

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 09.09.2024

O.A. No.577 of 2024

S.N. Khamitkar .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Shri Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that 'Suspension Order' dated 19.03.2024 against Applicant who belongs to cadre of 'District Social Welfare Officer-Class 1' was passed by 'Commissioner Social Welfare; Maharashtra State, Pune' on grounds which relate to earlier tenures of Applicant as (i) 'District Social Welfare ZP Latur' and (ii) 'District Social Welfare Department ZP Solapur'.
3. Learned Advocate for Applicant emphasized that 'Commissioner Social Welfare Maharashtra State, Pune' was neither 'Appointing Authority' nor 'Disciplinary Authority' for cadre of 'District Social Welfare Officer-Class 1' and was thus not competent to exercise power under 'Rule 4 (1)(a)' of 'MCS (D & A) Rules: 1979' to pass 'Suspension Order' dated 19.03.2024 against Applicant.
4. Learned Advocate for Applicant contended that about 6 Months have passed since 'Suspension Order' dated 19.03.2024 was passed against Applicant. Thus 'Suspension Review Committee' should have reviewed it; as otherwise it now stands revoked as per '**Judgment of 'Hon'ble Supreme Court of India' in 'Ajay Kumar Chaudhari Vs. Union of India (2015) 7 SCC 291'**.
5. Learned PO on the other hand draws attention to 'Memorandum' dated 09.07.2024 of 'Social Justice & Special Assistance Department' which is regarding confirmation of action taken by 'Commissioner Social Welfare, Maharashtra State, Pune' to issue 'Suspension Order' dated 19.03.2024 against Applicant.
6. Learned PO further draws attention to 'Para 6' of 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' filed on 26.02.2024 to clarify that 'Commissioner of Social Welfare, Maharashtra State, Pune' had neither acted as 'Appointing Authority' nor 'Disciplinary Authority'; but only as 'Head of

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

Department' to issue 'Suspension Order' dated 19.03.2024 against Applicant; which is evident from contents of 'Memorandum' dated 09.07.2024 of 'Social Justice & Special Assistance Department.

7. The 'Suspension Order' dated 19.03.2024 issued against Applicant by 'Commissioner Social Welfare, Maharashtra State, Pune' was thus as 'Head of Department'. The grounds for passing 'Suspension Order' dated 19.03.2024 against Applicant relate to earlier tenures of Applicant as (a) 'District Social Welfare Officer ZP Pune', and (b) 'District Social Welfare Officer, ZP Solapur'.

8. The 'Suspension Order' dated 19.03.2024 issued against Applicant by 'Commissioner Social Welfare, Maharashtra State, Pune' mentions about various instances of 'Misconduct of Applicant' which are broadly of 'Administrative Nature' and '*prima facie*' do not appear to be about 'Financial Irregularities' or 'Misappropriation of Funds' etc. Hence against this backdrop the 'Commissioner of Social Welfare, Maharashtra State, Pune' could have submitted report about 'Misconduct of Applicant' and sought 'Suspension Order' against Applicant from 'Appointing Authority' or 'Disciplinary Authority' instead of himself acting in haste as 'Head of Department'. Therefore in the aforesaid context and backdrop of '**Judgment**' of '**Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Ajay Kumar Chaudhari Vs. Union of India (2015) 7 SCC 291**'; the 'Principal Secretary Social Justice & Special Assistance Department' to immediately place case of Applicant before 'Suspension Review Committee' for appropriate decision as per provisions of 'Rule 4(5)(c)' of 'MCS (D & A) Rules 1979'.

9. The appropriate decision of 'Suspension Review Committee' regarding revocation or modification of 'Suspension Order' dated 19.03.2024 issued by 'Commissioner Social Welfare, Maharashtra State, Pune' or otherwise to be communicated within 'Two Weeks' to Applicant.

10. S.O. to 03.10.2024

Sd/-  
(**Debashish Chakrabarty**)  
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1117/2024</u></b></p> <p>R.A Gethe &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.S Gaikwad, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The applicants challenge the impugned syllabus dated 1.2.2023 and after receipt of the said record and proceedings from the office of Respondent No. 3, MPSC, and after going into the legality, validity and propriety of the same, the same be quashed and set aside or to cancel the said impugned syllabus dated 1.2.2023. Applicants also pray to stay on the publication on the further advertisement for Maharashtra Agricultural Services based on the impugned syllabus dated 1.2.2023 until the removal and or clearance of the disparity in the said impugned syllabus dated 1.2.2023 and further direct the MPSC to keep separate optional paper of 200 marks to each of the faculties, Agricultural Science includes degrees of B. Sc Agriculture, Horticulture, Food Technology, Agriculture Bio-Technology, Business Management, Fisheries and Home Sciences and also B. Tech Agriculture Engineering and Technology with effective consultation of all Agriculture Universities with State of Maharashtra and make equitable competition for equal level field in Agriculture Services like Forest Services.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.9.2024.</li> <li>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. S.O to 23.9.2024.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>MA 544/2024 in O.A 1117/2024</u></b></p> <p>R.A Gethe &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S Gaikwad, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court feeds, if not already paid.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 09.09.2024**

**O.A.No.973/2024**

C.S. Wable & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. P.M. Nagargoje, learned Counsel for the Applicants (through video conference) and Mr. A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Applicants working as Police Inspectors prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the impugned transfer list/ orders dated 30.01.2024 to the extent of applicant No.1 was transferred from Commissionerate area of Solapur to Gadchiroli and applicant No.2 was transferred from Commissionerate area of Solapur to Nagpur City and repost Applicant No.1 and 2 at Solapur Commissionerate Area with immediate effect.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.10.2024.

5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

9. Adjourned to 07.10.2024.

Sd/

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 09.09.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.1096 of 2023 with O.A. No. 1097 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.S. Khot .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Shri A.S. Raktade, learned Advocate for Applicant is Not Present. Shri R. Shinde, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 is Not Present.</p> <p>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date' in O.A. No. 1097 of 2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.09.2024</p> <p align="right">sd/-            (Debashish Chakrabarty)            Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.09.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.335 of 2024</p> <p>A.S. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders**

**Tribunal's orders**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 09.09.2024**

**O.A.No.687/2024**

S.D. Salunkhe .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Mr. R.V. Dighe, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 is absent.
3. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 23.09.2024 for filing rejoinder.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 09.09.2024**

**O.A.No.708/2024**

O.R. Divate

....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Respondent no.2.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 23.09.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 09.09.2024**

**O.A.No.775/2024**

K.A. Kamble .....Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Mr. D.B. Khaire/ Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.
3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 30.09.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 09.09.2024**

**O.A.No.794/2024**

P.B. Marulkar .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 23.09.2024 for reporting compliance.

Sd/-

/(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 09.09.2024**

**O.A.No.972/2024**

S.B. Bamane & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. P.M. Nagargoje, learned Counsel for the Applicants (through video conference) and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Applicants working as Police Sub Inspectors prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the impugned transfer list/ order dated 26.02.2024 to the extent of applicants No.1 to 3 (Sr. No.8, 9 and 24 - Annexure A-1) whereby the Applicants were transferred from Commissionerate area of Pimpri Chinchwad to Solapur and repost the applicants at Pimpri Chinchwad Commissionerate Area with immediate effect.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.10.2024.

5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

9. Adjourned to 07.10.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 09.09.2024**

**O.A.No.1006/2024**

S.S. Mali .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. A.D. Deshpande with Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.
2. Learned P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day reply will be filed. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.
3. Learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar seeks time to file rejoinder, if necessary.
4. Adjourned to 23.09.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 09.09.2024**

**O.A.No.1049/2024**

D.S. Jadhav .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Mr. M.B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.
3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 30.09.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 09.09.2024**

**O.A.No.1097/2024**

S.V. Waghe

....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. has submitted that the post is kept vacant, however, Government has sought time to take decision.
3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 23.09.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.09.2024

O.A.No.1125/2024 with O.A.No.1126/2024 with  
O.A.No.1127/2024

C.A. Nalawade (O.A.1125/2024)

S.K. Biradar (O.A.1126/2024)

S.R. Khatte (O.A.1127/2024) ....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, Ms. Archana B.K. and Mr. D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents in O.A.No.1125/2024, O.A.No.1126/2024 and O.A.No.1127/2024 respectively.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 23.09.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 09.09.2024**

**O.A.No.1577/2023 with M.A.No.45/2024**

A.L. Dure .....Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent no.3. Applicant is present in person.

2. Learned Counsel Mr. S.S. Dere has submitted that Applicant Mr. Dure has yesterday contacted him and instructed him to appear on his behalf. Learned Counsel Mr. Dere has submitted that applicant has sent email to learned Counsel Mr. Talekar to seek NOC from him. Learned Counsel Mr. Dere has submitted that during the course of the day he will file vakalatnama. Copy of email sent to learned Counsel Mr. Talekar is taken on record and marked as Exhibit-A.

3. Learned P.O. is directed to produce all relevant documents on the next date.

4. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Dere Adjourned to 11.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 09.09.2024**

**O.A.No.1020/2024**

R.R. Suryawanshi .....Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.5.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 is filed.

3. Learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar has submitted that affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.5 is filed.

4. Learned P.O. is directed to file reply on the point of competency.

3. Adjourned to 10.09.2024 for filing reply by learned P.O. and rejoinder, if any, by learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

09.09.2024

O.A 1144/2024

S.R Lohakare & Ors ... Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. The Applicants pray that the impugned order dated 15.3.2024, issued by Respondent No. 5, qua the Petitioner No. 1 under which he has declined to issue the order of appointment to the post of Laboratory Scientific Officer for want of Registration Certificate from the Maharashtra Paramedical Council and further to quash and set aside the impugned communication dated 21.6.2024 addressed by Respondent No. 2 to Respondent No. 1 under which he has declined to accept the DMLT qualification possessed by the Applicant.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.9.2024.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In view of the order of this Tribunal dated 12.1.2024 in O.A Nos 853 & 854/2023, the transfer order dated 30.8.2024 of Respondent No. 2 is hereby stayed.

9. S.O to 23.9.2024. To be placed under 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 559/2024 in O.A 1144/2024</u></b></p> <p>S.R Lohakare &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court feeds, if not already paid.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1150/2024</u></b></p> <p>P.D Balkhande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.N Kolhe, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.D Gugale, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that the impugned Corrigendum dated 26.8.2024 to the extent of Applicant, wherein the Respondents have disqualified the Applicant for the post of Tracer, Group-C.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.9.2024.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In view of the order of this Tribunal dated 12.1.2024 in O.A Nos 853 &amp; 854/2023, the transfer order dated 30.8.2024 of Respondent No. 2 is hereby stayed.</p> <p>9. S.O to 10.9.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 981/2024</u></b></p> <p>A.A Mane &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.B Chalak, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel prays that one post be kept for Applicant No. 5, Mr Prajwal S. Rathod, as he holds the necessary qualification, i.e., Diploma in Civil Engineering, which is higher qualification than I.T.I, Draftsman, which is required for the post of Assistant Draftsman and Draftsman.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>4. S.O to 10.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1091/2024</u></b></p> <p>Satish S. Ghukse ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.N Kolhe, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant is seeking to quash and set aside the impugned recommendation list, waiting list, cut off marks and list of holding the applicant not eligible candidate published on 31.7.2024 and 1.8.2024 for the post of Tracer, Group-C and non-consideration of his representation dated 2.8.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O seeks time.</p> <p>4. S.O to 25.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1154/2024</u></b></p> <p>A.D Gade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.9.2024.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In view of the order of this Tribunal dated 12.1.2024 in O.A Nos 853 &amp; 854/2023, the transfer order dated 30.8.2024 of Respondent No. 2 is hereby stayed.</p> <p>8. S.O to 18.9.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-left: 20px;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 66/2024 in O.A 918/2023</u></b></p> <p>N.K More ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that order of this Tribunal dated 1.11.2023 is required to be complied with.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that Misc Application seeking extension of time to complete the departmental enquiry was considered and one month's time was granted and extended period came to end on 4.2.2024. Learned P.O submitted that the enquiry is completed on 11.7.2024 and report is submitted to the Respondent, Home Department on the same day.</p> <p>4. At the request of learned P.O matter is kept tomorrow to make statement about compliance of the order of this Tribunal dated 1.11.2023.</p> <p>5. S.O to 10.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 12/2024 in O.A 312/2018</u></b></p> <p>S.R Sonawane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that Writ Petition is filed before the Hon'ble High Court challenging the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter adjourned to 10.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 531/2024 in O.A 1032/2024</u></b></p> <p>S.V Barge &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1032/2024</u></b></p> <p>S.V Barge &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.9.2024.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In view of the order of this Tribunal dated 12.1.2024 in O.A Nos 853 &amp; 854/2023, the transfer order dated 30.8.2024 of Respondent No. 2 is hereby stayed.</p> <p>8. S.O to 23.9.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 327 with 328/2023</u></b></p> <p>R.A Marathe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 14.10.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 27/2024</u></b></p> <p>Dr R.P Suryawanshi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 14.10.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 174/2024</u></b></p> <p>M.S Mhasane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 7.10.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 212/2024</u></b></p> <p>A.D Sinkar &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that this Tribunal by order dated 23.2.2024 has directed Respondents No 1 &amp; 2 to inform in writing whether D.P.C meeting for all the applicants have taken place and if not give reasons and till then no juniors as per select list dated 11.1.2024 shall be promoted.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submits that the order of this Tribunal dated 23.2.2024 is violated by the Respondents No 1 &amp; 2 as promotion order dated 10.6.2024 is issued promoting 449 juniors to the applicants.</p> <p>4. We direct Respondents No 1 &amp; 2 to meet the statement made by the learned counsel for the applicants.</p> <p>5. S.O to 23.9.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 249/2021</u></b></p> <p>D.D Koli ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply today.</p> <p>3. S.O to 23.9.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 272/2024</u></b></p> <p>P.Y Natekar &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants seek time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 30.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 348/2024</u></b></p> <p>R.P Zalte &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No 1 to 4. None for Respondent No. 5.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Till then no juniors to the applicants are to be promoted.</p> <p>4. S.O to 23.9.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 350/2024</u></b></p> <p>A.S Karkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 7.10.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 421/2024</u></b></p> <p>K.R Dhumal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. Place for final hearing on 30.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 549/2024</u></b></p> <p>M.B Rathod ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 7.10.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 554/2024</u></b></p> <p>Dr S.Ç Mormare &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 7.10.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 812/2024</u></b></p> <p>M.A Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</li> <li>3. Respondents are directed to file reply by way of last chance.</li> <li>4. S.O to 23.9.2024.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 920/2024</u></b> <b><u>(Through Video Conference)</u></b></p> <p>G.S Kulkarni ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 18.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 970/2024</u></b></p> <p>B.C Revatkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.B Chalak, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No 1 to 3 and Shri S.B Talekar, learned counsel for Respondent No. 4 through Video Conference.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for Respondent No. 4 submits that reply is already filed. However, learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has not received copy of the reply.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for Respondent No. 4 is directed to serve copy of the reply to the learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>5. S.O to 23.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1033/2024</u></b></p> <p>H.N Dahifale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 30.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1098/2024</u></b></p> <p>S.R Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.A Jadhav, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submits that he has filed affidavit of service. Learned counsel submits that in the Recruitment Rules dated 24.4.2016 and in the advertisement dated 1.11.2023, the educational qualification for the post of Tracer is mentioned as I.T.I, Draftsman. Learned counsel submitted that the applicant is Architect Draftsman and not I.T.I Draftsman and Architect Draftsman are equal to I.T.I Draftsman.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel further seeks permission to implead Skill Development and Entrepreneurship Department as Party Respondent.</p> <p>5. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith and copy to be served on the Respondents.</p> <p>6. S.O to 10.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>09.09.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1157/2024</u></b></p> <p>M.V Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ramesh Dhakne h/f Shri S.S Thombre, learned advocate for the applicant and Mr Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays that clause 2 of the impugned G.R dated 28.8.2024, issued by Respondent No. 1 be quashed and set aside and by of interim relief he prays that the Respondents be restrained from terminating his services pursuant to clause 2 of the impugned G.R dated 28.8.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant relied on the judgment and order dated 3.9.2024 passed by the Aurangabad Bench of this Tribunal in O.A 1001/2024 [Santosh J. Panchal &amp; Ors Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors] and the same interim relief is to be granted to the present applicant as the applicant apprehends that his service is going to be terminated.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, we direct the Respondents not to take any coercive action against the applicant in the light of the impugned G.R dated 28.8.2024 till filing of the reply by the Respondents.</p> <p>5. S.O to 27.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>