

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 09.06.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1147 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>A.G. Patil</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. During the course of Final Hearing it is transpired that <i>prima-facie</i> the Applicant was not fulfilling eligibility criteria for appointment from part time candidature category. She has fairly disclosed in her application that she has experience of six months only as a casual employee whereas requirement was of three years experience from that category. Despite this position the Applicant was appointed by order dated 07.08.2019 which is signed by Director of Economics and Statistic Mumbai.</p> <p>3. In Appointment order it was stated that concerned Head Office should further verify eligibility criteria etc of the candidates and then only to get the candidate joined. In present case the Applicant was appointed in the office of District Statistic Office, Ratnagiri. This being the position it was for the Head Office, Ratnagiri to verify eligibility of the Applicant. However, apparently without verifying it the Applicant was allowed to join and she worked for near about four years. Thereafter, only she came to be terminated on the ground that she was not eligible for want of three years experience.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. During the course of argument learned Advocate for the Applicant in his <sup>alteration</sup> submission heavily emphasized for claiming damages from the Respondent on the ground that now the Applicant has become age barred for another job and had her candidature rejected at appropriate time she would have tried for another job.</p> <p>5. In my considered opinion, <i>prima-facie</i> there is negligence and carelessness on the part of concerned to verify eligibility of the Applicant for appointment. It is therefore necessary to know whether Department has taken any action against the concerned person responsible for the said lapses and final action, if any.</p> <p>6. Today Shri Vijay Ahire, Director in the office Directorate of Economics and Statistic is present and states that enquiry was conducted in the matter. He joined as Director of Economics and Statistic on 01.07.2021, he want to verify the record and to file Affidavit.</p> <p>7. Learned P.O. is directed to file Affidavit to explain the action taken against the concerned officials for the said lapses. Affidavit <del>will</del> be filed on Tuesday.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 14.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.06.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1147 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>A.G. Patil</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>3-A. Learned Advocate for the Applicant asserted that the Applicant had in her online Application claimed status of a bachelor or diploma holder who had worked as a casual employee but the same does not put her as the Applicant claiming status of part-time graduate / diploma holder. He has however admitted that the Applicant had accepted Appointment under category of "open, part-time candidate" (खुला - अंशकालीत उमेदवार) It was argued that by accepting the Applicant under category of part-time graduate category the Applicant can't be treated to have incurred any responsibility to produce certificate as per extant Rules, rather she continues to be candidate as open (General).</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Bijay Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>09.06.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 514/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.A Khatkale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned counsel for the applicant challenges the order dated 5.5.2022 passed by the Respondent under which he cancelled the candidature of the applicant for the post of Driver Police Constable for his failure to possess LMV License of a date prior to the cut-off date i.e. 8.1.2020. However, the applicant has secured the permanent driving license on 13.2.2020.</li> <li>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant being Ex-servicemen has experience of driving heaving and light vehicles and he was holding Military Driving License.</li> <li>4. Learned P.O opposes grant of interim relief.</li> <li>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.6.2022.</li> <li>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>10. In view of the above, pending final decision in the matter, one post of Driver Police Constable from open category is to be kept vacant.</li> <li>11. S.O to 30.6.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.223 of 2019</b></p> <p>P. P. Patil <span style="float:right">...Applicant</span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, one week time is granted for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.06.2022.</p> <p align="center"> <span style="margin-right: 100px;">Sd/-</span> <span>Sd/-</span>  <span>(Bijay Kumar)</span> <span>(A.P. Kurhekar)</span>  <span>Member (A)</span> <span>Member(J)</span> </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

<p align="center">Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 09.06.2022 Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p align="center"><del>M. A. No.114 of 2017 in O.A.No.394 of 2016</del></p> <p>N. R. Kale <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. This M.A. was listed before this Bench on 06.06.2022. The Applicant and his Counsel both were absent, and therefore, the matter was adjourned to give one opportunity. However, today also they are absent.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Thus, it appears that the Applicant and his Counsel are not interested in the matter.</p> <p>4. Hence, M.A. is dismissed in default.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float:right">Sd/-</span> (Bijay Kumar) <span style="float:right">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="float:right">Member(J)</span></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.284 of 2019</p> <p>C. V. Jadhav <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, one week time is granted for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float:right">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) <span style="float:right">(A.P.Kurhekar)</span></p> <p align="center">Member (A) <span style="float:right">Member(J)</span></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.754 of 2019</p> <p>V. V. Sawant &amp; Ors. .... Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the matter was lastly adjourned on 05.12.2019 for filing Rejoinder but till date no Rejoinder is filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P.Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.997 of 2017</p> <p>A. K. Gharat ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for final hearing.</li> <li>3. Learned P.O. is also directed to produce the deposition of witnesses and to open the matter.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 30.06.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.997 of 2017</p> <p>A. K. Gharat <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for final hearing.</li> <li>3. Learned P.O. is also directed to produce the deposition of witnesses and to open the matter.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 30.06.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="center"> Sd/- <span style="float:right">Sd/-</span>  (Bijay Kumar) <span style="float:right">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span>  Member (A) <span style="float:right">Member(J)</span> </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.187 of 2018</p> <p>S. S. Jadhav ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for filing Rejoinder in terms of order dated 06.06.2022. However, no Rejoinder is file today.</p> <p>3. The matter be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.06.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
	<p>Date : 09.06.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.701 of 2016</b></p> <p><b>D. R. Dighole</b> ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Perusal of record reveals that after filing of O.A., the Applicant has amended the O.A. and raised additional grounds to which Affidavit-in-Reply is not filed till date.</li><li>3. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file reply to amendment as a last chance.</li><li>4. S.O. to 16.06.2022.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-                                  Sd/- <b>(Bijay Kumar)</b>                      <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                          <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.241 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>S. T. Borate &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that by order dated 20.09.2017, the Tribunal removed the O.A. from board in view of failure to file affidavit of service. Thereafter no further step was taken by the office and it appears that there is non-compliance of the condition order of issuance of notice and filing of affidavit of service.</p> <p>3. The office to verify and act accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> </div> </div> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.64 of 2022 in O.A.No.647 of 2010</p> <p>Shri A. R. Desai <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In reference to order dated 24.04.2022 in M.A.No.64/2022, learned C.P.O. informed that in revision list also name of the Applicant Shri A.R. Desai cannot be recommended since he was the last candidate in merit list but considering educational qualification, another candidate was found suitable and eligible. Learned C.P.O. requested for time to file short reply to that effect.</p> <p>3. This M.A. is filed in O.A. which pertains to appointment.</p> <p>4. In O.A. reply is filed and the matter is ripe for final hearing.</p> <p>5. Learned Counsel for the Applicant, therefore, submits that M.A. and O.A. be heard together.</p> <p>6. In view of above, O.A. being of appointment, it be placed before the concerned Division Bench along with M.A.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 23.06.2022.</p>
	<p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float:right">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) <span style="float:right">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span></p> <p align="center">Member (A) <span style="float:right">Member(J)</span></p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1134 of 2015</b></p> <p>M. K. Gursale <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant states that he had given NOC to Applicant for appointment of new Counsel. He, therefore, sought one week time to take further instructions from the Applicant as to whether he has appointed another Advocate or wants to continue him.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) <span style="float: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member(J)</span></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.295 of 2019 with O.A.No.296 of 2019 with O.A.No.298 of 2019</p> <p>A. S. Lad &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">....Applicants</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that connected O.A. 297/2019 was also earlier placed along with these O.As but that O.A. is not listed today.</li> <li>3. Perusal of order dated 15.10.2019 passed by the Tribunal also reveals that O.A.297/2019 was placed along with these O.As. However, O.A.297/2019 is not listed.</li> <li>4. The office is directed to trace the record of O.A.No.297/2019 and be listed along with these O.As on next date for final hearing.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 20.06.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="center"> <span style="margin-right: 100px;">Sd/-</span> <span>Sd/-</span>  <span>(Bijay Kumar)</span> <span>(A.P. Kurhekar)</span>  <span>Member (A)</span> <span>Member(J)</span> </p> <p>ysm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 09.06.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.813 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>D. R. Badiwale</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The issue involved in this O.A. is twofold. Firstly, challenge is to the order dated 22.12.2017 whereby the Applicant came to be dismissed in view of conviction in criminal case and secondly challenge is to the order dated 15.01.2018 whereby request of the Applicant to treat out of service period as duty period stands rejected.</p> <p>3. Insofar as the order dated 22.12.2017 about dismissal from service in view of conviction in criminal case is concerned, learned Counsel for the Applicant fairly states that criminal appeal filed against the order of conviction is still subjudice.</p> <p>4. As such, conviction is in subsistence, and therefore, challenge to the order of dismissal dated 22.12.2018 is premature.</p> <p>5. Therefore, this O.A. can be heard only to the extent of challenge to the order dated 15.01.2018 whereby the request of the Applicant for treating the period out of duty as duty period is rejected.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant was discharged from service by order dated 18.06.2010 since his Caste Certificate was invalidated. However, the Tribunal in O.A.No.196/2016 decided on 01.12.2016 quashed and set aside the order dated 18.06.2010 and directed to reinstate the Applicant. The department by order dated 20.12.2016 reinstated the Applicant and later claim of the Applicant to treat out of service period as a duty period is rejected by order dated 15.01.2018.</p> <p>7. This being the position, O.A. will be heard to the extent of legality and validity of order dated 15.01.2018.</p> <p>8. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, one week time is granted.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 20.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- vv (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.149 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.K.Naravane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.D. Kango, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files sur-rejoinder dated 9.6.2022 on behalf of respondent no.2 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.6.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.490 of 2021</u></p> <p>G.K. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files affidavit in reply dated 6.6.2022 on behalf of respondent no.3 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.6.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.334 of 2020</u></p> <p>D.Y. Dange ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files sur-rejoinder dated 29.4.2022 on behalf of respondent no.2 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.6.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.278 of 2022</u></p> <p>C.S. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Junior Advocate holding for Smt. Shraddha Raut, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 7.6.2022 on behalf of respondents no.1 and 2 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.6.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.262 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.A. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that reply will be filed during the course of the day and un-affirmed copy is given the Ld. Advocate for the applicant.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.6.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.188 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.P. Kalgutkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B.K.. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.6.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.168 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.V. Bhandare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.6.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M/dha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.6.2022</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.49 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.s. Renushe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.6.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

09.06.2022

O.A 21/2021

Shri S.S Mali & Ors ... Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.D Patil, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. As per directions of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court dated 22.12.2021 in W.P 8319/2021, to hear this matter expeditiously, the said order was placed before us for the first time on 11.3.2022. We have fixed hearing of the matter today, i.e. 9.6.2022. At the time of hearing it is found that the applicants who have applied in the reserved category though have not secured more marks either in the open category or reserved category than the cut-off marks, they have filed this Original Application that the persons who are already selected and appointed in the reserved category, i.e. 13 candidates in the reserved category, but have secured more marks than the cut-off marks in the open category, if they are shifted and shown selected from the open category, then the applicants who have secured less than the cut-off marks in the reserved category can enter in their respective reserved categories and can be accommodated.

3. We understand that assuming this relief is granted to the applicants, the other Respondents no 7 to 19 who are already selected in the open category on 8.3.2019, they are selected and appointed in 2020 and are working at different places, will lose their appointment. However, they are contesting this application. Moreover, the persons who have also sought the benefits of reservation, who are selected in their respective reserved category, they have not been made party with the impression that they are otherwise not going to be affected, if they are accommodated in open category.

4. We are of the view that these persons have neither approached any Tribunal nor approached the M.P.S.C or the Government till today seeking that they are to be accommodated in the open category.

5. However, we call upon Counsel of both the parties to show any law where the Court suo moto can pass the order in absence of such candidates or such persons who are not before the Tribunal, by disturbing the reservation. Learned counsel for the applicant is called upon to show the judgment of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court or the Tribunal on the point that migration is allowed even if the benefit of age relaxation is availed of.

6. S.O to 10.6.2022.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha/Gadgil)  
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date : 09.06.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.921 of 2015</b></p> <p>A.V. Disale .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.D. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Mr. S.D. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 and Mr. Vaibhav V. Ugle, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.5, 9, 10, 11, 15, 16, 18, 21 24, 27, 28, 32, 34, 35, 36, 38 &amp; 39.</p> <p>2. Though this matter is of the year 2015 at the request of learned Advocate matter was adjourned on today's date.</p> <p>3. By consent adjourned to 10.06.2022 at 11.30 a.m.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="left">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 09.06.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.219 of 2022</b></p> <p>S.R. Waghmare &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Krishna Agarwal i/b Talekar &amp; Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 16.06.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>09.06.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 848/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri M.D Chopde &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O produces copy of letter dated 10.5.2022 issued by the Home Department to the Director General of Police, stating that five applicants will be considered for sending them for training. She further states that the Director General of Police has issued letter dated 8.6.2022 addressed to the Addl. Chief Secretary, Home Department stating that the candidates can be send for training in the next batch.</p> <p>3. The copy of letter dated 10.5.2022 and 8.6.2022 is taken on record and marked as Exh. I &amp; II.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, nothing remains in the Original Application and the same stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> // <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<u>09.06.2022</u>
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Tribunal's orders</b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 138/2021 in O.A 248/2021 with M.A 29/2022</u></b> <b><u>in O.A 250/2021</u></b></p>
	<p>M.S Wagh R.Y Chandramore ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Ankita Naik holding for Shri I.M Koparkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that in M.A 138/2021 there is a delay of one year and five months and in M.A 29/2022 there is a delay of 2 years and 10 months.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply before the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O to 23.6.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>
	Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	09.06.2022 <u>Tribunal's orders</u> <u>O.A 520/2022</u>
	<p>Smt Alka Vitthal Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate i/b Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter relates to recovery of family pension of the applicant, who is widow of the deceased Government employee. The applicant challenges the impugned order dated 10.5.2022, whereby recovery of Rs. 6,06,996/- is ordered.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that an amount of Rs. 96530/- is already recovered from the family pension of the applicant, out of total amount of Rs. 6,06,996/-</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.6.2022.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In view of the above, the impugned order dated 10.5.2022 is hereby stayed until further orders.</p> <p>10. S.O to 30.6.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">[PTO.]</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	09.06.2022
	Tribunal's orders
	<u>R.A 10/2022 in O.A 102/2022</u>
	<p>Shri S.H Shaikh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge , learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant Mr Kolge stated that his name be removed from the matter, as the applicant is appearing in person.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that copy of the application is not served on the Respondents.</p> <p>4. Applicant, party in person is directed to give opy of the application on the other side.</p> <p>5. S.O to 16.9.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>
	Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<b>09.06.2022</b>
	<b><u>O.A 643, 645 &amp; 892/2021</u></b>
	S.B Kharat [O.A 643/2021] R.R Rathod [O.A 645/2021] R.B Jaybhay [O.A 892/2021] ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	<b><u>Later on at 4.00 pm</u></b>
	1. Common judgment in these three matters was pronounced by us at around 1.00 pm.
	2. Learned counsel Shri Krushna Agarwal for the applicants was present and he submitted that interim stay granted earlier in two matters, (i.e. present O.A 643 & 892/2021) be extended as the applicants want to challenge the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. Learned counsel prayed for four weeks' time.
	4. Learned C.P.O has opposed the grant of interim relief.
	5. The interim relief granted earlier in two matters was extended till 1 <sup>st</sup> July, 2022. We have dictated this order in the open Court at 1.00 pm. However, when the order was placed before us for signature, we after discussion considered the effect of the order and for the reasons of fraud and bogus Sports Certificates on which we have dismissed the applications, we are of the view that we should not have extended the interim relief.
	6. So before signing the order, we directed Mr Joshi, O.S.D (Legal) during the recess to call Mr Talekar or his junior to appear in this matter.
	7. As we have dictated it in the open court, it is appropriate on our part to be fair with the applicants, we call upon them to make submissions if they want on the point of stay of the Judgment.
	8. Learned counsel Ms Poushali Roychoudhury is present at 4.00 pm. So we have taken up this matter again and explained the entire situation.
	9. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, matter is kept tomorrow on 10.6.2022 at 12.30 pm.
	Sd/-
	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)
	/s/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn
	[P.T.O.]

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.06.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A. No.47 of 2017 in O.A. No.84 of 2016</p> <p>S.D. Muluk .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has tendered Government order dated 04.12.2017 which shows that the Government had already complied with the order passed by the Tribunal on 31.01.2017 in O.A. No.84/2016 subject to decision of Writ Petition filed against the order of Tribunal and the order dated 04.12.2017 is taken on record and marked as letter 'A' for identification.</p> <p>3. Shri K.R. Jagdale states that though he tried to contact the Applicant but he is not reachable.</p> <p>4. In view of above, C.A. has become infructuous and disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.06.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.383 of 2019 in O.A. No.454 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>P.H. Patil</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. Padmanabh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay of 376 days caused in filing O.A. No.454/2019 challenging the selection process for the post of Assistant Block Development Officer of Sports Quota (Open). M.A. was filed on 19.07.2019 but till date no Reply is filed.</p> <p>3. In M.A. the Applicant contend that his father is 68 years old and suffering from heart ailments and was admitted in hospital for Angioplasty. According to him because of his father's illness he could not move application of limitation before Tribunal. As stated above Respondents have not filed Affidavit-in-Reply to rebut the contention raised by learned Advocate for the Applicant in his M.A.</p> <p>4. In view of above, it would be appropriate to just and fair to condone the delay so as to decide the matter on merit. Delay is accordingly condoned.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed with no order as to costs.</p> <p>6. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply in O.A.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) <span style="float: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span>  Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.06.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.36 of 2022 in O.A. No.65 of 2022</p> <p>P.P. Bhosale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for a week for hearing of M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.06.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 09.06.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>M.A. No.104 of 2022 in O.A. No.373 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>A.K. Jadhav</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is kept for compliance of the Clause No.6 of order dated 04.05.2022 passed by this Tribunal directing the Department that subject to outcome of this O.A. promotion is to be granted to the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. on instruction submits that file is under process and D.P.C. will be held soon to process the matter. She sought one month time which is opposed by the learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>4. Three weeks time is granted to appraise the Tribunal about the compliance of the order dated 04.05.2022. No further extension will be granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 30.06.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>~</p> <p><b>(Bijay Kumar)</b> Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>~</p> <p><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="777 747 1002 777">Date: 09.06.2022</p> <p data-bbox="998 824 1284 855">O.A. No.1060 of 2019</p> <p data-bbox="777 901 1511 1009">R.M. Gaikwad &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol data-bbox="777 1097 1511 1664" style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li><li>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li><li>3. Perusal of record reveals that enough time is availed for filing Reply but the same is not filed.</li><li>4. However, on request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</li><li>5. S.O. to 22.06.2022.</li></ol> <p data-bbox="859 1761 1417 1913">Sd/- <i>jk</i> Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p data-bbox="781 1960 828 1983">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.06.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.936 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. Bhadre &amp; 2 Ors.                     .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.       .....Respondents.</p> <p>1.        Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2.        Today learned C.P.O. has filed Short Affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3.        On request of learned Advocate for the two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4.        S.O. to 23.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-                                     ✓                     Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Bijay Kumar)                     (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A)                         Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.06.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.25 of 2020 in O.A. No.28 of 2018 with O.A. No.938 of 2018</p> <p>D.L. Awate .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that being aggrieved by the order passed by this Tribunal in Review Petition, the Government has filed Writ Petition No.6963/2021 before Hon'ble High Court and it is listed today. She further submits that before Hon'ble High Court learned Advocate for the Applicant made statement that he will not proceed with the contempt petition.</p> <p>3. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant fairly states that learned Advocate Shri S.S. Dere on behalf of the Applicant in Writ Petition has made statement in Writ Petition.</p> <p>4. In view of above, this contempt proceeding is adjourned for four weeks.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 07.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="margin-left: 100px;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) <span style="margin-left: 100px;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 100px;">Member (J)</span></p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.06.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.454 of 2019</p> <p>P.H. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri P. Padmanabh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This O.A. is filed along with condonation of delay M.A. No.383/2019 which is allowed today and separate order is passed in M.A. therefore this O.A. needs to be decided on merit.</li> <li>3. Enough time is already availed to file Reply to O.A. However, three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply in O.A.</li> <li>4. The matter being pertaining to selection process it be placed before appropriate Division Bench.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 30.06.2022.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <sup>2</sup></p> <p>(Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.37 of 2017</p> <p>P. H. Sarang .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A., the Applicant has challenged punishment of 10% deduction in pension for two years imposed upon him in D.E. which pertains to Single Bench.</p> <p>3. The Registrar is, therefore, directed to place the matter before the Single Bench.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Bijay Kumar) (A.P.Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p>[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.44 of 2022</u></p> <p>C.G. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files sur-rejoinder and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.6.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 9.6.2022 9.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.53 of 2021 in O.A. No.1091 of 2017</u></p> <p>R.A. Shaikh &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">..Applicants</span>  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">..Respondents</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that matter will appear before the Hon'ble High Court on 15.6.2022.</p> <p>3. Hence, we keep the matter on 23.6.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>9.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>9.6.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.452 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.A. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.6.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.6.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>09.06.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 288/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri Milind V. Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O informs that Mrs Geeta Kulkarni, Deputy Secretary, G.A.D is absent as she is on leave for two days.</p> <p>3. Mrs Geeta Kulkarni, Deputy Secretary, G.A.D along with the Officers from the Home Department is directed to remain present before this Tribunal on 16.6.2022 at 10.30. She is also directed to file affidavit in reply on the point as to why Clause 12(c) of the G.R dated 15.12.2017 was not followed and how it is to be followed.</p> <p>4. S.O to 16.6.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p><small>Akn</small></p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.557 of 2021 &amp; O.A. No.676 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.M. Mutekar &amp; Ors. S.B. Patil &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that till today the reply is not ready as instructions are given today by way of letter. In view of the order dated 20.4.2022 passed by this Tribunal and as the matter is of 2021, we are of the view that sufficient time is given to the department. The matters to proceed without reply.</p> <p>3. As the reply is not filed and matter is of promotion, we direct that nobody is to be promoted, till filing of the reply in order to avoid further complication.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.6.2022.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(Medha Gadgil)</td> <td align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Member (A)</td> <td align="center">Chairperson</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">9.6.2022</td> <td align="center">9.6.2022</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)	Member (A)	Chairperson	9.6.2022	9.6.2022
Sd/-	Sd/-								
(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)								
Member (A)	Chairperson								
9.6.2022	9.6.2022								



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>09.06.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 11/2022 in O.A 131/2021</u></b></p> <p>Smt Medha J. Kadam ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the enquiry report was submitted by the Home Department on 9.5.2022 and thereafter they will require time of 3 months to complete the procedure as two show cause notice will be required to be issued to the applicant, who retired in October, 2019 and also they will have to consult the M.P.S.C before passing final orders.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, period of three months from 9.5.2022 is granted to complete the whole procedure.</p> <p>4. S.O to 11.8.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>