

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.686/2019
(Brijlal s/o Hiranman Bibe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It is the grievance of the applicant that without any cogent and valid reasons, promotion to the post of Deputy Collector (Selection Grade) has been denied to him. As is revealing from the pleadings, the applicant entered into the Government service in the year 1995. As is further claimed by the applicant, he has successfully completed the period of probation by 10-07-1997. It is the further contention of the applicant that though he was due for promotion the same is illegally denied to him. As such, he was constrained to prefer O.A.No.517/2013 before the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal at Nagpur. Learned

=2=

O.A.NO.686/2019

Counsel for the applicant submitted that in the said O.A. it was contended by the respondents that the applicant was not found fit in view of the adverse Annual Confidential Reports (ACRs). In the said matter, it was the contention of the applicant that ACRs were never communicated to him.

3. After having considered the aforesaid facts and having regard to the law laid down in the case of **Dev Dutt V/s. Union of India and others [(2008) 8 SCC 725]**, learned Member of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Nagpur Bench disposed of the said O.A. with the following observations/directions (p.b.p.56):

“4. The respondents are directed to communicate to the applicant the entries in A.C.Rs for the period of five years before the D.P.C. which was held in September 2012. The applicant would be free to make representation there-against or thereabout within a period of one month from today and if he applies herewith, then the respondents shall consider his representation within a period of six weeks of receipt thereof and if there was any case of upgradation, a fresh D.P.C. will have to be conveyed to consider the case of the applicant for conferring the status of Selection Grade Deputy Collector.”

4. Learned Counsel submitted that in the present O.A., it is precise contention of the applicant that the respondents despite order of Nagpur Bench of the Tribunal in the aforesaid O.A. till date have not communicated the ACRs of the relevant period i.e. period of 5 years before the Departmental Promotion Committee's (DPC) meeting which was held in September, 2012. Learned Counsel submits that even for the subsequent period also ACRs have not been communicated to him. Learned Counsel further submitted that despite the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.517/2013 when the respondents did not communicate the ACRs to the present applicant, adverse inference may be drawn that there is nothing adverse against the applicant and that is the reason why the respondents did not communicate the said ACRs to the applicant. It is the further contention of the applicant that the only reason for not considering the case of the present applicant for promotion in the DPC held in September, 2012 was that the ACRs are adverse. Learned Counsel

further submitted that since the respondents have failed in substantiating their said contention converse of it will be that at the relevant time also the applicant was entitled for his promotion. Learned Counsel, in the circumstances, has prayed for directing the respondents to hold DPC as was directed by the Nagpur Bench of the Tribunal and consider the case of the present applicant for granting him promotion to the post of Deputy Collector Selection Grade.

5. Learned P.O. has opposed for granting any such relief to the applicant. The learned P.O. pointed out that there were 3 departmental enquiries conducted against the applicant and in all the said departmental enquiries, the misconduct of the applicant has been proved and the applicant has also been punished. Learned P.O. has submitted that having regard to the facts as aforesaid, the applicant cannot be said to have a very clean career so as to consider his case for granting him promotion to the post of Deputy Collector Selection Grade. Learned P.O. invited our attention to the orders passed respectively on

=5=

O.A.NO.686/2019

15-12-2018, 19-03-2018, 04-12-2018 and 18-03-2019.

Learned P.O. submitted that for these reasons the applicant has not been given any promotion till date.

6. We have carefully considered the submissions advanced on behalf of the applicant as well as the respondents. We have perused the documents filed on record. It is not in dispute that the applicant entered into the services directly on the post of Deputy Collector and resumed duties w.e.f. 10-07-1995. Further, it has not been disputed in the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of the respondents that several officers junior to the present applicant have been promoted to the post of Deputy Collector Selection Grade and some of them have also obtained the next promotion to the post of Additional Collector. It appears that the applicant waited for a sufficient period for his promotion and ultimately when he found that he is not getting his due right, has approached the Tribunal at Nagpur as at the relevant time he was working at Yavatmal.

