Tribunal's orders
directions and Registrar's	orders	
		Date: 10.03.2016.
		O.A.No.891 of 2015
		O.A.NO.891 01 2013
	• ;	1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, the learned
		Counsel for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise,
		the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
÷		the Fresching Officer for the Respondence
		2. It appears from the proceedings that the
	***	affidavit-in-reply has been already filed on behalf
		of Respondents No.1 to 4.
		3. In that view of the matters and considering
		the cause made out in the present O.A., it is
		admitted with liberty to the Applicant to file
		affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any, and if it is
		imperative, S.O. after three weeks for final
	<u> </u>	
olb violation		hearing.
DATY: 1012112		4 4 1 14 21 02 0016
CORAM :	m)	4. Adjourned to 31.03.2016.
Hon the Shap Shap P Dayy	A VC	
		Sd/-
SAUSIN V.K. Jagdale		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)
Advisor to the Americani. Shri State K.B. Bhbe		Vice-Chairman
CHO/Ed. for the Respondent's		prk
		- <b>Pin</b>
Adj. To. 3113/16.	*****	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	

	MUMBAI
Original Application No.	f 20 District Applicant/s
(Advocate ,	)
	versus
The State	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 10.03.2016.
	O.A.No.255 of 2016
	1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Issue notices to the Respondents returnable after four weeks, S.O. after four weeks.
	3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for
	final disposal shall not be issued.
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of
	hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A Respondents
DATE: 10/3/16	are put to notice that the case would be taken

hearing.

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. A. Jughi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Juri M. Remesulatur (Member) A

APITARANCE:
Shri/Sua: F.A. Landivadeller
Advosate for the Applicant
Shri/Sua: T.A. Galed
C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To.....

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

up for final disposal at the stage of admission

### Tribunal's orders

- The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad waives service of notices since learned Counsel Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar for the Applicant stated that copies of proceedings have been already served upon the Respondents and undertook to file affidavit of service to that effect.
- 8. Adjourned to 07.04,2016.

Sd/-

(Shrihari P. Davare, J.) Vice-Chairman

prk

DATE:\_\_10/3/14 CORAM:

Hon'the Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) 4 Hon'the Shri M. Romeshkumar (Member) A

Advorate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: 71 F Shad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ads. To .... 714116

Original Application No.	ot	20	Distric	Ċ <b>Ţ</b>
		•		Applicant/s
(Advocate		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		versus	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	The State o	f Maharashtra a	nd others	• .
			. •	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		·····	)	
Office Notes, Office Memora Appearance, Tribanal's directions and Registra	orders or		Tribunal's order	s
		Date : 10.03	.2016.	

### O.A.No.254 of 2016

- 1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Counsel Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar appearing for the Applicant leave granted to add Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department as party Respondent No.2. Amendment be carried out forthwith.
- 3. Issue notices to the Respondents after the said amendment, returnable after four weeks, S.O. after four weeks.
- 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

#### Tribunal's orders

- 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad waives service of notice for Respondent No.1. Applicant to serve upon added Respondent No.2 till next date, S.O. after four weeks.
- 9. Adjourned to 07.04.2016.

Sd/-

(Shrihari P. Davare, J.) Vice-Chairman

prk

Tribunal's orders

### 10.03.2016

### O.A No 635/2015

Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This Original Application has been filed by the Applicants who are working as Auditor Grade-II in Kolhapur Division. It appears that earlier Kolhapur Division was part of Pune Division and it was bifurcated by G.R, which was issued in 2011. The Applicants are seeking transfer to Pune Division.


Information on the following points will be required from the Respondents to decide this matter.

- (i) What is the policy of the Government regarding choice being given to the persons affected by bifurcation of Pune Audit Division of Cooperation Department into Pune and Kolhapur Division? Whether policy which was followed that when Pune Administrative Division was bifurcated into Pune and Kolhapur Division, i.e. choice was given to all the employees to either opt for Pune or Kolhapur Division, will be followed in the present case?
- (ii) On instructions from Shri R.K Behere, Special Auditor, Class-I under Divisional Joint Registrar (Audit), Pune Division, Pune, learned P.O stated that policy decision has been taken by the Government not to post any Auditor in the District Cooperative Bank in the State. The persons who are now working are to be adjusted in other posts. Copy of the office order in this regard may be placed before this Tribunal on the next date.
- (iii) If there are 13 posts of Auditor, Grade-II vacant in Pune Division, what is the hitch in accommodating the persons who are working in newly created Kolhapur Division and sought transfer to Pune Division to adjust them in the said vacancies? If these posts are to be used for accommodating Auditors working in District Central Cooperative Banks, why no steps have been taken so far?

