

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.22 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.P. Bagul ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays that list of eligible candidates prepared by the MPSC on the basis of letter dated 9.5.2022 of the Home Department is illegal and contrary to the provisions of law and it be set aside. Specific prayer is made that said list of eligible candidates qua respondent no.3 is to be set aside.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is directed to serve respondent no.3. MPSC is served on 6.1.2023 and proof of service is shown to the Court.</p> <p>4, Kept back.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.1.2023 10.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.22 of 2023</p> <p>M.P. BagulApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate is directed to serve the copy of O.A. to Respondent No.3.</p> <p>3. The issue of eligibility in respect of the educational qualification for the post of Scientific Officer (Cybercrime, Tape Authentication and Speaker Identification), Group-B as per recruitment Rules the candidates should possess the qualifications of M.C.A. Nowhere in the degree in M.C.A. Masters in Computer Application is mentioned in the advertisement which is contrary to the Rules and G.R. dated 07.04.2015 issued by the Home Department which mentions equivalence of the post wherein M.C.A is not mentioned.</p> <p>4. It appears from the submissions of both parties, the decision about equivalence is required to be taken by the State. It appears there is the confusion about Department who should take the decision. However, learned Advocate Mr. Khaire submits that it is the decision to be taken by the Home Department.</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O. to verify the same and make statement accordingly.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 12.01.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.389/2019</p> <p>S.A. JaykarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. H.H. Jagtap, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that in view of the order dated 04.11.2023 passed by the Hon'ble High Court the O.A.No.389/2019 be heard and decided expeditiously. He submits that the next date is fixed on 03.02.2023.</p> <p>3. O.A. pertains to the subject 'Selection'. The matter is regarding recruitment and therefore matter will be definitely given preference. However, as on today we are dealing with the matters of the year 2015 which are pending before us.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, matter to appear on 03.02.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.01.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.1 of 2023 in O.A.No.1 of 2023</p> <p>K.C. Jadhawar & Ors,Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1 of 2023</p> <p>K.C. Jadhawar & Ors, Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. on instructions from Ms. Minakshi Deshmukh, Assistant Desk Officer, Mr. Ankush Lengre (Clerk-typist) submits that the Under Secretary who is looking after this file has undergone angioplasty on Saturday and he is not in a position to join the duty and hence, she seeks time.</p> <p>3. Letter dated 17.11.2022 sent to the Hon'ble Cabinet Minister, Mr. Ravindra Chavan, Food, Civil Supply and Consumer Protection Department it appears that the Hon'ble Minister had given directions to the Secretary, Food, Civil Supply and Consumer Protection Department. In view of the said letter and also in view of letter dated 17.11.2022 of the Hon'ble Cabinet Minister, we expect the Secretary, Food, Civil Supply and Consumer Protection Department to file short affidavit to that effect.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>10. Respondents to file short affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>11. Adjourned to 17.01.2023. To be shown under the caption 'For Urgent Admission'.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.570 of 2017</u></p> <p>B.G. Kingare & 20 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Special Counsel with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 5 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondents No.6 and 6-A.</p> <p>2. Shri M.D. Lonkar, Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that he has bifurcated respondent no.6 and 6-A and accordingly he has carried out the amendment, served them and filed affidavit of service.</p> <p>3. Shri K.R. Jagdale, Ld. Advocate submits that he has filed Vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent No.6-A. He submits that respondents no.6 & 6-A adopts the reply filed on 4.6.2019 on behalf of respondent no.4-Principal Secretary, School Education and Sports Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 31.1.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.1.2023 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 10.1.2023</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date: 10.01.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.128 of 2022</p> <p>M.N. KatteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A. the Applicant has challenged order dated 11.02.2021 whereby recovery of Rs. 2,73,600/- (Two Lakhs Seventy Three Thousand Six Hundred Only) is sought on the allegation that he sublet the service quarter in addition to it, eviction notice dated 03.11.2020 is also challenged in the O.A.</p> <p>3. When the matter was taken up for hearing the Applicant raised issue of jurisdiction of the authority who passed these orders. According to the Applicant, Respondents were required to avail the provision of Bombay Government Premises (Eviction) Act, 1956.</p> <p>4. Respondents realized that the procedure ought to have been availed under the provision of Bombay Government Premises (Eviction) Act, 1956. Therefore, Joint Commissioner of Police, Mumbai by order dated 21.12.2022 withdrew the eviction order, it was recorded in the order passed by the Tribunal on 21.12.2022. Issue remained, was about order dated 11.02.2021 whereby the recovery of Rs. 2,73,600/- was sought. Today, learned P.O. has tendered communication dated 09.01.2023 under the signature of Joint Commissioner of Police stating that recovery order dated 11.02.2021 is also cancelled. The communication dated 09.01.2023 is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'. [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Thus both impugned orders are cancelled and O.A. is therefore required to be disposed of.

6. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with liberty to Respondents to avail appropriate remedy in accordance to law.

7. Since sum of Rs.1,91,520/- (One Lakh Ninety One Thousand Five Hundred and Twenty Only) are already recovered it needs to be refunded. Respondents are directed to refund the said amount to the Applicant within a month from today.

8. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1325 of 2022</p> <p>R. S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. S. N. Vaidya, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondents. It is taken on record. 3. In this O.A. very short issue is posed for consideration as to why the charge of the post of Commissioner, Women & Child Welfare Development, Pune was not given to the Applicant though he was next to him available in the office as Joint Commissioner. 4. The Applicant is serving as Joint Commissioner, Women & Child Development, Pune in view of his promotion on 03.06.2022. One Smt. Vimala R. is the Commissioner, Women & Child Development, Pune but she proceeded on leave. Therefore, the Government by order dated 20.12.2022 granted leave from 16.12.2022 to 13.01.2023 and kept the charge of the post of Commissioner, Women & Child Development, Pune with one Shir Rahul More who is the Deputy Commissioner in the said office. 5. The Applicant raised grievance for not keeping the charge with him on the ground that in terms of Circular dated 05.09.2018, the charge was to be given to him being senior most person in the office but the charge is given to one Shri Rahul More who is the Deputy Commissioner in the office. 6. The perusal of Circular dated 05.09.2018 reveals that the G.A.D. had issued detail instructions about keeping the charge where incumbent is not available. As per clause 1 of Circular, the instructions have been given that the charge must be given to the senior most person and where the senior person is not given the charge then reasons are required to be recorded as to why the said person is not competent to keep the charge with him. <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7 The Respondents in Affidavit in Reply all that stated that in past when the Commissioner was not available, the charge from 16.07.2021 to 22.04.2022 was given to Shri Rahul More and he handled the charge well. Thus, the Respondents sought to contend that in past the charge was given to Shri Rahul More and, therefore, now again the charge is kept with him. This could not be the reason for depriving the Applicant for getting the charge for two reasons. Firstly, it seems that at that time there was no availability of official in the cadre of Joint Commissioner. The Applicant is promoted by order dated 03.06.2022. This being so, if the charge of Commissioner was kept with Deputy Commissioner due to non-availability of next senior person that hardly matters when now the Applicant being the Joint Commissioner is available in the office. Secondly, the Affidavit in Reply is totally silent as to why the charge was not given to Applicant. It is no where the contention of the Respondents in reply that Applicant was found not competent and reasons are recorded for the same. Indeed, the file noting is not annexed to Affidavit in Reply to see in what manner the charge is kept with Shri Rahul More.

8. In view of above, the impugned action of not keeping the charge of the Applicant is totally in contravention of Circular dated 05.09.2018.

9. Notably, the Circular dated 05.09.2018 has been issued by G.A.D. and the impugned order dated 20.12.2022 is also issued by the same department in total ignorance of their Circular dated 05.09.2018. The Respondent - G.A.D. is required to take note of it.

10. However, now the period of leave of Smt. Vimala R., the Commissioner, Women & Child Development, Pune is coming to an end on 13.01.2023 within two days. No purpose would serve in setting aside the order dated 20.12.2022. However, the Respondent - G.A.D. shall take note of above and ensure the compliance of Circular dated 05.09.2018.

12. In view of above, the Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

ysm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.01.2023</p> <p>M.A. No.09 of 2023 in O.A.No.726/2020 with O.A.727/2020 with O.A.732/2020 with O.A.117 of 2021</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>R. A. Ankalgikar S.P. Patil M. N. Kashimso H. B. Shaikh ...Respondents (Ori. Applicants)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri C. T. Chandratre with G. Masurka, learned Counsels for the Respondents (Ori. Applicants)</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for extension of time to explore the possibility of filing W.P. to challenge the order passed by the Tribunal on 11.10.2022. O.A.No.726, 727, 732/2020 with O.A.117/2021 were decided by order dated 11.01.2022 and three months time was granted for compliance.</p> <p>3. Now, this M.A. is filed for grant of additional time to explore the possibility of approaching to the Hon'ble High Court to challenge the order. Indeed, enough time was granted to Respondents and three months time was enough to take steps to challenge the order passed by the Tribunal. No such expeditious steps were taken by the Respondents. The files are moving from one table to another table very slowly.</p> <p>4. In view of above, I am not inclined to grant further time for extension.</p> <p>5. M.A. is accordingly dismissed with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- [PTO.] (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.350 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. R. H. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. R. Suryawanshi holding for Shri G. M. Savagave, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted to file reply but the same is not filed. On previous date, one week time was granted by way of last chance but in vain.</p> <p>3. In O.A. notices were issued on 13.07.2022 and till date more than 7 months time is availed but no reply is filed. The Respondents seems not serious about filing of reply and to contest the O.A. Hence, request for further time is rejected.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing without reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 27.01.2023.</p> <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.400 of 2022</p> <p>R.D. KurangalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is claiming pay and allowances for the promotional post w.e.f. 07.07.2020. However, no such promotional order of 07.07.2020 is forthcoming on record. It appears that it is only in meeting of D.P.C. 07.07.2020, the Applicant was held ^{participated} liable for promotion subject to final decision of S.L.P pending before Hon'ble Supreme Court. Later the Applicant was issued promotional order dated 23.07.2021 in which there is also reference of date 07.07.2020.</p> <p>3. However, according to the Respondents the Applicant did not work on the promotional post from 07.07.2020 and he joined only on 23.07.2021.</p> <p>4. In view of above, it is necessary to see the order dated 07.07.2020 as well as minutes of D.P.C.</p> <p>5. Three days time is granted to learned P.O. to produce the same.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 17.01.2023.</p> <p>7. Steno copy granted.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.01.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.53 of 2021 with O.A. No.54 of 2021 with O.A. No.33 of 2022</p> <p>N.G. Ranganekar P.A. Gore P.P. DhumalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Prachi Hendre, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi (Adv. Savita Suryawanshi), learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that matter is being handled by P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule but he is unable to remain present due to his personal difficulties.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.691 of 2022 in M.A. No.692 of 2022 in O.A.No.75 of 2022</p> <p>S. K. Patil & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants have filed O.A.No.75/2022 challenging the order dated 05.08.2020 whereby request of Applicant No.2 for substitution of heir has been rejected.</p> <p>3. In O.A., the Respondents appeared and filed Affidavit in Reply and raised the plea that request of substitution has been already rejected much earlier by order dated 29.12.2018 but it is not challenged in the O.A. and, therefore, O.A. is not maintainable.</p> <p>4. It is on the above background, the Applicants have filed M.A.No.692/2022 for amendment so as to challenge the order dated 29.12.2018 and also filed M.A.691/2022 for condonation of delay caused to challenge the order dated 29.12.2018.</p> <p>5. Though enough time is granted to Respondents to file reply, they have not filed the same. On previous date itself, request for additional time was rejected and matter was adjourned for hearing of M.A. without reply. Today also no reply is filed. This shows that Respondents are not serious to contest the M.A.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. As stated above, in O.A. what remains to be challenged is one of the orders dated 29.12.2018 which is now sought to be challenged by way of amendment. By order dated 29.12.2018, the request for substitution of heir was rejected. Thereafter, Applicant No.2 again made application independently and it came to be rejected by impugned order dated 05.08.2020. The limitation to challenge the order dated 29.12.2018 was one year and O.A. ought to have been filed on 01.01.2019. However, O.A. has been filed on 25.01.2022 challenging only one order dated 05.08.2020.

7. It be noted that in terms of order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Suo-Motu* W.P.No.03/2020 dated 10.01.2022 limitation has been extended in view of Covid-19 situation and lockdown. The Hon'ble Supreme Court held that in cases where limitation would have expired during the period between 15.03.2020 to 28.02.2022 notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all persons shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 01.03.2022.

8. Insofar as limitation to order dated 29.12.2018 is concerned, the period of one year expired on 29.12.2019. However, thereafter there was Covid-19 situation and lockdown and the benefit of extension of limitation was extended as stated above. As such from 29.12.2019 to 15.03.2020 the delay comes to nearabout four months since after from 15.03.2020 to 28.02.2022 there was concession in limitation.

9. The matter pertains to compassionate appointment. Therefore, in the interest of justice, I am allowed to condone the delay. The amendment is also allowed so as to challenge the order dated 29.12.2018. The amendment be carried out within three days.

10. Both Misc. Applications are accordingly disposed of. Two weeks time is granted to Respondents to file the reply to amendment made in O.A.

11. S.O. to 24.01.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.77 of 2022 in O.A. No.759 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.D. Kulkarni ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. The issue of interest on 50% of pension is yet to be sorted out. Ld. PO prays for two weeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.1.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.1.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 10.1.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.499 of 2022 in O.A. No.31 of 2022</u></p> <p>Y.B. Wagh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant in person is not present.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 31.1.2023. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 10.1.2023 10.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.271 of 2017</u></p> <p>M.R. Deshmukh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that circulation was given for today however papers are not traceable and therefore matter is not shown on board. He submits that in this matter W.P. No.12819/2018 is filed by the opposite party and the same is dismissed on 15.11.2022. No appeal is preferred before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and therefore applicant is having better claim over the appointment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.1.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Madha Gadgil) Member (A) 10.1.2023 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <i>Mr. M.</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 10.1.2023</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.238 of 2021</p> <p>S. B. DeshmukhApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that O.A. has been filed in the office on 30.03.2021 and on scrutiny, the office raised objections including non-filing of M.A. for condonation of delay. Thereafter, the matter was listed before the Registrar for removal of objections from time to time but no objections were removed and ultimately it is listed before the Tribunal for necessary orders.</p> <p>3. Thus, despite sufficient opportunity, the objections are not removed. The Applicant and his Counsel appears not interested in the matter.</p> <p>4. Hence, the O.A. is dismissed in default.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1166 of 2022</p> <p>G. N. KambleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The O.A. be kept for hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1221 of 2022</p> <p>V. L. DharneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sarang Gundajwar holding for Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.01.1023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1229 of 2022</p> <p>M. M. Thakur ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.01.1023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1263 of 2022</p> <p>N. C. DhageApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. for Smt Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Last time, the matter was adjourned to produce appropriate order about reinstatement since the submission was made that Review Committee has already taken decision to reinstate the Applicant and orders are awaited from the Competent Authority.</p> <p>3. Today, again learned P.O. sought one week time. The Applicant is under suspension for more than one year. The decision as the case may be ought to have been taken without loss of time. The O.A. therefore, needs to be disposed of expeditiously.</p> <p>4. One week time is granted to file reply, if desires or to produce appropriate order. No further time will be granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.01.2023</p> <p align="right">O.A.No.554 of 2022</p> <p>P. P. Gaikwad ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file reply as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1114 of 2022</p> <p>R. P. MarekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1153 of 2022 with O.A.1239 of 2022 with O.A.1289 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. J. V. Dixit & Ors. Dr. N.R. Sabu S. S. SavrikarApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, Shri M. D. Lonkar and Shri M. R. Kulkarni, learned Counsels for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.616 of 2022 in O.A.No.1032 of 2022</p> <p>S. D. ChavanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1311 of 2022</p> <p>V. R. GhandankushApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. It is really disturbing to note that the Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2021 but till date his retiral benefits are not fully paid though there was no D.E. or criminal proceeding against him. 3. Today, learned P.O. submits that some of the retiral benefits i.e. GPF, GIS are paid and for further retiral benefits, the matter is in process. 4. Three days time is granted to file reply. 5. The O.A. is expedited. S.O. to 13.01.2023 for final disposal. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.335 of 2022</p> <p>S. S. DesaiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that O.A. has been filed in the office on 11.04.2022 and the office raised certain objections. The O.A. was, therefore, listed before the Registrar from time to time for removal of objections. However, objections were not removed and ultimately it is listed before the Bench for the 1st time for appropriate order.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice, one week time is granted for removal of objections.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 10.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.136 of 2021 in O.A.No.248 of 2021</p> <p>I. G. Lokapure & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that O.A. has been filed in the office on 01.04.2021 but the office raised objections. Therefore, the matter was listed before the Registrar for removal of objections from time to time but objections were not removed. Ultimately, the Registrar listed the mater before the Bench for necessary orders.</p> <p>3. The matter pertains to Time Bound Promotion which was assigned to Division Bench and today for the 1st time, it is listed before the Single Bench in view of changed order of allotment of subject.</p> <p>4. In the interest of justice, one week time is granted for compliance.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 17.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 10.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.988 of 2019</p> <p>V.Y. MokashiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Prachi Hendre, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. sought short adjournment on the ground that matter is handled by P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule but he is unable to remain present due to his personal difficulties.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 10.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.951 of 2021</p> <p>S.Y. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.B. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing with liberty to learned Advocate for the Applicant to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>