7. The documents on record and more particularly the order passed by this Tribunal reveal that the main reason which was assigned by the respondents for not granting promotion to the applicant was that there were adverse ACRs. As we have noted hereinabove, the Tribunal at Nagpur disposed of the said O.A. by directing the respondents to communicate the applicant the entries in the ACRs for the period of 5 years before the DPC which was held in September, 2012. In paragraph 8 of the present O.A. the applicant has made a candid statement that till date no such ACRs have been communicated to him. In the affidavit in reply nothing has been stated as about the said averment. The respondents have also not come out with any positive case that the ACRs were communicated as directed by the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal at Nagpur. As has been observed by the Tribunal at Nagpur in the DPC held in the month of September, 2012, the applicant was not considered for granting him promotion only on the ground that there were adverse ACRs. That was the reason that the further

directions were given by the Tribunal to communicate the ACRs and liberty was given to the applicant to make a representation if any adverse remarks are there in the ACRs, and thereafter, further directions were given to consider the case of the applicant by holding a fresh DPC for the said purpose.

8. We are convinced with the submissions made by the learned Counsel for the applicant that despite the specific directions given by the Tribunal when the respondents did not communicate the entries in the ACRs to the applicant, adverse inference has to be drawn that there was nothing adverse against the applicant in the said entries. If that be so, it has to be further inferred that in the DPC held in September, 2012, on wrong reasons the promotion was denied to the applicant.

9. Now, the respondents have come out with a defence that there were departmental enquiries pending against the applicant and so his case was not considered for granting him promotion.

10. We have gone through the relevant orders which are placed on record by the respondents. It is true that there were departmental enquiries held and applicant was held guilty in the said enquiries, however, in all the said enquiries, minor punishments were awarded to the applicant and as has been submitted by the applicant, he has preferred departmental appeals against the said orders and all these appeals are pending. We have gone through the punishments imposed upon the applicant. There is reason to believe that period of punishment has already completed. Moreover, for the said reason the promotion of the applicant cannot be withheld. It is well settled that for the misconduct for which the applicant has been awarded a punishment, on the same ground his promotion cannot be withheld as it may amount to punish the applicant for the same offence twice, which will be against the constitutional principle and would amount to double jeopardy.

=9=

O.A.NO.686/2019

11. After having considered material on record, we are convinced that the present applicant has been deprived of his promotion for wrong reasons. In absence of any adverse material on record, the applicant has to be held eligible and entitled for promotion to the next higher post. In the circumstances, as has been held in the order passed in O.A.No.517/2013 by the Nagpur Bench of the Tribunal, we direct the respondents to convey a fresh DPC meeting to consider the case of the applicant for conferring the status of Selection Grade Deputy Collector within 8 weeks from the date of this order.

12. O.A. stands allowed in the aforesaid terms without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

YUK ORAL ORDERS 01.04.2022

MEMBER (J)

**C.P.ST.NO.559/2022 IN O.A.NO.191/2021
(Sandip Khadse Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.J.Nirmal, learned Advocate for the petitioner and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Perused the documents filed on record.
3. Issue notice to the respondents in C.P., returnable on 04-5-2022.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 04-5-2022.
9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.348/2021 IN O.A.NO.832/2016
(Vishal P. Gangawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **01.04.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri P.S.Dighe learned Advocate for respondent no.4 in O.A.No.832/2016 and Shri Parag Bhosale learned Advocate for respondent nos.2 and 5, are **absent**.

2. Learned CPO has tendered across the bar the affidavit in reply in M.A.No.348/2021. Same is taken on record. Copy is provided to the other side.

3. After the matter was heard for some time, learned Counsel Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav appeared and submitted that he has instructions to appear for respondent no.2 in the O.A. Learned Counsel further submitted that previously Advocate Shri Bhosale from Pune was appearing in the matter and he has to yet receive the documents. Therefore, he has prayed for a short adjournment.

4. On request of learned Counsel Shri Jadhav, S.O. to 05-04-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.566/2017
(Rajendra Mane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D.Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that he intends to place on record the original appointment order of the present applicant. Permission granted.

3. On request of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 13-04-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.149/2018
(Dhananjay Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.T.Devane, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.B.Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request and consent of both the parties, S.O. to 07-06-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.453/2018 & 454/2018
(Balasaheb Mendhekar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.N.Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both matters and Shri S.S.Damble learned Advocate for respondent no.5 to 12 (O.A.No.453/2018), are present.

2. When the present matter was taken up for consideration, the learned CPO raised an objection about the jurisdiction of the Tribunal stating that some of the applicants are residing out of territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal. On such submission, learned Counsel for the applicant submitted that out of 6 applicants so far as the O.A.No.454/2018 is concerned, only applicant no.2 is from

=2=

O.A.NO.453/2018 & 454/2018

Mumbai and the applicant, therefore, seeks leave to withdraw the present O.A. in so far as the applicant no.2 is concerned, with liberty to file the same before the Principal Bench of the Tribunal at Mumabi. In view of the above situation following order is passed:

ORDER

O.A. stands disposed of so far as the applicant no.2 in O.A.No.454 is concerned, since he has withdrawn the O.A., with liberty as prayed for.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants for taking instructions and for making further submissions in the matter, S.O. to 13-06-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.177/2019
(Vinodkumar Swami Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Girish N. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 13-06-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.490/2019
(Shivhar B. Bhise Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.M.Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. When the present matter is taken up for hearing, learned Counsel for the applicant on instructions seeks leave to withdraw the present O.A. submitting that applicant will pursue the appeal which he has already filed before the Hon'ble Minister against the decision of the High Power Committee. In view of the submissions so made, following order is passed:

ORDER

O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.584/2019
(Balu Jambe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.P.Dhoble, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 15-06-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1013/2019
(Sandeep More & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.D.Khadap, learned Advocate holding for
Shri S.S.Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicants and
Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 08-06-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1044/2019
(Tukaram Katare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.A.Bide, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 10-06-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.39/2020
(Anil B. Nikam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 08-06-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.192/2021
(Suresh Lande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sunil B. Kakde, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 10-06-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.252/2021
(Arati Ghatkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sunil B. Kakde, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 10-06-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.559/2021
(Swapnil Holkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.K.Ashtekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 04-05-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO.83/2020 IN O.A.NO.1036/2019
(Dr. Naresh Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ku. Anagha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B.Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents and Shri Rakesh Jain, learned Advocate for respondent no.4, are present.

2. S.O. to 06-05-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.100/2020 IN O.A.NO.236/2018
(Krushnalal R. Shrishi Gule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.R.Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 04-05-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDERS 01.04.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 575 OF 2020
(Kondabai R. Ghadge & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that pleadings up to rejoinder affidavit are complete. The present matter is pertaining to sending of proposal for regularization of service. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for filing hearing on 07.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 01.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 469 OF 2021
(Dhondiba M. Zade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that pleadings up to rejoinder affidavit are complete. The present matter is pertaining to recovery / pension and pensionary benefits. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for filing hearing on 07.06.2022.

3. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 127/2020 in O.A. No. 327/2019
(Pushpak R. Kachve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Savale, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 26.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 01.04.2022

M.A. No. 33/2022 in O.A. No. 408/2019
(Sandeep G. Salve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri H.V. Tungar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he will be required to file amendment application in view of the G.R. dated 06.02.1990, which is sought to be produced under M.A. No. 33/2022 and therefore, he seeks time. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 04.05.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 08/2022 in O.A. No. 557/2021
(Dr. Vyankatesh N. Ainlod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant in the present M.A. / respondent No. 5 in M.A., Mrs. P.V. Bodke Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 1 / applicant in O.A. and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 2 to 4 & 6. Shri Ashish Shinde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5 in the present M.A. / respondent No. 4 in O.A., **absent**.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 1 / applicant in O.A. submits that during the course of the day she will file rejoinder affidavit in O.A.

3. S.O. to 04.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 417 OF 2018
(Chhagan B. Chavan & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 10.06.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 01.04.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 475 OF 2018
(Shivkanya B. Bharti Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for respondents, S.O. to 04.05.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 457 OF 2019
(Ashish G. Vaishnav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.M. Kadtu, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 02.05.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 01.04.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 918 OF 2019
(Ashok M. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Read farad sheet orders dated 23.02.2022 and 16.03.2022. On 16.03.2022, learned Presenting Officer placed on record a copy of the order dated 15.03.2022 (document 'X') issued by the Inspector General of Prison, Pune, granting 180 days Earned Leave and 49 days Commuted Leave to the applicant.

3. In view of the same, the grievance of the applicant raised in the present Original Application said to have been redressed. Hence, the O.A. stands disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 43 OF 2020
(Baliram M. Malhare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri G.N. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that the applicant has been acquitted of criminal case on the count of which the applicant's pension was withheld. Now the applicant is acquitted of the said criminal case bearing RCC No. 567/2011. He placed on record a copy of the judgment and order dated 07.03.2022 in the said case. It is taken on record and placed at page Nos. 35-A to 35-K.

3. In view of abovesaid development, the learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant would make necessary representation with the respondent/s for releasing his pensionary benefits.

4. S.O. to 26.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**O.A. 581/2021 with M.A. 326/2021 with Caveat 57/2021
(Dr. Sarika B. Bade State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicant / respondent No. 1 in M.A., Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 / respondent Nos. 2 to 5 in M.A. and Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 6 / applicant in M.A. None present on behalf of respondent No. 5 / respondent No. 6 in M.A., though duly served.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the pendency of the present O.A., as per the order dated 26.11.2021, the applicant has been posted as Medical Officer Grade-1, Rural Hospital, Jintur, Dist. Parbhani and deputed at General Hospital, Aurangabad. He submits that as per the letter dated 22.10.2021 (document 'X') the respondent No. 1 has directed the respondent No. 2 i.e. the Deputy Director of Health Services, Aurangabad to accommodate the applicant in future at Aurangabad on becoming the post vacant. In view of the same, he submits that the

//2// O.A. 581/2021 with
M.A. 326/2021

present O.A. can be disposed by giving necessary direction to the respondent Nos. 1 to 5 to implement the communication dated 22.10.2021.

3. The Original Application is filed challenging the communication dated 23.09.2021 (Annexure A-1) addressed by the respondent No. 3 i.e. the District Civil Surgeon, District Hospital, Aurangabad to the Dy. Director of Health Services, Aurangabad Division, Aurangabad, whereby it is mentioned that the respondent No. 6 has joined as Medical Officer, Group-A at General Hospital, Aurangabad and guidance was sought for giving posting to the applicant, in view of transfer order dated 09.08.2021 being issued transferring her from District Hospital, Satara to District Hospital, Aurangabad.

4. Initially, interim relief in terms of prayer clause 14(E) was granted by this Tribunal on 27.09.2021, which is as follows :-

“E) Pending hearing and final disposal of this Original Application the order / letter dated 23.09.2021 may kindly be stayed and the applicant may kindly be stayed and the

//3// O.A. 581/2021 with
M.A. 326/2021

applicant may kindly be allowed to work as a Medical Officer at District Hospital, Chikalthana, Aurangabad and joining order/letter dated 26.08.2021 and 18.09.2021”

5. During pendency of the present O.A. and M.A., there was further development as stated above, in terms of letter dated 22.10.2021 addressed by the respondent No. 1 to the respondent No. 2 and letter dated 26.11.2021 issued by the respondent No. 1 to the respondent No. 2 accommodating the applicant at Rural Hospital, Jintur, Dist. Parbhani and giving deputation at General Hospital, Aurangabad.

6. In the circumstances as above, it is evident that by the letter dated 22.10.2021 (document X) the respondent No. 1 has directed the respondent No. 2 to accommodate the applicant in future at General Hospital, Aurangabad on becoming the post vacant.

7. In view of above, the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 are directed to implement the said letter dated 22.10.2021(document 'X') in its true spirit, in

//4// O.A. 581/2021 with
M.A. 326/2021

accordance with law at the earliest. Accordingly,
the O.A. and M.A. stand disposed of with no order
as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 01.04.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 823 OF 2018
(Shubham H. Mayadarwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri G.J. Karne, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is to be treated as part heard.
3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 189 OF 2020
(Mamta S. Vispute Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 05.05.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 01.04.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 132 OF 2020
(Jaywant B. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is to be treated as part heard.
3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 288 OF 2021
(Shubham K. Shreebhate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 07.04.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 01.04.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 20 OF 2021
(Ramraje S. Chandane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 05.04.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 01.04.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 310 OF 2020
(Sandip P. Nalawade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed copy of the Civil Services Board report. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 26.04.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 498 OF 2021
(Navnath R. Sanap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri O.D. Mane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant invited my attention to the farad sheet order dated 08.10.2021 passed in the present O.A., copy of which is also produced on record by the applicant at page No. 77 of the paper book. By the said order, the respondent No. 2 is directed to ratify the impugned transfer order dated 23.08.2021 of the applicant within a period of two weeks and report the compliance thereof to this Tribunal on the next date. Thereafter, it seems that a period of about 5 months is elapsed, but no compliance report is placed on record by the respondents.

3. In view of above, learned Presenting Officer is directed to take instruction from the concerned respondent and to place on record compliance report on or before the next date of hearing.

4. S.O. to 08.04.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.70 OF 2020
(Shaikh Hameed Shaikh Dadamiyan Vs. State of Maharashtra
& Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 06.06.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.543 OF 2019
(Shivprasad C. Potpalliwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 and 3.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 06.06.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.330 OF 2019
(Tukaram S. Khedkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.T. Ghute, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.H. Sukale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 25.4.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.331 OF 2019
(Laxman S. Bade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.T. Ghute, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.H. Sukale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 25.4.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.479 OF 2019
(Khobraji L. Bele Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri N.R. Thorat learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3.

3. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 02.05.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.858 OF 2019
(Annarao L. Bhosale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.N. Khedkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Kakde, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 22.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.932 OF 2019
(Dr. Vijaykumar R. Sul Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.R. Doke, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 29.04.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.939 OF 2019
(Dr. Yashwant S. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Dilip Mutalik, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 25.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.82 OF 2020
(Sharad M. Panchange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 06.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.341 OF 2020
(Mangala M. Pande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 28.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.342 OF 2020
(Savita N. Murmunde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 28.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.343 OF 2020
(Bhimrao S. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 28.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.353 OF 2020
(Shivraj V. Kangale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Sandip C. Swami-Cakurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 25.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.437 OF 2020
(Arjun D. Kharat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 4.

3. S.O. to 28.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.536 OF 2020
(Ravi U. Vanhare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri G.J. Karne, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 22.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.2 OF 2021
(Kiran S. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 2.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant received the copy of it today.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.

5. In view of above, S.O. to 21.04.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.15 OF 2021
(Satyanarayan L. Vaishnav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Hemant Surve, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 3.

3. S.O. to 28.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.384 OF 2021
(Shripatrao B. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., final chance time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rpeply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3.

3. S.O. to 21.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.410 OF 2021
(Tulsiram D. Bakle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.A. Ingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajana, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 26.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.426 OF 2021
(Dr. Abhishek A. Pendharkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue fresh notice to the respondents, returnable on 26.04.2022

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2//

O.A.No.426/21

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 26.04.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.441 OF 2021
(Shriram S. Ghuge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As per the Circular No. MAT/MUM/ESTT/732/2021, dated 25/28.05.2021 issued by the Hon'ble Chairperson of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai, the matters regarding time bound promotion and ACPS are to be dealt with by the Division Bench. The Original Application is pertaining A.C.P.S.

3. In view of the same, the present matter be placed before the Division Bench for further hearing.

4. S.O. to 04.05.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.488 OF 2021
(Sayed Naimuddin Sayed Moinuddin Vs. State of Maharashtra
& Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 29.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.513 OF 2021
(Manik G. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of all the respondents is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

4. S.O. to 02.05.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.526 OF 2021
(Vilas M. Joshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shir Samar S. Kurundkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajana, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1. Shri Mahesh C. Swami, learned Advocate for the respondent No.2, is **absent**.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 02.05.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.542 OF 2021
(Dr. Sunil K. Palhal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri Pawan K. Ippar, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5. Nobody appears on behalf of the respondent Nos.3 & 4, though duly served.

2. At the request made on behalf of the respondent Nos.1,2 and 5, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. S.O. to 27.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.720 OF 2021
(Ramchandra G. Sawant Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 and 3 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 4.

4. S.O. to 25.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.832 OF 2021
(Suraj B. Solunke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.P. Dhobale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3 and 5.

3. S.O. to 29.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.99 OF 2022
(Rohit C. Mote Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 27.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.113 OF 2022
(Vijay B. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 25.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO.312 OF 2019 IN M.A.ST.NO.1336 OF 2019
IN O.A.NO.909 OF 2018
(Atmaram N. Mahide Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.M. Mundik, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 29.04.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.04.2022

M.A.NO.459 OF 2019 IN O.A.NO.618 OF 2019
(Dhondiram G. Kamble Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Uddhav L. Momale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 25.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.04.2022

**M.A.NO.284 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.1213 OF 2020
(Sumanbai R. Tayde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 and 2.

2. The respondent No.3 is unserved.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that all efforts were made to find out the correct address of the respondent No.3 as the notice served by RPAD on the respondent No.3 on the available address are returned with the postal remark 'left'.

4. Learned P.O. for the respondents to seek necessary proper information from the respondent No.2 by the next date.

5. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 2.

6. S.O. to 18.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO.294 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.1224 OF 2021
(Shubham K. Thavare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.V. Ingale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 26.04.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO.80 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.1811 OF 2021
(Vilas K. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that all the respondents are served and he would file service affidavit during the course of the day.

3. At the request of the learned P.O. for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

4. S.O. to 26.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO.138 OF 2022 IN O.AST.NO.549 OF 2022
(Nitin P. Mankar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By this Misc. Application the applicants are seeking to sue the respondents jointly.

3. The applicants are working in Railway Police Constabulary under the respondents. By filing the Original Application they all seek to effect their transfers from the establishment under the respondent No.3 i.e. the Superintendent of Police, (Railways), Aurangabad to Nagpur under the respondent No.4 i.e. the Superintendent of Police, (Railways), Nagpur as per the prevailing policy of transfer in-vogue, by giving precedence in terms of the decision in O.A.No.948/2019 decided on 03.12.2021.

4. In view of same, the cause of action can be said to be common and the relief sought for is also common in filing of the same. In view of same in order to avoid the multiplicity of the litigation, it would be just and proper to grant permission to the applicant to sue the

//2// M.A.138/2022 In
O.A.St.549/2022

respondents jointly, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid. Hence, I proceed to pass following order:-

ORDER

- (i) The Misc. Application No.138/2022 is allowed.
- (ii) Permission is granted to the applicants to sue the respondents jointly.
- (iii) Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered in accordance with law, after removal of office objections, if any.
- (iv) The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO.549 OF 2022
(Nitin P. Mankar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 29.04.2022

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2//

O.A.St.No.549/22

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 29.04.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO.776 OF 2021
(Rajnikant R. Durge @ Rajnikant E. Mortate Vs. State of
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 02.05.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.775 OF 2017
(Dilip N. Sangle & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

WITH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.940 OF 2017
(Chandrashekar Gangurde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Sudhir Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the O.As. and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the O.As.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 10.06.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.973 OF 2018
(Rajaram Z. Mohire Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Smt. Suvarna Zaware, learned Advocate for the respondent No.3.

2. Affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 2 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder filed on behalf of the respondent No.3 is also taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

4. Pleadings are complete. The matter is pertaining to leave. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.

5. S.O. to 07.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**R.A.ST.NO.1217 OF 2019 IN O.A.NO.63 OF 2015
(The Superintending Engineer and Administrator Command
Area Development Authority, CADA Bhavan Garkheda
Parisar, Aurangabad Vs. Saylu P. Nawod & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.04.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the applicant in the present Review Application /respondent No.4 in O.A., Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.2 to 6 in the present Review Application/respondent Nos.1 to 3, 5 & 6 in O.A. and Shri Onkar Gholap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the respondent No.1 in R.A./applicant in O.A.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant in present R.A./respondent No.4 in O.A. seeks time for taking steps for removing office objection in Review Application proceeding. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 26.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 710 OF 2018
(Rajendra S. More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.B. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has submitted second set. List the present case for hearing on 16.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 290 OF 2022
(Aasif Kalekhan Parsuwale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **1.4.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.N. Lute, learned Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 18.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 818 OF 2019
(Sonali S. Pansare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.D. Khadap, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 11.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 300 OF 2022
(Dr. Santosh R. Kote Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **1.4.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Pratibha J. Bharad, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It is the contention of the applicant that his name has been wrongly included in the list of ineligible candidates on the ground that not having adequate experience as prescribed in the advertisement. Learned counsel submits that the applicant is having sufficient experience and the said experience has not been appropriately considered by the authorities. Learned counsel further submits that the interviews are scheduled on 5.4.2022 and in the circumstances, while issuing notice to the respondents learned counsel has prayed for staying further recruitment process till decision of the present O.A.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time to submit affidavit in reply in the present matter by obtaining necessary instructions.

4. We have gone through the documents placed on record. At this juncture, it is not possible to reach to any

certain conclusion. There is no sufficient *prima facie* material, so as to consider the case for grant of interim relief as prayed. In the circumstances, we are not inclined to grant any interim relief. However, it is clarified that if the appointments are made, if any, the same shall be subject to the outcome of the present O.A.

5. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 5.5.2022.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the

:: - 3 - ::

O.A. NO 300/2022

Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. to 5.5.2022.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 1.4.2022-HDD

**O.A.ST. NOS. 698 TO 706 ALL OF 2021
(Vijay G. Sonar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard R.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases.

2. On hearing learned counsel appearing for the applicants and on perusal of the application it *prima facie* noticed that the applicant is seeking quashment of Government Resolution dated 10.3.2005 after about 17 years. In the circumstances, keeping the issue of limitation open, the respondents are directed to submit their affidavit in reply within a period of four weeks.

3. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 9.6.2022.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book

of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 9.6.2022.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 93 OF 2019
(Priyanka J. Janephalkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **1.4.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.M. Murkue, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 29.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 749 OF 2016
(Sachin A. Palkhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Angha Pandit, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 17.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

O.A.NOS. 596 & 597 BOTH OF 2018
(Dr. Sunil P. Bhandare & Anr. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Gajanan S. Shembole, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the cases, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 20.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 1.4.2022-HDD

**O.A.NOS. 966, 967, 968, 969, 970, 971, 972, 974, 975,
976, 977, 978, 979 ALL OF 2019 & 537/2020
(Prakash V. Deshpande & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Vivek G. Pingle, learned counsel for the applicants, Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 5 & 6 in O.A. No. 975/2019 & 537/2020 respectively, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that in the present matters affidavit in reply of respondent Nos. 1 & 3 is necessary and sought time for filing the same. The request is opposed by the learned counsel appearing for the applicants stating that already due opportunities are availed. Time sought for is granted by way of last chance. The respondent Nos. 1 & 3 shall file affidavit in reply in any case on or before the next date.

3. S.O. to 27.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**C.P.ST.NO. 382/2022 IN O.A.NO. 130/2017
(Priyanka A. Dongre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 11.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 1.4.2022-HDD

M.A.NO. 141/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 584/2022
(Dr. Arun N. Saruk & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **1.4.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 584 OF 2022
(Dr. Arun N. Saruk & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 4.5.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 4.5.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 870 OF 2019
(Dr. Devrao S. Dakhure Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **1.4.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Sujeet D. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to amend the Original Application. Learned counsel submits that the applicant intends to take ground of discrimination in addition to the grounds, which he has already raised and intends to bring certain facts in support of his contentions by way of amendment. Learned counsel shall file such an application within a week, if he so desires. The same shall be considered on its own merits.

3. S.O. to 11.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 580 OF 2021
(Dr. Santram M. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **1.4.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ram S. Shinde, learned Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has tendered written notes of arguments. The same is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned Presenting Officer. Learned Presenting Officer submitted that she will also file written notes of arguments by 4th April, 2022.

3. S.O. to 5.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 768 OF 2018
(Vijaykumar V. Giri & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **1.4.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.D. Khadap, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 7.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 158 OF 2019
(Bhagwat M. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **1.4.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Girish N. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 8.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 942 OF 2019
(Shaikh Hameed Shaikh Dadamiyan Vs. State of Maharashtra
& Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 6.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 430 OF 2020
(Ashok D. Talde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicants, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri R.O. Awasarmol, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 13, 14, 19, 21, 24, 28, 42 & 61 and Shri D.S. Pagare, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 26, 30, 32, 37, 56 & 59, are present.

2. S.O. to 25.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 247 OF 2021
(Ashok B. Dhokle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 7.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 577 OF 2021
(Ramdas E. Misal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.N. Chavan, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Vinod M. Vibhute, learned counsel for respondent No. 4, are present.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No. 4 has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 8.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 640 OF 2021
(Maharashtra Rajya Nagar Parishad, Nagar Panchayat,
Karmachari / Sanwarg Karmachari Sanghtana Vs. State of
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.K. Ashtekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 8.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 80 OF 2022
(Nilesh S. Arke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **1.4.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri A.S. Kakade, learned counsel for respondent No. 4, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer, as well as, learned counsel for respondent No. 4 have sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 13.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 81 OF 2022
(Archana T. Tribhuvan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **1.4.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 8.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO. 304/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1095/2020
(Abhay A. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Priyanka Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 6.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 1.4.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 169/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO. 141/2021
(Anil J. Kande & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Munde, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 7.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 1.4.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 412/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1628/2021
(Anand I. Dhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 8.6.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 1.4.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 426 OF 2020
(Sunil S. Pagare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The present case is not on today's board. At the request of learned counsel appearing for the applicant, it is taken on board.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that this case was listed on board on 28.3.2022 and was adjourned to 8.6.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any. However, inadvertently the interim relief granted earlier vide order dated 15.10.2020, which was continued from time to time, was remained to be continued. Therefore, he prayed for continuation of interim relief. Hence, the following order: -

ORDER

S.O. to 8.6.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.
Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then..

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 427 OF 2020
(Rahul B. Chaudhare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **1.4.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The present case is not on today's board. At the request of learned counsel appearing for the applicant, it is taken on board.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that this case was listed on board on 28.3.2022 and was adjourned to 8.6.2022. However, inadvertently the interim relief granted earlier vide order dated 15.10.2020, which was continued from time to time, was remained to be continued. Therefore, he prayed for continuation of interim relief. Hence, the following order: -

ORDER

S.O. to 8.6.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.
Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then..

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**MA 200/20 WITH M.A. 506/18 IN O.A. ST. 1951/2018
(Sajed Khan Ajiz Khan Pathan Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Counsel holding for Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents authorities, Shri C.B. Gore, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 5 & 6 and Shri Vivek G. Pingle, learned Counsel for respondent no. 7, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.4.2022

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**M.A. 271/2021 WITH M.A. 95/2021 IN O.A. 170/2021
(Shivkumar V. Chivde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents authorities and Shri J.P. Legal, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 5, 6 & 7, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 18.4.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**M.A. 272/2021 WITH M.A. 96/2021 IN O.A. 171/2021
(Shivkumar V. Chivde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents authorities and Shri J.P. Legal, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 5 & 6, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 18.4.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. 398/2021 IN O.A. st. 1666/2021
(Sadashiv S. Shingare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 5.5.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**OA. NO. 159/10, O.A. NO. 341/10 AND O.A. NO. 424/15
(Ravannath R. Landge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.J. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the applicants in all these matters (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri U.B. Bondar, learned Counsel for respondent no. 7, Shri SS. Dambe, learned Counsel for respondent no. 8, Shri Sachin G. Joshi, learned Counsel for respondent no. 9, Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Counsel for respondent no. 10, Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned Counsel for respondent no. 11, Shri S.T. Shelke, learned Counsel for respondent no. 13, Shri S.B. Gastgar, learned Counsel for respondent no. 14 in O.A. No. 424/2015, are present.

2. S.O. to 13.6.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 439/2013
(Sakharam G. Choughule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 9.6.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 15/2014
(Bipin P. Sonar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 9.6.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 250/2014
(The Karyakari Adhikari Sanghatana (R.T.O.) through its
Joint Secretary Rameshchandra L. Kharade Vs. State of
Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **1.4.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 6.6.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 49/2015
(Dattatray G. Zade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 10.6.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 461/2015
(Vijay L. Tarode Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.S. Khadap, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 10.6.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 42/2016
(Jailal G. Kasliwal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 10.6.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 211/2016
(Jayshri N. More & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Anjanwatikar, learned Counsel for the applicants (**absent**). Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. S.O. to 6.6.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 223/2016

(Krishnakumar G. Kachawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sanjay Kumar Chavan, learned Counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present. Shri D.Y. Nandedkar, learned Counsel for respondent no. 4 (**absent**).

2. S.O. to 7.6.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 517/2017
(Anup S. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.V. Bhamre, learned Counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. S.O. to 8.6.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 922/2017
(Pandurang R. Chinchale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 2 & 3, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 7.6.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 946/2017
(Namdeo L. More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 28.4.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 477/2018
(Santosh U. Mandage & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 9.6.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

O.A. NOS. 805 AND 806 BOTH OF 2018
(Nagorao V. Karbhari & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **1.4.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri N.R. Thorat, learned Counsel for the applicants, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents and Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 4, 5 & 7 in O.A. No. 805/2018 and Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Counsel for respondent no. 4 in O.A. No. 806/2018, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 15.6.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

O.A. NOS. 11, 30 AND 81 ALL OF 2019
(Bapurao A. Dongar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Counsel for the applicants in all these matters and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these matters, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 10.6.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

C.P. 15/2014 IN O.A. 1128/1999
(Ravannath R. Landge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.V. Advant, learned special Counsel for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 6.4.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

C.P. 6/2021 IN O.A. 165/2019
(Supriya K. Deshpande & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.4.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The learned P.O. has tendered across the bar additional affidavit in reply of res. no. 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned Counsel for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 29.4.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

Date : 1.4.2022

O.A. 278/2022

**(Anwar Khan Aziz Khan Pathan V/s State of
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,
M.A.T., Mumbai**

1. Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned P.O. for respondents, are present.

2. Circulation is granted. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on **29.4.2022**. The case be listed for admission hearing on **29.4.2022**.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR