

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.555 of 2023</p> <p>S.G. Ranpise .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has been transferred from the post of 'Sub-Inspector, of State Excise, at Islampur; District Sangli' to the post of 'Sub-Inspector of State Excise, at 'M/s. Kashmira Traders, Kolhapur' by Transfer Order dated 04.05.2023 of 'Commissioner State Excise, Maharashtra State Mumbai'.</p> <p>3. The Applicant had completed his 'Normal Tenure' of 3 years on the post of 'Sub-Inspector of State Excise, at Islampur, District Sangli' and therefore was eligible to be transferred and to the 'Commissioner State Excise, Maharashtra State Mumbai'. However, none of these 10 options were considered; and Applicant came to be posted on the post of 'Sub Inspector of State Excise, at 'M/s. Kashmira Traders, Kolhapur'.</p> <p>4. The Applicant is an 'Ex-Servicemen' who has rendered meritorious service of more than 18 years and thus was entitled to be given precedence in transfers as per G.A.D. G.R. dated 21.02.2022 at time of 'General Transfer 2023' by 'Commissioner State Excise, Maharashtra State Mumbai'.</p> <p>5. The Applicant at the time of 'General Transfers 2023' had submitted 10 options for various 'Executive Posts' of 'Sub-Inspector, State Excise in Pune, Thane, Mumbai Sub-urban and Mumbai City Districts'.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

6. The relevant factor for consideration by 'Commissioner State Excise, Maharashtra State Mumbai' is that Applicant had not completed two 'Consecutive Terms' on 'Executive Posts' because his tenure as 'Sub-Inspector State Excise' in 'Wardha District' was truncated when he came to be transferred as 'Sub-Inspector, of State Excise, to Islampur; in District Sangli'; although he had then requested to be posted in 'Pune District'. Hence, as Applicant has not completed two 'Consecutive Tenures' on 'Executive Posts' he would be eligible to be considered for transfer to any 'Executive Posts' available in Pune, Thane, Mumbai Sub-urban and Mumbai City Districts'.

7. The 'Commissioner of State Excise, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' has recently issued instructions to all (i)Deputy Commissioner of State Excise and (ii)Superintendents of State Excise regarding action to be taken to implement directions issued by 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023 in relation to conduct of 'General Elections to Loksabha: 2024'.

8. The 'Commissioner of State Excise, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' to consider the relevant fact of incomplete tenure of Applicant in 'Wardha District' and more importantly that Applicant being an 'Ex-Serviceman' is entitled to precedence in transfer as per GAD GR dated 21.02.2022.

9. The Applicant is accordingly given liberty to forthwith submit 'Fresh Representation' to 'Commissioner of State Excise, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'. Thereupon while undertaking the exercise relating to transfers of officers including of 'Sub-Inspectors of State Excise' in relation to conduct of 'General Election to Loksabha 2024'; the 'Commissioner of State Excise, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' must consider the request of Applicant made by his 'Fresh Representation' and as per GAD GR dated 21.02.2022 transfer him on any available 'Executive Post' of 'Sub-Inspector, State Excise; in Pune, Thane, Mumbai Sub-urban and Mumbai City Districts'.

10. S.O. to 07.02.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.01.2024</p> <p align="center">(GROUP NO.1)</p> <p>O.A. No.880 of 2023 with O.A. No.882 of 2023 with O.A. No.883 of 2023 with O.A. No.884 of 2023 with O.A. No.888 of 2023 with O.A. No.1033 of 2023 with O.A. No.1034 of 2023 with O.A. No.1131 of 2023 with O.A. No. 983 of 2023 with O.A. No.887 of 2023 with O.A. No. 958 of 2023 with O.A. No.931 of 2023 with O.A. No. 941 of 2023 with O.A. No.867 of 2023</p> <p align="center">(GROUP NO.2)</p> <p>O.A. No.881 of 2023 with O.A. No.885 of 2023 with O.A. No.1017 of 2023 with O.A. No.1113 of 2023</p> <p align="center">(GROUP NO.3)</p> <p>O.A. No.936 of 2023 with O.A. No.1051 of 2023 to O.A. No.1055 of 2023 with O.A. No.1136 of 2023 with O.A. No.1137 of 2023 with O.A. No.1142 of 2023 with O.A. No.1122 of 2023 with O.A. No.1123 of 2023 with O.A. No.1199 of 2023 with O.A. No.1273 of 2023 with O.A. No.1022 of 2023 with O.A. No.1222 of 2023 with O.A. No.1385 of 2023 to O.A. No.1389 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. P.G. Kulkarni &amp; Ors. (GROUP NO.1) Dr. S.M.K. Borate &amp; Ors. (GROUP NO.2) M.A. Kanwar &amp; Ors. (GROUP NO.3).....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, Shri K.R. Jagdale, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, Smt. Punam Mahajan, Shri M.R. Kulkarni learned Advocates for the Applicants; Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants, and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Shri A.J. Chougule and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri P.S. Nagargoje, learned Advocate is not present on behalf of the Applicant.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>2. Learned Advocates for the Applicants in these O.As. categorized above as Group No.1; Group No.2 and Group No.3 state that as per instructions in letter of 'Medical Education and Drugs Department' dated 06.11.2023 concerned 'Professors, Associate Professors &amp; Assistant Professor' who are working in (i) B.J. Government Medical College, Pune (ii) Government Medical College, Miraj and (iii) Sir J.J. Group of Hospitals and Grant Government Medical College Mumbai were required to seek 'Leave Due &amp; Admissible' for respective periods from 'Date of Interim Relief' upto 'Dates of Joining'. Subsequently the 'Deans' of (i) B.J. Government Medical College, Pune (ii) Government Medical College, Miraj and (iii) Sir J.J. Group of Hospitals and Grant Government Medical Colleges, Mumbai have sanctioned 'Leave due &amp; Admissible' to some 'Professors; Associate Professors &amp; Assistant Professors'.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. has filed 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.5. in O.A. No.1385/2023 to O.A. No.1389/2023. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. The 'Medical Education and Drugs Department' had undertaken "Comprehensive and Detailed Review" of all 'Transfer Orders of 'Professors, Associate Professors &amp; Assistant Professor' issued on 30.06.2023; 13.07.2023 and 10.08.2023. Subsequently 'Medical Education and Drugs Department' has issued orders on 04.12.2023 regarding cancellation of most of these earlier 'Transfer Orders' of 'Professors Associate Professor' and Assistant Professors'. Thus there may be no necessity now to implement the directions given by 'Medical Education and Drugs Department' letter dated 06.11.2023 requiring concerned 'Professors, Associate Professors &amp; Assistant Professor' to seek 'Leave due Admissible' for respective periods from 'Dates of Interim Relief' upto 'Date of Joining' as their earlier 'Transfer Orders' have been cancelled by the 'Medical Education and Drugs Department'. Hence, 'Deans of (i) B.J. Government Medical College, Pune (ii) Government Medical College, Miraj and (iii) Sir J.J. Group of Hospitals and Grant Government Medical Colleges Mumbai to seek prior concurrence of 'Commissioner of Medical Education Mumbai' and take appropriate action thereafter to rescind the 'Sanction</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Orders' passed to grant 'Leave Due &amp; Admissible' to some 'Professors; Associate Professor &amp; Assistant Professors'.</p> <p>5. The 'Deans' of (i) B.J. Government Medical College, Pune (ii) Government Medical College, Miraj and (iii) Sir J.J. Group of Hospitals and Grant Government Medical Colleges Mumbai are further directed to take give instructions ensure that 'Salary Bills' for the entire period from earlier 'Transfer Orders' dated 30.06.2023; 13.07.2023 and 10.08.2023 are prepared on priority in any case within 'One Week' and sent to (i) Pay &amp; Accounts office Mumbai' (ii) Treasury Office, Pune and (iii) Treasury Office, Sangli in respect of all these 'Professors; Associate Professor &amp; Assistant Professors'.</p> <p>6. The 'Chief Administrative Officers' as per instructions of the 'Deans' of (i) B.J. Government Medical College, Pune (ii) Government Medical College, Miraj and (iii) Sir J.J. Group of Hospitals and Grant Medical Colleges Mumbai Sir J.J. Group of Hospitals and Grant Government Medical Colleges Mumbai to ensure personally time bound preparation of 'Salary Bills' and their submission to (i) Pay &amp; Accounts office, Mumbai (ii) Treasury Office, Pune and (iii) Treasury Office, Sangli. Further they are informed to remain personally present along with 'Compliance Reports' on behalf of the 'Deans', with regard to action taken by them to ensure payment of all pending 'Salary &amp; Allowances' to concerned 'Professors; Associate Professors &amp; Assistant Professor'.</p> <p>7. The 'Medical Education and Drugs Department' upon the 'Comprehensive and Detailed Review' is reported to have cancelled most of the earlier 'Transfer Orders' of 'Professors, Associate Professors &amp; Assistant Professor' dated 30.06.2023; 13.07.2023 and 10.08.2023. However, few such 'Transfer Orders' have only been modified and reissued on 04.12.2023, while</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>status of the many other Transfer Orders have not been disclosed by 'Medical Education and Drugs Department'. Hence, in this context the 'Under Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department' dealing with subject of transfer of 'Professors, Associate Professors &amp; Assistant Professor' to remain personally present along with detailed information in 'Table Format' to disclose actual status cancellation or otherwise of earlier 'Transfer Orders' dated 30.06.2023; 13.07.2023 and 10.08.2023 alongwith compliance of directions given on 08.12.2023 regarding production of copy of 'File Notings' of 'Medical Education and Drugs Department' or as the case may be the copy of 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'State Cabinet' which are received by 'Medical Education and Drugs Department'.</p> <p>8. The 'Interim Relief' granted in all these O.A.'s categorized as above – Group 1; Group 2 and Group 3 therefore to continue till follow up action as expected are completed by 'Deans' of respective 'Government Medical Colleges' and requisite documents are produced by the 'Medical Education and Drugs Department'.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 31.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (<u>Debashish Chakrabarty</u>) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 10.01.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.818 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>Dr. D.A. Shengal</b> .....Applicant Versus <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the 'Commissioner of Animal Husbandry, Pune' has now implemented the directions to give 'Additional Charge' to Applicant of the post of 'Live Stock Development Officer, Veterinary Polyclinic, Nashik' as per 'Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development and Fisheries Department' letter dated 01.01.2024. The 'Joint Commissioner of Animal Husbandry' had subsequently issued order on 08.01.2024 and accordingly the Applicant is now expected to take 'Additional Charge' of the post of 'Live Stock Development officer, Veterinary Polyclinic, Nashik'.</p> <p>3. The 'Principal Secretary, Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development and Fisheries Department' was expected to also decide within 'Two Weeks' about the request made by 'Dr. A.M. Pawar, Live Stock Development Officer, Pali, District Beed' for transfer in place of the Applicant. Now that more than 'One Week' is over; it is expected that 'Principal Secretary, Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development and Fisheries Department' will take appropriate decision about it in any case within next 'Two Weeks'. In case as per request of 'Dr. A.M. Pawar',</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Live Stock Development Officer, Pali, District Beed if he is to be transferred in place of Applicant as 'Live Stock Development Officer, Nannaj, Taluka Jamkhed, District Ahmednagar, then request of Applicant to be transferred to 'Vacant Post' of 'Live Stock Development officer, Veterinary Polyclinic, Nashik' of which he has now been given 'Additional Charge' be also considered and appropriate decision taken together for both of them within this period of 'Two Week'.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. states that information is still awaited from 'Principal Secretary, Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development and Fisheries Department' about the directions to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' about advice sought from 'Additional Chief Secretary' (Services) G.A.D.' upon reconsideration of the important policy issue of implementation of G.A.D. Circular dated 11.07.2000 and G.A.D. G.R. dated 06.08.2002. The reconsidered advice from Additional Chief Secretary' (Services) G.A.D.' must be concurred to by 'Chief Secretary, Government of Maharashtra' before 'Affidavit-in-Reply' is filled by Principal Secretary, Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development and Fisheries Department'.</p> <p>5. Learned PO to expedite the filing of 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on this important policy issue by 'Principal Secretary, Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development and Fisheries Department'.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 18.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (<u>Debashish Chakrabarty</u>) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 10.01.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.1540 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>K.K. Khedkar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that as per directions given on 13.12.2023 information has been received that there is 'One Post' of 'Clerk Typist' which has been kept vacant on the establishment of 'Special Inspector General of Police, Kolhapur Range' as it was so reported to 'D.G.P.' on 13.11.2023. Special of 'Special Inspector General of Police, Kolhapur Range' accordingly directed to keep this One Post of 'Clerk Typist' vacant till disposal of O.A. No. 1540 of 2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' with respect to actual implementation of guidelines G.A.D. G.R. dated 15.05.2019 for scrutiny on grounds of 'Arbitrary Exercise' of powers by 'Home Department' vis-à-vis the proposals of all 'Clerk Typists' which were submitted by 'D.G.P.' Maharashtra State Mumbai on 21.06.2023 recommending their 'Inter Establishment Transfer'. However; few proposals of such 'Clerk Typists' including that of Applicant were not approved and were returned by 'Home Department' letter dated 18.10.2023 to 'DGP' Maharashtra State Mumbai. Further learned P.O. to also ascertain if there<sup>are</sup> any instances of 'Inter Establishment Transfers' of such 'Clerk-Typists' which have been approved on earlier occasions by 'Home Department' on similar grounds which have this time not been accepted; resulting in 'Discrimination' by 'Home Department'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.870 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. Umap .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that Applicant was informed on 12.07.2023 about availability of the post of 'Talathi' at 'Dive, Tahsil Purandar' by 'Tahsildar Punrandar, District Pune'.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. states that as per information received from 'Tahsildar Punrandar, District Pune'; proposal has now been submitted for consideration of 'District Collector, Pune' as per 'Revenue &amp; Forest Department' G.R. dated 17.08.2023.</p> <p>4. 'District Collector, Pune' is therefore directed to take appropriate decision within 'Two Weeks' about the proposal forwarded by 'Tahsildar Punrandar, District Pune'; taking into due consideration that Applicant is 'Ex-Servicemen' having entitlement as per provisions of G.A.D. G.R. dated 21.11.2022 read with G.A.D. G.R. dated 09.04.2018.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 10.01.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.939 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>G.D. Karad</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. presents a letter from 'Revenue and Forest Department' requesting 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. However, they were granted adequate time on 03.01.2024 to file their 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. Hence, the 'Revenue and Forest Department' by way last chance is given 'One Week' to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' specifically in respect of directions in 'Para 3' &amp; 'Para 4' of the order dated 20.12.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

O.A. No.1298 of 2023

G.D. Patil ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant who was working as Police Patil of village Ghodeshwar (Begampur), Tal. Mohol, District Solapur challenges the impugned order dated 20.7.2023 passed by respondent under which he declined to grant extension to the applicant as Police Patil. Ld. Advocate for the applicant pointed out that the applicant fulfills all the eligibility criteria for the said post as contained in the GR. He pointed out that the applicant has worked as Police Patil of said village Ghodeshwar from 1.1.2018 to 31.12.2022 and was entitled for continuation. He pointed out that the District Collector, Solapur has published advertisement for Police Patil vide letter dated 24.11.2023. He states that interviews are scheduled shortly and he prays that interview process for the said village should be stayed.

3. Ld. PO opposes the same and states that applicant was Police Patil of Village Ghodeshwar from 1.1.2018 to 31.12.2022 and as such he has no locus to challenge and pray for stay to the advertisement. He refers to order of the SDO dated 20.7.2023 wherein it is clearly stated that the Assistant Police Inspector, Kamthi Police Station, Mohol, Solapur has given two contradictory statements regarding granting of extension to the applicant.

4. Considered the submissions of both the sides. It is seen that the applicant has already completed the tenure on 31.12.2022 and has no locus to seek stay to the advertisement. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case I do not see any merit in submissions advanced by the Ld. Advocate for the applicant and hence the prayer for grant of interim relief is rejected.

5. S.O. to 25.1.2024.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
10.1.2024

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 10.01.2024

O.A.No.830/2017

S.D. Bhandare .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Sarang Gundajwar holding for Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 17.01.2024..

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

prk

SD/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<b><u>10.01.2024</u></b>
	<b><u>O.A 1101/2017</u></b>
	S.K Gujale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned counsel submitted that the impugned order dated 26.5.2015 was challenged earlier before M.A.T, Nagpur Bench in O.A 443/2015. However, the said Original Application was rejected on the ground of territorial jurisdiction by order dated 18.12.2015. Thereafter the applicant filed the present Original Application at Principal Bench, Mumbai.
	3. The crux of the matter as per learned counsel for the applicant's order is result of misreading or misinterpretation of the order of this Tribunal, Aurangabad Bench dated 4.12.2014 in O.A 45/2014, Saleem Ahmed Rasool Shaikh Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.
	4. Hence, matter is removed from the caption of 'Dismissal' and kept as Part Heard on 12.1.2024.
	Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)
	Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson
	Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.01.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.810 of 2023 with O.A. No.911 of 2023</p> <p>R.B. Vaishnav &amp; Ors., Vaibhav Pilare .....Applicant Versus .....Respondents. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri H.M. Inamdar along with Shri V. Golambade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. as filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' to the amendments in O.A. No.810/2023 on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. SO to 18.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

No. of 20

N

ation No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Tribunal's orders or  
and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.1226/2023

Dr. A.S. Chandanwale ... Applicant  
V/s.  
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondent No.1 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.

2. S.O. to 22<sup>nd</sup> January, 2024. HOB.

3. 'Interim Relief' to continue.

*sd/-*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
10.01.2024

*sd/-*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
10.01.2024

(skw)



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

No. of 20

N

ation No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Memoranda of Coram, e, Tribunal's orders or and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.575/2023 with O.A.538/2023, 539/2023, 559/2023, 694/2023 &amp; 695/2023.</u></p> <p><b>K.R. Dighe &amp; Anr. ... Applicants</b> V/s. <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate and Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocates for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2024. Interim Relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <span data-bbox="857 1455 1169 1576"> <i>SA/-</i>            (Debashish Chakrabarty)            Member-A            10.01.2024         </span> <span data-bbox="1201 1442 1477 1571"> <i>SA/-</i>            (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)            Chairperson            10.01.2024         </span> </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

No. of 20

N

ation No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Memoranda of Coram, e, Tribunal's orders or and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>M.A.717/2023 in O.A.651/2023</u></b></p> <p>H.N. Dalavi ... Intervener And Dr. V.P. Wahane ... Applicant V/s. The State of Mah. &amp; Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Intervener, Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, matter is fixed on 24<sup>th</sup> January, 2024.</p> <p align="center"> <i>Sd/-</i>            (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)            Member-A Chairperson            10.01.2024 10.01.2024         </p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.26/2024**

**K.D. Kale** ... **Applicant**  
V/s.  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... **Respondents**

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 17.01.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 17<sup>th</sup> January, 2024.

*SK*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
10.01.2024

*SK*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
10.01.2024



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

No. of 20

N

ation No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders or Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.1415/2023</u></p> <p>S.P. Itape ... Applicant V/s. The State of Mah. &amp; Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 22<sup>nd</sup> January, 2024. HOB</p> <p style="text-align: center;">             (Debashish Chakrabarty)            Member-A            10.01.2024         </p> <p style="text-align: center;">             (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)            Chairperson            10.01.2024         </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

19/20

Case No

19/20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO**

to Memoranda of Cases,  
Tribunal's orders and  
of Registrar's orders

**O.A.1191/2023**

**U.B. Sankpal** ... Applicant  
**V/s.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned Advocate for Applicant wants to withdraw OA.
3. Allowed to withdraw.

*sd/-*  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
**Member-A**  
**10.01.2024**

*sd/-*  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**  
**10.01.2024**

(skw)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>10.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 327 &amp; 328/2023</u></b></p> <p>R.A Marathe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 31.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>10.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 532/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.K Mande ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Siddhant Sawai h/f R.K Mendadkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 7.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>10.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A Nos 972, 973 &amp; 974/2023</u></b></p> <p>D.E Sonune Y.D Bodamwad S.D Ramod</p> <p style="text-align: right;">... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors</p> <p style="text-align: right;">... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 7.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>10.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1032/2023</u></b></p> <p>L.S Ahiwale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant. Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents has filed leave note.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder if any.'</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 30.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<b>10.01.2024</b> Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1185/2023</u></b></p> <p>G.D Jadhav ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 7.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>  Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>10.01.2024</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1239/2023</u></b></p> <p>B.D Khobragade &amp; Ors ... Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants. Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents has filed leave note.</p> <p>2. Respondents are directed to produce record of the D.P.C before this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. S.O to 11.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>10.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 109/2023 in O.A 834/2019</u></b></p> <p>S.B Patil ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Sonali Humane, i/b Ms Ranjana Todankar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is to be noted that order dated 30.9.2022 has not been complied with by the Respondents.</p> <p>3. By way of last chance, we grant three weeks' time to the Respondents to comply with the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. S.O to 31.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>10.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1476/2023</u></b></p> <p>R.H Patil &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Prachi Mulje i/b Shri N. Jagtap, learned advocate for the applicant. Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents has filed leave note. Ms Shradha Nakadi, learned counsel files Vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent No. 2.</p> <p>2. S.O to 31.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>10.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>C.A 83/2023 in O.A 166/2018</u></b></p> <p>S.P Jedhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan i/b Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that W.P 3350/2023 is filed before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court on 8.12.2023 and the same is pending.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that copy of the Writ Petition is not served on the applicant and no stay is granted by the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>4. S.O to 7.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>10.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1551/2023</u></b></p> <p>A.S Sadaphule ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 24.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>10.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 73/2023 in O.A 733/2022</u></b></p> <p>R.H Arsidh ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan i/b Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that Writ Petition is filed before the Hon'ble High Court and the same is pending.</p> <p>3. S.O to 6.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>10.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1555/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.L Ingawale ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Gharte, learned advocate for the applicant. Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents has filed leave note.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O Smt Gaikwad request for time.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to file affidavit of service of notice.</p> <p>4. S.O to 15.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>10.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1381/2023</u></b></p> <p>N.V Patangrao ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Sonali Humane i/b R. Todnakar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents has filed leave note.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 7.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>  Akn</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>10.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 103/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.G Chavan &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Ms Swatui Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents has filed leave note.</p> <p>2. S.O to 31.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>10.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1309/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.S Raskar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On perusal of the proposal dated 23.08.2023, it is found that the Revenue Department has sent the proposal of filling up 11 vacancies of the post of Tahasildar. However, G.A.D. informed that there are only 9 vacancies and not 11 vacancies.</p> <p>3. We direct the Respondent No.2, Additional Chief Secretary, G.A.D, to appoint a responsible and well conversant officer who will make correct statement about the available vacant posts and explain why GAD has mentioned that there are only 9 vacancies, when the proposal of Revenue Department mentions 11 vacant posts of Tahasildar.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 22.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sdt</i> <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sdt</i> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1036 of 2023</p> <p>K.B. Kale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant as filed 'Affidavit in Rejoinder' on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. SO to 17.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.833 &amp; 834 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.Y. Ilager P.S. Kuchekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archanā B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.1.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.889 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.B. Mali &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 19.2.2023 of respondent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.898 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.M. Deshmukh &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Shrutika Tilak holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 31.1.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: right;"><u>O.A. No.1124 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.H. Ghadage ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sanjay Kasture, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Kiran Upasani, Ld. Advocate files joint Vakalatnama for the applicant and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1079 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.Y. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. PO seeks time to file reply</p> <p>3. S.O. to 31.1.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1276 of 2023</u></p> <p>B.N. Masule ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K.. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>2. I.d. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</li><li>3. Admit.</li><li>4. S.O. to 29.2.2024 for final hearing.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhã Gadgil) Member (A) 10.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1295 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.D. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 13.12.2023 of respondent no.4.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.277 of 2023 in O.A. No.458 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.S. Ghadigavkar &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri I. Patel, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants is directed to file a chart mentioning when the applicants retired and when the cause of action arose.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1445 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.D. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.2.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1596 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.P. Dere ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. Advocate for the applicant has filed an application dated 10.1.2024 and seeks leave to withdraw the above OA.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1296 of 2023</u></p> <p>C.R. Ahinave ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.1.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1573 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.R.A. Jabbar ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.B. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. Applicant to serve the office of Ld. CPO.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  10.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1600 of 2023</u></p> <p>P.B. Ingle ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Shrutika Tilak holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.4 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.S. Warekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. Affidavit of service is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.8 of 2024</u></p> <p>D.R. Khade ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Shrutika Tilak holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  10.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.105 of 2020 in O.A. No.145 of 2020 with M.A. No.106 of 2020 in O.A. No.146 of 2020 &amp; M.A. No.107 of 2020 in O.A. No.147 of 2020</u></p> <p>P.B. Ghag K.R. Sutar S.D. Sukate ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants has not appeared on number of occasions.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.1.2024 under the caption of 'For Dismissal'.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.522 of 2023 in O.A. No.1005 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.B. Joshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri P.M. Bhome, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has filed this MA for condoning the delay of 17 months in filing the OA. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that applicant retired on 30.4.2021. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that the cause of action arose on 30.8.2021 when the applicant's claim for DCRG was rejected on 30.8.2021. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant was hospitalized for COVID Positive and its after effects. He was under medication. He submits that therefore there is a delay in approaching this Tribunal which is unintentional and the same may kindly be condoned.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that the delay is of 24 months.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case the delay is condoned. MA is allowed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1005 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.B. Joshi ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri P.M. Bhome, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  10.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.278 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.K. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Shrutika Tilak holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. PO files reply dated 13.12.2023 of respondent no.2.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhã Gadgil) Member (A) 10.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.As. No.427 to 436/2023 &amp; 472 &amp; 473/2023</u></p> <p>N.R. Shinde &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. PO files reply dated 25.9.2023 of respondent no.2 in OA No.430/2023 and reply dated 10.1.2023 of respondent no.2 in OA No.434/2023. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply in other OAs.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.1.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.528 of 2023</u></p> <p>I.B. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 9.1.2024 of respondent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhã Gadgil) Member (A) 10.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>10.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 555/2020</u></b></p> <p>D.N Lohkare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Swati Jagtap i/b Talekar &amp; Associates, learned advocate for the applicant. Ms Swati Manchekar, has filed leave note.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant has filed oral notes of arguments and the same is served on the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for submissions of the learned C.P.O.</p> <p>4. S.O to 17.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>10.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A Nos 386/2022 with 552/2023</u></b></p> <p>R.P Satpute A.P Shete Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors</p> <p>... Applicants ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 386/2022, Shri M.B Kadam, learned counsel for applicant in O.A 552/2023 and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits affidavit in reply filed by Secretary, P.W.D and copy is served on the learned counsel for the Respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O to 24.1.2024. Part Heard.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>10.01.2024</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 401/2022</u></b></p> <p>A.J Bavaskar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant. Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O has filed leave note</p> <p>2. Learned P.O Mrs Gaikwad request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 17.1.2024. P.H.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>10.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 285/2017</u></b></p> <p>R.K Padmane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant produces copy of the affidavit in reply giving explanation of delay from 2004 to 2017. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O to 17.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>10.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 309/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.B Wankhede ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By order dated 3.1.2024, learned counsel for the applicant was directed to remain present. Today also learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>3. Original Application stands dismissed.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<b><u>10.01.2024</u></b>
	<b><u>O.A 396/2017</u></b>
	R.S Patil ... Applicant
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned counsel for the applicant produces copy of order of the Hon'ble High Court dated 17.10.2023 in W.P 1846/2018, wherein the Writ Petition is disposed of without interference by the Hon'ble High Court in the interim order passed by this Tribunal.
	3. By order dated 11.8.2023, we directed the Respondents to produce record of enquiry. Learned P.O submits that record of the departmental enquiry is not found and is missing.
	4. By way of last chance, we direct the Respondents to produce the record of enquiry and if at all no record is produced, we will proceed with the matter.
	5. S.O to 16.1.2024.
	Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>
	Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b>
	Akn

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<b><u>10.01.2024</u></b>
	<b><u>O.A 496/2017</u></b>
	S.N Kale ... Applicant
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. None present for the applicant. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O produces copy of letter from the Resident Deputy Collector, Solapur along with photo copy of Death Certificate of the applicant S.N Kale dated 30.6.2018, showing that he expired on 4.6.2018.
	3. Same is taken on record and marked as Exh. '1' collectively. Since 2018 till today no one has approached this Tribunal as legal heirs and showing interest in prosecuting the matter.
	4. In view of this development, the Original Application abates.
	5. Hence, Original Application stands dismissed.
	Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)
	Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson
	Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>10.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 999/2017</u></b></p> <p>P.S Mahamuni &amp; Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant. Ms Swati Manchekar, has filed leave note.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O Mrs K.S Gaikwad, seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 17.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<b><u>10.01.2024</u></b>
	<b><u>O.A 1058/2017</u></b>
	M.K Amritkar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O seeks time.
	3. S.O to 18.1.2024.
	Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn
	Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>10.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A 607/2022 in O.A 465/2020</u></b></p> <p>G.Y Deshpande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant. Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O has filed leave note.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 17.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

