Original Application No:	Applicant/s
Advocate	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders C.A. No.35 of 2016 in O.A. No.571 of 2015
DATE: 9/12/2016 CORAM: Hen'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hen'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: Legye Note of W. C. T. Characte Taken on Verood. Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: K.S. Galkwad C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj. To. Charis disposed of Here	Shri S.T. Shinde Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Applicant is present in person. He states that he is satisfied with the compliance by the respondents. 3. In view of the statement of the applicant, this Tribunal considers that it not necessary to proceed and take any action. 4. Hence, proceedings are dropped and CA is disposed off. Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, A) Chairman 9.12.2016

MUMBAI			
Original Application No. of	20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s
	versus f Maharashtra and oth	ers	Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Suo Mo	tu M.A. No.1 c 2/2016 in OA.4	of 2016 in 66/2002
	Ku. A.G. Chopde Vs. The State of Mahara Heard Shri Presenting Officer for 2. Ld. CPO is reasons due to whice Nagpur in the above 3. If the complete the Contempt Apple	shtra & Ors. N.K. Rajpuror the Respond directed to take the order passe OA is not contiance is not replication at Nague would be consequently as a would be consequently as a second se	ApplicantRespondents rohit, learned Chief ents. e instructions as to the sed by this Tribunal at
	4. S.O. to 16.12	2.2016.	2
		(A	Sd/- LH. Joshli, J. Chairman 9.12.2016

(sgj)

Original Application No.	20 DISTRICT Applicant/s
Advocate	
	versus
The State o	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.987 of 2015
	Shri K.B. WaghelaApplicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Office
	for the Respondents.
	2. Shri R.A. Nimbalkar, learned Advocate for
	Applicant is absent.
	3. S.O. to 21.12.2016 by way of last chance.
	Sd/-
DATE: 9/12/16	(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 9.12.2016
CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	(sgj)
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A	
APPEARANCE:	
shrismi: Hene by theory.	
Shri/Smt: K.B. B. N.Se. C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	
Adj To 21/12/16 by Way of last chance	
last chance.	
#k	

Original Application No.	DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate) versus
The State of	f Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders M.A. No.526 of 2016 in O.A. No.301 of 2016 (Nagpur)
	Shri A.B. Maheshkar & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri G.G. Bade, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting
	Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Division Bench of the Tribunal is likely to be
	available from 2.1.2017 to 6.1.2017 at Nagpur Bench. Applicant to make efforts to mention and try to take the
	OA for final hearing.
	3. In the event hearing does not take place during
DATE: 9 1 2016 CORAM:	proposed sitting, this MA can be heard later on.
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	4. In view of the foregoing observation, S.O. to
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramashkumar (Member) A	24.1.2017 for hearing, if needed.
Shri/Smt: G.G. Bake U.	>
Advocate for the Applicant	Sd/-
Shri/8mt,: K.B. Bhise C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 9.12.2016
Adi To 24/1/2017	(sgj)
JE .	

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s (Advocate)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders M.A. No.517 of 2016 in O.A. No.204 of 2015 (Nagpur)
DATE: 5/12/20/6 CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) H'sn'ble Shri M. Ramoshkumar (Member) A APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: Janzan, Id. A. F.	Shri Y.S. Bahendwar & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Shri Fauzan, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Division Bench of the Tribunal is likely to be available from 2.1.2017 to 6.1.2017 at Nagpur Bench. Applicants to make efforts to mention and try to take the OA for final hearing. 3. In the event hearing does not take place during proposed sitting, this MA can be heard later on. 4. In view of the foregoing observation, S.O. to 24.1.2017 for hearing, if needed.
Shri/Smt: K.B. Phise)
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.)
Adj. To. 24/1/2017.	Chairman 9.12.2016 (sgj)

Original Application No. " of	20 DISTRICT
Original Application 140.	Applicant/s
(Advocate	
	versus
The State	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
2	
(Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,	Tribunal's orders
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	M.A. No.525 of 2016 in O.A. No.233 of 2016 (Nagpur)
	Shri Mohammad Hanif
	Mohd. Sharif QureshiApplicant
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	Heard Shri G.G. Bade, learned Advocate for the
	Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting
	Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Division Bench of the Tribunal is likely to be
	available from 2.1.2017 to 6.1.2017 at Nagpur Bench.
	Applicant to make efforts to mention and try to take the
	OA for final hearing.
DATE: 9/12/2016	3. In the event hearing does not take place during
CORAM:	proposed sitting, this MA can be heard later on.
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman). Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A	proposed studies, this was can be near a me
APPEARANCE:	4. In view of the foregoing observation, S.O. to
Shri/Smr: G.G. Pade	24.1.2017 for hearing, if needed.
Advocate for the Applicant	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise	04/
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	Sd/- (A.H. Yoshi, J.)) (\
Adj. To. 24/1/2017.	Chairman Chairman
NT	9.12.2016
0 h	(sgj)

Sd/-

Original Application No. of	20	DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	versus	
The State of	of Maharashtra and others	
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		
(11esenting Office)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribun	al's orders
	O.A. N	lo.598 of 2016
	Shri R.D. Pawa	
	State of Mah. & C	V/s. OrsRespondent
	Heard Shri	B.A. Bandiwadekar, the
	learned Advocate	for the Applicant and Shri arned Presenting Officer for
	the Respondents.	arried Presenting Carret
DATE: 8 12/2016 CORAM: Clark P. P. Olding (MId)	Affidavit-in-R	ejoinder is taken on record.
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	Sur-rejoinder, if next date and not	any, must be filed on the
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A	next date and not	thereases.
Shri/Smt: B. A. Bardiwadelex	Admit, liberty	to mention.
Advocate for the Applicant		
Shri/Smt : K.B. Bhls C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s		Sd/-
Ad To Admit Liberty to		R.B. Malik)
mention.		Member (J) 08.12.2016
197		
	vsm Lo	
	(9.1	2.16)
	The colotal	order is muditied
	111111	

Original Application No. of	`20	DISTRICT Applicant/s
(A.1		
(Advocate		
	versus	
The State of	of Maharashtra and others	
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribut	nal's orders
	O.A.No	0.1001/2012
	Shri V.K. Thakare	Applicant
	V/s.	
	The State of Mah.	& ors Respondents
	absent. See ea	d his Advocate are rlier orders including 2016. Shri K.B. Bhise,
	the learned P.O. present.	for the respondents is
		the applicant has lost
DATE: 9/12/16		matter and, therefore,
CORAM: Hon'ble Shri: RAJIV AGARWAL	the Original	Application stands ult with no order as to
(Vice - Chairman) Hen'bic Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)	cost.	are with no order as to
A DEPLAD A NOTE		
shrism Applicant & Advocate		04/
Advocate for the Appropria	Sd/-	Sd/-
Shri Smt. Shri Smt. Shri Smt. Shri Smt. Shri Smt. Shri Smt. Shri Shri Shri Shri Shri Shri Shri Shri	(R.B. MALIK) MEMBER (J) 09.12.2016	(ŘAJÍV AGÁRWAĽ) VICE-CHAIRMAN 09.12.2016

(vsm)

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000-2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of	20 District
	Applicant/s
(Advocate)
	versus
The State of	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	M.A. No.522 of 2016

O.A.No.854 of 2016

Maharashtra State Mofusil Stenographers' Association, ... Applicants Nagpur.

V/s.

... Respondents The State of Mah. & ors.

Heard Shri N.D. Thombre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

This Misc. Application is moved for speaking to minutes in relation to our order dated 23.11.2016. The opening paragraph itself is the subject matter hereof. Having heard both the sides and there being no serious action, it is directed that the opening paragraph shall be substituted as below :-

> "This matter relates to pay-scales of Senior Personal Assistant, Stenographer (Selection Grade), Stenographer (Higher Grade) and Stenographer (Lower Grade) posts."

The correction be made accordingly.

Certified copy if already give be surrendered and a fresh copy be issued without any extra cost.

Misc. Application, accordingly disposed of with no order as to cost.

CORAM:

Hou'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)

Ben'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) &

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. 2. K. B. B.

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

Sd/-

Sd/-

(R.B. MALIK) MEMBER (J) 09.12.2016

(RAJIV AGARWAL) VICE-CHAIRMAN 09.12.2016

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.52 of 2016 O.A. No.639 of 2016

Shri H. J. Nazirkar

... Applicant

V/s.

... Respondents The State of Mah. & ors.

Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

returnable on notice Issue 22.12.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Learned P.O. do S.O. to 22.12.2016. waive service

Sd/-

Sd/-

(R.B. MALIK) MEMBER (J) 09.12.2016

(RAJIV AGAKWAL) VICE-CHAIRMAN 09.12.2016

Advocate for the Applicant ShrirSmt : K. S. Gail-ecoa C C.P.OTP.O. for the Respondents S.o. to 22/12/16.

9/12/16

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) &

(Vice - Chairman)

DATE:

APPEARANCE:

Original Application No. of	20 DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate)
	versus
The State of	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	09.12.2016
	O.A No. 552/2016
	Shri G.R Gujrathi Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
DATE: 9/12/16	Affidavit in reply is filed by learned advocate for the Applicant.
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL	
(Vice - Chairman) Hon ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)	O.A is admitted. Respondents are at liberty
APPEARANCE: Shristate R.M. Colge	to file sur-rejoinder.
Advocate for the Applicant Shri Smt. The Chaena B.K.	Place for final hearing on 13.1.2017.
C.P.O. P.O. for the Respondents - Pepter Wheel an Application	Sd/-)
0.A ces admitted. 5.0. to 13/1/17.	"(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman
Flour	

Original Application No. of	20	District Applicant/s
(Advocate	versus	
The State of	of Maharasht	ra and others
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders
	09.12.20	016
		O.A No. 195/2016
	N. A. S. A.	Bhudhanwar & Ors Applicants Vs. e of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	for the	ard Shri N.D Batule, learned advocate applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
DATE: 9/12/16 CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL		is matter was heard first on 8.3.2016 tice was issued to the Respondents.
Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. 10. 5. Scoregees and CPOTPO, for the Respondents	he has reply is	arned Advocate Shri Batule states that filed affidavit of service. No affidavit in forthcoming. It is, therefore, presumed spondents do not wish to file reply.
-Adj. To. 5 0 to 23/12/16	M 23.12.20	atter is now kept for final hearing on
a de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la co	_	Sd/- 2
		(Řaji∳ Agarwal) Vice-Chairman

Original Application No of	20 DISTRICT Applicant/s
(AdvocateThe State o	wersus of Maharashtra and others Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	······································
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	09.12.2016
	O.A No. 892/2016
	Shri R.N Gadhave, Applicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi
DATE: 9/12/16	learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	Affidavit in reply is filed by the
CORAM: Hon'ble Shri, RAJIV AGARWAL	Respondents. Learned Advocate Shri Jagdale
(Vice - Chairman) Won'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)	seeks time to file rejoinder.
APPEARANCE:	
	S.O to 6.1.2017.
Advocate for the Applicant Survey Survey Common Survey Com	
CPOTPO for the Respondents personclant	31 1 1 2 3 1 1 3 4 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
8.0. FO GIIII7	Sd/-
Pour Rejoinder. Out	(Rajiy Agarwal) Vice-Chairman

Original Application No. of	20 District Applicant/s
(AdvocateThe State o) versus f Maharashtra and others
(Presenting Officer	Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	09.12.2016 O.A No. 1143/2016

DATE:

CORAM:

Hou'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)

Won'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shrl/Sust time

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri /Smt : A.S. A.S. C.P.OTP.O. for the Respondents

... Applicant Shri Vithal T Jadhav

Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

- Heard Shri A.D Sugdare, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- made admission Issue notice before returnable on 6.1.2017.
- Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
- Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- S.O 6.1.2017.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman

riginal Application No.	20 DISTRICT
Igmai Appheation 1.0.	Applicant
dvocate)
	versus
The State of	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunal's orders
directions and Registrar's orders	
	09.12.2016
	O.A No. 1135/2016
	Shri A.D Hire Applicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Responden

DATE:

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)

Won'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.

Advocate for the Applicant

Smism: Arch

C.P.O. P.O. for the Respondents

- Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.12.2016.
- Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
- Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- This intimation / notice is ordered under 5. Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- S.O 23.12.2016. .7.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman

Akn

[P.T.O.

	MUMBAL
Original Application No of	`20 'District' Applicant/s
(Advocate	
	versus
The State	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	09.12.2016
	M.A 519/2016 in O.A 1134/2016
	Shri B.R Nalawade & Ors Applicants Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for
	the applicants and Ms Savita Suryavansh
	learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
DATE: 9/12/16	This Misc Application is filed by the Applicants to sue jointly as the reliefs sought by
CORAM:	them are against the same Respondents. Mis-
(Vice - Chairman)	Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject
APPEARANCE: S.S. Dera	payment of Court fees, if not already paid.
Advocate for the Applicant No. 5 Score and Can	Sd/-
C.P.O. for the Respondents	(Rajiv Agarval) Vice-Chairman
Ad To M.A. is Allowood	Akn

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

Ben'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

Shri/Sml + 5 Scere acoce

C.P.O. P.O. for the Respondents

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant

(Vice - Chairman)

S.S. Dere

5.0. to 6/1/17

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. 2 3 and 11.75 of	20 District Applicant/s
(Advocate	
	versus
The State of	of Maharashtra and others
(Presenting Officer	Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,	
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	09.12.2016

O.A No. 1134/2016

Shri B.R Nalawade & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

- 1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2 Issue notice before admission made returnable on 6.1.2017.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O 6.1.2017.

Sd/-

(Rajit Agarwall) Vice-Chairman

Akn

[P.T.O.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

DATE: 9/12/16

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant

Hou'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL

Bon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

Shri Sm. N. K. Raypon

C.P.O.L.P.O. for the Respondents

(Vice - Chairman)

S.o. to 22 12/16

Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.803 of 2016

Shri P.R. Chavanke

... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the respondents.

The Original Application is opened. We have heard Shri K.R. Jagdale for some time. Learned C.P.O. interjected with submissions. The submissions with regard to the prayer clause 'a' are heard. The document therein referred to is apparently under the provision of Right to Information Act.

The said communication is being sought to be get quashed and set aside. We make it clear that the said exhibit though will be read as a piece of evidence for the purpose of this O.A., we apparently have no power to quash and set aside.

After some discussion at the bar, Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate requests that the prayer clause 'a' is to be deleted and the O.A. shall continue with regards to the other prayers. His request if franked.

S.O. to 22.12.2016.

to wel

Sd/-

Sd/-

(R.B. MALIK) MEMBER (J) 09.12.2016 (RAJIV AGARWAL) VICE CHAIRMAN 09.12.2016 Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

DATE:

CORAM:

1.100.5

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWA

Advocate for the Applicant

Den'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

C.P.O. For the Respondents Was

5.0. to 15/12/16.

(Vice - Chairman)

Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.147/20126

Dr. N.N. Ramraje

... Applicant

V/s.

... Respondents The State of Mah. & ors.

Heard Smt Lata Patane, the learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt Kranti the learned P.O. Gaikwad, respondent nos.1 to 4, Shri A.V. Sakolkar, learned Advocate for Respondent no.5 and Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for Respondent no.6.

The hearing in this Part-Heard matter Smt Lata Patane, the has resumed. applicant the for Advocate learned resumed her submissions. A certain point is reached about which we will have to employ the utmost economie , expression save and accept that the issue might get boiledown to whether any authority has some kind of wital power about which also we express the final opinion, over the members of the said commission. relevant documents could not be found and the learned P.O. undertakes to file short affidavit to explain this aspect of the matter. The matter having become Part-Heard is required to be adjourned for the reason above stated and, therefore, a cost of Rs.10/- in form of postal order is imposed.

S.O. to 15.12.2016.

mitsmi. S. G. ceil 201000 D. B. Khaire Koor Rug.

5.1 Sd/-Sd/-(RAJIV AGARWAL) (R.B. MALIK) VICE-CHAIRMAN MEMBER (J) 09.12.2016 09.12.2016

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
Original rippined		Applicant/s
(Advocate	*	
	versus	
T	he State of Maharashtra	and others
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda o Appearance, Tribunal's order directions and Registrar's or	rs or	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.805 of 2013

....Applicant Shri N.N. Nannaware

V/s.

State of Mah. & Ors

....Respondent

The applicant and Advocate absent. Heard Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the respondents.

3.10.2016, order dated the See compilation of the document submitted by the learned C.P.O. is taken on record. It appears that the applicant has been appointed to the said post. It is also taken it up that he has lost interest in the O.A. and same is disposed of with no order as to cost.

09.12.2016

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: The April & advacate absel Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Sat. T. K. RajfYYahit C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

DATE:

Sd/-(R.B. Malik) Member (J)

vsm

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate	versus The State of Maharashtra	and others	
(Presenting Officer			Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's order directions and Registrar's of the street of the str	rs or	Tribunal's orders	
		O.A. No.179 of	<u>2916</u>
	Dr. S.A.	Mahajan & Ors	Applicants
		V/s.	
	State of M	Iah. & Ors	Responde

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shrism G. Sagarayte

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A. J. Chougue C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 24

nt

Heard Shri G. Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

It is directed that further recovery of N.P.A. towards arrears is stayed till next date,

S.O. to 24.1.2017.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 09.12.2016

vśm

Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
The Sta	te of Maharas	htra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	•	Tribunal	's orders

O.A. No.961 of 2016

....Applicant Smt V.A. Jiwane & Ors

V/s.

State of Mah. & Ors

....Respondent

Heard Shri G. Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The matter is taken up for final disposal by consent of both the sides. See my order dated 16.11.2016. It is clear that the fact at issue involved herein have to be determined in terms of the judgment of the Nagpur Bench of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court referred to in the communication of the unnumbered second paragraph of the said order dated 16.11.2016. The respondents are directed to decide the case of these applicants in accordance, as mentioned by themselves in that letter of the said judgment Nagpur Bench Hon'ble the of W.P.No.2046/2010 within two months from today and communicate to the applicant the outcome thereof within one month thereafter.

No order as to cost.

Sd/-(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 09.12.2016

DATE: Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Sme & SadayGxt

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: Tt K. Rajfurohit C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To GA is distored

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH ORIGINAL APPLICATION 566 OF 2016

DISTRICT: THANE

Shri R.G Rahate & Ors

)...Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & ors

)...Respondents

Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the Applicants.

Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM: Shri Rajiv Agarwal (Vice-Chairman)

DATE : 09.12.2016

ORDER

- 1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the Applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- This Original Application was heard for quite some time. The claim of the Applicants is that withdrawal of time bound promotion granted to them is illegal and they were in fact found eligible to be promoted to the post of P.S.I (Technical), which is evident from the report submitted by the Deputy Commissioner of Police, Motor Transport, Nagpada, to

4

DA

the Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai. Now without any basis the Respondents are claiming that the Applicants are working in isolated posts and are not eligible to be promoted as P.S.I (Technical).

- 3. Learned Presenting Officer was asked to place on record the Recruitment Rules for the post of P.S.I (Technical) which will make it clear whether the persons in the shoes of the present Applicants are eligible to be promoted to the post of PSI (Technical) or not. That will have bearing for deciding whether posts they were occupying were isolated or whether there were channel of promotion available to them.
- Learned Advocate Shri Lonkar stated that the 4. Respondents have already started recovery of Rs. 5000/- per month after issuing order withdrawing the benefit of time bound promotion granted to them and the same should be stayed till disposal of this Original Application.
- 5. As the Respondents have totally failed to place any material on record to show that the post in which the Applicants were working are isolated and no channel of promotion to the post of P.S.I (Technical) was available to them, interim relief is granted at this stage and no recovery on this account will be made from the salary of the Applicants.
- Learned P.O requested that she may be granted 6. time to place on record the relevant Recruitment Rules and the matter in support of the fact that the post to which the



Applicants was promoted were isolated. She may also clarify about the report submitted by the Dy. Commissioner of Police, Motor Transport to the Commissioner of Police, Greater Bombay. It will still be better if Respondent no. 1 files affidavit in reply in this matter.

7. S.O to 13.1.2017. Hamdast.

(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman

Place: Mumbai Date: 09.12.2016

Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

H:\Anil Nair\Judgments\2016\1st Dec 2016\O.A 566.16 Refixation of pay and recovery SB.Int. order 9.12.16.doc

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

C.A. No. 96 of 2016 <u>In</u> O.A. No.405 of 2016

Smt P. H. Wig

... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. is being instructed by Shri Firoj B. Mulani, Clerk-Typist, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. It is worth noting that the matter has escalated into a contempt matter, yet no responsible office of respondent is present before us.

We have perused the order dated 7.12.2016 of the State Of Maharashtra in Public Health Department whereby the original applicant Smt Priti Wig has been reinstated.

We have perused the said order in detail and we are quite clearly of the view that even as the executionery aspect of the matter may have taken care of itself post reinstatement but still contempt aspect of the matter between the Bench and the contemnor still survives and as of today, we may only mention that ex-facie it appears to be a matter which cannot be lightly glossed over and, therefore, in the interest of justice and with a view to give a fair opportunity, we direct show cause notice to be issued to the respondents nos. 1 & 2 asking them as to why a serious action in contempt be not taken against them and or why heavy cost be not imposed.

Show cause notice is made returnable on 6.1.2017. Hamdast.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(R.B. MALIK) MEMBER (J) 09.12.2016

(RAJIV AGARWAL) VICE-CHAIRMAN 09.12.2016

DATE: 9/2/6

CORAM:
Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:
Shrix: 1. D. Loullan

Advocate for the Asplicant
Shri/Smt: 1. S. Gailleoxoo

C.PO/P.O. for the Respondents

(Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders O.A. No.626 of 2015

Shri M.S. Chavan

.. Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.. Respondents

Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- Ld. PO, on instructions from Shri S.D. Londhe, 2. Under Secretary, Finance Department, Mantralaya, states that the papers are sent to Nagpur for approval of Hon'ble minister concerned. Since the Hon'ble Minister was out of Nagpur further time is required.
- S.O. to 14.12.2016 for reporting compliance. 3.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 9.12.2016

9/12/2016 DATE:

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A.

APPEARANCE:

Stri/Smt. S.P. Mancheter

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: K.B. Bhise C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 14/12/16...

(sgj)

Original Application No.	of 20 DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	versus	
The State	of Maharashtra and others	
		P1 44
(Presenting Officer)	Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders C.A. No.130 of 2015 in O.A. N	o.308 of 2012
	Shri S.S. Padave Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, learned	Presenting Officer
	for the Respondents.	Tresenting Office
	2. At the request of Ld. PO, adjou	rned to 14.12.2016
	for reporting compliance.	
	3. S.O. to 14.12.2016.	0
DATE: 9/12/16		d/- m
CORAM:	(A.H. J	
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)		rman \(\) 2016
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A APPEARANCE:	(sgj)	
thri/Smt: Hone for the off.		
hri/Smt K.B. Bhise P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s		
dj. To. 14/12-16		
y t		

Original Application No.	of 20 District Applicant/s
(Advocate	
	versus
The State	of Maharashtra and others
(D	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders C.A. No.37 of 2013 in O.A. No.632 of 2011
	Dr. A.S. WarkeApplicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Office
	for the Respondents.
	2. At the request of Ld PO adjourned to 14.12.2016
	2. At the request of Ld. PO, adjourned to 14.12.2016 for reporting compliance.
	tor reporting compinance.
	3. S.O. to 14.12.2016.
	0
DATE: 91296 CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A	(A.H. Joshi, 4). W Chairman 9.12.2016
APPEARANCE: Strisme: Hone for the off.	(sgj)
Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Srat: K. M. Chl. (C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	
Adj. To. 14/12/16.	