

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.11.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>C. A. No.21 of 2022 in O.A.No.900 of 2021 (DB)</b></p> <p><b>B. R. Girme</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Aditya Aklekar holding for Shri R.S. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. As per the directions given by the Tribunal as well as request of the Applicant, the possibility of appointing Applicant in Pune Municipal Corporation was explored but learned P.O. made it clear that there is no vacancy in Pune Municipal Corporation nor there will be vacancy in a coming year.</li> <li>3. The Applicant is offered posting in Pimpri-Chinchwad Municipal Corporation but he is insisting for posting in Pune Municipal Corporation.</li> <li>4. Learned P.O. has pointed out that there will be no adverse effect on service conditions of the Applicant if he joins in Pimpri-Chinchwad Municipal Corporation as per posting offered to him and would also get some additional benefit in the form of yearly bonus.</li> <li>5. The Applicant was initially serving as a Teacher in school which was closed down and the decision was taken by taken by the Government to absorb the surplus teachers in ZP Schools or Municipal Council Schools in accordance to rules.</li> </ol>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. In O.A.No.900/2021, the directions were given by the Tribunal to take necessary steps to absorb the Applicant on equivalent post in terms of G.R. dated 15.09.2020. It is on this background, the Applicant is offered the post under the control of Pimpri-Chinchwad Corporation by order dated 22.04.2022.</p> <p>7. During the course of hearing, it was pointed out to learned Counsel for the Applicant that he will get same service benefits in view of his posting in school under the control of Pimpri-Chinchwad Municipal Corporation and ask his client whether he is willing to accept it.</p> <p>8. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought two days time.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 11.11.2022 at 2.30 P.M.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- ( <b>Medha Gadgil</b> ) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (<b>A.P. Kurhekar</b>) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.11.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.962 of 2021</p> <p>S.M. Kalkute .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. P Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.11.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1023 of 2021</p> <p>A.T. Ingawale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that the issue of entitlement for compassionate appointment because of having 3<sup>rd</sup> child in the family after cutoff date is referred to the Division Bench and matter is subjudice. He therefore requested to adjourn the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">           Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member (J)         </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.11.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.908 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. Hembade .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.226 of 2022 to O.A. No.230 of 2022 with O.A. No.259 of 2022</p> <p>N.L. Yevage S.K. Randive P.R. Kadarkar S.A. Kamble D.N. Wable W.M. Dsouza .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.</li> <li>3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>4. Adjourned for Final Hearing.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 23.12.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.380 of 2022</p> <p>O.G. Taware .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 09.11.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.812 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>N.V. Dahibhate</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Om M. Lonkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate along with Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. sought permission to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 which was supposed to be filed on 12.10.2022. In the interest of justice allowed to file Affidavit-in-Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that Respondent No.2 is also recently given posting by order dated 20.10.2022 who was earlier to be posted in place of the Applicant. It seems because of interim relief granted by the Tribunal, Government by order dated 20.10.2022 has given some other posting to Respondent No.2.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept tomorrow for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 10.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.858 of 2022</p> <p>R. R. Rane &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1184 of 2019</p> <p>D. J. Sonawane ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. S. Pathak, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file additional affidavit along with relevant circulars for appropriate decision of the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.612 of 2022</p> <p>A. S. Shamane ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit in Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.11.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.1015 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>P. B. Khairnar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri O. M. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 15.06.2022 whereby he was suspended in contemplation of D.E. invoking Rule 4(1)(a) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline &amp; Appeal) Rules, 1979.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that the department has issued charge sheet on 05.05.2022 to which the Applicant has given reply on 13.05.2022 admitting the charges levelled against him unconditionally. Adverting to this aspect, he contends that in such situation, there was no necessity of suspension of the Applicant and all that department ought to have passed the final order in D.E.</p> <p>4. In view of above, learned P.O. was directed to take instructions from the Respondents as to why suspension was necessitated and why final order was not passed in D.E. Accordingly, notices were issued to Respondents by order dated 12.10.2022.</p> <p>5. Today, learned P.O. on instructions from Shri Kulkarni, Senior Clerk from the office of Resp. No.2 submits that Respondents are taking steps to revoke the suspension of the Applicant and to reinstate him in service within three days.</p> <p>6. Indeed, when the Applicant has already admitted the charges unconditionally in his reply dated 13.05.2022, all that Respondents ought to have passed further order in D.E. Instead of doing so, they suspended the Applicant by order dated 15.06.2022. Be that as it may, in view of the statement made by learned P.O. that now steps are already taken to reinstate the Applicant in service, the O.A. deserves to be disposed of.</p> <p>7. O.A. is disposed of in view of the statement made by learned P.O. that Applicant will be reinstated in service within three days. The Respondents are accordingly directed to take necessary steps and to reinstate the Applicant in service.</p> <p>8. The Respondents are at liberty to proceed further in D.E. in accordance to law.</p> <p>9. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 09.11.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.1031 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>A.M. Suryawanshi</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.M. Misal, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.5 &amp; 6 (Z.P.).</p> <p>2. The Applicant stands retired as Education Officer (Primary) on 31.05.2012. Thereafter, D.E. was initiated against him in 2014 and by order dated 25.07.2019 punishment of reduction of 10% Pension per month for one year has been imposed. Despite this position remaining retiral benefits namely Gratuity was not paid. Provisional Pension was paid till 31.12.2021, therefore the Applicant has filed the present O.A. for direction to release Gratuity, Regular Pension with interest.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. and learned Advocate for the Zilla Parishad submits that proposal was already forwarded to Accountant General on 14.02.2022 and certain queries was raised and final proposal was sent on 18.10.2022. Thus the decision to release Gratuity is now taken and file seems to be in process with Accountant General.</p> <p>4. In view of above, O.A. deserves to be disposed of with suitable direction.</p> <p>5. Respondents are directed to ensure the payment of remaining retiral benefits i.e. Gratuity and Regular Pension within four weeks from today.</p> <p>6. The Applicant is at liberty to redress grievances of interest on delayed payment independently.</p> <p>7. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.11.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.924 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>Dr. S.V. Nawale</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed the present O.A. to regularize his services on the post of Medical Officer and sought interim relief directing to the Applicant to continue his services. In this O.A. notices were issued by order dated 14.09.2022 but till date no Reply is filed. Today again learned P.O. sought time to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. The Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant was appointed after issuing Advertisement but Appointment was temporary. Department also forwarded proposal to the Government for regularization of the services of the Applicant. As per last Appointment order dated 18.07.2022 the Applicant was again temporary appointed from 18.07.2022 to 14.11.2022. He therefore apprehends termination at the end of period, and therefore sought interim relief.</p> <p>4. <i>Prima-facie</i> the Applicant was appointed after issuances of advertisement and seems to be qualified for the said post. He was initially appointed in 2013 and continued till date, His services therefore deserves to be protected.</p> <p>5. In view of above, Respondents are directed to continue the Applicant's services subject to same terms and conditions till filing of Reply.</p> <p>6. Two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 23.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.11.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1012 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>K.D. Dongare</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Respondents two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.11.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.898 of 2022</p> <p>N.S. Vaidya .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.11.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.866 of 2022</p> <p>M.B. Jadhav .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.848 of 2022</p> <p>V.B. Saravade .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.</li> <li>3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>4. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 23.11.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 09.11.2022

O.A. No.1092 of 2022

A.H. Awhad .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri OM. M. Lonkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 22.11.2021 thereby seeking recovery of Rs.1,97,617/- (Rupees One Lakh Ninety Seven Thousand Six Hundred and Seventeen Only) from Gratuity and also sought direction to refund the said amount which is already recovered from Gratuity.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.12.2022.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 07.12.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 09.11.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1098 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>D.S. Sul</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant who is serving in the cadre of Police Constable has challenged suspension order dated 26.11.2020 on the ground of competency of the Respondents as well as on the ground of prolong suspension.</p> <p>3. The perusal of suspension order dated 26.11.2020 reveals that the Applicant was suspended in view of registration of crime registered against him on 18.07.2020. He is consequently arrested in the said crime, Besides D.E. was also proposed as seen from suspension order. Notably, in D.E. by order dated 14.06.2022 punishment of withholding of two increments without cumulative effect has been already imposed.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has further pointed out that chargesheet is already filed in criminal case and matter is subjudice.</p> <p>5. Thus apparently though in D.E. punishment is inflicted and in criminal case chargesheet is already filed, the Applicant is kept under prolong suspension for near about two years which <i>prima-facie</i> is impermissible. O.A. therefore is expedited.</p> <p>6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p align="right">P.T.O.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.11.2022.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 23.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.854 of 2022</u></p> <p>B.B. Karle ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant submits that rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.12.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.630 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. A.P. Patil &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks extention of time to carry out the amendment granted by order dated 14.9.2022 in MA No.549 of 2022 in the above OA.</p> <p>3. Extension of time to carry out amendment is granted. Amendment be carried out within one week and amended copy be served on all concerned.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply to the amended OA.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 14.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgi) Member (A) 9.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.431 of 2022</u></p> <p>T.P. Sonawane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.11.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.98 of 2022</u></p> <p>J.S. Pandit ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant submits that rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.12.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>CA No.42 of 2021 in OAs.678, 679 &amp; 680 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.S. Mujawar &amp; 2 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that writ petition is pending in the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. In view of the same, S.O. to 7.12.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mekha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.10 of 2020 in O.A. No.434 of 2014</u></p> <p>M.C. Gosavi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Advocate for the applicant not present.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that Writ Petition No.3822 of 2022 is pending in the Hon'ble High Court wherein by order dated 7.7.2022 it is stated that, till next date the contempt petition shall not be proceeded with.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1079 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.M. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.12.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.682 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. K.J. Kuwar &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, Ld. Advocate for Respondents No.9 to 37 has filed leave note.</p> <p>3. Last chance to Respondents No.9 to 37 to file reply and serve copy on the Ld. Advocate for the Applicants.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgi) Member (A) 9.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.1011, 805, 843, 844, 770, 905 &amp; 915 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. K.G. Patil Dr. Z.A.K. Patwekar Dr. M.G. Kumbhar &amp; Ors. Dr. Y.C. Shende &amp; Ors. Dr. A.K. Rathod Dr. B.B. Wankhede Dr. H.D. Patil ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Preeti R. Wankhede, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seek leave to amend respondent no.3 in the title of OA.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out and amended copy be served on all concerned.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.332 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. S.B. Ghogare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces copy of letter dated 19.7.2022 wherein the proposed DE against the applicant has been closed.</p> <p>3. As per interim order dated 13.4.2022 passed by this Tribunal the applicant has already been given NOC for admission to PG Course. Interim relief granted 13.4.2022 is made absolute in view of the closure of proposed DE.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO submits to the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, OA is disposed off accordingly, by consent.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.138 of 2021 and O.A. No.139 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.G. Koli R.R. Koli ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 23.11.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.640 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.S. Ratho ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.12.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A) 9.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>