

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

09.06.2023

O.A 376/2023

Dr A.S Kudale ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 30.6.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.06.2023

C.A.No.49/2022 in O.A.No.992/2019

Dr. P.N. ChaudhariApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate has submitted that the Applicant has given representation on 21.03.2023 giving details about the amount which is yet to be paid to the Applicant as per the order of this Tribunal.

3. Learned P.O. has submitted that the representation is decided.

4. If the representation is decided the copy of the same be placed on record by learned P.O.

5. At the request of learned P.O. matter is kept back.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A))

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

LATER ON :

1. Learned P.O. on instructions has submitted that Clause A of the operative order is complied. However, Clause B of the operative order is required to be complied as the representation is made by the applicant on the point of payment of interest i.e. required to be complied. The Clause B of operative part of the order dated 21.03.2023 is not complied. Learned P.O. further has submitted that the decision on the said representation is taken on 28.04.2023. Copy of the same is handed over to learned Advocate today.

2. In view of the above, as the order is complied with, C.A. stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A))

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.301 of 2016</u></p> <p>J.A.M.H. Momin & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By consent adjourned to 4.8.2023. Concerned officers from the department are directed to remain present at 10.30 a.m.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.6.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.6.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1079/2019</p> <p>M.S. Chougule.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to the query raised by the Tribunal, learned Advocate clarifies that he has filed affidavit of service, of the service on private Respondents on 01.07.2022. However, Respondent No.3 has neither appeared in person nor has appeared through lawyer. Copy of the affidavit of service is taken on record.</p> <p>3. We direct Respondent No.2, Agriculture, Dairy Development, Animal Husbandry and Fisheries Department to serve on Private Respondent No.3.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 23.06.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

09.06.2023

O.A 459/2023

Shri M.U Harpalkar ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Registry is directed to follow the order dated 5.6.2023 of clubbing O.A 1075/2022 with the present O.A.

3. S.O to 12.6.2023 at 10.30 am.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

09.06.2023

O.A 679 with O.A 734/2022

Shri S.B Satpute & Ors
Shri J.S Sasane & Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants is directed to give details in tabular form of all the applicants in both the Original Applications.

3. S.O to 16.6.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

09.06.2023

C.A 39/2023 in O.A 64/2022 with O.A 1055/2021

Shri B.B Shelake
M.S Mhaske & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant in C.A 39/2023 and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. Learned counsel Mr L.S Deshmukh for applicants in O.A 1055/2021 absent.

2. Learned counsel Mr Dere submits that the Contempt Application can be disposed of in view of the fact that the name of the applicant has been recommended by the MPSC on 23.5.2023 for the post of Sales Tax Inspector. Copy of the said order dated 23.5.2023 is taken on record and marked as Exh. I.

3. In O.A 1055/2021, learned counsel for the applicants submits on instructions that out of the three applicants, applicants no 2 & 3 have cleared the examination and they are qualified to be recommended for the post of P.S.I from the Orphan category. Further in respect of applicant no. 1, learned counsel states that Ms Mhaske is not qualified to be appointed as S.T.I.

4. In view of the above, we direct M.P.S.C to recommend the names of applicants no 2 & 3 if found otherwise eligible.

5. With the above directions, both the Contempt Application No. 39/2023 in O.A 64/2022 and O.A 1055/2021 stands disposed of.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>09.06.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 633/2023</u></p> <p>Shri D.M Tayde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Vaishali Jagdale holding for Ms Snehal N. Vaidya, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant seeks promotion from the post of Head Clerk to the post of Office Superintendent. The applicant is due and eligible for promotion. However, he is superseded by Respondents no 7 to 11 who are junior to the applicant.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.6.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to serve all the private Respondents and file affidavit of service.</p> <p>9. S.O to 12.6.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>09.06.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 574/2023</u></p> <p>Shri Sanket I. Bhosale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that this Tribunal be pleased to quash and set aside the impugned order dated 17.1.2023, issued by Respondent no. 1.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.6.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 30.6.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<u>09.06.2023</u> Tribunal's orders O.A 22/2023
---	--

Shri M.P Bagul ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents


1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents no 1 & 2 and Ms K.T Pawar, learned counsel for Respondent no. 3.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the matter can be disposed of as the applicant has been granted the equivalence as per G.R dated 18.4.2023 for his educational qualification of MCA.

3. Learned counsel Ms Pawar for Respondent no. 3 has submitted that further Corrigendum dated 30.5.2023 was issued stating that the equivalence was given from the date of the advertisement.

4. In view of the above, nothing remains in the Original Application and the same stands disposed of.

Sd/-


(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-


(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.28/2023 in O.A.No.101/2022</p> <p>S.T. GhawaliApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate has submitted that pursuant to the order dated 03.01.2023 the Respondents have included the name of the Applicant in the wait list of Group-C post and it is communicated to the applicant also. Learned Advocate further submits that whenever vacancy will be created in Group-C the applicant will be given appointment order as per his seniority. Applicant's name appears at serial No.4 in the seniority list.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 12.06.2023 for verification by learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil Member (A)) /(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.1064 to 1067 of 2016,</u> <u>OAs. No.1122, 1123, 1124, 1127, 1128 of 2019</u></p> <p>E.J. Barshinge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">And <u>O.A. No.730 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.G. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">And <u>O.A. No.730 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.G. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to order dated 28.4.2023 of this Tribunal Ld. CPO submits that the chart is prepared by the respondents about all the DEs against the applicant. It is produced.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar submits that Applicant was working as Inspector, Class-III in the office of Charity Commissioner. In all these 9 OAs the competency of the enquiry officer is challenged. It is to be noted that from 1.7.2006 the Government has adopted contract system of appointing retired Government servants to work as Enquiry Officer in the Departmental Enquiries. The practice was reviewed and GR dated 28.10.2009 was issued and the contract system appears to be retained for C & D class employees. For A & B Class officers, Regional Enquiry Officers are appointed.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar refers to Rule 8(2) of the MCS (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979. Ld. Advocate for the applicant relied on the Full Bench judgment and order dated 7.6.2002 of this Tribunal in OA. No.546/2001 & OA No.703/1998 and submits that it has bearing on the issue of appointment of EO on contract basis. He also relied on the judgment and order dated 10.9.2014 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.436/2014 Sharad B. Pawaskar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Anr.</p> <p>5. At the request of both the Ld. Advocates for the applicants adjourned to 12.6.2023. FOB.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 9.6.2023 9.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1038 & 1039 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.R. Pawar V.P. Wagh ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.7.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 9.6.2023 9.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.623/2023</p> <p>Dr. V.N. Dekate & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 15.06.2023. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date. To be tagged along with O.A.No.626/2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.06.2023

O.A.No.1210/2019

A.K. ShaikhApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. D.V. Sutar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Two weeks time granted to learned P.O. to verify the position whether provisional pension is granted to the applicant or not.

3. Adjourned to 23.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : ~~09.06.2023~~ s orders

~~O.A.No.1163/2017 with O.A.No.1164/2017 with
O.A.No.1165/2017~~

S.Y. Rambade & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.P. Shinde, learned Advocate for
the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned
to 04.08.2023. HOB.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.06.2023

O.A.No.396/2023

P.P. Kulkarni.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. A.U. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 30.06.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.818 of 2017

A.M. Surve ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this case short issue involved is what methodology is correct as per rules while calculating total marks for Bandsman. It is an examination of 300 marks. Breakup is as follows:

Test	Marks	Passing	Applicant scored
Physical	100	50	78
Musical	100	NA	75
Written	100	NA	45
Total	300		198

3. Ld. PO submits that it is necessary to find out what is the passing in Musical and Written examination.

4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that method adopted by the respondents in deciding total marks is illegal and erroneous. In the present case the marks scored in the physical test are calculated separately. However, the marks obtained in musical and written test are clubbed and they are divided by two and thus applicant's score which should have been if the marks are totaled separately then it comes to 198 and the total of the marks in all the three tests is 197 of respondent no.4. So, he is less by one mark. However, by the method of clubbing of marks in musical and written test and dividing them by two, the score of the applicant is 138 and by this method the score of respondent no.4 is 143.5. The applicant has scored more marks in the musical test i.e. 75 and respondent no.4 has scored 28 marks.

5. Ld. PO seeks time.

6. S.O. to 13.6.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
9.6.2023

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
9.6.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.811 of 2016</u></p> <p>R.S. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to order dated 4.5.2023 Ld. Advocate for the applicant informs that from 4.5.2023 he could not contact the applicant. A question is put to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant, did he sent letter to the applicant informing the order dated 4.5.2023. He answers in negative. He submits that he forgot and seeks time to write a letter to the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.6.2023. Part heard.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 9.6.2023 9.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.20/2023 in O.A.No.397/2022 with C.A.No.21/2023 in O.A.No.396/2022</p> <p>A.B. Gangurde & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that all the retiral benefits were given to the Applicants by 26.05.2023. Copy of the compliance of the order break-up wise is provided to the learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate to verify it from the applicant and make statement accordingly.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 16.06.2023</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

09.06.2023

**C.A 46/2022 in O.A 72/2022 with C.A 47/2022 in
O.A 73/2022**

Shri V.H Patil
Shri R.H Patil ... Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants stated that it is necessary on the part of the Respondents to take decision and communicate the same to the applicants.

2. Learned P.O submits that decision is already taken on 30.1.2023 and copy of the said decision taken by the Respondents in compliance with the order of the Tribunal will be communicated to the applicants within 10 days from today.

3. S.O to 23.6.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

09.06.2023

O.A 216/2023

Shri N.V Bhamare ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 30.6.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.11/2023</p> <p>P.L. PawarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V. Nirbhavane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that today during the course of the day reply will be filed.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Adjourned on 21.07.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil Member (A) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1176/2022</p> <p>N.S. TikheApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V. Bait, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for Respondent No.37 to 39, 41 to 49 & 51 and Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.25 & 32.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Bhosle has submitted that the name of Respondent No.25 is mentioned as Smt. Chaitali Ashok Jadhav which is incorrect and the same should be Smt. Chaitali Santosh Jadhav.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate is hereby to amend the name of Respondent No.25 as 'Smt. Chaitali Santosh Jadhav'.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 07.07.2023</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil Member (A) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1029/2019</p> <p>P.M. VelswamyApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K. Gidh, learned Advocate holding for Mr. Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that the letter dated 09.06.2023 is received from the office of Additional Principal Secretary wherein it is stated that on 12.05.2023 the meeting was held and the said proposal is sent to the Law and Judiciary Department. On instructions from Mr. Bhosale, Officer, from the Office of Respondent learned P.O. has submitted that the file was routed through G.A.D. to the office of Law and Judiciary Department and within a week's time will be received by the Law and Judiciary Department.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 07.07.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <i>/prv</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.702/2022</p> <p>D.N. ChimadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.B. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 14.06.2023. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil Member (A)) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.06.2023

O.A.No.626/2023

Dr. A.H. Dhanawade & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate has filed rejoinder today. It be taken on record.

3. Matter fixed on 15.06.2023 for hearing. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date. To be tagged along with O.A.No.623/2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH
CONTEMPT APPLICATION NO 47 OF 2023
IN
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 280 OF 2023**

DISTRICT : MUMBAI

Shri S.B Kalekar)...**Applicant**

Versus

Mr Anand Limaye, Addl. C.S & Ors)...**Respondents**

Smt Vaishali Jagdale, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Shri A.J Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**
Mrs Medha Gadgil (Member) (A)

DATE : **09.06.2023**

ORDER

1. At 4.15 pm the matter was called out. However, Respondents are unable to tell on which date the circulation is given by the Hon'ble High Court. Till now, the date is not communicated to this Tribunal.

2. Learned C.P.O submits that yesterday the filing was initiated at 10.30 am and as e-filing in the High Court was not successful because the scanning system showed error and therefore the filing was done at 5.30 pm. Though the A.G.P Mr Rajpurohit mentioned the matter, no Circulation is given till now.

3. It is necessary to place on record that from the affidavit in reply dated 9.6.2023 filed by Mr Anil E. Kulkarni, Joint Secretary in the office of Addl. Chief Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, it

appears that the file regarding the implementation of the order of the Tribunal dated 21.4.2023 was not moved from the office of the Director General of Police till 16.5.2023. It was necessary on the part of the office of the Director General of Police to take action immediately as the applicant was to retire on 31.5.2023. We would like to point out to proviso (1) of Section 10 of Chapter-III of the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005, which reads as under:-

10. (1) Every Government servant shall be bound to discharge his official duties and the official work assigned or pertaining to him most diligently and as expeditiously as feasible:

Provided that, normally no file shall remain pending with any Government servant in the Department or Office for more than seven working days.”

4. This Tribunal has continued the services of the applicant till 5.6.2023. However, further order was not passed as the learned P.O has submitted that immediately the Government has preferred Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court.

5. Matter is fixed on 13.6.2023 at 10.30 am.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Place : Mumbai
Date : 09.06.2023
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.06.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.561 of 2023 with O.A. No.562 of 2023 with O.A. No.563 of 2023 with M.A. No.351 of 2023</p> <p>S.R. Bhoi S.K. Shivade D.P. BargeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant requested one week time to produce some important documents about entitlement of the Applicant to license fees.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.621 of 2018</p> <p>V. K. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale holding for Shri V. K. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri Jagdale stated that Advocate on record Shri Patil is unwell and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.286 of 2023</p> <p>R. R. SarafApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.06.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.06.2023

O.A.Nos.427/2023, 428/2023, 429/2023, 430/2023, 431/2023, 432/2023, 433/2023, 434/2023, 435/2023, 436/2023, 472/2023 & 473/2023

N. R. Shinde & Ors. ...Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, Shri A. J. Chougule & Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.
2. In all these Original Applications, the Applicants are claiming benefit of Old Pension Scheme inter-alia contending that the process of recruitment was initiated before cut-off date and, therefore, entitled to benefit of Old Pension Scheme and Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.07.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 10.07.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.267 of 2023 in O.A.No.427 of 2023 with M.A. No.266 of 2023 in O.A.No.428 of 2023 with M. A. No.268 of 2023 in O.A.No.433 of 2023 with M.A.No.271 of 2023 in O.A.No.436 of 2023</p> <p>N. R. Shinde & Ors. S. H. Koli & Ors. N. M. Bhosale & Anr. S. B. Salunkhe & Ors.Applicants Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, Shri A. J. Chougule & Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These are an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Applications are allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Misc. Applications are allowed. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.06.2023

O. A. No. 573 of 2023

P. R. Gurav

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant had filed this O.A. claiming two reliefs. As per relief clause 9(a), he is seeking direction to Respondent No.2 for deciding the appeal dated 09.11.2022 within stipulated period. As per prayer clause 9(b), he is seeking direction to Respondents to release subsistence allowance from the date of suspension which according to him not paid.

3. The perusal of record reveals that Applicant has been dismissed from service by order dated 04.05.2022 but strangely he is shown dismissed with retrospective effect i.e. 15.10.2007. He has filed appeal against the order of dismissal. The subject of dismissal pertains to Division Bench. Therefore, no such directions for deciding the appeal filed against the dismissal can be issued by the Single Bench.

4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to delete the relief clause 9(a) about directions for decision of appeal.

5. Allowed to delete the relief clause 9(a). It be deleted forthwith.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Insofar as relief claimed in prayer clause 9(b) is concerned, the grievance is of non-payment of subsistence allowance right from the date of suspension, if it is really so, the Applicant deserves to be granted the relief of payment of subsistence allowance.</p> <p>7. Learned P.O. on instructions submits that Applicant did not attend the place of Head Quarter given to him after suspension and that seems to be the reason for non-payment of subsistence allowance.</p> <p>3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.07.2023.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 07.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.423 of 2023 with O.A.424 of 2023 with O.A.No.425 of 2023 with O.A. No.426 of 2023</p> <p>A. M. Kamble & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file reply to O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicants sought permission to correct one typographical mistake in relief clause of the O.A. In relief clause, it is stated that Applicant is transferred to Pune from Mumbai. Whereas, it should be 'Applicant is transferred from Pune to Mumbai.'</p> <p>4. Allowed to correct the mistake. It be corrected forthwith. Similar is the mistake occurred in relief clause in O.A.Nos.424, 425 & 426/2023. It be corrected forthwith.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 21.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.06.2023

O.A.No.279, 281 & 282 of 2023

S. R. Mate

D. R. Shinde

V. W. Marne

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file reply by way of most last chance.
3. S.O. to 16.06.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.310 of 2023 in O.A.No.423 of 2023 with M.A.No.311 of 2023 in O.A.424 of 2023 with M.A.No.312 of 2023 in O.A.No.425 of 2023 with M.A.No.313 of 2023 in O.A. No.426 of 2023</p> <p>A. M. Kamble Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. All these Misc. Applications are filed for interim relief but on 03.05.2023, learned P.O. on instructions submitted that the Respondent is not filling the posts which are already declared surplus in view of roaster. This being so, the M.A. does not require any hearing and are accordingly disposed of.</p> <p>3. Misc. Applications are disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.06.2023

O.A.No.435 to 441 of 2022 with O.A.851 of 2022 with O.A.No.1123 & 1124 of 2022 and O.A.No.564 of 2022

Shri A. H. Keer and Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Shalu Tanwar holding for Smt P. Walimbe, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 07.07.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.348 of 2023 in O.A.No.567 of 2023 with M. A. No.359 of 2023 in O.A.No.609 of 2023</p> <p>M. S. Naik & Anr. S. R. Rathod & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere , learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These are an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Applications are allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M. A. No.348 /2023 and M.A. No.359/2023 are allowed. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.Nos.567 of 2023, 568/2023, 572/2023 & 609 of 2023</p> <p>M. S. Naik & Ors. A. A. More R. N. Tayade S. R. Rathod & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In these Original Applications, the Applicants are seeking transfer from the establishment of C.P. Mumbai to Nandurbar, Jalgaon, Thane and Jalna respectively. 3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.07.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 07.07.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.06.2023

M.A. No.348 of 2023 in O.A.No.567 of 2023 with
M. A. No.359 of 2023 in O.A.No.609 of 2023

M. S. Naik & Anr.
S. R. Rathod & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere , learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. These are an application for leave to sue jointly.

3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Applications are allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.

5. M. A. No.348 /2023 and M.A. No.359/2023 are allowed. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.06.2023

O.A.No.607 of 2023

M. A. Tanki

...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged the communication dated 13.09.2022 whereby directions were issued for recovery of increments paid to him though he has not passed Hindi language examination within stipulated period in term of Rules.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.07.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 07.07.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.550 of 2019 in O.A.No.989 of 2019 With M.A.No.300 of 2022 in O.A.No.484 of 2022</p> <p>D. L. Navghane D. D. Kasab ...Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicants and their Counsel are absent. Smt. P. Hendre, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.3 and 4 in M.A.550/2019. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No. 337 of 2023 with O.A.No.338 of 2023</p> <p>N. R. Shinde V. C. Padole</p> <p align="right">....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and 2 and Shri P. Sonawane, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. as well as learned Counsel for Respondent No.3, two weeks time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.347 of 2023</p> <p>S. R. DamseApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.06.2023

O.A.No.390 of 2023

P. M. Mire & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned P.O., three weeks' time is granted to file reply.

3. S.O. to 23.06.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.06.2023

O.A.No.457 of 2023

R. A. YedageApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. D. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this O.A., the Applicant had challenged the order dated 17.04.2023 whereby he was repatriated in Water Resources Department. He was due to retire by the end of May, 2023 and, therefore, interim relief was granted by order dated 21.04.2023. In view of interim relief, the Applicant was continued on the same post and stands retired at the end of May, 2023. Thus, the grievance of the Applicant is redressed and he had already stands retired.

3. The O.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Signature)
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.06.2023

O.A.No.587 of 2023

G. A. Jagtap

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is under preparation and same will be filed during the course of the day. The statement is accepted. It be taken on record.

3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.

4. S.O. to 28.06.2023.

^
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 148 of 2023</p> <p>A. G. Dhore & Ors.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicants and their Counsel are absent. Smt. Hendre, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks' time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.06.203.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.180 of 2023</p> <p>M. V. SakpalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. Padmanabh & Assoc., learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.06.2023

O.A.No.350 of 2022

Dr. R. H. PawarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Prashant Suryawanshi holding for Shri G. M. Savagave, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. As per order passed by the Tribunal on 27.04.2023 last chance was granted to Respondents to file reply subject to cost of Rs.10,000/- payable by next date i.e. 08.06.2023. However, Respondents could not deposit the cost within time granted by the Tribunal.

3. Today, learned P.O. requested to extend the time stating that Respondents are ready to deposit the cost today and to file reply.

4. Allowed to deposit the cost of Rs,10,000/- in the office. It be deposited today. Learned P.O. also allowed to file reply during the course of the day. It be taken on record. Copy be supplied to other side.

5. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.

6. S.O. to 23.07.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.1029 of 2019</u></p> <p>P.M. Velswamy ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is shown high on board.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO produces a copy of letter dated 7.6.2023 written by Joint Secretary, Skill Development & Entrepreneurship Department to the Ld. CPO, MAT, Mumbai seeking extension of time. The said letter is not satisfactory.</p> <p>4. Shri Balaji Lokare, Assistant Director and Shri Rylen Fernandes, Senior Clerk from the office of Directorate of Vocational Education & Training, Mumbai are present in the Court.</p> <p>5. Smt. Manisha Verma, Principal Secretary, Skill Development & Entrepreneurship Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai is hereby directed to file affidavit today itself giving reasons why affidavit is not filed as per order dated 24.4.2023 of this Tribunal or otherwise she has to remain present personally in the Court on Monday 12th June, 2023.</p> <p>6. Kept back.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p align="center"> (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) </p> <p align="center"> Member (A) Chairperson </p> <p align="center"> 9.6.2023 9.6.2023 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

[P.T.O.]