

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/16</u></p> <p>CHAIRMAN: _____</p> <p>MEMBER: _____ (Chairman)</p> <p>MEMBER: _____ (Member) A</p> <p>MEMBER: _____</p> <p>MEMBER: <u>N. F. Gohad</u></p> <p>MEMBER: _____ (Cor. Resp)</p> <p>MEMBER: <u>R.M. Kolge</u></p> <p>MEMBER: _____ (Cor. App)</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>22/6/16</u></p> <p><u>HJK</u></p>	<p><u>09.06.2016</u></p> <p><u>M.A 62/2016 in C.A 128/2015 in O.A 993/2011 (DB)</u></p> <p>Smt Sujata Saunik ... Applicant (Ori Respondent)</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>Dr K.R Quadri ... Respondent (Ori Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Ori Respondent) and Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the Respondent (Original Applicant)</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer states as follows:-</p> <p>a) P.P.O is issued.</p> <p>b) Arrears of Commutation are also paid to the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned Presenting Officer prays for time to verify as to whether payment of pension has commenced.</p> <p>4. For enabling Learned Presenting Officer to make statement, adjourned to 22.6.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>S</u> Sd/- (A.H Joshi, <u>HJK</u>) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s
(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others
..... Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/16</u> TO: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice Mr. M. D. Lonkar (Member) A. APPEARANCE: For Applicant: <u>M. D. Lonkar</u> For Respondent: <u>K. S. Gaikwad</u> C.A. No. 25/2015 in O.A. No. 558/2013 Dt. 26/8/16. Bk</p>	<p><u>09.06.2016</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 25/2015 in O.A 558 No /2013</u></p> <p>Shri S.T Marakwad ... Applicant Vs. Shri Sudhir Srivastav ... Respondent</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:-</p> <p>a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied.</p> <p>b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.</p> <p>4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.06.2016.

O.A.No.502 of 2016 with O.A.No.503 of 2016

S.J. Tambe
A.T. Gokule

.... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

.... Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.S. Wable, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. Shri A.S. Wable for the Respondents prays for two weeks time for filing reply.

3. Instead of two week, four week time is granted with liberty to the respondents to move for vacation of interim relief in the event reply is filed before due date.

4. S.O. to 14.07.2016.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

DATE : 9/6/16

CORAM :

Hon'ble J. & C. Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble J. & C. Smt. S. M. Patil (Member) A

ADVOCATE :

— Punam Mahajan

Presented by the Applicant

— A.S. Wable

Presenting Officer for the Respondent/s

Adj. To..... 14/7/16.....

BTE

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/16</u></p> <p>..... (Chairman)</p> <p>..... (Member)A</p> <p>.....</p> <p><u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant:</p> <p><u>N.G. Gohad</u></p> <p>.....</p> <p>DATE: <u>30/6/16</u> Steno copy & Hamdast allowed.</p> <p><u>[Signature]</u></p>	<p><u>09.06.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 840/2015</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Shelke ... Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Respondent no. 1 is directed to file short affidavit within two weeks from today on the following points:-</p> <p>a) As to whether representations Annexure-A submitted by the Applicant are pending.</p> <p>b) Is there any legal impediment in deciding the representations, if those are pending?</p> <p>c) If the representations are pending the time frame within which the same shall be decided.</p> <p>3. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to communicate this order.</p> <p>4. S.O to 30.6.2016. Steno copy and Hamdast allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon. Jc Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon. Jc Shri M. Kameshkar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>Chahel P.A. Singh</u> Advocate for the Applicant (Org. Rs. No. 2) Shri <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> H. Adv. C.G.O./P.O. for the Respondent's org. appl. <u>Smt. K.S. Gaikwad</u> P.O. for org. Resp. Adj. To..... <u>Hence, MA is disposed.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BE</i></p>	<p><u>M.A. No.224 of 2016 in O.A. No.484 of 2016</u> Shri Prashant Thakare ..Applicant Vs. (Ori. Resp. No.2) The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Chahel P.A. Singh, learned Advocate for the Applicant-original Respondent No.2, Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for original applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the original Respondents.</p> <p>2. It has transpired that applicant-original respondent no.2 has not filed reply to the OA answering the crucial issues involved in the OA. Shri Chahel P.A. Singh, Ld. Advocate states that applicant would like to file reply to the OA and state that the reply will be filed on or before 14.6.2016.</p> <p>3. OA is not admitted so far. In the background that OA is not yet replied by the respondents, present MA is premature. The request for vacating interim relief can be considered at the time of admission hearing. Therefore, this MA can be disposed off.</p> <p>4. Hence, this MA is disposed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 9.6.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Ramachandran (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Cont. : <u>A.V. Bandiwadker</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Respondent/s Shri/Cont. : <u>N. G. Gohad</u></p> <p>C.I.C / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To, <u>17/6/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>09.06.2016</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A No 458/2016</u></p> <p>Shri C.M. Jadhav ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondent</p> <p>1. Heard A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for time to file reply. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O to 17.6.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.J) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[PTO.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p><u>Punam Mahajan</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>19/6/16</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 09.06.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.467 of 2016</p> <p>S.S. Khot Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents has tendered affidavit. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad prays for time to study the case papers and address thereafter.</p> <p>4. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 14.06.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[PTO]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

09.06.2016

O.A No 443/2016

Shri N.V Koli ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent Nos 1 & 2.

3. Learned Presenting Officer was called to take instructions from the representative from the office of D.G.P and state as to the time frame within which proposal is required to be submitted.

4. Learned Presenting Officer as per instructions states that the office of D.G.P would furnish the proposal within one week. Let statement be made on the next date that proposal is sent.

5. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to take instructions from the Government as to the time frame within which the proposal received from the D.G.P. will be decided and make statement about compliance done so far.

6. S.O to 21.6.2016. Steno copy and Hamdast allowed.

DATE: 9/6/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishnan (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/P.O. Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Emr. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. of the Respondents

Adj. To 21/6/16. steno copy

4 Hamdast allowed

BT

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice S. A. H. Jadhav (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice P. S. Deshpande (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Sd/- <u>M. B. Kadam</u> Advocate for the Applicant Sd/- <u>H. G. Gohad</u> C.R.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>21/6/16</u> Steno copy & handest is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>SGJ</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.418 of 2016</u></p> <p>Dr. Swati S. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO is called to take instructions as to whether applicant's representation for posting according to the applicant's choice, copy whereof is at page 25 would be considered within a fixed time span.</p> <p>3. Statement be made on the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.6.2016.</p> <p>5. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman 9.6.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

09.06.2016

O.A No 714/2015

Ms Kiran Punja Aghav ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Original Application was taken up for hearing.
3. Learned Presenting Officer was called to produce the record relating to the Police Establishment Board, who has approved Applicant's transfer, which is made in this Original Application.
4. Learned Presenting Officer states that record is not brought. Record be produced on the next date.
5. Similarly, a written report be also submitted by Superintendent of Police, Pune, either himself or from any of his subordinates as to whether any efforts were made to secure the call records of the cell phone of the applicant of Shri Milind Rakeja and of Smt Kiran Milind Rakeja.
6. S.O to 15.6.2016. Learned Presenting Officer directed to communicate this order by sending it to the Respondents.
7. Steno copy and Hamdast allowed.

DATE: 9/6/16

CHIEF JUSTICE

Hon'ble Justice S.H. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramachandrar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

STATE: A.V. Bandiwadekar

APPEARANCE FOR APPLICANT

STATE: K.S. Gaikwad

C.E.O / P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: 15.6.16. Steno copy

& Hamdast allowed

HT

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J) Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. P. ... (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant <u>R.M. Kolge</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>H. G. Gohad</u></p> <p>Adj. To: <u>20/6/16</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.256 of 2016</u></p> <p>Dr. L.G. Pandule ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO prays for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.6.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman 9.6.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Mr. Mr. K. S. Kumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Sd/- <u>Punam Mahajan</u> Advocate for Applicant <u>H. F. Gohad</u> Advocate for the Respondent's</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>16/6/16. Handset & steno copy allowed</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>HT</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.505 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri R.B. Badgujar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO prays for time to file reply. Ld. PO further adds that in the present case the applicant was considered for transfer in the background that the officers/employees under his control in the same police station were dealt with for cases under the Prevention of Corruption Act.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO is called to state as to whether ^{there} there exist any standing directions or practice in the police department to transfer a superior officer if his subordinates are subjected to a complaint or raid or charge under the Prevention of Corruption Act.</p> <p>4. Affidavit to be filed by 16.6.2016.</p> <p>5. Hamdast and steno copy allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, 'JP') Chairman 9.6.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchitumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>A.V. Bandiwadker</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>1 & 3.</u> Shri Chahal P. Adv. To <u>Shri Chahal P.A. Singh,</u> Ld. Adv. for R.No. 2. <u>S.O. to 15/6/16.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>BE</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.484 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri V.S. Lambhate ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 & 3 and Shri Chahal P.A. Singh, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO prays for time for filing reply. It shall suffice if crucial grounds viz. 6.5, 6.12, 6.13, 6.14, 6.19, 6.24, 6.26 and 6.27 are replied.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO states that it can be filed by 15.6.2016.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.6.2016.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman 9.6.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

1

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.459 OF 2016

DISTRICT: MUMBAI

S.D. Dhule

.... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents.

Shri M.R. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 09.06.2016.

ORDER

1. Heard Shri M.R. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Record shows that this case was heard initially on 27.05.2016, thereafter on 02.06.2016 and again on 08.06.2016.
3. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents was called to take instructions during last hearing held on 08.06.2016 on following point :-
Whether the verification of authenticity or genuineness of the complaint being made by any identifiable person was done ?
4. Today learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad states that Smt. S.V. Pitre, Office Superintendent, Anti Corruption Bureau (A.C.B.), Maharashtra State (M.S.), Mumbai has arrived to give instructions, and states that the complaint (copy whereof is at page 36 of O.A. paper book) was referred to Superintendent of

Police, A.C.B. Nagpur on 19.08.2015 by the Director General of Anti Corruption (D.G. A.C.B.), M.S., Mumbai, however any report of action taken thereof is not received, so far.

5. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad was asked to state as to whether any action was taken against other police personnel / officers named in the compliant (copy whereof is at page 36 of the O.A. paper book).

6. In response to the foregoing paragraph no.5 learned P.O. states as follows:-

Learned P.O. prays for one week's time for collecting information from D.G. A.C.B. Mumbai as regard action, if any, be taken against other officers named in said compliant, for taking instructions from Superintendent of Police (S.P.), A.C.B., Nagpur and seeking his report of any enquiry conducted by him.

7. It is expected that report based on various actions taken showing the calendar of progress be called. Learned P.O. prays for one week's time.

8. Time is granted only till 14.06.2016.

9. S.O. to 14.06.2016.

Sd/-


(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A.</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.S. Gohad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>14/7/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Bte</i></p>	<p><u>09.06.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A Nos 435, 436, 437 & 438/2015</u></p> <p>Shri N.S Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri Jagdale prays for short time to prepare.</p> <p>3. Parties are put to notice that case will be taken up for final disposal, particularly in the background that Applicants have interim order in their favour for more than one year.</p> <p>4. S.O to 14.7.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman </p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>9/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.S. Wable</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>2/6/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 09.06.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.18 of 2016 in O.A.No.877 of 2011</p> <p>G.K. Mayekar Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.S. Wable, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Shri A.S. Wable for the Respondents states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) Actual disbursement of pension and disbursement of D.C.R.G. will be complied with.</p> <p>(b) Compliance would be reported on or before 21.06.2016.</p> <p>3. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed to learned P.O. communicate this order to the concerned Respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.06.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Sri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Sri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>2/8/16.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 09.06.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.142 of 2016 in C.A.No.33 of 2016 in O.A.No.796 of 2012 with C.A.No.33 of 2016 in O.A.No.796 of 2012</p> <p>R.P. Bansod & Ors. Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents has tendered affidavit affirmed by Dr. Nitin Kareer, Principal Secretary-I, Urban Development Department. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. It is shown that the Government has taken decision to implement the order subject to outcome of the ^S O.A. and pay arrears after securing bond from the concerned. ²</p> <p>4. In view of the decision to file learned P.O. states that for carrying out the further exercise of passing of formal orders by the Director of Town Planning and payment of arrears some time is required.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad has taken instructions from G.M. Gaikwad, A.S.O., Department of U.D.D. and states that entire exercise would be completed within six weeks from today.</p> <p>6. For reporting the compliance, adjourned to 02.08.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 9/6/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri. A.A. Desai Advocate for the Applicant Shri. Ms. N.G. Gohad SPL. PRO. for the Respondents, D.B. Khair spl. counsel for MPSC. Adj. To o.A. is admitted. Liberty to mentioned is granted. Reply filed by R. No. 2 on Rejoinder of Applicant.</p>	<p><u>O.A. No.1091 of 2015</u></p> <p>Dr. K.D. Lohite ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents and Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Special Counsel for Respondent-MPSC.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files sur-rejoinder. It is taken on record. OA is admitted. Liberty to mention is granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 9.6.2016.</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.A. Desai</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. Ms. N. G. Gohad P.O. for the Respondents. D.B. Khaire Spl. Counsel for MPSC.</p> <p>All the OAs are admitted. Liberty to mentioned is granted. <i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>OAs No.1118, 1119, 1120, 1124, 1125, 1126, 1128, 1129 & 1130 of 2015, 3, 4, 28, 29, 32 to 35, 62, 67 & 144 of 2016</u></p> <p>Dr. P.B. Thakare & Ors. ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents and Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Special Counsel for Respondent-MPSC.</p> <p>2. Affidavits in reply have already been filed. These OAs are admitted and liberty to mention is granted. It is made clear that if any rejoinder is to be filed by the applicants that must be filed ^{in and} not after the first date, All these OAs to appear ^{shall} appear before the bench to which these groups are allotted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) <u>9.6.16</u> Member (J) 9.6.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 9/6/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri A.A. Desai Advocate for the Applicant Shri Ms. N.G. Gohad Spl. C.O. for the Respondents D.B. Khaire Spl. Counsel for MPSC. Adj. to OA is admitted. Liberty to mention is granted.</p>	<p><u>O.A. No.148 of 2016</u></p> <p>Dr. M.D. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents and Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Special Counsel for Respondent-MPSC.</p> <p>2. Shri Khaire, Spl. Counsel informs that affidavit in reply will be filed during the course of the day. On that assurance the OA is admitted and liberty to mention is granted. It is made clear that if any rejoinder is to be filed by the applicant that must be filed ⁱⁿ not after the first date. This OA to appear along with connected matters before the bench to which this group is allotted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>lib</i> (R.B. Malik) 9.6.16 Member (J) 9.6.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>B.A. Bandiwadekar</u> (sgj) Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Adj. To S.O. to 23/6/16.</u> <u>For Reply</u></p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.244 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri I.R. Mulla ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate for the applicant requests for time to comply with the order dated 31.3.2016. Time is extended till working hours of the Tribunal today. If the said directions are not complied with then on the principles analogous to Order 6 Rule 18 of the CPC, the order allowing the amendment shall stand recalled and the respondent no.3 shall be deleted from the array of the respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.6.2016 for filing affidavit in reply.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <u>Nik</u> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) <u>9.6.16</u> 9.6.2016</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 9/6/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Shri. <u>A.A. Gharte</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Shri. <u>N.G. Gohad</u> C.O.F.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Adj. To</u> S.O. to 23/6/16 for reply @100</p>	<p><u>O.A. No.406 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri Samir K. Sawant ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 23.6.2016 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) 16 Member (J) 9.6.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 9/6/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri K.R. Jagdale Advocate for the Applicant Smt/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: S.O. to 27/6/16.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.231/2016</u></p> <p>Shri J.R. Koli ... Applicant V/s. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>Heard. On the request of Smt, Gaikwad, the learned P.O, last chance is granted for Affidavit-in-reply. Interim order is extended till 31st July, 2016.</p> <p>S.O. to 27th June, 2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 09.06.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 9/6/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>K. R. Jagdale</u> Advocates for the Applicant <u>K. S. Gaikwad</u> (skw) holding for K. B. Bhise Shri/Smt. <u>K. B. Bhise</u> C.A.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Adj. To</u> <u>S.O. to 15/6/16.</u> PH <u>[Signature]</u></p>	<p><u>O.A.267/2013</u></p> <p>Shri R.R. Avtde ... Applicant V/s. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>A copy of the order of promotion of S/S Sayyad Ali Abdul Karim and G.R. Sonawane is presented. The same is taken on record. The copies of the DPC of 2002 and 2009 have not been produced. The same must be produced on the next date. It is made clear that on the next date, the matter shall be finally heard and closed for orders, and therefore, the documents must be produced on pains to adverse inference being drawn.</p> <p>S.O. to 15th June, 2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>16</u> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 09.06.2016</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri. <u>B.A. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>Ms. N.G. Gohad</u> Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>23/6/16</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>MA No.158 of 2016 in OA No.1520 of 2009</u> with <u>MA No.159 of 2016 in OA No.245 of 2010</u></p> <p>Shri S.A. Pagar & Shri B.N. Gavande ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer holding Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks adjournment for reply. My order dated 5.5.2016 be perused. These MAs to proceed without affidavit in reply. The same are adjourned for arguments and orders to <u>23.6.2016</u>.</p> <p>Sd/- <u>[Signature]</u> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) <u>9.6.16</u> 9.6.2016</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 9/6/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri Sd/- C.T. Chandratre Advocate for the Applicant Shri Sd/- Ms. N.G. Gohad C.P.O.T.P.O. for the Respondents Reply filed by Respondents. Adj. To S.O. to 23/6/16. for Rejoinder.</p>	<p><u>O.A. No.300 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri P.L. Nagare & Ors. ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply. It is taken on record. The order of interim relief is extended till 31.7.2016, S.O. to 23.6.2016 for rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) 9.6.16 Member (J) 9.6.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 9/6/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar (sgl) Advised By the Applicant, Ms. N.G. Gohad holding power H.K. Rajpurohit. C.P.O. for the Respondents Short affidavit filed by P. No. 1. At B. 30/6/16.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.292 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri L.V. Paskanti ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, Learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO tenders what has been titled as short affidavit on behalf of respondent no.1. It is not possible to find from record as to pursuant to which direction the short affidavit has been filed on the point of maintainability. However, the same is taken on record making it clear that as far as the other factual aspect is concerned there is no affidavit in reply and if the issue of maintainability was found against the respondents then they will be as good as bad as exparte. S.O. to 30.6.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) 9.6.16 Member (J) 9.6.2016</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.534 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri Prashant R. Ingle ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3. Respondent No.4 is not present.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 17.6.2016.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Shri Chandratre, Ld. Advocate informs that respondent no.4 has already been served. He is based at Nashik. A separate compilation tendered by Shri Chandratre, Ld. Advocate consisting of 18 pages is hereby taken on record and shall form part and parcel of the record and shall be read and received as evidence a copy thereof has been furnished to the Ld. PO. Steps would be taken to make sure that if the respondent no.4 was interested in contesting this OA a copy thereof shall also be supplied to him. Respondent no.1 shall be served again and the applicant shall file affidavit of service.

8. The issue of great significance is as to whether the applicant had applied initially to the post of Canal Chowkidar through sports category. If not then the concerned respondent will have to explain as to in what circumstances and why was he appointed through that category. The request of the Ld. PO for grant of four weeks time just cannot be accepted because the applicant has now been relieved w.e.f. 31.5.2016 and, therefore, one way or the other at least interim relief aspect of the matter cannot brook delay. The concerned respondents must deal with the above referred points while submitting his/their affidavits on the next date.

9. S.O. to 17.6.2016. The liberty is reserved for the applicant to renew his request for interim relief on that date and even thereafter. PO waives service of notice. Hamdast.

DATE: 9/6/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. E.T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents No. 1 & 2.

Res. NO. 4 is not present.

Adj. To 17/6/16

Hamdast.

(sgj)

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
9.6.2016

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 9/6/16</p> <p><u>CCRAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Kamesh Kumar (Member)-A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Sd/Smt. S.P. Manchekar Advocate for the Applicant Sd/Smt. N.G. Gohad C.E.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... 15/6/16.</p>	<p>Date : 09.06.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.536 of 2016</p> <p>V.K. Biyani Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Applicant has sought amendment and prays for leave to amend.</p> <p>3. Leave as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. Amendment be carried out during the course of the day.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents on instructions from Section Officer, who is present prays for time for filing reply.</p> <p>6. For filing reply time is granted to 14.06.2016.</p> <p>7. For hearing, S.O. to 15.06.2016.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.06.2016.

O.A.No.337 of 2016

S.A. Chavan Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U. Kurund, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.S. Wable, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 22.07.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. While filing affidavit Respondents should ensure that each and every point, paragraph and averment of O.A. is duly replied.

8. S.O. to 22.07.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman

prk

DATE : 9/6/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

Shri U. Kurund

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri /Smt. A.S. Wable

C.F.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 22/7/16.

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>N. G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>21/7/16.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>HT</i></p>	<p>Date : 09.06.2016.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.420 of 2016 with M.A.No.208 of 2016</p> <p>R.K. Malshikhare Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents prays for four weeks time for filing affidavit.</p> <p>3. Though four weeks time is prayed, longer time is granted in order that no further adjournments would be necessary or granted.</p> <p>4. Reply to M.A. and O.A. both be filed.</p> <p>5. Respondents should make it ensure that each and every point, paragraph and averment contained in the O.A. is replied.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 21.07.2016.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <i>(A.H. Joshi, M)</i> Chairman</p> <p>prk</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.06.2016.

O.A.No.416 of 2016

G.S. Pawar

.... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.... Respondents.

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Shri A.S. Wable, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Subhash Puranik, Assistant Conservator of Forest (Wild Life), Respondent No.3.

2. Learned P.O. Shri A.S. Wable for the Respondents states that Respondent No.3 is present and assures that affidavit would be filed.

3. Learned P.O. Shri A.S. Wable further states that affidavit of all the Respondents would be filed on the next date.

4. Respondent No.3 prays for four weeks time for filing affidavit.

5. Though four weeks time is prayed, longer time is granted in order that no further adjournments would be necessary or granted.

6. Affidavit answering each and every point raised in the O.A. be filed on the next date.

7. S.O. to 21.07.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J'y)
Chairman

prk

DATE: 9/6/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : None for the app.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : A.S. Wable

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 21/7/16.

[Handwritten signature]