

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.476/2018</p> <p>Dr. V.P. Sonone & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 13.01.2023. HOB.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 09.12.2022

**O.A.No.672/2022 with O.A.No.909/2021 with
O.A.No.141/2022**

F.H. Shaikh & Ors.
Versus

.....Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.672/2022, Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.909/2021 and O.A.No.141/2022, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Anirudda Lad, learned Advocate i/b KLT Law Associates for Respondent No.3 in O.A.No.672/2022.

2. Learned C.P.O. is directed to file affidavit especially replying paragraph 7.7 of the averments in O.A. and so also make specific statement regarding availability of vacancies and next advertisement. We are informed that Mr. Kiran Kulkarni, Director, Urban Development Department has met with an accident and is on leave. We direct the next subordinate to give approval in this matter so that the matter proceeds and will enable learned C.P.O. to file reply by 13.12.2022.

3. O.A.No.672/2022 is to be de-tagged from O.A.No.909/2021 with O.A.No.141/2022. However these matters are to be taken on board on the same date for the sake of convenience and for dealing with the circumstances and facts of each case separately. However all the matters are fixed on 13.12.2022 for filing of affidavit-in-reply and submissions of learned C.P.O. will be heard on 14.12.2022. H.O.B. P.H.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.714/2022 in O.A.No.1064/2022</p> <p align="center">H.T. Mahale & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. O.M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants in M.A.No.714/2022 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate in O.A.No.1064/2022 has filed leave note.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate has filed M.A. directing the applicants to implead Applicants in M.A.No.714/2022 as party Respondents in O.A.No.1064/2022.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 13.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"> (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson </p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.675 of 2022</p> <p>P.P. KaroteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The matter pertains to delay in getting Compassionate Appointment. Deceased Government servant was appointed by the Collector, Sindhudurg and he died in harness. He was godown keeper. After the death of deceased Government servant the Applicant had applied for compassionate appointment. 3. The perusal of record reveals that the name of the Applicant entered in the common waiting list maintained by the Collector for Group 'C' post is at Sr. No.20 (Pg47 & 48 of P.B.). 4. The Applicant has raised grievance that his name ought to have been entered in independent waiting list to be maintained by the Collector by his establishment in terms of G.R. dated 21.09.2017 and Circular dated 26.08.2021. It appears that the Collector has not maintained independent waiting list for his establishment. This aspects needs to be clarified by the Collector, Sindhudurg as to why independent waiting list for his own establishment is not maintained. 5. Another aspect of the matter is that the Collector, Raigad in his Affidavit-in-Reply comes with a pleading that for Group 'C' employee of Supply Branch, Divisional Commissioner, Kokan Division is the appointing authority. Notably, Divisional Commissioner also comes with a stand that the Applicant name is at Sr. No.1 in the list maintained by him (Pg 223 of P.B.). 6. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to clarify who is appointing authority for the Applicant, is it Collector Raigad or Divisional Commissioner, Kokan Division and further explain why independent waiting list is not maintained by the Collector for his establishment. 7. Learned P.O. is directed to take instruction and appraise the Tribunal at 02.30 p.m. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">→ P.T.O.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. . of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>LATER ON</p> <p>8. In afternoon session, learned P.O. on instruction from the Department stated that the Department of Food and Civil Supply has independently came in existence w.e.f. 04.07.2022 and for Appointment of Group 'C' post in the said Department, the Divisional Commissioner is the Appointing authority.