S.O to 23.3.2016. Part Heard.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman



M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

#### 10.03.2016

## M.A 505/2015 in R.A 35/2015 in O.A No 682/2014

Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Review Application has been filed on 23.9.2015 seeking review of this Tribunal's order dated 9.6.2015 in O.A 682/2014. Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar stated that M.A is filed seeking condonation of delay of two months and 16 days in filing the Review Though Review Application and Application. M.A is filed in September, 2015 no reply in the M.A has been filed as yet. It is seen that there is a very small delay in filing the Review Application and more time has been taken by the Respondents in filing reply to the M.A. delay. condonation seeking of Respondents were really serious they would have filed reply to the M.A. It seems that they are not serious in the matter. In view of no effective opposition seeking condonation of delay, the M.A seeking condonation of delay is allowed.

Last chance is granted to the Respondents to file reply to the Review Application.

S.O to 31.3.2016.

Sd/-

(Ra**l**iv Aga**f**(vål) Vice-Chairman

DATE: 10/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)

. Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shrismi A.V. Bandicadoka

Advocate for the Applicant

ShriLSmr K.B. BWSC

EPOTPO. for the Respondents

M.A. is allowed

Ad Ton RA Ady +0 31/3/16 for Peply as a

tast chance

Court

Akn

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000-2-2015)

### SHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL IN THE MAH

Original Application No.	of	20		ere value 1. Salar	DISTRICT		
			ř		٠.	A	pplicant/s
(Advocate		)	•	-			•
		versus	•				
T	he State o	of Maharash	tra an	d others			
	,		1			., Res	spondent/s
(Presenting Officer			)				
Office Notes, Office Memoranda o Appearance, Tribunal's order directions and Registrar's or	rs or	Date : 10.0	3,2016		al's orders		

O.A.No.208, 209, 210 of 2016

- Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advoate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- The matter is heard for some time on the issue of interim relief, for which liberty was reserved by my order of 29.2.2016. Having perused the record and proceedings and having heard the submission of both the sides for some time, I find that as of today, the interim relief in the manner in which it is sought can not be granted.
- However, again depending upon the stand that 3. would reveal in the affidavit-in-reply which will have to be filed on the next date itself on pains of suffer the interim order, the same liberty still kept intact.
- The parties must note now that these O.A.s have been instituted, whatever decision is taken by them from now on ( from the date of institution of this O.A.) will be subject to the ultimate out come hereof and the judicial forum has the power even to grant mandatory order at interlocutory stage which so that no steps are taken to force a state of fait-accompli.
- With this the O.A.s stand adjourned for affidavit-inreply to 28.3.2016. Hamdast Sd/-

-(R.B. Malik) Member(J)

DATE:\_ CORAM:

Hon ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Sari M. Ramechkumar (Member) A

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt . It K Rayyyrchit C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

yt.

9

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

Date: 10.03.2016

#### O.A.No.247,248,249,250 and 251 of 2015

- Heard Smt. Prabha Badadare, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant presses the matter for urgent interim-relief. Perusal of the record would show that there is an order on Writ Petition made by the Nagpur Bench of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court.
- 3. In so far as the order dated 2.3.2016 is concerned on the face of it, it applies to the Applicants of the High Court. Therefore as of today, it is not possible for me to grant any interim-relief but it goes without saying that in as much as O.A. has been filed subsequent steps and stages herein by the Respondents shall be subject to the ultimate out come hereof.
- Issue notice returnable on 28.03.2016.
- 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 6. Applicant is authorised and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 8. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 9. S.O. to 28.03.20163. Hamdast.

Sd/-

1.2

(Ŕ.B. Malik) Member(J)

OATE: 10/3/16
CORAM

Herring Active R. B. Machi (Cheirman)

Res h. Machi (Member) A.)

APPL Adams Prabha Badadare

sba

Tribunal's orders

Date: 10.03.2016.

### O.A.No.95 of 2005 (D.B.)

- 1. Applicant and learned Advocate absent and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. The postal package containing the intimation to the Applicant has been returned un-served with the remarks "left".
- I have carefully perused the order dated Having gone through the record 06,02,2006. hereof I find no order as such made by the Tribunal at any time in the past. I, therefore, think that the reference to the matter being pending before the Hon'ble High Court was apparently in relation to the O.A. in which the facts may be identical but no order as such was apparently made in this O.A. itself. therefore, made clear that on the next date if the and remains absent applicant unrepresented an appropriate order would be made.

4.	Adjourned	to	31.03.2016.
----	-----------	----	-------------

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) Member(J)

Adj. To. 31316

Hone for thea

She /Sne : The factor of C.P.O./F.O. for the Respondent/s

10/3/16

Advance in to Applicat

DATE: \_\_\_

prk