

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.08.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.958 of 2024</p> <p>A.A. MengadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 and Ms Sayali Gangal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Vikram N. Walawalkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No. 2.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 seeks sometime to take written instructions about reasons behind Order dated 15.03.2023 issued by 'Municipal Commissioner, Sangli-Miraj & Kupwad Municipal Corporation'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that grievance of Applicant is that although he is serving as 'Chief Accounts & Finance Officer' as per 'Government Order' dated 11.12.2023 of 'Finance Department'; yet 'Municipal Commissioner, Sangli-Miraj & Kupwad, Municipal Corporation' by Order dated 15.03.2024 has unilaterally assigned certain important subjects of work relating to 'Chief Accounts & Finance Officer' to 'Accounts Officer' who is not only subordinate to Applicant but happens to be an employee of 'Municipal Corporation Sangli-Miraj & Kupwad'.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant then draws attention to letter written by 'Deputy Commissioner Sangli-Miraj & Kupwad Municipal Corporation' on 14.06.2024 to Applicant wherein it has been mentioned</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

that explanation which was submitted by Applicant by 'Show Cause Notice' dated 29.05.2024 has been accepted; however final decision about Order dated 15.03.2023 will be taken in due course by 'Municipal Commissioner, Sangli-Miraj & Kupwad, Municipal Corporation'.

5. Learned Advocate for Applicant emphasizes that the Order dated 15.03.2024 of 'Municipal Commissioner, Sangli-Miraj & Kupwad' was issued without any jurisdiction as it contravenes guidelines in 'Para 5' of 'Finance Department' GR dated 08.02.2018 which mentions about consultations to be done if any issue arises about service matters relating to officers of 'Maharashtra Finance & Accounts Services'.

6. Learned PO seeks time to take as certain from 'Principal Secretary (A & T) Finance Department' if Order dated 15.03.2024 of Municipal Commissioner Sangli-Miraj & Kupwad, Municipal Corporation contravenes guidelines in 'Para 5' of Finance Department GR dated 08.02.2018.

7. The 'Municipal Commissioner, Sangli-Miraj & Kupwad, Municipal Corporation' nonetheless is directed to take final decision within 'Two Weeks' about Order dated 15.03.2024 as was conveyed by 'Deputy Commissioner Sangli-Miraj & Kupwad Municipal Corporation' letter dated 14.06.2024 to Applicant.

8. S.O. to 22.08.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.08.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.479 of 2024</p> <p>A.M. KhobragadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicants refers to 'Para 10' of the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 11.07.2024 filed by 'Assistant Professor' on behalf of 'Dean' of 'B.J. Medical College, Pune', wherein it is mentioned that 'One Post' of 'Sanitary Inspector' is at present vacant at 'Government Medical College, Nagpur'. Therefore the proposal of Applicant will be submitted during 2024 before 'CSB'.</p> <p>3. The 'Dean' of 'B.J. Medical College, Pune' therefore to consider proposal of Applicant for transfer to vacant post of 'Sanitary Inspector' in 'Government Medical College, Nagpur' during next meeting of 'CSB'. The 'Final Decision' of 'CSB' is to be communicated within 'One Week' to Applicant.</p> <p>4. The grievance of Applicant accordingly stands redressed. Hence as nothing survives in O.A. No.479 of 2024; it stands disposed off. No Order as to Cost.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date: 09.08.2024

O.A. No.808 of 2024

S.S. JadhavApplicant
 Versus
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant through 'Video Conference' and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents and Shri A. Panikar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5 through 'Video Conference'.

2. Learned Advocate for 'Respondent No. 5' – 'Election Commissioner of India' states that 'Affidavit-in-Reply' has been filed on 07.08.2024.

3. Learned PO states that 'Respondent No.4' – 'SP Pune (Rural)' has forwarded instructions and she will soon file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'.

4. S.O. to 14.08.2024.

Sd/-
 (Debashish Chakrabarty)
 Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date: 09.08.2024

O.A. No.477 of 2024

A.J. ShaikhApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Prashant Nayak, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. states that as per observations and directions given in 'Para 4' to 'Para 6' of Order dated 04.07.2024; the 'Deputy Director of Land Record, Nashik Division, Nashik' has since submitted proposal to 'Settlement Commissioner & Director of Land Records, Pune' for due consideration of 'Personal Hardship' faced by Applicant, who has requested for transfer to any of the '4 Offices' of 'Special Deputy Superintendents' of 'Land Records' located in 'Ahmednagar District'.

3. S.O. to 23.08.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.08.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.413 of 2024</p> <p>C.S. SalunkheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that 'Joint Director, Vocational Education and Training, Pune' has issued 'Office Orders' dated 06.08.2024 to transfer back Applicant from post of 'Craft Instructor (Turner)' at 'I.T.I., Aundh, Tahsil Haveli, District Pune' to earlier post of 'Craft Instructor (Turner)' at 'I.T.I., Rajguru Nagar, Tahsil Khed, District Pune'.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. states that he will place on record the copy of 'Office Order' dated 06.08.2024 of 'Joint Director, Vocational Education and Training, Pune'.</p> <p>4. The grievance of Applicant stands completely redressed upon issue of the 'Office Order' dated 06.08.2024 of 'Joint Director, Vocational Education and Training, Pune'. Hence, as nothing survives in this O.A. O.A. No.413/2024; it stands disposed off. 'No Order as to Costs'.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date: 09.08.2024

O.A. No.727 of 2024

S.T. Mali

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Sakharam Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R. Deshpande, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department' – Respondent No.1 dated 08.08.2024. It is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 23.08.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>09.08.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 526/2023</u></p> <p>D.S Deulkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sushant Khatake holding for Shri P.S Bhavake, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for learned P.O for the Respondents No. 1 to 3 and Shri R.M Kolge, learned counsel for Respondent No. 4.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for Respondent No. 4 seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>09.08.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 759/2023</u></p> <p>R.N Kaningdhwaj ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that reply dated 7.8.2024 is filed by Respondent No. 2, Commissioner, ESIS. Learned counsel submits that in this matter reply of Respondent No. 1 is necessary. However, it is not filed.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that Respondent No. 1 will file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 22.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>09.08.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1096/2017</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>S.S Kerle ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the matter to be heard when she will be present physically and not virtually. Learned counsel further submitted that the Respondents be directed to produce the relevant records.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, matter adjourned to 21.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>09.08.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A Nos 716, 717, 718, 719, 720 & 730/2022</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>H.G Sapkal & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants not available when called out on V.C. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 2.9.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>09.08.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1040/2017</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>Y.G Bhambure ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the Respondents want to file reply to the amended Original Application.</p> <p>3. S.O to 30.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.932 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.M. Padule ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that applicant is appointed as Jailor Grade-II, Non-Gazetted on 11.2.2005. The applicant passed the examination which was conducted by the MPSC on 12.9.2017 to the post of Jailor Grade-I. The result was declared on 2.1.2017. He was regularly promoted to the post of Jailor Grade-I on 21.5.2018. The applicant has secured order from the Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal thereby directing the respondents to grant the benefit of 7th Pay Commission. The applicant seeks deemed date from 4.12.2015 and also prays that his seniority is to be upgraded before the juniors as per seniority list of 2014 to the post of Jailor Grade-II and further to direct respondent no.1 to grant him promotion from Jailor Grade-I to Superintendent, District Prison, Class-II/Dy. Superintendent, Central Prison as per the corrected seniority of the post of Jailor Grade-I in the select list from 1.9.2023 to 31.8.2024 and he also seeks deemed ate from 24.6.2022 and his seniority is to be upgraded.</p> <p>3. Prima facie it appears that the reliefs prayed for are time barred. Same objection is raised by the Ld. PO. Ld. Advocate for the applicant to satisfy.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 9.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.971 of 2024</u></p> <p>P.A. Sankhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Shantanu H. Hatkar h/f Shri M.B. Nirmal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant is challenging the selection of Zilla Parishad, Palghar. He submits that he cannot challenge the same in this Tribunal and seeks leave to withdraw the OA with liberty to present it before the appropriate forum.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. OA is allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to approach the appropriate forum and disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 9.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA No.58/2023 in OA No.834/2022</u> with <u>CA No.59/2023 in OA No.878/2022</u></p> <p>Dr. V.N. Waghmare & Ors. Dr. R.R. Rajput ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicants is absent.</p> <p>3. Ld. Po states that Writ Petitions are pending in the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 9.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.80 of 2023 in O.A. No.729 of 2019</u></p> <p>Dr. S.V. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant is personally present in the Court.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO tenders a copy of order dated 2.8.2024 regarding payment of pension and pensionary benefits and states that the order of the Tribunal is complied with. Copy of order dated 2.8.2024 is given to the applicant and the same is also taken on record and marked as Court Exhibit-1 for identification.</p> <p>4. In view of the above CA is disposed off.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 9.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.110 of 2023 in O.A. No.553 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. R.R. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that the Hon'ble Minister has approved the file and the department has issued the order in favour of the applicant on 6.8.2024, pursuant to the order passed by this Tribunal. The order dated 6.8.2024 is taken on record and marked as Court Exhibit-1 for identification.</p> <p>3. In view of the above as the order of the Tribunal is complied with, the CA is disposed off.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 9.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.360 of 2024 in O.A. No.60 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.R. Gujar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicants have filed this MA for early hearing of the OA. Ld. Advocate for the applicants states that out of 13 applicants, 5 are retired and one is going to retire in this month.</p> <p>3. We under the anxiety of the applicants, however, we are dealing with the matters of 2017 and urgent matters of recruitment where MPSC is involved. We are unable to hear this matter immediately. However, we will take this matter in regular course and try to finish it.</p> <p>4. MA is disposed off. OA is adjourned to 11.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 9.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.11 of 2024
	<p>Dr. Sunanda R. Katke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.V. Kate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that applicant is reinstated on 14.6.2024 but she is not getting salary.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that as per the Sevarth application programme of the government for pay bills, when a person is retired at the age of 58 years, his name is automatically removed from the system. In the present case the applicant is retired on 31.5.2023 and reinstated on 14.6.2024 and therefore it will take some time to enter her name in the system to get her the salary.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 9.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.575, 538, 539, 559, 694 & 695 of 2023</u></p> <p>K.R. Dighe & Ors. H.S. Khairnar & Ors. M.P. Takale & Ors. B.S. Shinde & Anr. S.D. Namdas & Ors. R.C. Shaikh & Ors. ..Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji h/f Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants in OA No.575/2023 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri Kranti L.C., Ld. Advocate for applicants in other matters is not present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants states that orders are challenged in the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP No.128/2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.9.2024.</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 9.8.2024</td> <td align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.8.2024</td> </tr> </table> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 9.8.2024	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.8.2024
Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 9.8.2024	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.8.2024		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1601 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.A. Paradkar & 9 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan h/f Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.9.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 9.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.43 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.G. Parekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.9.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 9.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.463 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.M. Dabade & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji h/f Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 9.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.8.2024</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.440-455 of 2023

A.V. Kanake & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.A. Deshmukh h/f Shri V.A. Nagrani,
learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad,
learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Dr. Radhakishan B. Pawar, Dy. Director, Health Services,
Pune and Dr. Dilip K. Mane, Dy. Director, Health Services,
Kolhapur are personally present in the Court.

3. Ld. PO on instructions submits that in Maharashtra there
are 6 divisions. From these 6 divisions the report regarding
tainted and untainted candidates was to be received at Pune centre
as Dy. Director is the competent authority because selection
process was held at his level. The report from Kolhapur division
was received on 19.7.2024. Dy. Director, Pune submitted that
some information was required from the candidates in the said
report so again query was made. However, Dy. Director Kolhapur
submitted the required information to the office of Dy. Director,
Pune on 19.7.2024 and submits that there was delay of more than
6 months to give the explanation because explanation was not
received from the candidates. We therefore directed the office of
Ld. PO to contact Dr. Ashok Nandapurkar, Dy. Director, Thane.

4. Ld. PO submitted that however Dy. Director, Pune has
taken decision on 6.8.2024 and prepared final report and it is
submitted to the office of Director, Public Health, Pune. Ld. PO
submits that Dy. Director, Pune is holding the additional charge of
Joint Director, Pune and whose report is submitted to the Director.
The post of Director is vacant.

5. Ld. PO submits that the report will be submitted today and
thereafter the Government will take decision.

6. Shri Milind Mhaiskar, ACS, is expected to take decision
in this matter on or before 6.9.2024 as the matter is fixed on
10.9.2024.

7. S.O. to 10.9.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)
9.8.2024
(sgj)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
9.8.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.974 of 2024</u></p> <p>Y.S. Gawali ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges list of qualified candidates dated 16.7.2024 where the applicant was held not eligible for interview. Applicant further prays that respondents be directed to allow the applicant to correct the mistake in the answer sheet by inserting the question booklet series D instead of B and to re-evaluate the applicant's General Studies-II (032) paper with the correct question booklet series code 'D' as indicated by the invigilator and to revise the applicant's total marks accordingly. He further prays that the applicant be allowed to participate in the interview.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.8.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)
9.8.2024
(sgj)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
9.8.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.976 of 2024</u></p> <p>Pradnya M. Darade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that applicant has applied for the post of Assistant Director, Industrial Safety and Health pursuant to the advertisement dated 25.2.2022. After clearing the examination and interview her name was recommended. She was called for verification of documents and medical examination and options were called from her. However, on 28.2.2024 notice was served on her stating that why her recommendation is not to be cancelled. She submitted her explanation which was not accepted and thereafter on 19.7.2024 her recommendation was cancelled. Ld. Advocate submitted that applicant's recommendation was cancelled for the reason that she does not possess requisite 'two years' experience in the factory registered under the Factories Act, 1948. Ld. Advocate submits that in fact the applicant has experience of working in factory which is registered under the Factories Act, 1948 and it is mentioned in para 6.14 of the OA. He relies on the order dated 31.7.2024 passed by this Tribunal in OAs No.910 & 911/2024 (N.B. Sanap, H.S. Rewaskar Vs. State) and states that the present matter is similar and he prays for the same relief of status quo.</p> <p>3. On query Ld. PO confirms that in similar matter this Tribunal has passed the order of maintaining status quo and not to proceed further.</p> <p>4. Thus, we pass the same order that the respondents should maintain the status quo as of today and not to proceed further in the matter of appointment.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.8.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)
9.8.2024

(sgj)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
9.8.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 903 OF 2024

DISTRICT : PUNE

Vasim R. Inamdar & Ors)...**Applicants**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors)...**Respondents**

Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the Applicants.

Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
No 1 & 2.

Shri Akshay Deshmukh a/w Shri Sanket Kadam, learned counsel
for Respondents No 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 16 & 18.

None present for Respondents No 3, 4, 5, 7, 11, 14, 15, 17, 19, 20
to 40.

CORAM : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**

Shri Debashish Chakrabarty (Member) (A)

DATE : **09.08.2024**

ORDER

1. Learned counsel for the applicants pray that the matter is to be heard for ad-interim relief though the affidavit in reply is not filed by the Respondents and as the applicants have very good case to press for ad-interim relief.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants pray for ad-interim relief as under:-

Interim relief, if any:

“This Hon’ble Tribunal may be pleased to direct the Respondents to maintain the status quo as on today in respect of DPC conducted by the Respondent no. 2 for

promotion to the post of Superintendent of District Prison, Class-II/Deputy Superintendent Central Prison, Class-II from the cadre of Jailor, Group-I on the basis of seniority list dated 21.11.2023 till the final disposal of this Original Application.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submitted that the applicants have passed the departmental examination when they were in the cadre of Jailor Group-II in the year 2017 and they all are promoted to the post of Jailor Group-I in the year 2023. Learned counsel further submits that the case of the applicants rests on the preparation of the Seniority List/revision of the Seniority List based on the order dated 12.1.2024 passed by this Tribunal in O.A 1536/2023, wherein this Tribunal has directed that no orders of Promotion for the post of Jailor, Group-I be issued for Jailor, Group-II. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the applicants that pursuant to this order, the Respondents shuffled the seniority list of Jailor, Group-II and the applicants were working as Jailor, Group-II. Their seniority was accordingly placed properly on the basis of passing the departmental examination within the stipulated time and chances. Learned counsel further submitted that when they have shuffled the seniority list of Jailor, Group-II, it was necessary for the Respondents to also shuffle the seniority list of Jailor, Group-I. However, the Respondents did not do that. Learned counsel further submitted that the Respondents thus were expected to follow Rule 3 of The Maharashtra Prison Department (Executive Officers Qualifying Examination) Rules dated 20.8.1977. Learned counsel further submitted that especially Rule 3 should have been followed scrupulously by the Respondents, while giving promotion in a regular vacancy to the higher cadre unless and until the Government servant has passed the departmental examination within the stipulated time and chances. Learned counsel submitted that it was mandatory for the Respondents not to



promote anybody if the Government servant did not pass the departmental examination within the stipulated time and chances. Learned counsel submitted that the applicants are the one who have passed the departmental examination within the stipulated time and chances and as the private Respondents did not pass the departmental examination within the stipulated time and chances, their seniority is to be brought down. Thus, the rules are not followed by the Respondents. Therefore, the seniority in the cadre of Jailor, Group-I has not been correctly shown by the Respondents and he challenges the same. Learned counsel has demonstrated with the help of the Charts prepared by him at page 125, wherein the seniority of one Shri Kiran S. Pawar, who was appointed on 6.10.2023 and passed the departmental examination on 12.9.2017, was shown at Sr. No. 1. However, as per the revised seniority list Shri Kiran S. Pawar is shown at Sr. No. 140. Learned counsel further pointed out that one Shri P.S Shinde, from Jailor Group-II who is shown at Sr. No. 1 was given the deemed date of 1.12.2012, when he passed the departmental examination on 12.9.2017. Earlier, he was shown at Sr. No. 42. Thus, learned counsel demonstrated that what is the effect of the order of this Tribunal dated 12.1.2024 in O.A 1536/2023, wherein this Tribunal has passed order that no promotion order for the post of Jailor, Group-I to be issued for Jailor, Group-II, the Respondent-Department carried out the exercise and the seniority list of Jailor-Group-II was revised. However, the seniority list of Jailor, Group-I is not properly prepared by following Rule 3 of the said Recruitment Rules. Learned counsel has submitted that the Private Respondents who have not cleared the departmental examination within the stipulated time and chances cannot be shown senior to the applicants, otherwise the applicants suffer heartburns. Learned counsel has further submitted that though the Respondents have corrected the seniority list from 2024

onwards, they are required to correct the seniority list of Jailor, Group-I & Jailor, Group-II from 2018 onwards as the applicants and the private Respondents have passed the departmental examination in the year 2017. Learned counsel further submitted that there are 10 posts vacant and the applicants have no objection in respect of Respondents 1 to 7 as they have cleared the departmental examination in time and objection was raised in respect of Respondents No 8 to 10.

4. Learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 & 2 and learned counsel Shri Deshmukh for the Private Respondents No 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 16 & 18 opposed to pass any such order of ad-interim relief as the Respondent-State as well as the private Respondents want to take instructions and file affidavit in reply. Learned counsel Shri Deshmukh for the private Respondents submitted that he is filing his Vakalatnama today and so he needs time. Learned counsel further submitted that Rules 4 & 5 of the above referred Recruitment Rules are also to be read.

5. Learned counsel Shri Dere for the applicants countered his argument and submitted that as per Rule 5 of the said Rules, the Respondents shall not give promotion to the Government servants who have not cleared the examination within the stipulated period and chances.

6. We reproduce Rules 3 (3), (4) & (5) of The Maharashtra Prison Department (Executive Officers Qualifying Examination) Rules:-

“3(1) Every person appointed directly to any post in the cadres of Jailors Group-I or Group-II or in the cadre of Superintendents of District Prisons, Class-II after



commencement of these rules, shall be required to pass the Examination in accordance with these rules within a period of five years from the date of his appointment and within three chances.....

(3) Save as otherwise provided in sub-rule (6), no Executive Officer shall hereafter be promoted in a regular vacancy in any higher cadre, unless he has passed the Examination.

(4) An Executive Officer who does not pass the Examination within the period prescribed under sub-rules (1) and (2) above or within the period extended under rule 11 will loose his seniority to all these who have passed the Examination.

(5) Subject to the loss of seniority under sub-rule (4) and Executive Officer shall be allowed to pass the Examination in any number of chances.”

7. To grant ad-interim relief at this stage, it is necessary to examine the case of the applicants whether there is a grave urgency and the applicants are going to suffer irreparable loss, also, we have to weigh the comparative hardships.

8. We are of the view that unless fair chance is given to the Respondent-State and also the private Respondents to take instructions and file short affidavit in reply to the interim relief as prayed for by the applicants, we do not think that for reason of heartburns alone any relief can be granted at this stage. However, in order to save further damage, we direct that if any promotions

are given by the Respondents, that will be subject to the outcome of this Original Application.

9. Accordingly, the prayer for ad-interim relief is rejected.

10. S.O to 6.9.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Place : Mumbai

Date : 09.08.2024

Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>09.08.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 589/2024</u></p> <p>H.R Kurhade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.B Khot, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the present Original Application is wrongly tagged along with O.A Nos 956/2017 with M.A 337/2023 in O.A 971/2019, M.A 338/2023 in O.A 973/2019 & M.A 339/2023 in O.A 1022/2019 and it should be de-tagged and heard separately as the present matter is regarding the selection and other Original Applications pertains to reversion. Moreover, the present Original Application pertains to Public Health Department and the other Original Applications pertain to Tribal Development Department.</p> <p>3. The applicant who aspires to the post of Male Attendant, Ward Boy in Mental Hospital, Pune, in VJ category challenges the Corrigendum dated 13.3.2024 issued by the Respondent and also prays that the selection is to be done strictly adhering to the terms and conditions in the advertisement dated 21.3.2022. He also prayed that instead of Respondent No. 5, he is to be selected and appointed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel P. Todkar appearing for Respondent No. 5 has not filed his Vakalatnama till today.</p> <p>5. Under such circumstances, we direct the learned counsel for the applicant in all fairness to serve copy on the Respondent No. 5.</p> <p>6. We grant last chance to the Respondent-State and also Respondent No. 5 to file affidavit in reply within two weeks.</p> <p>7. S.O to 23.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date: 09.08.2024

O.A. No.962 of 2024

A.V. PatilApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Kiran Nagvekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.08.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 16.08.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 09.08.2024

O.A. No.972 of 2024

S.B. Bamane & Ors.,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri P.M. Nagargoje, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.08.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 23.08.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 09.08.2024

O.A. No.973 of 2024

C.S. Wable & Ors.,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri P.M. Nagargoje, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.08.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 23.08.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.08.2024</p> <p>M.A. No.325 of 2024 in O.A. No.536 of 2024</p> <p>M.B. SisalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.08.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1550 of 2023</p> <p>U.B. KumbharApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri H.A. Sathe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.644 of 2024</p> <p>S. A. ChouguleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant through video conference and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file 'Affidavit in Reply'. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.702 of 2024</p> <p>B. A. Shinde & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned PO has filed 'Affidavit in Reply' on behalf of 'Respondent No.3' It is taken on record. 3. The learned PO has also filed 'Affidavit-Cum-Preliminary Objection' on behalf of 'Respondent No.3' 4. Time granted to learned PO to file 'Affidavit in Reply' on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2. 5. S.O. to 29.08.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.08.2024

O.A.No.919/2024

A.Z. Momin ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji along with Mr. S.S. Dere (through video conference), learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 06.09.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

SD/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

SD/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.08.2024

O.A.No.898/2024

A.T. Patil ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji along with Mr. S.S. Dere (through video conference), learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel Ms. Pooja has submitted that Applicant is due to retire on 31.05.2025.

3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 30.08.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

SD/-

SD/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.08.2024

O.A.No.801/2024

S.S. Karvekar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji along with Mr. S.S. Dere (through video conference), learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 13.08.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

SD/-

SD/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.08.2024

O.A.No.785/2024

S.B. Dhiwar ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant through video conference and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 23.08.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

SD/-

SD/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.08.2024

O.A.No.774/2024

P.G. Kulkarni ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Poonam Pal holding for Mr. Sachin Hande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 06.09.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.08.2024

O.A.No.717/2024

S.S. Metkar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Poonam Pal holding for Mr. Sachin Hande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 06.09.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.08.2024

O.A.No.716/2024

S.A. Rahere ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Poonam Pal holding for Mr. Sachin Hande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that copy of O.A. is not served to the office of Home Department, Kolhapur.

3. Learned Counsel has submitted that she will serve copy of O.A. to all the concern forthwith.

4. Adjourned to 06.09.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.08.2024

O.A.No.704/2024

V.J. Vagare ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar,
learned Counsel for the Applicant through video
conference and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to
06.09.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

SD/-

SD/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.08.2024

O.A.No.688/2024

A.S. Gaikwad Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar,
learned Counsel for the Applicant through video
conference and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to
06.09.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

SD/-

SD/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.08.2024

O.A.No.615/2024

R.R. Shirke ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants through video conference and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 23.08.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

SD/-

SD/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.08.2024

O.A.No.463/2024

S.M. Dabade & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants through video conference and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 23.08.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

SD/-

SD/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.08.2024</p> <p>M.A.482/2024 (Mum) in O.A.527/2024 A'bad with M.A.483/2024 (Mum) in O.A.576/2024 A'bad with M.A.484/2024 (Mum) in O.A.995/2023 Nag with M.A.485/2024 (Mum) in O.A.996/2023 Nag with M.A.486/2024 (Mum) in O.A.1024/2023 Nag (SINGLE BENCH)</p> <p>State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Appl (Org. Respd.) Vs. S.M. Shelke & Ors.Respds (Org. Appls)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents). None for the Respondents (Org. Applicants.)</p> <p>2. M.A.No.482/2024 and M.A.No.483/2024 are filed seeking transfer of O.A.No.527/2024 and O.A.No.576/2024 from M.A.T. Aurangabad Bench to Mumbai Bench & M.A.No.484/2024, M.A.No.485/2024 and M.A.No.486/2024 are filed seeking transfer of O.A.No.995/2023, O.A.No.996/2023 and O.A.No.1024/2023 from M.A.T. Nagpur Bench to Mumbai Bench under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985.</p> <p>3. All the five M.As are moved by the State of Maharashtra & Ors. All matters pertains to Industry, Energy, Labour and Mining Department, Mantralaya. In O.A.No.527/2024, O.A.No.576/2024 and O.A.No.995/2023 issue is appointment to the post of Deputy Director and in O.A.No.996/2023 and O.A.No.1024/2023 issue is appointment to the post of Assistant Director. Learned C.P.O. informs that the issue involved in all these matters is same, hence M.As seeking transfer to Mumbai be allowed.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.08.2024.
6. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.As. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Misc. Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Misc. Applications shall stand dismissed.
10. Adjourned to 19.08.2024.

sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.515 of 2024</p> <p>(Dr) M. A. JamkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant through video conference and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The 'Affidavit in Reply' on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3 has already filed. The learned PO submits that 'Affidavit in Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.4 is not necessary.</p> <p>3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1200 of 2023</p> <p>R. T. ShelarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned PO has filed 'Affidavit in Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.5. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The last chance was already granted earlier. The 'Affidavit in Reply' on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 4 be filed positively on next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.898 of 2024</p> <p>S. M. Deshmukh & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant through video conference and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.08.2024.</p> <p>sd/- / (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.202 of 2024</p> <p>S. R. Revatkar Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to take instructions. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.117 of 2024</p> <p>S. S. ThakurApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge holding for Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file 'Affidavit in Rejoinder', if any.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.08.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.438 of 2024 With O.A. No.1151 of 2023</p> <p>A. V. Deshpande J. D. Dangre & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The learned PO has requested for time to file Reply in OA 438/2024. The Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.3 and 4 has already filed. Though sufficient time has granted, the Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2 is not yet filed. 3. In O.A. No.1151/2023, the learned PO has also prayed for time to file Reply. 4. The Respondents are failed to file Reply instead of specific directions were given in January, February, June and July, 2024. 5. In view of above, the matters are proceeded without Affidavit in Reply. 6. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date. 7. S.O. to 22.08.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.515 of 2024</p> <p>(Dr) M. A. JamkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant through video conference and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The 'Affidavit in Reply' on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3 has already filed. The learned PO submits that 'Affidavit in Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.4 is not necessary.</p> <p>3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.09.2024.</p> <p>sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.559 of 2024</p> <p>V. D. DabhadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. Time granted. The Respondents to file Reply on next date positively.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.08.2024.</p> <p>sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
vsm	<p>Date : 09.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.519 of 2024</p> <p>S. N. HolkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant through video conference and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.09.2024.</p> <p>sd/-</p> <p>(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.906 of 2024</p> <p>R. F. KoliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The learned PO seeks time to file 'Affidavit in Reply'. 3. S.O. to 20.08.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">sd/- ✓ (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm