


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
<p><u>DATE:</u> 9/6/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)</p> <p><u>MEMBER:</u> Shri. R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u></p> <p><u>Shri. K. R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri. A. J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Reply filed by R. No. 1</u> <u>S.O. to 21/7/17</u></p> <p><u>Adj. To</u></p> <p>PH </p>	<p><u>O.A. No.814 of 2015</u></p> <p>Shri Vithal R. Dolas ... Applicant</p> <p>V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit-in-Reply is taken on record. The Original Application now be placed before the currently functioning Division Bench.</p> <p>S.O. to 21.07.2017.</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">(R.B. Malik)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">(Rajiv Agarwal)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Member (J)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Vice-Chairman</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">09.06.2017</td> <td style="text-align: center;">09.06.2017</td> </tr> </table> <p>(vsm)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(R.B. Malik)	(Rajiv Agarwal)	Member (J)	Vice-Chairman	09.06.2017	09.06.2017
Sd/-	Sd/-								
(R.B. Malik)	(Rajiv Agarwal)								
Member (J)	Vice-Chairman								
09.06.2017	09.06.2017								

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.458 of 2017

Shri D.D. Kale ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

No interim relief as of today but it is made clear that in the meanwhile, if the Applicant wants to make a representation with regard to the matter of his transfer, the same may be considered as expeditiously as possible.

Issue notice returnable on 30.06.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 30.06.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
09.06.2017

DATE: 9/6/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV K. SHARMA
(Chief Justice)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: Punam Mahajan
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: N.K. Rajpurohit
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 30/6/17

[Signature]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.470 of 2017

Smt. C. P. Salokhe ... Applicant
V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. is being instructed by Shri Sanjay Vispute, Senior Clerk, DMER, Mumbai. The xerox copy of file noting dated 26.5.2017 is taken on record. It is made clear that the Respondents must file their Affidavit-in-Reply on the next date explaining inter-alia the fact as to whether the transfer is done only for the complaints made by the Unions. I express no opinion thereon today but if the reply is not filed on the next date, I shall hear the matter for interim relief again and make an appropriate order depending the facts and circumstances.

Liberty is reserved for renewal of request of the interim order. Hamdast.

Issue notice returnable on 20.06.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 20.06.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
09.06.2017

9.6.17

DATE: 9/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Smt. RAJYAGARWAL~~

(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Smt. E. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant

Advocate for the Respondents

Adj. To

S.O. to 20/6/17

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.459 of 2017

Shri N.A. Chavan ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

No interim relief as of today but it is made clear that in the meanwhile, if the Applicant wants to make a representation with regard to the matter of his transfer, the same may be considered as expeditiously as possible.

Issue notice returnable on 30.06.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 30.06.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
09.06.2017

(vsm)

DATE: 9/6/17

CORAM:

~~Res. Mr. Shri RAHVADARWAL~~

(Vice Chairman)

Res. Mr. Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Advocate: Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri / Mst. N. K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 30/6/17

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.486 of 2017

Smt. A. S. Gitey ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougale, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 07.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 07.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

DATE: 9/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A. J. Chougale

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 7/7/17

Sd/-

(~~R.B. Malik~~) 9-6-17
Member (J)
09.06.2017

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Secy - Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p>ADVOCATE:</p> <p>Shri <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Ms. S. Suryawanshi C.O. for the Respondents ✓</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>S.O. to 7/7/17</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.493 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri D.B. Desai ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 07.07.2017.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 07.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(R.B. Malik) 7.6.17 Member (J) 09.06.2017</p> <p>(vsm)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.489 of 2017
with
M.A. No.230 of 2017

Shri N. V. Koli ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Ms V. Mandad holding for Shri A.A. Desai, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

Reserving the right of the Applicant to renew the request of the interim relief on the next date, issue notice returnable on 23.06.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 23.06.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
09.06.2017

DATE: 9/6/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL

(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri K. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

V. Mandad
holding for A.A. Desai

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent

Adj. to S.O. to 23/6/17

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.463 of 2017

Smt. A. K. Deshmukh ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 07.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 07.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 9-6-17
Member (J)
09.06.2017

(vsm)

DATE: 9/6/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIVAGARWAL

(Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Secy - B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Secy - A.J. Chougule

Advocate for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. 7/7/17

(G.C.P.) J 2280(B) (50,000-2-2015)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/17</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u></p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>Punam Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.B. Kololgi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents No. 1&3.</p> <p><u>B.A. Bandiwadekar</u> P.O. No. 4.</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>S.O. to 20/6/17</u></p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p><u>O.A.450/2017</u></p> <p>Mr. P.Y. Shelar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mrs. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents 1 to 3 and Mr. B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>The learned PO undertakes to file the Affidavit-in-reply during the course of the day today. On Mr. Bandiwadekar's request, the matter is adjourned to 20th June, 2017 for filing Affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>S.O. to 20th June, 2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(R.B. Malik) Member (J) <u>9.6.17</u> 09.06.2017</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.484 of 2017

Shri R.R. Gaikwad ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

I have heard the submissions of both the sides. It does not appear that the Applicant has completed his tenure at the present place of posting which he has been transferred from. Beyond that I do not think to take into consideration the aspects of the complaints etc. going by the scope of this OA. If the Respondents are so advised, they may proceed in accordance with law. Till the next to the filing of the reply, the impugned order in so far as it relates to the applicant is stayed.

Issue notice returnable on 30.06.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 30.06.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
09.06.2017

DATE: 9/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To

Hamdast.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Caram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.482 of 2017

Shri C.D. Rathod ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

No interim order as to today. Liberty is reserved for the Applicant to seek interim relief, if found necessary.

Issue notice returnable on 30.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 30.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

DATE: 9/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIVAGARWAL~~

(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri K. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/MS. Ms. S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/MS. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 30/7/17

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
09.06.2017

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.476 of 2017

Shri M.N. Chaudhari ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

It appears that the applicant has a genuine problem in so far the transfer is concerned because his daughter is under treatment with serious type of disease.

The learned P.O. furnishes for my perusal the relevant file. In my opinion, since the learned P.O. submits that the issue is under consideration but it could take time, the order of transfer is held in abeyance and I must repeat that it is because the genuineness of the case of the Applicant. Therefore, till further order impugned order in so far as it relates to transfer is held in abeyance even he has relieved from the post he has been transferred a very post.

Issue notice returnable on 07.07.2017.


Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 07.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.


(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
09.06.2017

DATE: 9/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Ms. S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 7/7/17



2
⑤

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.487 of 2017

Shri G.D. Deshmukh ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri. B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

I have heard the submissions. The issue of competency of transferring authority goes to the root of the matter and will have to be carefully examined. Therefore, although the applicant has completed his tenure for the above stated reason till the date next to the filing of the reply the impugned order in to the applicant is stayed. If he has been so far as it relates relieved, he may be reposted within three weeks from today.

Issue notice returnable on 19.06.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal. (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 19.06.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service. Hamdast.

[Signature]
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J) 9-6-17
09.06.2017

DATE: 9/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri ~~B.A. Bandiwadekar~~

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 19/6/17.

Hamdast.

[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.492/2017</u></p> <p>Mr. G.S. Ahankari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>At the outset, I place on record my strong disapproval for the conduct on the part of the Respondents. This is an OA disputing the order of transfer of the Applicant from Mumbai to Nashik. In the order such as it stands, admittedly, a wrong provision was quoted as Section 4(3)(2) of the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (Transfer Act) while the correct provision was 4(5). The learned CPO argued the matter some time in the morning session and he initially wanted to contend that it is not that serious matter. However, I made it clear that the written law is required to be implemented, and therefore, even if an order was passed under a non-existing clause that may even have to be recalled and a fresh corrected order passed. On the request on behalf of the Respondents, the matter was placed in the afternoon session. When the matter was called out, a Corrigendum bearing the date of 8th June, 2017 was presented effectively trying to get over the situation created by their lapse. Initially, I must mention that I got a little worked up with the date mentioned which is Yesterday's date on that Corrigendum. But later on Mr. R.N. Musale, Under Secretary, G.A.D. told me that the Corrigendum has in fact been issued today and the date 8th June, 2017 is a mistake. This then appears to be a mistake ridden OA as far as the Respondents are concerned. As a matter of fact, in the morning session itself, I should have been informed that a Corrigendum would be brought because of the aforestated reasons, but that was not and the Corrigendum has been straightaway issued, I do not quite approve of it. The issue of taking appropriate action, if necessary, is kept open and that may include a serious action or may be award of cost; that I must repeat is kept open.</p> <p>Coming now to the merit of the matter, the original file has been perused. It may not be appropriate on my part to make any detailed comments at this stage of the matter. The Applicant has hardly put in about six months in Mumbai and he has now been transferred to Nashik.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

The submissions obviously were that the procedure has been fulfilled and the signature of the Hon'ble Chief Minister was pointed out with particular emphasis. Now, once the service condition of transfer has become statute regulated and this Tribunal has to enforce it, if need be, in my opinion, there may be several facts and circumstances that may have to be examined and this aspect of the matter is not a usual run of the mill matter. There are facts and circumstances which are peculiar to the Applicant, and therefore, in my opinion, it will not be proper at all to leave the Applicant unprotected as of now. In any case, the Nashik Officer has remained vacant from August, 2016. I, therefore, direct that the order of transfer in so far as the Applicant is concerned stands hereby stayed till the date next to the filing of the reply and even if the Applicant is relieved, he be reposted to the same post within a period of three working days from today.

Issue notice returnable on 30.06.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 30th June, 2017. Hamdast.

DATE: 9/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K. R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 30/6/17.

Hamdast.

[Signature]

(skw)

[Signature]

(R.B. Malik)

Member (J)

09.06.2017

9.6.17

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chief Justice)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>M. D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A. B. Kololgi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Reply filed by R. No. 2.</u></p> <p>Adj. To: <u>O.A. is admitted.</u> <u>S.O. to 7/7/17</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">FH @</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.257/2017</u></p> <p>Mr. P.B. Dhamale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned PO undertakes to file the Affidavit-in-reply during the course of the day. On that statement having been made, Mr. Lonkar submits that the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder. The OA is admitted and appointed for final hearing to 7th July, 2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) <u>9.6.17</u> Member (J) 09.06.2017</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramasankar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>Appl. present in person</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>21/6/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BK</i></p>	<p><u>09.06.2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A No 262/2017</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Yadav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Applicant present in person. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned P.O seeks two weeks' time to file affidavit in reply. Granted as a last chance. If reply is not filed on the next date, heavy costs will be imposed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">S.O to 21.6.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

09.06.2017

O.A No 164/2017

Shri Y.N Kapase ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned Advocate Shri Chandratre states that now Respondent no. 3 is also served. He states that he will be filing affidavit of service today.

Learned P.O seeks three weeks' time to file reply.

S.O to 27.6.2017.

DATE: 9/6/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. C.T Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 27/6/2017

B.T.K.

Akn

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

l

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>21/6/2017.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>CTC</i></p>	<p>09.06.2017</p> <p><u>O.A Nos 124 /2017</u></p> <p>Shri S. S Bendre ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit in reply has been filed by the Respondents. Learned Advocate Shri Chandratre seeks two weeks' time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>S.O to 21.6.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

09.06.2017

O.A No 609/2016

Shri P.R Acharekar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri M.R Patil, learned advocate for the Applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned P.O on instructions from Shri P.M Balkande, Section Officer, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai states that the meeting of the Establishment Board has been held and the Applicant's file containing the proposal ^{of} promoting the Applicant has been submitted to the Hon'ble Chief Minister on 31.5.2017 and it is likely to be cleared shortly.

In view of the above, matter is adjourned to 21.6.2017.

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

DATE: 9/6/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) *Rajiv Agarwal* *LMG*

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: M.R. Patil

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: Archana B.K.

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 21/6/2017

BYE

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

09.06.2017

O.A No 105/2017

Shri S.Y Patil ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri S.R Ghanavat with Shri Vinod Sangvikar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Granted as a last chance.

S.O to 28.6.2017.

DATE: 9/6/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Rajeshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: S.R. Ghanavat with
Shri Vinod Sangvikar
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: Archana B.K
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 28/6/2017.

[Handwritten signature]

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agastwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

09.06.2017

O.A Nos 120 & 121/2017

Shri U.S Chavan & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This Tribunal on 29.3.2017 has granted six weeks' time to the Respondents to file affidavit in reply, though they have asked only for four weeks' time. This was done with a view to ensure that no extension of time will be sought. However, no reply is forthcoming.

Learned P.O states that affidavit in reply will be filed within one week. Granted as a last chance.

S.O 20.6.2017.

DATE: 9/6/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Rajiv Agastwal
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 20/6/2017.

[Handwritten signature]

Akn

Sd/- l
(Rajiv Agastwal)
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Aggarwal (Member) <i>RAJIV AGGARWAL</i></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>G.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>14/6/2017</u> <i>gpc</i></p>	<p><u>09.06.2017</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A No 168/2017</u></p> <p>Shri V.L Rajale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the Applicant, Ms Archana B.K learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no 1 to 3 and Respondent no. 4, present in person.</p> <p>It is surprising that more than two and half month was given to the Respondents to file affidavit in reply, ^{but} No reply is forthcoming.</p> <p>At the request of learned P.O, one weeks time is granted to Respondents no 1 to 3 and private Respondent, as a last chance, to file reply.</p> <p>S.O to 14.6.2017.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <i>l</i> (Rajiv Aggarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

09.06.2017

O.A No 1089/2016

Shri U.A Gadale & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned advocate for the Applicants and Ms Archana B.K learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no 1 to 3 and none for Respondent no. 4.

Learned Advocate Ms Manchekar states that affidavit in reply has been filed on behalf of Respondent no. 2 only. It is presumed that other Respondents 1 & 3 do not wish to file reply.

Learned Advocate Ms Manchekar seeks two weeks' time to file rejoinder.

S.O to 21.6.2017.

DATE: 9/6/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.
C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 21/6/2017.

Handwritten signature/initials

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 9/6/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Radhesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. Arunara B.K. C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... 21/6/2017.</p> <p align="right">BPT Q</p>	<p><u>09.06.2017</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A No 01/2017</u></p> <p>Shri M.R Ahire ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Archana B.K learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned P.O states that affidavit in reply will be file during the course of the day.</p> <p>S.O to 21.6.2017.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Rajiv Agajwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>G.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>22/6/2017.</u></p>	<p>09.06.2017</p> <p><u>O.A Nos 317, 318, 319, 320 & 321/2017</u></p> <p>Shri V.P.Rajput & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned Presenting Officer files affidavit in reply in all Original Applications. However, learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadkar states that reply is not filed to the unamended O.A. As information directed to be produced by this Tribunal was not made available in time, he could ^{not} amend the O.A. He seeks two weeks time to carry out the amendment. Time granted.</p> <p align="right">S.O to 22.6.2017.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. N. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: <u>R.M. Kolge</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>21/6/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>09.06.2017</p> <p><u>O.A No 150/2017</u></p> <p>Shri V.M Salokhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned Presenting Officer states that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>S.O to 21.6.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

09.06.2017

O.A No 396/2017

Shri R.S Patil ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned Advocate Shri Lonkar seeks short service to serve the Respondents again in the proper format and file affidavit of service.

Short service is granted to him as requested.

Learned P.O seeks one week's time to file reply and make a statement.

S.O to 14.6.2017.

DATE: 9/6/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchandra Kumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M. D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 14/6/2017.

Sd/-

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.476 of 2017

Shri M.N. Chaudhari ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

It appears that the applicant has a genuine problem in so far the transfer is concerned because his daughter is under treatment with serious type of disease.

The learned P.O. furnishes for my perusal the relevant file. In my opinion, since the learned P.O. submits that the issue is under consideration but it could take time, the order of transfer is held in abeyance and I must repeat that it is because the genuineness of the case of the Applicant. Therefore, till further order impugned order in so far as it relates to transfer is held in abeyance even he has relieved from the post he has been transferred a very post.

Issue notice returnable on 07.07.2017.


Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 07.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.


(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
09.06.2017

DATE: 9/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant: Ms. S.P. Manchekar

Shri. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 7/7/17



2
⑤

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.487 of 2017

Shri G.D. Deshmukh ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri. B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

I have heard the submissions. The issue of competency of transferring authority goes to the root of the matter and will have to be carefully examined. Therefore, although the applicant has completed his tenure for the above stated reason till the date next to the filing of the reply the impugned order in to the applicant is stayed. If he has been so far as it relates relieved, he may be reposted within three weeks from today.

Issue notice returnable on 19.06.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal. (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 19.06.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service. Hamdast.

[Signature]
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J) 9-6-17
09.06.2017

DATE: 9/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri ~~B.A. Bandiwadekar~~

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 19/6/17.

Hamdast.

[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.492/2017</u></p> <p>Mr. G.S. Ahankari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>At the outset, I place on record my strong disapproval for the conduct on the part of the Respondents. This is an OA disputing the order of transfer of the Applicant from Mumbai to Nashik. In the order such as it stands, admittedly, a wrong provision was quoted as Section 4(3)(2) of the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (Transfer Act) while the correct provision was 4(5). The learned CPO argued the matter some time in the morning session and he initially wanted to contend that it is not that serious matter. However, I made it clear that the written law is required to be implemented, and therefore, even if an order was passed under a non-existing clause that may even have to be recalled and a fresh corrected order passed. On the request on behalf of the Respondents, the matter was placed in the afternoon session. When the matter was called out, a Corrigendum bearing the date of 8th June, 2017 was presented effectively trying to get over the situation created by their lapse. Initially, I must mention that I got a little worked up with the date mentioned which is Yesterday's date on that Corrigendum. But later on Mr. R.N. Musale, Under Secretary, G.A.D. told me that the Corrigendum has in fact been issued today and the date 8th June, 2017 is a mistake. This then appears to be a mistake ridden OA as far as the Respondents are concerned. As a matter of fact, in the morning session itself, I should have been informed that a Corrigendum would be brought because of the aforestated reasons, but that was not and the Corrigendum has been straightaway issued, I do not quite approve of it. The issue of taking appropriate action, if necessary, is kept open and that may include a serious action or may be award of cost; that I must repeat is kept open.</p> <p>Coming now to the merit of the matter, the original file has been perused. It may not be appropriate on my part to make any detailed comments at this stage of the matter. The Applicant has hardly put in about six months in Mumbai and he has now been transferred to Nashik.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

The submissions obviously were that the procedure has been fulfilled and the signature of the Hon'ble Chief Minister was pointed out with particular emphasis. Now, once the service condition of transfer has become statute regulated and this Tribunal has to enforce it, if need be, in my opinion, there may be several facts and circumstances that may have to be examined and this aspect of the matter is not a usual run of the mill matter. There are facts and circumstances which are peculiar to the Applicant, and therefore, in my opinion, it will not be proper at all to leave the Applicant unprotected as of now. In any case, the Nashik Officer has remained vacant from August, 2016. I, therefore, direct that the order of transfer in so far as the Applicant is concerned stands hereby stayed till the date next to the filing of the reply and even if the Applicant is relieved, he be reposted to the same post within a period of three working days from today.

Issue notice returnable on 30.06.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 30th June, 2017. Hamdast.

DATE: 9/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K. R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 30/6/17.

Hamdast.

[Signature]

(skw)

[Signature]

(R.B. Malik)

Member (J)

09.06.2017

9.6.17

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chancellor)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>M. D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A. B. Kololgi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Reply filed by R. No. 2.</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>O.A. is admitted.</u> <u>S.O. to 7/7/17</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">FH @</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.257/2017</u></p> <p>Mr. P.B. Dhamale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned PO undertakes to file the Affidavit-in-reply during the course of the day. On that statement having been made, Mr. Lonkar submits that the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder. The OA is admitted and appointed for final hearing to 7th July, 2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) <u>9.6.17</u> Member (J) 09.06.2017</p> <p>(skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramasankar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>Appl. present in person</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>21/6/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BK</i></p>	<p><u>09.06.2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A No 262/2017</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Yadav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Applicant present in person. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned P.O seeks two weeks' time to file affidavit in reply. Granted as a last chance. If reply is not filed on the next date, heavy costs will be imposed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">S.O to 21.6.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>C. T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K. B. Bhise</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>27/6/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.T.K.</i></p>	<p><u>09.06.2017</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 164/2017</u></p> <p>Shri Y.N Kapase ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned Advocate Shri Chandratre states that now Respondent no. 3 is also served. He states that he will be filing affidavit of service today.</p> <p>Learned P.O seeks three weeks' time to file reply.</p> <p>S.O to 27.6.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>l</i></p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>21/6/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>CTC</i></p>	<p>09.06.2017</p> <p><u>O.A Nos 124 /2017</u></p> <p>Shri S. S Bendre ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit in reply has been filed by the Respondents. Learned Advocate Shri Chandratre seeks two weeks' time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>S.O to 21.6.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

09.06.2017

O.A No 609/2016

Shri P.R Acharekar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri M.R Patil, learned advocate for the Applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned P.O on instructions from Shri P.M Balkande, Section Officer, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai states that the meeting of the Establishment Board has been held and the Applicant's file containing the proposal ^{of} promoting the Applicant has been submitted to the Hon'ble Chief Minister on 31.5.2017 and it is likely to be cleared shortly.

In view of the above, matter is adjourned to 21.6.2017.

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

DATE: 9/6/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) *Rajiv Agarwal* *LMG*

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: M.R. Patil

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: Archana B.K.

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 21/6/2017

BYE

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

09.06.2017

O.A No 105/2017

Shri S.Y Patil ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri S.R Ghanavat with Shri Vinod Sangvikar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Granted as a last chance.

S.O to 28.6.2017.

DATE: 9/6/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Rajeshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: S.R. Ghanavat with
Shri Vinod Sangvikar
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: Archana B.K
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 28/6/2017.

[Handwritten signature]

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agastwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>20/6/2017.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p>09.06.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A Nos 120 & 121/2017</u></p> <p>Shri U.S Chavan & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>This Tribunal on 29.3.2017 has granted six weeks' time to the Respondents to file affidavit in reply, though they have asked only for four weeks' time. This was done with a view to ensure that no extension of time will be sought. However, no reply is forthcoming.</p> <p>Learned P.O states that affidavit in reply will be filed within one week. Granted as a last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">S.O 20.6.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>l</i> (Rajiv Agastwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Aggarwal (Member) <i>RAJIV AGGARWAL</i></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>G.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>14/6/2017</u> <i>gpc</i></p>	<p>09.06.2017</p> <p align="center"><u>O.A No 168/2017</u></p> <p>Shri V.L Rajale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the Applicant, Ms Archana B.K learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no 1 to 3 and Respondent no. 4, present in person.</p> <p>It is surprising that more than two and half month was given to the Respondents to file affidavit in reply, ^{but} No reply is forthcoming.</p> <p>At the request of learned P.O, one weeks time is granted to Respondents no 1 to 3 and private Respondent, as a last chance, to file reply.</p> <p>S.O to 14.6.2017.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <i>l</i> (Rajiv Aggarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) <i>Rajiv Agastwal S/C</i></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>21/6/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>YK</i></p>	<p>09.06.2017</p> <p><u>O.A No 1089/2016</u></p> <p>Shri U.A Gadale & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned advocate for the Applicants and Ms Archana B.K learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no 1 to 3 and none for Respondent no. 4.</p> <p>Learned Advocate Ms Manchekar states that affidavit in reply has been filed on behalf of Respondent no. 2 only. It is presumed that other Respondents 1 & 3 do not wish to file reply.</p> <p>Learned Advocate Ms Manchekar seeks two weeks' time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>S.O to 21.6.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>l</i> (Rajiv Agastwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 9/6/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Radhesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. Arunara B.K. C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... 21/6/2017.</p>	<p><u>09.06.2017</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A No 01/2017</u></p> <p>Shri M.R Ahire ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Archana B.K learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned P.O states that affidavit in reply will be file during the course of the day.</p> <p>S.O to 21.6.2017.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Rajiv Agajwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>G.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>22/6/2017.</u></p>	<p>09.06.2017</p> <p><u>O.A Nos 317, 318, 319, 320 & 321/2017</u></p> <p>Shri V.P.Rajput & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned Presenting Officer files affidavit in reply in all Original Applications. However, learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadkar states that reply is not filed to the unamended O.A. As information directed to be produced by this Tribunal was not made available in time, he could ^{not} amend the O.A. He seeks two weeks time to carry out the amendment. Time granted.</p> <p align="right">S.O to 22.6.2017.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. N. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: <u>R.M. Kolge</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>21/6/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>09.06.2017</p> <p><u>O.A No 150/2017</u></p> <p>Shri V.M Salokhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned Presenting Officer states that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>S.O to 21.6.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

09.06.2017

O.A No 396/2017

Shri R.S Patil ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned Advocate Shri Lonkar seeks short service to serve the Respondents again in the proper format and file affidavit of service.

Short service is granted to him as requested.

Learned P.O seeks one week's time to file reply and make a statement.

S.O to 14.6.2017.

DATE: 9/6/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M. D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 14/6/2017.

Sd/-