</p> <p>9. In present case, though initially the Applicant was appointed by the Collector, Sindhudurg, later he was transferred to Raigad District and was posted as godown keeper under the control of Food and Civil Supply Department. Subsequently, he died in harness while working as godown keeper. Thus, apparently his appointing authority seems to be Divisional Commissioner and that is why name of the Applicant is entered in the waiting list of the said Department for compassionate appointment. The name of the Applicant is now at Sr. No.1 and he is likely to get appointment order soon, subject to legibility and other conditions.</p> <p>10. Thus, the grievance of the Applicant is likely to be redressed soon and O.A. deserves to be disposed of.</p> <p>11. However, in afternoon session learned Advocate for the Applicant is not present. Therefore, it would be appropriate to hear him before disposal of O.A.</p> <p>12. O.A. be kept on Monday.</p> <p>13. S.O. to. 12.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[PTO.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>09.12.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 666/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.M.K Kazi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Aniket Nanavare i/b Shri N.V Bhutekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 16.12.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>09.12.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 150/2022</u></p> <p>G.C Mule ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 3.2.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <i>Ucar</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>09.12.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 903/2021</u></p> <p>Dr A.S Nevkar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 20.1.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>09.12.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1169/2022</u></p> <p>Shri K.A Devkate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.V Shinde, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant was working as Malaria Health Worker on contract basis and the contract was renewed from time to time. The advertisement for the post of Malaria Health Worker was issued on 20.2.2019 and the examination was conducted on 26.1.2021. He was in the merit list and he was given appointment as temporary Health Worker by order 3.3.2022. The Respondents issued letter dated 1.11.2022 asking the applicant to submit the verification report. Thereafter by letter dated 14.11.2022, Respondent no. 4 cancelled the appointment of the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that as on today the applicant is not working and he has approached the Tribunal for reinstatement in service. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant's appointment was cancelled on the ground that the verification report of his experience of 93 days as per Circular dated 12.1.2022 was not received by the Respondents. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant's experience Certificate was issued by the District Malaria Officer, Pune, as the applicant has worked for 93 days in Pune.</p> <p>4. We direct the District Malaria Officer, Pune and Thane to remain present before this Tribunal on the next date and also the properly instruct the learned C.P.O.</p> <p>5. S.O to 14.12.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">/v. Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>09.12.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 818/2021</u></p> <p>Shri V.S Hipparkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O produces copy of the letter dated 2.12.2022 addressed to the President, Medical Board, J.J Hospital, Mumbai, wherein it is stated that the Board of Referees has examined the applicant on 17.8.2022 and having considered all the facts relevant to his case and the Boar declared him fit to perform the duty as Police Constable, Ophthalmologically, because he was already fit in the year 2012. But he needs psychiatrist opinion for the Biopolar Disorder.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, learned P.O seeks time.</p> <p>4. S.O to 20.1.2023. Interim relief, if any to continue till next date.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>09.12.2022</u></p> <p><u>M.A 702/2022 in O.A 557/2021 with O.A 676/2021</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Applicants (Ori Respondents)</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>S M. Mutekar & Ors [O.A 557/2021] S.B Patil & Ors [O.A 676/2021]... Respondents (Ori Applicants)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Applicants (Ori Respondents) and Ms Pooja Mankoji, holding for Shri S.S Dere, learned counsel for the Respondents (Ori Applicants).</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks extension of period of four weeks to comply the order/approach the Hon'ble Bombay High Court challenging the orders dated 23.9.22 and 28.9.2022 in O.A 557 & 676/2021.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the Respondents (Ori Applicants) has no objection in granting extension of time to the applicants (Ori Respondents).</p> <p>4. In view of the above Misc Application seeking extension of period of four weeks is granted and accordingly M.A stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>09.12.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 1/2022 in O.A 370/2019</u></p> <p>Shri L.T Rathod ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Nishant More, holding for Shri Prashant Rathod, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to the order dated 11.11.2022 the Committee was directed to give audience to the applicant so that the matter can be adjudicated in a better way and short span. However, it appears from the report dated 7.12.2022, that the applicant was not heard.</p> <p>3. We direct the Committee to give notice to the applicant and hear him and resubmit the report.</p> <p>4. S.O to 6.1.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>09.12.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1006/2022</u></p> <p>Shri R.S Morale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 18.1.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.713 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. V.N. Musale & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.Misal, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 are absent.</p> <p>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. This O.A. be kept with connected O.A. No.424/2020 (Group) on 06.01.2023 for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.1172 of 2022</p> <p>O.R. ManeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 06.10.2022 whereby he is suspended in contemplation of D.E. 3. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that D.E. was initiated on 10.08.2022 invoking Rule 10 of M.C.S (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979 (D.E. for minor punishment) and therefore suspension is not warranted as clarified by the Government in G.R. dated 14.10.2011. 4. Thus <i>Prima-facie</i> when D.E. is initiated under Rule 10 for minor punishment suspension is not warranted. 5. It is on the above background, learned Advocate for the Applicant prayed for interim relief of suspension order. 6. Learned P.O. sought time to take instruction as to ^{how} why suspension order has been issued. 7. O.A. be kept on Monday for consideration of interim relief. 8. S.O. to 12.12.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1016 of 2018</p> <p>C.S. AtkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.G. Ranjane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file M.A. for amendment.</p> <p>3. S.O. 22.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1212 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. S.F. DeshmukhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing it is transpired that the Applicant is not claiming any relief about her entitlement and posting as Civil Surgeon, Kolhapur. All that Applicant has challenged posting of Respondent No.3 as Civil Surgeon, Kolhapur by order dated 11.11.2022 which also seems to have been stayed by Hon'ble Minister as seen by letter dated 12.11.2022. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought time to file M.A. for necessary amendment.</p> <p>3. One week time is granted to take necessary steps.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- V (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1232 of 2022</p> <p>I.K. AttarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2022 from the post of Circle Officer. His Gratuity and Pension is withheld because of recovery of Rs.1,74,923/- (Rupees One Lakh Seventy Four Thousand Nine Hundred and Twenty Three Only). The Applicant has challenged communication dated 26.04.2022 whereby ^{10th/12/2022} Talathi Akkalkot directed for recovery of Rs.1,74,923/- from Gratuity. The said amount appeared to have been paid because of wrong fixation of Pay and allowances.</p> <p>3. Since, the Applicant has retired from service and retiral benefits are withheld, O.A. is expedited.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.12.2022.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

11. In the mean time, Respondents are directed to take necessary steps for grant of regular Pension as per the Applicant's entitlement and the progress in the matter be appraise to the Tribunal on next date.

12. S.O. to 23.12.2022.

Sd/-

v~

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.108/2022 in O.A.No.174/2022</p> <p>V.C. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Last chance granted to learned P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 06.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.300/2022 in O.A.No.484/2022</p> <p>D.D. KasabApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed. Adjourned to 06.01.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.41/2021 in O.A.No.1052/2016 with M.A.No.700/2019 in R.A.No.23/2019 in O.A.No.1052/2016 with R.A.No.23/2019 in O.A.No.1052/2016</p> <p>V.S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of the Writ Petition pending before the Hon'ble High Court matter adjourned to 20.01.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.59/2019 in O.A.No.1041/2017 with O.A.No.1042/2017</p> <p>L.B. KadamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of the Writ Petition pending before the Hon'ble High Court matter adjourned to 20.01.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.775/2022 with O.A.No.776/2022 with O.A.No.777/2022 with O.A.No.778/2022 with O.A.No.779/2022 O.A.No.790/2022 with O.A.No.791/2022 with O.A.No.793/2022 with O.A.No.796/2022 with O.A.No.830/2022</p> <p>V.R. Sarole & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Amruta Kharade, learned Advocate holding for M/S. Talekar & Assoc. learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.775/2022 with O.A.No.776/2022 with O.A.No.777/2022 with O.A.No.778/2022 with O.A.No.779/2022 O.A.No.790/2022 with O.A.No.791/2022 with O.A.No.793/2022 with O.A.No.796/2022, Ms. A. Chaware, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 in O.A.No.777/2022, Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 in O.A.No.778/2022 & O.A. 779/2022 and for Respondents No.4, 5 and 6 in O.A.No.790/2022 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Ms. Chaware and Mr. Dere seeks time to file affidavit in respect of filling up of forms by the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 15.12.2022. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.988/2021</p> <p>C.S. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate Mr. Chandratre matter is adjourned to 13.01.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.457/2022</p> <p>X.Y.Z.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 5 and Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.6</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar mentions that he will be filing sur-rejoinder during the course of the day. It be taken on record. Copy be served on the concerned.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 11.01.2023. HOB.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.817/2016</p> <p>A.F. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In order dated 02.12.2022 it was informed to learned Advocate to remain present to argue the matter or the matter will be dismissed ex-parte.</p> <p>3. In view of the facts mentioned therein in paragraphs 4 and 5 of the order dated 02.12.2022, O.A. stands dismissed.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(^vMedha Gadgil) (^vMridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1016/2021</p> <p>S.R. KasarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Sachin Dhongade, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Dhongade seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted by way of last chance. Adjourned to 23.12.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1070/2021 with M.A.No.80/2022</p> <p>D.B. Koli & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.01.2023.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 20.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.64/2022 with O.A.No.1055/2021</p> <p>B.B. ShelakeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere & Asso., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate Mr. Dere matter is adjourned to 20.01.2023. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.424 of 2021 with O.A. No.990 of 2021 with O.A. No.1023 of 2021 with O.A. No.494 of 2022 with O.A. No.876 of 2022</p> <p>P.P. Kashid C.V. Potdar A.T. Ingawale P.V. Nikam R.V. Mukane Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p align="right">.....ApplicantRespondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In all these matters common issues are involved and matters are adjourned from time to time, since it pertains to compassionate appointment, O.As. are required to be disposed of expeditiously. O.As. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with specific direction that no further time will be granted and matter will be heard on next date without fail.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.53 of 2021 with O.A. No.54 of 2021 with O.A. No.33 of 2022</p> <p>N.G. Ranganekar P.A. Gore P.P. DhumalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi (Adv. Savita Suryawanshi), learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder as joint Affidavit-in-Rejoinder for all O.As and taken on record in O.A. No.33/2022.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.758 of 2021</p> <p>A.B. SuryawanshiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Siddhesh Pilankar, learned Advocate holding for Shri U.P. Warunjkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. sought permission to file Affidavit-in-Reply to the amended portion of O.A. which was not filed within time granted by the Tribunal. 3. In the interest of justice allowed to file the same. 4. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned for hearing. 5. S.O. to 23.12.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- " (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 09.12.2022

O.A. No.1201 of 2022

M.S. SonawaneApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has filed O.A. for grant of interest on the belated payment of retiral benefits. He retired on 30.09.2022 but retiral benefits are paid quite belatedly.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.01.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 05.01.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.106 of 2020 in O.A. No.146 of 2020</p> <p>K.R. SutarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. M.A. be kept for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.563 of 2022 in O.A. No.889 of 2022</p> <p>P.N. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. by way of last chance with specific direction that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.12.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.470 of 2022</p> <p>C.D. AnbhuleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Smt. P. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. again sought time to file Reply. Since, enough time is granted, I am not inclined to grant further time. On previous date last chance was granted but in vain.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing without Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- V^w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.835 of 2022</p> <p>N.A. Tittal-ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 and separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.4 also. Both the Reply's are taken on record.</p> <p>3. No Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1, 3, 5 & 6.</p> <p>4. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>5. S.O. 23.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- W* (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.988 of 2022</p> <p>V.V. Deshmukh & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Utkash Desai, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since, pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1087 of 2022</p> <p>M.M. GhevadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1104 of 2022</p> <p>S.V. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.V. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation and the same will be filed in the Tribunal on Monday. Statement is accepted. Allowed to file Reply on Monday in the Office.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.723 of 2022</p> <p>A.M. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri I.M. Koparkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.12.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.604 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. NalavadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>