

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1035/2024
(Dr. Ashwini H. Sonune Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
(DIVISION BENCH MATTER)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.S.Londhe, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue of interim relief is kept open. If any contingency arises, it would be open for the applicant to approach the Tribunal by getting the matter circulated.

3. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 24-09-2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 24-09-2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1045/2024
(Anna S. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
(DIVISION BENCH MATTER)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.A.Ingle, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant undertakes to remove office objections within one week.

3. Applicant is the nominee of the Freedom Fighter and was appointed as such in the year 1999 on the basis of Government Circular dated 04.03.1991. During the course of the service he was promoted to the next post also. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the Government has issued G.R. dated 28.08.2024, whereby a decision has been taken to cancel the Circular dated 04.03.1991. Learned counsel further submitted that in the said G.R. the Government has issued directions to the concerned appointing authorities to terminate the services of the Freedom Fighters' nominees, who have not been appointed as per the

prescribed procedure and the prescribed mode of appointment, meaning thereby that, who have been directly appointed without following the aforesaid procedure.

4. The applicant in the present application apprehends the termination of his services on the aforesaid ground. According to the applicant, he has been duly appointed and has been working with the Government from the last several years. In the circumstances, interim relief is sought by the applicant thereby seeking directions against the respondents not to take any coercive action against him on the basis of G.R. dated 28.08.2024.

5. After having gone through the pleadings in the Original Application and the documents placed on record therein, it is noticed that the facts involved in the present matter are identical with the facts, which existed in earlier 02 matters i.e. O.A. Nos. 980 and 987 both of 2024, wherein this Tribunal has granted interim relief in favour of the applicants in the said applications. Hence, the following order:

ORDER

[i] Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.09.2024. **Till then the respondents shall not take any coercive action against the applicant in light of impugned Government Resolution bearing No. नामनि-२०२४/प्र.क.७२/स्वसैक-९ dated 28.08.2024.**

[ii] Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

[iii] Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

[iv] This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

[v] The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be

=4=

O.A.NO.1045/2024

obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

[vi] S.O. to 25-09-2024.

[vii] Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1048/2024
(Kapil P. Kambale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
(DIVISION BENCH MATTER)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Arvind G. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned Counsel seeks leave to correctly place the Annexure stated in the O.A. and also to exchange documents at Annexure A-3 and Annexure A-1. Leave granted.

3. Issue of interim relief is kept open. If any contingency arises, it would be open for the applicant to approach the Tribunal by getting the matter circulated.

4. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 09-10-2024.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of

=2=

O.A.NO.1048/2024

hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 09-10-2024.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1050/2024
(Parmeshwar K. Bangar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
(DIVISION BENCH MATTER)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Akshay S. Jagtap, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.S.Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

3. Applicant is the nominee of the Freedom Fighter and was appointed as such in the year 2005 on the basis of Government Circular dated 04.03.1991. During the course of the service he was promoted to the next post also. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the Government has issued G.R. dated 28.08.2024, whereby a decision has been taken to cancel the Circular dated 04.03.1991. Learned counsel further submitted that in the said G.R. the Government has issued directions to the concerned appointing authorities to terminate the services of the Freedom Fighters' nominees, who have not been appointed as per the

prescribed procedure and the prescribed mode of appointment, meaning thereby that, who have been directly appointed without following the aforesaid procedure.

4. The applicant in the present application apprehends the termination of his services on the aforesaid ground. According to the applicant, he has been duly appointed and has been working with the Government from the last several years. In the circumstances, interim relief is sought by the applicant thereby seeking directions against the respondents not to take any coercive action against him on the basis of G.R. dated 28.08.2024.

5. After having gone through the pleadings in the Original Application and the documents placed on record therein, it is noticed that the facts involved in the present matter are identical with the facts, which existed in earlier 02 matters i.e. O.A. Nos. 980 and 987 both of 2024, wherein this Tribunal has granted interim relief in favour of the applicants in the said applications. Hence, the following order:

ORDER

[i] Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.09.2024. **Till then the respondents shall not take any coercive action against the applicant in light of impugned Government Resolution bearing No. नामनि-२०२४/प्र.क.७२/स्वासेक-१ dated 28.08.2024.**

[ii] Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

[iii] Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

[iv] This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

[v] The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be

=4=

O.A.NO.1050/2024

obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

[vi] S.O. to 25-09-2024.

[vii] Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1051/2024
(Ulhas D. Jagtap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
(DIVISION BENCH MATTER)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Akshay S. Jagtap, learned counsel holding for Shri Hemant U. Dhage, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.S.Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

3. Applicant is the nominee of the Freedom Fighter and was appointed as such in the year 1999 on the basis of Government Circular dated 04.03.1991 and he is working since then. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the Government has issued G.R. dated 28.08.2024, whereby a decision has been taken to cancel the Circular dated 04.03.1991. Learned counsel further submitted that in the said G.R. the Government has issued directions to the concerned appointing authorities to terminate the services of the Freedom Fighters' nominees, who have not been appointed as per the prescribed procedure and the prescribed

mode of appointment, meaning thereby that, who have been directly appointed without following the aforesaid procedure.

4. The applicant in the present application apprehends the termination of his services on the aforesaid ground. According to the applicant, he has been duly appointed and has been working with the Government from the last several years. In the circumstances, interim relief is sought by the applicant thereby seeking directions against the respondents not to take any coercive action against him on the basis of G.R. dated 28.08.2024.

5. After having gone through the pleadings in the Original Application and the documents placed on record therein, it is noticed that the facts involved in the present matter are identical with the facts, which existed in earlier 02 matters i.e. O.A. Nos. 980 and 987 both of 2024, wherein this Tribunal has granted interim relief in favour of the applicants in the said applications. Hence, the following order:

ORDER

[i] Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.09.2024. **Till then the respondents shall not take any coercive action against the applicant in light of impugned Government Resolution bearing No. नामनि-२०२४/प्र.क.७२/स्वासेक-१ dated 28.08.2024.**

[ii] Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

[iii] Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

[iv] This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

[v] The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be

=4=

O.A.NO.1051/2024

obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

[vi] S.O. to 25-09-2024.

[vii] Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1053/2024
(Balaji Maroti Kutte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
(DIVISION BENCH MATTER)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

3. Applicant is the nominee of the Freedom Fighter and was appointed as such in the year 1999 on the basis of Government Circular dated 04.03.1991 and he is working since then. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the Government has issued G.R. dated 28.08.2024, whereby a decision has been taken to cancel the Circular dated 04.03.1991. Learned counsel further submitted that in the said G.R. the Government has issued directions to the concerned appointing authorities to terminate the services of the Freedom Fighters' nominees, who have not been appointed as per the prescribed procedure and the prescribed

mode of appointment, meaning thereby that, who have been directly appointed without following the aforesaid procedure.

4. The applicant in the present application apprehends the termination of his services on the aforesaid ground. According to the applicant, he has been duly appointed and has been working with the Government from the last several years. In the circumstances, interim relief is sought by the applicant thereby seeking directions against the respondents not to take any coercive action against him on the basis of G.R. dated 28.08.2024.

5. After having gone through the pleadings in the Original Application and the documents placed on record therein, it is noticed that the facts involved in the present matter are identical with the facts, which existed in earlier 02 matters i.e. O.A. Nos. 980 and 987 both of 2024, wherein this Tribunal has granted interim relief in favour of the applicants in the said applications. Hence, the following order:

ORDER

[i] Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.09.2024. **Till then the respondents shall not take any coercive action against the applicant in light of impugned Government Resolution bearing No. नामनि-२०२४/प्र.क.७२/स्वासेक-१ dated 28.08.2024.**

[ii] Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

[iii] Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

[iv] This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

[v] The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be

=4=

O.A.NO.1053/2024

obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

[vi] S.O. to 25-09-2024.

[vii] Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 219 OF 2022
(Datta G. Tarate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.N. Lute, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 23.10.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 239 OF 2022
(Surajkumar N. Vanje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in view of the ratio laid down by the Full Bench of Hon'ble High Court of Bombay, Bench at Nagpur in a case of **Kalpna Vilas Taram Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors. in W.P. No. 3701/2022 and other connected W.Ps.**, the applicant wants to file application seeking amendment in the O.A., so also in the prayer clause. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 25.09.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 829 OF 2022
(Musaddiq Ahmed Madni Masood Ahmed Madni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned counsel for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Smt. Vanita Choudhary, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of leave note filed by learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 21.11.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1010 OF 2022
(Khurshid Begum Mohd. Moosa Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.G. Dalal, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Part heard.
3. S.O. to 10.10.2024 for further hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 468 OF 2023
(Dr. Prashant S. Shelar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Amol Kokad, learned counsel for respondent No. 4, are present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 24.10.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 492 OF 2023
(Dr. Jagannath G. Kundkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in compliance with the directives issued in the order dated 02.09.2024 the applicant has submitted representation dated 05.09.2024 giving two more choices i.e. Taluka Health Officer, Mantha and Municipal Council, Jalna wherein the posts are still vacant. Copy of the said representation is taken on record.

3. Learned Presenting Officer is hereby directed to take specific instructions in this regard, since the applicant is at present suffering physical Locomotive disablement to the extent of 70%.

4. S.O. to 13.09.2024 for hearing. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 499 OF 2023
(Yamunabai M. Choudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ashish Hazari, learned counsel holding for Shri Datta Amadake, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. In compliance with the earlier order dated 02.09.2024, learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has complied with all the deficiencies as pointed out by learned Presenting Officer and recorded in the said order dated 02.09.2024.

3. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to take specific instructions in this regard.

4. S.O. to 10.10.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 521 OF 2023
(Dr. Meena R. Latpate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present. Shri D.T. Devane, learned counsel for respondent No. 4 (**Leave Note**).

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks leave to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Leave granted. Copy of the affidavit in reply is taken on record along with spare copy for the other side.

3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 28.10.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 900 OF 2023
(Ankush T. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri B.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri S.P. Sartale, learned counsel for respondent No. 5, is **absent**.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 13.09.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1085 OF 2023
(Raj L. Mali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.M. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 25.10.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 332 OF 2024
(Somnath B. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sameer Kurundkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 20.09.2024 for hearing. Status quo granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 340 OF 2024
(Kailas B. Khade & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 20.09.2024 for hearing. Status quo granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 631 OF 2024
(Ravindra V. Torwane & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.M. Shinde/P.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicants (**Absent**). Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. As none present for the applicants, S.O. to 24.09.2024.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 857 OF 2024
(Arun Bhagwan Thakur Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 25.09.2024. Status quo granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 282 OF 2024
(Manjusha K. Babhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.B. Salunke, learned counsel holding for Shri V.G. Salgare, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned counsel for respondent No. 5 and Shri S.N. Janakwade, learned counsel for respondent No. 6, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to other side.

3. Learned counsel for respondent Nos. 5 & 6 respectively seek time to go through the same and file sur-rejoinder, if it is necessary. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 24.09.2024.

MEMBER (J)

C.P.NO. 77/2024 IN O.A.NO. 364/2024
(Amol Tatyrao Mhaske Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**
[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Prashant M. Nagargoje, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 19.09.2024.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024-HDD

M.A.NO. 431/2024 IN O.A.NO. 1034/2024
(Govind Maroti Shinde & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**
[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : **09.09.2024**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Akshay R. Dhore, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants submitted that all the applicants in the present application are presently working in the offices falling within the jurisdiction of this Tribunal. It is further contended that the applicants have common grievance and they are seeking common relief. In the circumstances, the request is made for permission to sue jointly.

3. The learned Presenting Officer has submitted for passing appropriate orders.

4. Having considered the fact that cause of action has arisen within the jurisdiction of this Bench of the Tribunal and further fact that the applicants possess common grievance and relief sought is also identical, we deem it appropriate to allow the present application. Hence the following order:-

::-2-::

M.A. NO. 431/2024 IN
O.A.NO. 1034/2024

ORDER

- (i) The Misc. Application is allowed, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.
- (ii) Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any.
- (iii) There shall be no order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1034 OF 2024
(Govind Maroti Shinde & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**
[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : **09.09.2024**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Akshay R. Dhore, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. When the present matter is taken up for consideration, the learned counsel for the applicants in the present matter pointed out that the facts in the present matter are identical with the facts which existed in Original Application No. 980/2024. Learned counsel in the circumstances has prayed for the interim relief as has been granted in favour of the applicants in O.A. no. 980/2024. It is also pointed out that this Tribunal has also passed similar order in Original Application No. 987/2024 also.

3. As is revealing from the pleadings in the present Original Application the applicants are nominees of freedom fighters'. They were appointed in the period ranging between the years 2005 to 2007 on the basis of Government Circular dated 04.03.1991 and are working

since then i.e. from the date of their appointment on the respective posts. Learned counsel for the applicants submitted that the Government has issued G.R. dated 28.08.2024, whereby a decision has been taken to cancel the Circular dated 04.03.1991. Learned counsel further submitted that in the said G.R. the Government has issued directions to the concerned appointing authorities to terminate the services of the Freedom Fighters' nominees, who have not been appointed as per the prescribed procedure and the prescribed mode of appointment, meaning thereby that, who have been directly appointed without following the aforesaid procedure. The applicants in the present application apprehend the termination of their services on the aforesaid ground. According to these applicants, they have been duly appointed and each one of them has been working with the Government from the last couple of years. In the circumstances, interim relief is sought by these applicants thereby seeking directions against the respondents not to take any coercive action against these employees on the basis of G.R. dated 28.08.2024.

4. After having gone through the pleadings in the Original Application and the documents placed on record therein, it is noticed that the facts involved in the present matters are identical with the facts, which existed in

earlier 02 matters i.e. O.A. Nos. 980 and 987 both of 2024, wherein this Tribunal has granted interim relief in favour of the applicants in the said applications. Hence, the following order:-

ORDER

(i) Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.09.2024. **Till then the respondents shall not take any coercive action against the applicants in light of impugned Government Resolution bearing No. नामनि-२०२४/प्र.क.७२/स्वसैक-१, dated 28.08.2024.**

(ii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

(iii) Applicant are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

(iv) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

(v) The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of

:: - 4 - ::

O.A. NO. 1034/2024

compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

(vi) S.O. to 25.09.2024.

(vii) Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 994 OF 2023
(Trimbak Dasu Chavan Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**
[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : **09.09.2024**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. List the matter for hearing on 27.09.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 769 OF 2024
(Vaishali Vikasrao Hinge Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**
[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : **09.09.2024**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file any rejoinder affidavit. List the matter for hearing on 08.10.2024.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 672 OF 2024
(Smt. Priyanka Deelip Wani Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**
[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : **09.09.2024**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned C.P.O. submits that though the affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 was to be filed by Friday i.e. on 06.09.2024, since time was required to collect the documents to be annexed with the affidavit in reply, it is filed today. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 18.09.2024.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 300 OF 2024
(Viashali Wamanrao Hajare Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**
[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : **09.09.2024**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sachin Tambe, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 24.10.2024.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1067 OF 2022
(Shivaji Dagdu Sirsat Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**
[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : **09.09.2024**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has filed citations and the same are taken on record.

3. S.O. to 30.09.2024.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024-HDD

M.A.NO. 429/2024 IN O.A.NO. 1023/2024
(Sunil Hariram Khandve & Anr. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**
[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : **09.09.2024**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ram B. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 12.09.2024.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 715 OF 2024
(Sunil Prabhakar Wakle Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**
[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : **09.09.2024**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Balaji S. Dambe, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pinlge, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. On instructions, learned counsel for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the present Original Application. Written pursis is also placed on record signed by the applicant and countersigned by the learned counsel for the applicant. In the circumstances, the following order is passed: -

ORDER

The Original Application stands disposed of since withdrawn. There shall be no order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

C.P.NO. 37/2024 IN O.A.NO. 651/2023
(Shrikant Bhimrao Borade Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**
[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : **09.09.2024**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Bhise, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer submits that affidavit in reply of the concerned respondent is not received, but affidavit in reply of some other respondents is received. He seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the concerned respondent. Four weeks' time is granted.

3. S.O. to 08.10.2024.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 182 OF 2023
(Umesh Bansinath Jadhav Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**
[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : **09.09.2024**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Akash Jaju, learned counsel holding for Shri M.P. Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. The request is opposed by the learned counsel for the applicant. The present matter is remanded by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court.

3. List the matter for hearing on 27.09.2024.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024-HDD

**M.A.NO.563/2023 IN O.A.NO.526/2023
(Angad R. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**O.A.NOS.1037, 1038, 1055 TO 1067, 1072 TO 1075 ALL
OF 2023
(Sanjay S. Patil & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**O.A.NO.757/2023
(Yashwant Katkar & Anr. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.P.Bhumkar, learned Counsel for the applicant in M.A. No.563/2023 (**absent**). Shri U.L.Momale, learned Counsel for applicants in all these OAs and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these matters, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants has filed rejoinder affidavit and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. List the matters for hearing on 30.09.2024. Interim relief if any granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024-HDD

**M.A.NO. 579/2023 WITH O.A.ST.NO. 2324/23 WITH
M.A.ST.NO. 2325/23
(Pallavi Shailesh Karande & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 18.09.2024.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 374 OF 2022
(Vijay Dehade Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 386 OF 2022
(Lata Dehade Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**
[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : **09.09.2024**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The present matters are not on board. They are taken on board at the request of learned counsel for the applicants.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that in the present matters he wants to make some additional submissions. He further submits that identical matters are kept on 01.10.2024 for hearing. He has, therefore, prayed for rehearing the present matters and list the matters for rehearing. Request is accepted.

3. List the matters for rehearing on 01.10.2024.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 892 OF 2018

(Dhananjay D. Chandodkar & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 901 OF 2018

(Bhagwat Shivaji Somase Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.S. Karad, learned counsel holding for Shri
S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants and
Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer
for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 03.10.2024. Interim relief granted earlier
to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 474 OF 2024
(Devsing Ambarsing Jarwal Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**
[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : **09.09.2024**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.S. Karad, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 03.10.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 339 OF 2021
(Arun Shrichand Jadhav Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**
[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : **09.09.2024**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Hazari, learned counsel holding for Shri Amol B. Chalak, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 01.10.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 694 OF 2021
(Avinash Govindrao Chonde Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**
[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : **09.09.2024**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Hazari, learned counsel holding for Shri Amol B. Chalak, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 01.10.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 754 OF 2022
(Akash Kumar Chougule Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**
[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : **09.09.2024**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.S. Karad, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 01.10.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024-HDD

M.A.NO. 437/2024 IN O.A.NO. 1047/2024
(Santosh D. Aundhekar & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**
[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : **09.09.2024**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Madhav C. Ghode, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants submitted that all the applicants in the present application are presently working in the offices falling within the jurisdiction of this Tribunal. It is further contended that the applicants have common grievance and they are seeking common relief. In the circumstances, the request is made for permission to sue jointly.

3. The learned Presenting Officer has submitted for passing appropriate orders.

4. Having considered the fact that cause of action has arisen within the jurisdiction of this Bench of the Tribunal and further fact that the applicants possess common grievance and relief sought is also identical, we deem it appropriate to allow the present application. Hence the following order:-

::-2-::

M.A. NO. 437/2024 IN
O.A.NO. 1047/2024

ORDER

- (i) The Misc. Application is allowed, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.
- (ii) Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any.
- (iii) There shall be no order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1047 OF 2024
(Santhosh D. Aundhekar & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**
[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : **09.09.2024**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Madhav C. Ghode, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. When the present matter is taken up for consideration, the learned counsel for the applicants in the present matter pointed out that the facts in the present matter are identical with the facts which existed in Original Application No. 980/2024. Learned counsel in the circumstances has prayed for the interim relief as has been granted in favour of the applicants in O.A. no. 980/2024. It is also pointed out that this Tribunal has also passed similar order in Original Application No. 987/2024 also.

3. As is revealing from the pleadings in the present Original Application the applicants are nominees of freedom fighters'. They were appointed in the period ranging between the years 1990 to 2001 on the basis of Government Circular dated 04.03.1991 and are working since then i.e. from the date of their appointment on the

respective posts. Learned counsel for the applicants submitted that the Government has issued G.R. dated 28.08.2024, whereby a decision has been taken to cancel the Circular dated 04.03.1991. Learned counsel further submitted that in the said G.R. the Government has issued directions to the concerned appointing authorities to terminate the services of the Freedom Fighters' nominees, who have not been appointed as per the prescribed procedure and the prescribed mode of appointment, meaning thereby that, who have been directly appointed without following the aforesaid procedure. The applicants in the present application apprehend the termination of their services on the aforesaid ground. According to these applicants, they have been duly appointed and each one of them has been working with the Government from the last couple of years. In the circumstances, interim relief is sought by these applicants thereby seeking directions against the respondents not to take any coercive action against these employees on the basis of G.R. dated 28.08.2024.

4. After having gone through the pleadings in the Original Application and the documents placed on record therein, it is noticed that the facts involved in the present matters are identical with the facts, which existed in earlier 02 matters i.e. O.A. Nos. 980 and 987 both of

2024, wherein this Tribunal has granted interim relief in favour of the applicants in the said applications. Hence, the following order:-

ORDER

(i) Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.09.2024. **Till then the respondents shall not take any coercive action against the applicants in light of impugned Government Resolution bearing No. नामनि-२०२४/प्र.क.७२/स्वासैक-१, dated 28.08.2024.**

(ii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

(iii) Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

(iv) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

(v) The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date.

:: - 4 - ::

O.A. NO. 1047/2024

Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

(vi) S.O. to 25.09.2024.

(vii) Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024-HDD

M.A.NO. 440/2024 IN O.A.NO. 1058/2024
(Arjun Bhimrao Sanap & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**
[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : **09.09.2024**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.S. Karad, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants submitted that all the applicants in the present application are presently working in the offices falling within the jurisdiction of this Tribunal. It is further contended that the applicants have common grievance and they are seeking common relief. In the circumstances, the request is made for permission to sue jointly.

3. The learned Presenting Officer has submitted for passing appropriate orders.

4. Having considered the fact that cause of action has arisen within the jurisdiction of this Bench of the Tribunal and further fact that the applicants possess common grievance and relief sought is also identical, we deem it appropriate to allow the present application. Hence the following order:-

::-2-::

M.A. NO. 440/2024 IN
O.A.NO. 1058/2024

ORDER

- (i) The Misc. Application is allowed, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.
- (ii) Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any.
- (iii) There shall be no order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1058 OF 2024
(Arjun Bhimrao Sanap & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**
[This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench

DATE : **09.09.2024**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.S. Karad, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants undertakes to rectify the objections as are recorded by the office.

3. When the present matter is taken up for consideration, the learned counsel for the applicants in the present matter pointed out that the facts in the present matter are identical with the facts which existed in Original Application No. 980/2024. Learned counsel in the circumstances has prayed for the interim relief as has been granted in favour of the applicants in O.A. no. 980/2024. It is also pointed out that this Tribunal has also passed similar order in Original Application No. 987/2024 also.

4. As is revealing from the pleadings in the present Original Application the applicants are nominees of freedom fighters'. Applicant Nos. 1 to 5 were appointed in the year 2007 and applicant No. 6 was appointed in the year 2005 on the basis of Government Circular dated 04.03.1991 and are working since then i.e. from the date

of their appointment on the respective posts. Learned counsel for the applicants submitted that the Government has issued G.R. dated 28.08.2024, whereby a decision has been taken to cancel the Circular dated 04.03.1991. Learned counsel further submitted that in the said G.R. the Government has issued directions to the concerned appointing authorities to terminate the services of the Freedom Fighters' nominees, who have not been appointed as per the prescribed procedure and the prescribed mode of appointment, meaning thereby that, who have been directly appointed without following the aforesaid procedure. The applicants in the present application apprehend the termination of their services on the aforesaid ground. According to these applicants, they have been duly appointed and each one of them has been working with the Government from the last couple of years. In the circumstances, interim relief is sought by these applicants thereby seeking directions against the respondents not to take any coercive action against these employees on the basis of G.R. dated 28.08.2024.

5. After having gone through the pleadings in the Original Application and the documents placed on record therein, it is noticed that the facts involved in the present matters are identical with the facts, which existed in earlier 02 matters i.e. O.A. Nos. 980 and 987 both of

2024, wherein this Tribunal has granted interim relief in favour of the applicants in the said applications. Hence, the following order:-

ORDER

(i) Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.09.2024. **Till then the respondents shall not take any coercive action against the applicants in light of impugned Government Resolution bearing No. नामनि-२०२४/प्र.क.७२/स्वासैक-१, dated 28.08.2024.**

(ii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

(iii) Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

(iv) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

(v) The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date.

:: - 4 - ::

O.A. NO. 1058/2024

Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

(vi) S.O. to 25.09.2024.

(vii) Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024-HDD

**M.A.NO. 411 OF 2024 IN O.A.NO. 944 OF 2024
(Abhishekh A. Pardeshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply to the Misc. Application seeking amendment in the O.A. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 18.09.2024.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO. 427 OF 2024 IN O.A.NO. 1019 OF 2024
(Milind B. Sawwase & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 10.09.2024 in **urgent admission category.**

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 888 OF 2024
(Bhaskar N. Ghodke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Archana S. Therokar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 18.11.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal

(Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2// O.A.
888/2024

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 18.11.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1032 OF 2024
(Balaji V. Hippargekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Dr. Sahebrao G. Nandedkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Leave to implead the Commissioner, State Excise (Government of Maharashtra), Mumbai as party respondent.
3. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 19.11.2024.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

//2// O.A. 1032/2024

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 19.11.2024.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1040 OF 2024
(Balu G. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri B.R. Kedar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 10.09.2024 in **urgent admission category**.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1052 OF 2024
(Manohar K. Gokhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned counsel submits that she has instructions to appear on behalf of respondent No.5 and during course of the day she would file **VAKALATNAMA** on behalf of said respondent in the office of this Tribunal.

3. Learned P.O. appears for respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and seeks time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

4. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.5 also seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No.5. Time granted.

5. S.O. to 13.09.2024.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 997 OF 2024
(Vasant J. Koli Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks two weeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 23.09.2024 **in urgent admission category.**

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 517 OF 2022
(Shital A. Gundewad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Sudhir Patil, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 6 to 8, are present.

2. This is a part heard matter.

3. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 23.09.2024 for further hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 334 OF 2023
(Ramsing H. Sulane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Rahul A. Shinde, learned counsel holding for Shri D.B. Thoke, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Hearing completed.
3. The present matter is reserved for order.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 478 OF 2023
(Vishwajit V. Udate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. This is a part heard matter. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 24.09.2024 for further hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 698 OF 2023
(Suresh R. Warade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. This is a part heard matter.

3. Learned P.O. seeks two weeks time to comply with the directives issued by this Tribunal in the order dated 02.09.2024. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 23.09.2024 for further hearing. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO. 353/2021 IN M.A.ST.NO. 1144/2021 IN
O.A.ST.1145/2021
(Ramkrushan D. Gore & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned counsel holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. V.P. Choudhari, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri D.T. Devane, learned counsel for respondent No.4 has filed a **leave note**.

2. In view of leave note of learned counsel for respondent No.4, S.O. to 24.10.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024

1. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 519 OF 2024
(Shivaji P. Khetmalas Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

2. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 520 OF 2024
(Shivajirao B. Nimbalkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

3. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 521 OF 2024
(Vishnu T. Mahale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

4. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 522 OF 2024
(Trimbak A. Bade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

5. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 523 OF 2024
(Nagnath P. Ghodke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

6. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 524 OF 2024
(Sahebrao K. Bodkhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

7. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 525 OF 2024
(Sahebrao B. Said Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

8. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 526 OF 2024
(Vinayak B. Nimbalkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sushil I. Patil, learned counsel for the applicants in all these O.As., S/Shri D.M. Hage, A.P. Basarkar & Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officers for the respondent authorities in respective O.As. and Shri P.B. Kadam, learned counsel holding for Shri Rahul A. Tambe, learned

counsel for the respondent No.4 in O.A.No. 520, are present.

//2// O.A. 519/2024 & Ors.

Shri D.T. Devane, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 & 4 in O.A.No. 525/2024 has filed a **leave note**.

2. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.4 in O.A.No. 520/2024 submits affidavit in reply on behalf of said respondent. The same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to other side today itself.

3. Learned P.Os. seek two weeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent authorities in all these O.As. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 24.09.2024.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 897 OF 2024
(Govind H. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 18.09.2024.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 930 OF 2024
(Sunil P. Zinge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
WITH
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 931 OF 2024
(Vinod G. Degaonkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
WITH
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 932 OF 2024
(Kalawati S. Bhusewad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Dhananjay Chinchole, learned counsel holding for Shri J.J. Patil, learned counsel for the applicants in all these O.As. and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these O.As., are present.

2. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 in all these O.As. The same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to other side today itself.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 23.09.2024.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 546 OF 2024
(Sujata R. Puri Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.R. Jayabhar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 30.09.2024.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 121 OF 2021
(Sukhadev S. Jadhav & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 13.11.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 562 OF 2024
(Rahul B. Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.K. Khandelwal, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 11.10.2024.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 709 OF 2024
(Dwarkabai P. Ramteke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.G. Dalal, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks two weeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 23.09.2024.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO. 383/2023 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1196/2023
(Vishwas C. Mohite Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. I have heard learned counsel for the applicant for some time. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that there is a delay of 8 years, 3 months and 26 days caused in filing the Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that however, the said delay is calculated as per the objection raised by the office.

3. It appears from prayer clause 'B' of the Original Application that the applicant is seeking quashing and setting aside the order dated Nil.11.2022 issued by the District Collector, Jalgaon and accordingly, seeking direction to the respondents to grant the benefit of A.C.P.S./second benefit of higher pay scale.

//2//

4. Learned counsel on instructions submits that the prayer in the Original Application is restricted to grant of benefit of A.C.P.S./second benefit of higher pay scale in terms of the impugned order dated Nil.11.2022.
5. The Registrar of this Tribunal is directed to submit the report as to exact period of delay, if any, caused in filing the Original Application.
6. S.O. to 18.09.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO. 523/2023 IN O.A.ST.NO. 35/2023
(Faruqi Md. Khayum Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. None present for the applicant. S.O. to 20.11.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024

M.A.NO. 460/2023 IN O.A.ST.NO. 57/2023
(Baburao M. Suryakar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned counsel holding for Shri K.P. Rodge, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 29.10.2024 for filing rejoinder, if any and for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024

M.A.NO. 171/2024 IN O.A.ST.NO. 356/2024
(Dattatray M. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 20.09.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 841 OF 2023
(Prashant B. Telang Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Dhananjay Chinchole, learned counsel holding for Shri J.J. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. List the matter for hearing on 03.10.2024 with liberty to respondent No.2 to file affidavit in reply, if any, till then.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 862 OF 2018
(Kiran S. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

None present for the applicant. Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. By order dated 25.07.2024 this Tribunal observed that the advocate representing the applicant is no more and accordingly directed the office to issue office notice to the applicant returnable today. It appears that though the applicant is duly served, none present for him. It seems that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the matter. The Original Application is of the year 2018. The same is therefore dismissed in default. No costs.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 179 OF 2022
(Raju J. Somvanshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. submits that in paragraph No. 12 of the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 3 it is specifically mentioned that the SLP filed (Diary No. 5398/2021) dated 25.02.2021 is still pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court which is in respect of the issue regarding joining of the services of the Muster Assistants. Learned P.O. thus seeking time to take specific instructions as to whether the said SLP is still pending or not. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 24.09.2024 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 263 OF 2021
(Dattatraya S. Haral Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.B. Solanke, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. None present for the applicant. S.O. to 18.11.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 620 OF 2018
(Baban D. Gadekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 09.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.M. Maney, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 11.10.2024 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 09.09.2024