Original Application No.	of 20	. Dis	TRICT
			Applicant/s
	)		
•		•	
	versi		
Th	ie State of Mahar	ashtra and others	
·			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's ord	or	Tribunal's o	rders
	09.0	6.201 <u>6</u>	
	<u>M.A</u>	62/2016 in C.A 128/2015	5 in O.A 993/2011 (DB)
	Smt	Sujata Saunik	Applicant (Ori Respondent)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Dr K	Vs. R Quadri	Respondent (Ori Applicant)
	Kolg	er for the Applicant (Or	Gohad, learned Presenting i Respondent) and Shri R.M r the Respondent (Original
DATE: 4 4 6 11 6	2.	Learned Presenting Of	ficer states as follows:-
Marie (Chairma Marie (Chairma	n)	a) P.P.O is issued.	
1. Address Andrews When we (Member)	A	b) Arrears of Commo Applicant.	utation are also paid to the
Con R.	رام و عرام	Learned Presenting O	fficer prays for time to verify
Q.M. Kolge	as to	whether payment of per	
Charles Advisor to appropriate the Conference of	). <del>A</del> (1/1·) .	whether payment or per	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Act To 22/6/16.	4.	For enabling Learned	Presenting Officer to make
yk.		ement, adjourned to 22.6	
		interreguajournea to 22.0	Q
			(A.H Joshi, () Chairman
*	Akn		

The State of Maharashtra and others  The State of Maharashtra and others  The State of Maharashtra and others  Tribunal's orders  Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corum, Appearance, Tribunal's orders  Og. 06.2016  C.A 25/2015 in O.A 558 No /2013  Shri S.T Marakwad Applicant Vs. Shri Sudhir Srivastav Respondent  1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:  a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is compiled.  b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.	Original Application No.	of 20	0 DISTRICT
The State of Maharashtra and others  The State of Maharashtra and others  Tribunal of Caram, Appurance, (Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders  One C.A 25/2015 in O.A 558 No /2013  Shri S.T Marakwad Applicant Vs. Shri Sudhir Srivastav Respondent  1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:  a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied.  b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 or giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.			Applicant/s
The State of Maharashtra and others  The State of Maharashtra and others  Tribunal of Caram, Appurance, (Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders  One C.A 25/2015 in O.A 558 No /2013  Shri S.T Marakwad Applicant Vs. Shri Sudhir Srivastav Respondent  1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:  a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied.  b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 or giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.	· •		
The State of Maharashtra and others  Respondent/s  Presenting Officer  Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Trihumal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders  Og.06.2016  C.A 25/2015 in O.A 558 No /2013  Shri S.T Marakwad Applicant Vs. Shri Sudhir Srivastav Respondent  1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:  a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied.  b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.	(Advocate		)
The State of Maharashtra and others  Respondent/s  Presenting Officer  Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Trihumal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders  Og.06.2016  C.A 25/2015 in O.A 558 No /2013  Shri S.T Marakwad Applicant Vs. Shri Sudhir Srivastav Respondent  1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:  a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied.  b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.			versus
Presenting Officer  Office Notes, Office Nomoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders  Og. 06.2016  C.A 25/2015 in O.A 558 No /2013  Shri S.T Marakwad Applicant Vs. Shri Sudhir Srivastav Respondent  1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:  a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied.  b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.	•		
Presenting Officer  Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coruna, Appeurance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders  D9.06.2016  C.A 25/2015 in O.A 558 No /2013  Shri S.T Marakwad Applicant Vs. Shri Sudhir Srivastav Respondent  1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:  a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.		The State of I	Maharashtra and others
Office Notes, Office Monoranda of Corum, Appearance, Tribunal's orders  Og.06.2016  C.A 25/2015 in O.A 558 No /2013  Shri S.T Marakwad Applicant Vs. Shri Sudhir Srivastav Respondent  1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:-  a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied.  b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.			, Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Monoranda of Corun, Appearance, Tribunal's orders  Og.06.2016  C.A 25/2015 in O.A 558 No /2013  Shri S.T Marakwad Applicant Vs. Shri Sudhir Srivastav Respondent  1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:-  a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied.  b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.	Presenting Officer		
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders    09.06.2016	(1 resenting Officer,		
DATE 1016	Office Notes, Office Memoranda	of Coram,	
Shri S.T Marakwad Applicant Vs. Shri Sudhir Srivastav Respondent  1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:- a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied. b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.	. 7.7		Tribunal's orders
Shri S.T Marakwad Applicant Vs. Shri Sudhir Srivastav Respondent  1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:  a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied. b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.	directions and registrar s	Orders	00.00.2016
Shri S.T Marakwad Applicant Vs. Shri Sudhir Srivastav Respondent  1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:-  a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is compiled. b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.			<u>09.06.2016</u>
Shri S.T Marakwad Applicant Vs. Shri Sudhir Srivastav Respondent  1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:-  a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is compiled. b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.			C.A 25/2015 in O.A 558 No /2013
Shri Sudhir Srivastav  1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:-  a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied.  b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.			
Shri Sudhir Srivastav  1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:-  a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied.  b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.	•		
Shri Sudhir Srivastav Respondent  1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:  a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied.  b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.	•		
1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:  a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied.  b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.	· •		
applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:  a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied. b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.		48	Sim Stain Strastav
applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:  a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied. b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.		•	
Officer for the Respondents.  2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:-  a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied.  b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.			1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the
2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:  a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied. b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.	•		applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting
2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:  a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied. b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.			Officer for the Respondents.
a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied. b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.	•	,	
a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied. b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.			
a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no 558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied.  b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.		-	2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as
558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied.  b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  102/3/3/3/3/3/3/3/3/3/3/3/3/3/3/3/3/3/3/3		-	follows:-
558/2013 for deciding the representation of the Applicant submitted by him on 23.1.2014 is complied.  b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  10-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-1	•	• .	a) The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A no
DATE: 4 C  C  DATE: 4 C  C  LOTA C:			n en
b) Applicant's representation dated 9.4.2015 for giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  102.4 (Claiman)  102.4 (Claiman)  102.4 (Claiman)  103.4 (Claiman)  103.4 (Claiman)  104.4 (Claiman)  105.4	•		
giving him consequential benefits as may accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  100/44/3  100/44/3  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  100/44/3  4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.	•		
accrue later on would be decided within two months from today.  100/ACC   Head of the Control of the Control of the action.  3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  100/ACC   Head of the control of the control of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.	alaba '		
Hearth India Clair A. H. Joshi (Clairman)  Hearth India Clair A. H. Joshi (Clairman)  A REAL Control Control (Meader) A.  A Real Control Control (Meader) A.  A lin view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.			
3. Learned P.O prays for time to decide and report the action.  The Control of the Action of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.		1 cluman)	months from today.
the action.  The Lower  Advance Transported to 26.8.2016.  Character Transported to 26.8.2016.	No <del>n the State Martingal Remar O.</del>	Section A	2 Learned D.O. prove for time to decide and report
4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.			
4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.			the action.
4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.		1936-1968	
	Contract Contract Contract	الما	4. In view of the request, adjourned to 26.8.2016.
	Ch.07 house de l'est, qui leur		
			J
A4 To 2 61 811 6: Sd/-	A 7 26 8 16.	*17*:324884*68	Sd/-
with the second		the	
(A.H Joshí, J.) V			

Akn

(Advocate	)
	versus
The State of	Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 09.06.2016.
	O.A.No.502 of 2016 with O.A.No.503 of 2016
	S.J. Tambe A.T. Gokule Applicants
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.  1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.S. Wable, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	<ol> <li>Learned P.O. Shri A.S. Wable for the Respondents prays for two weeks time for filing reply.</li> <li>Instead of two week, four week time is granted</li> </ol>
DATE: 4/6/6 CORACT: Ponting to the Shell A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Han The South Cornect to man (Momber) A	with liberty to the respondents to move for vacation of interim relief in the event reply is filed before due date.  4. S.O. to 14.07.2016.
All Acceptions  A. S. Wahle  Clear First Respondents	Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.)   DDn Chairman

AG, To. 14/2/18.

Original Application No. of	20 DISTRICT
	Appli <b>c</b> ant/s
(Advocate	)
	versus
The State of	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	09.06.2016 O.A No 840/2015
**************************************	Shri S.S Shelke Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	in teappointeries
	1. Heard A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the
	applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting
	Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Respondent no. 1 is directed to file short affidavit
	within two weeks from today on the following points:-
	a) As to whether representations Annexure-A submitted by the Applicant are pending.
	b) Is there any legal impediment in deciding the representations, if those are pending?
9/6/16 (Chairman)	c) If the representations are pending the time frame within which the same shall be decided.
	3. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to
A.V-Bandiwed-Kar	communicate this order.
Asia Poto il mine Assaillo di	Communicate this order.
N. G. fored	4. S.O to 30.6.2016. Steno copy and Hamdast
	allowed.
30/6/16. stone copy L	<b>)</b>
Hamlast allowed	Sd/-
421	

Akn

(A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman

..Applicant (Ori. Resp. No.2)

..Respondents

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders M.A. No.224 of 2016 in O.A. No.484 of 2016 Shri Prashant Thakare Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

> Heard Shri Chahel P.A. Singh, learned Advocate for the Applicant-original Respondent No.2, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for original applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the original Respondents.

- 2. It has transpired that applicant-original respondent no.2 has not filed reply to the OA answering the crucial issues involved in the OA. Shri Chahel P.A. Singh, Ld. Advocate states that applicant would like to file reply to the OA and state that the reply will be filed on or before 14.6.2016.
- OA is not admitted so far. In the background 3. that OA is not yet replied by the respondents, present MA is premature. The request for vacating interim relief can be considered at the time of admission hearing. Therefore, this MA can be disposed off.
- Hence, this MA is disposed.

Sd/-(A.H. Joshil J.) Chairman 9.6.2016

(sgj)

9/6/16 CORAM: Plan The Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Advecate for the Applicant Corg. Ro. Ho. 2) S. A. V. Bandinchway Haw CHOIPO, for the Respondent/s org. appl. Smt. K.S. Faikulad P.O. for O'D. Resp.

Hence, MA is disposed.

Ad1. To.....

Original Application No. of	20 District	
		Applicant/s
		· 20
(Advocate		
	versus	
mi Cu	CMC-hh and othors	
The State of	of Maharashtra and others	
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,		
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunal's orders	
directions and Registrar's orders		
	<u>09.06.2016</u>	
	O.A No 458/	2016
		<del></del>
	Shri C.M. Jadhav	Applicant
	Vs.	
	State of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondent
	1. Heard A.V Bandiwadekar, I	earned advocate for the
	Applicant and Ms Neelima Goh	ad, learned Presentin
	Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Learned Presenting Office	r prays for time to file
	reply. Time granted.	<b>,</b> ,
	reply. Time granted.	
		•
	3. S.O to 17.6.2016.	*
DATE: 91010	*	λ
COLAM		Sd/-
fleath instice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)		- Car
114'ble Shri M. Ramoshkumar (Memher) A	·	(A.H Joshì, J.∬
APPEARANCE:  THE BOTH A.V. Bardiwoodkey	Akn	Chairman
Altroposto for the Applicant Statement . H. G. Goled		
C.F.C / P.O. for the Respondent/s		
Adj. To1716116		
i y'te		

Original Application No. 1997 1997 of S	20 District	Marine Agency
		Applicant/s
(Adversate		e e
(Advocate	)	1 °
	versus	
The State of	Maharashtra and others	
•		Respondent/s
		respondence
(Presenting Officer	)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corum,		
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
4.1001.01.01		
	Date: 09.06.2016.	
	O.A.No.467 of 20	016
	S.S. Khot	Applicant.
	Versus	1 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 -
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan	, the learned Advocate
	for the Applicant and Smt. K.S.	
	Presenting Officer for the Responde	
	Presenting Officer for the Responde	
	2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S.	Gaikwad for the
	Respondents has tendered affidavit	
	Respondents has tendored and	
	3. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gail	wad prays for time to
	study the case papers and address t	
DATE: 9/6/15	4. Time as prayed for is grante	d.
CORAM:		
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Ho <del>n'ble Shri M. Anneshkumar (Member) A</del>	5. S.O. to 14.06.2016.	<b>\</b>
ZEPEARANCE:		/3 .
Pyram Mahajan		Sd/-
Adversits for the Applicant	<u> </u>	.H. Joshi, 🌡
Shri/Strit: K.S. Gajkwed		Chairman
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	prk	
Adj. To		•
	· ·	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,

Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

#### 09.06.2016

### O.A No 443/2016

Shri N.V Koli

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

- Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent Nos 1 & 2.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer was called to take instructions from the representative from the office of D.G.P and state as to the time frame within which proposal is required to be submitted.
- 4. Learned Presenting Officer as per instructions states that the office of D.G.P would furnish the proposal within one week. Let statement be made on the next date that proposal is sent.
- 5. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to take instructions from the Government as to the time frame within which the proposal received from the D.G.P. will be decided and make statement about compliance done so far.
- 6. S.O to 21.6.2016. Steno copy and Hamdast allowed.

Sd/(A.H Joshi, J.) (A.H Joshi, J.)

DATE: 96116
CORANI
Hontha Jacine Shri A. H. Joshi (Charman)
Hearth Shilld Rameshkagar (Monday) A
ATT MARKET
surem Mahajsn
A Turken Constant and Louist
Shri/Smi : M. G. Gotod
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

+ Harrycot allowed

Akn

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders O.A. No.418 of 2016 Dr. Swati S. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. PO is called to take instructions as to whether applicant's representation for posting according to the applicant's choice, copy whereof is at page 25 would be considered within a fixed time span. Statement be made on the next date. S.O. to 21.6.2016. 4. 5. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed. 9/6/16  $\mathbf{Q}$ DATE: Sd/-Lion ble Instite Sie (A. H. Jushi (Chairman) (A.H. Joshi, II) Chairman 9.6.2016 m.B. Vadam (sgj) aud roomis für Els Appliannt Ship /Ship : M. G. Gored C.R.O./ N.O. for the Respondent/s Adj. To 2/16/16. Stero copy / handest is all shed.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

#### 09.06.2016

#### O.A No 714/2015

Ms Kiran Punja Aghav

... Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

- Heard A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- Original Application was taken up for hearing. 2.
- Learned Presenting Officer was called to produce 3. the record relating to the Police Establishment Board, who has approved Applicant's transfer, which is made in this Original Application.
- Learned Presenting Officer states that record is not 4. brought. Record be produced on the next date.
- Similarly, a written report be also submitted by Superintendent of Police, Pune, either himself or from any of his subordinates as to whether any efforts were made to secure the call records of the cell phone of the applicant of Shri Milind Rakeja and of Smt Kiran Milind Rakeja.
- S.O to 15.6.2016. Learned Presenting Officer directed to communicate this order by sending it to the Respondents.
- Steno copy and Hamdast allowed. 7.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J() Chairman

Hon'the fusible Shilly, H. Joshi (Chaleman) K.S. Garkwa

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

Adj. To 20616.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders O.A. No.256 of 2016 Dr. L.G. Pandule ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. PO prays for time to file reply. 2. Time granted. 3. S.O. to 20.6.2016. 4. Sd/-(A.H. Joshi, JI) Chairman 9.6.2016 (sgj) 9/6/16 Hon We Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) R.M. Kelge Advasils for the Applicant the fored C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

CALL AND, for the Hespotheride

Stero cepy allowed

AG TO 10/6/16 Handart

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders O.A. No.505 of 2016 .. Applicant Shri R.B. Badgujar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. PO prays for time to file reply. Ld. PO further adds that in the present case the applicant was considered for transfer in the background that the officers/employees under his control in the same police station were dealt with for cases under the Prevention of Corruption Act. these Ld. PO is called to state as to whether their exist any standing directions or practice in the police department to transfer a superior officer if his subordinates are subjected to a complaint or raid or charge under the Prevention of Corruption Act. DATE Affidavit to be filed by 16.6.2016. 4. CORAM Post Medecke, Style, M. Joshi (Chairman) 5. Hamdast and steno copy allowed. Sd/-Pyram (A.H. Joshi, J) ' Chairman H. G.Gorcal 9.6.2016

(sgj)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

Ld. Adv. for R. Ho. 2.

5.0, to 15/6/16.

BE

of 20 ·

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders O.A. No.484 of 2016 ..Applicant Shri V.S. Lambhate Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 & 3 and Shri Chahel P.A. Singh, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2. Ld. PO prays for time for filing reply. It shall suffice if crucial grounds viz. 6.5, 6.12, 6.13, 6.14, 6.19, 6.24, 6.26 and 6.27 are replied. DATE: 9/6/16 Ld. PO states that it can be filed by 15.6.2016. 3. CORAIM: Hen'blo Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) 4. S.O. to 15.6.2016. at M. Rameshlumar (Member) A. Sd/-A. V. Bardi wedeter (A.H. Joshi, 🚺 Advocate for the Applicant Shridsmt. K.S. Farkwad Chairman 9.6.2016 C.P.O / P.O. for the Kespondent/s 145. (sgj) Ady To shori chanel P.A. Sirgh,

### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.459 OF 2016**

**DISTRICT: MUMBAI** 

S.D. Dhule

.... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents.

Shri M.R. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM: JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 09.06.2016.

#### ORDER

- 1. Heard Shri M.R. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Record shows that this case was heard initially on 27.05.2016, thereafter on 02.06.2016 and again on 08.06.2016.
- 3. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents was called to take instructions during last hearing held on 08.06.2016 on following point:-

Whether the verification of authenticity or genuineness of the complaint being made by any identifiable person was done?

4. Today learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad states that Smt. S.V. Pitre, Office Superintendent, Anti Corruption Bureau (A.C.B.), Maharashtra State (M.S.), Mumbai has arrived to give instructions, and states that the complaint (copy whereof is at page 36 of O.A. paper book) was referred to Superintendent of

Police, A.C.B. Nagpur on 19.08.2015 by the Director General of Anti Corruption (D.G. A.C.B.), M.S., Mumbai, however any report of action taken thereof is not received, so far.

- 5. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad was asked to state as to whether any action was taken against other police personnel / officers named in the compliant (copy whereof is at page 36 of the O.A. paper book).
- 6. In response to the foregoing paragraph no.5 learned P.O. states as follows:-

Learned P.O. prays for one week's time for collecting information from D.G. A.C.B. Mumbai as regard action, if any, be taken against other officers named in said compliant, for taking instructions from Superintendent of Police (S.P.), A.C.B.. Nagpur and seeking his report of any enquiry conducted by him.

- 7. It is expected that report based on various actions taken showing the calendar of progress be called. Learned P.O. prays for one week's time.
- 8. Time is granted only till 14.06.2016.
- 9. S.O. to 14.06.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi)

prk

Original Application No.	of 20 District
	., Applicant/s
(Advocate	)
	versus
The State	of Maharashtra and others
(Presenting Officer	Respondent/s
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,	
Appearance, Tribonal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	09.06.2016
	O A Nos 425 425 427 8 429 /2045
	O.A Nos 435, 436, 437 & 438/2015
	Shri N.S Patil Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the
	applicants and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting
	Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned Advocate Shri Jagdale prays for short time
	to prepare.
	3. Parties are put to notice that case will be taken up
DATE: 916116	parts moties that east will be taken up
OATE: 9 6 1/6 ORAM:	for final disposal, particularly in the background that
Ion'ble lustice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	Applicants have interim order in their favour for more
lon ble Shri W. Remesikumar (Member) A	than one year.
PPEARANCE:	
hisson: KR. Jagdale.	4. S.O to 14.7,2016.
alvosate for the Applicant	
bri /Smt	Sd/-
	(A.H Joshi, J.)
d. To. 14/7/16	Chairman <b>\</b>
Bh	

	· 1987年 - 1987年 - 1987年 - 1987年 - 1984年 - 1987年
Original Application No.	of 20 District
	Applicant/s
(Advagata	
(Advocate	(mmm)
	versus
The State	of Maharashtra and others
	<b>P</b>
(Proporting Off	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,	
Appearance, Tribunal's orders ar	Tribunal's orders
directions and Registrar's orders	
	Pate : 00 06 2016
	Date : 09.06.2016.
	C.A.No.18 of 2016 in O.A.No.877 of 2011
•	
	G.K. Mayekar Applicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned
	in the state of th
	Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.S. Wable, the
	learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O. Shri A.S. Wable for the Respondents
	states as follows :-
	(a) Actual disbursement of pension and
	disbursement of D.C.R.G. will be complied
~	with.
	(b) Compliance would be reported on or before
•	21.06.2016.
DATE: 9/6/16	2
CORAM:	3. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed to learned P.O.
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	communicate this order to the concerned Respondents.
Hon'bio Shri ki. Kumeshkumar (Member) A	
APPEARANCE:	4. S.O. to 21.06.2016.
Shrt/Smt. A.V. Bandi Waddler	
Advosate for the Applicant	Sd/-
Shri/Smt. A.S. Walk	(A.H. Joshi, ].)
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	Chairman
a dala	prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corum, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

Date: 09.06.2016.

M.A.No.142 of 2016 in C.A.No.33 of 2016 in O.A.No.796 of 2012 with C.A.No.33 of 2016 in O.A.No.796 of 2012

R.P. Bansod & Ors.

.... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents has tendered affidavit affirmed by Dr. Nitin Kareer, Principal Secretary-I, Urban Development Department. It is taken on record.
- 3. It is shown that the Government has taken decision to implement the order subject to outcome of the A. and pay arrears after securing bond from the concerned.

1

- 4. In view of the decision to file learned P.O. states that for carrying out the further exercise of passing of formal orders by the Director of Town Planning and payment of arrears some time is required.
- 5. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad has taken instructions from G.M. Gaikwad, A.S.O., Department of U.D.D. and states that entire exercise would be completed within six weeks from today.
- 6. For reporting the compliance, adjourned to 02.08.2016.  $\upbeta$

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)

DATE: 9|C|IC

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APITEARANCE;

Shitson: AV. Bandi Waddwar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: K.S. Gaikwa C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 2/8/16.

ب

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	O.A. No.1091 of 2015
	Dr. K.D. LohiteApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondent
	Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents and Shri D.I. Khaire, learned Special Counsel for Respondent MPSC.  2. Ld. PO files sur-rejoinder. It is taken of record. OA is admitted. Liberty to mention granted.
DATE: 96/6 CORAM: Hon'bie Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'bie Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)	Sd/-  (R.B. Malik)  Member (J)  9.6.2016
SHOW A. A. Desci	(sgj)
Advisor for the Applicant  Shi for the M.S. N. G. Gohad  C.F.UTP.U. for the Respondents. D. B. kha  Spl. = ochsel for MPSC.  Adv. To O. A. es achuited  iberty to Mentioned  ocypanted.	

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

OAs No.1118, 1119, 1120, 1124, 1125, 1126, 1128, 1129 & 1130 of 2015, 3, 4, 28, 29, 32 to 35, 62, 67 & 144 of 2016

Dr. P.B. Thakare & Ors.

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Applicant, Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents and Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Special Counsel for Respondent-MPSC.

Affidavits in reply have already been filed. These OAs are admitted and liberty to mention is granted. It is made clear that if any rejoinder is to be filed by the applicants that must be filed not after the first date, All these OAs to appear before the bench to which these groups are allotted.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 9.6.2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL <del>- (Vice - Chairman)</del>

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant Shrifem Ms. H. G. G

-C.HOTP.O. to: the Respondents . D. B. Khalia.
501. Coccused for MPSC. Auto As are adv

Liberty to mention

(sgj)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

APPEARANCE:

Advecte for the Applicant

C.H.O. 10. for the Respondents . D. B. Khaus

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	O.A. No.148 of 2016
	Dr. M.D. ChavanApplicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Miss Neelima Gohad, learned
	Presenting Officer for Respondents and Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Special Counsel for Respondent-MPSC.
	2. Shri Khaire, Spl. Counsel informs that affidavit in reply will be filed during the course of the day. On that assurance the OA is admitted and liberty to mention is granted. It is made clear that if
	any rejoinder is to be filed by the applicant that must be filed not after the first date. This OA to appear along with connected matters before the bench to which this group is allotted.
DATE: 9666  CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)	(R.B. Malik) 9 6 \ Member (J) 9.6.2016

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders O.A. No.244 of 2016 ..Applicant Shri I.R. Mulla  $V_{S}$ The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents. Shri Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate for the applicant requests for time to comply with the order dated 31.3.2016. Time is extended till working hours of the Tribunal today. If the said directions are not complied with then on the principles analogous to Order 6 Rule 18 of the CPC, the order allowing the amendment shall stand recalled and the respondent no.3 shall be deleted from the array of the respondents. 3. S.O. to 23.6.2016 for filing affidavit in reply. Sd/-CORAM: Hon'bie Shri. RAHV AGARWA (R.B. Malik) (Vice - Chairman Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) Member (J) APPEARANCE: 9.6.2016 Stiri/Sun B. A. BOCK dicocadelkar (sgj) Advocate for the Applicant C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

admitted.

mention before

2

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO. Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders O.A. No.245 of 2016 Shri N.A. Polani .. Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra ..Respondent Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer Respondent. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply. She submits that nobody from the office of respondent has come to brief her. Last chance was also granted on 5.5.2016. The OA to proceed without affidavit in reply and is, therefore, formally admitted. The same be mentioned before appropriate bench for hearing. It is made clear, however, that on the next date if the reply is tendered the same will be taken on record and no adjournment shall be given for the said purpose. Hamdast. Sd/-CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (R.B. Malik) (Vice Chairman) Member (J) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) 3 9.6.2016 APPEARANCE: (sgj) Advocate for the Applicant Short MS. N.C. C POTEO, for the Respondents . D. B. Kha spl. Eccensel for MPSC.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunai's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	O.A. No.406 of 2016
	Shri Samir K. SawantApplicant Vs.  The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents  Heard Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for the Advoca
	the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learne Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
DATE: 9666  CGRAM: Hon'bie Shri. RAJIV AGARWAI (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R MALIK (Montha)	2. S.O. to 23.6.2016 for filing reply.  Sd/-  (R.B. Malik)  Member (J)  9.6.2016  (sgj)
APPEARANCE: Shri/Sim A: A. G. C.	
Adj. To. S. O. to 23 6 (16	

Original Application No. of 20 District		
		Applicant/s
(Advocate.,	)	
	versus	
The State o	f Maharashtra and others	
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(1 resenting Officer		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal'	s orders
	O.A.231	<u>/2016</u>
	olat ID Kali	Applicant
	Shri J.R. Koli V/s.	Applicant
	The State of Mah. &	ors Respondents
	77 1 OL .: IZ	D. James the learner
	Advocate for the A	R. Jagdale, the learned pplicant and Smt. K.S. P.O. for the Respondents.
	the learned P.O, las	request of Smt, Gaikwad, t chance is granted for erim order is extended till
	S.O. to 27 <sup>th</sup> June	, 2016.
	ere e	Sd/-
		5.//-
DATE: 9/6/16		(R.B. Malik)
CORAM:		Member (J)
-Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL		09.06.2016
Hor ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)	(skw)	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
APPEARANCE:		
Swin K. R. Jagdale	. :	
Advocate for the Applicant		
Star /Smt. 14. S. G-aileesad		
Crf: OTF.O. for the Respondents		
1 / 2		
-AU TO 5.0 +0 27 6 16.		
2 /		

2

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

	To permit to the permit to strong making and the
Original Application No. of	20 DISTRICT
	Applicant/s
(Advocate	)
	versus
The State o	f Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	)
Office Notes, Office Memorands of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	O.A.267/2013
	Shri R.R. Avtde Applicant V/s.
	The State of Mah. & ors Respondents
	Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned
	Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S.
	Gaikwad holding for Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.
	A copy of the order of promotion of S/S Sayyad Ali Abdul Karim and G.R. Sonawane is presented. The same is taken on record. The copies of the DPC of 2002 and 2009 have not
	been produced. The same must be produced or the next date. It is made clear that on the next date, the matter shall be finally heard and closed for orders, and therefore, the documents must be produced on pains to adverse inference being drawn.
1.110	S.O. to 15 <sup>th</sup> June, 2016.
DATE: 96116	
CORAM: Hoa'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL	∽ Sd/- \b
- (Vice - Chairman)	(R.B. Malik)
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) The APPEARANCE:	Member (J)
A To adole	09.06.2016
Advocate for the Applicant. 12 S. Gardood  Applicant 12 B. Bhise	ط) (skw)
C.P.O. For the Respondents	
-Adi Tamas 8.0 to 5 6/16.	
PH	

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders MA No.158 of 2016 in OA No.1520 of 2009 with MA No.159 of 2016 in OA No.245 of 2010 Shri S.A. Pagar & ..Applicants Shri B.N. Gavande Vs. ..Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. PO seeks adjournment for reply. My order dated 5.5.2016 be perused. These MAs to proceed without affidavit in reply. The same are adjourned for arguments and orders to 23.6.2016. Sd/-DATE: 96 CORAM: (R.B. Malik) Hon'ble Shri: RAJIV AGARWAL Member (J) —(Vice - Chairman) . Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) 🕇 9.6.2016 APPEARANCE:

Advagate for the Applicant . N.S. N. C. Colod Middle Poer A. T. charge

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

23/6/16.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

2

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	O.A. No.300 of 2016
	Shri P.L. Nagare & OrsApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. PO files reply. It is taken on record. The order of interim relief is extended till 31.7.2016, S.O. to 23.6.2016 for rejoinder.
DATE: 96/16  CORAM: Hon the Shri. RAHV AGARWAL (Vice Chairman)	(R.B. Malik) (R.B. Member (J) 9.6.2016
How bie Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)  APT LARANCE: Shrisada G. T. Chanchale	(sgj)
Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Shri Ms. N.C. Gohad  - C.P.O.7.P.D. for the Respondents  Lepty filed by Kes po ncland	s .
-Ad To S.O. to 236/16. Pour Rejoinder.	

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

### O.A. No.292 of 2016

Shri L.V. Paskanti

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, Learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO tenders what has been titled as short affidavit on behalf of respondent no.1. It is not possible to find from record as to pursuant to which direction the short affidavit has been filed on the point of maintainability. However, the same is taken on record making it clear that as far as the other factual aspect is concerned there is no affidavit in reply and if the issue of maintainability was found against the respondents then they will be as good as bad as exparte. S.O. to 30.6.2016.

DATE: 9616 CORAM:

- Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

B. A. Bandinadaya (sg

Ad good for the Applicant, Me. N.G. Cohad

As a like to be the pendent.

C.P.O. L.O. To the Ecspundents + Rived

Showst alliceruit

by p. no.1.

Out\_

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) Member (J)

9.6.2016

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	O.A. No.534 of 2016
	Shri Prashant R. IngleApplicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate
	for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3.

2. Issue notice returnable on 17.6.2016.

Respondent No.4 is not present.

- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. Shri Chandratre, Ld. Advocate informs that respondent no.4 has already been served. He is based at Nashik. A separate compilation tendered by Shri Chandratre, Ld. Advocate consisting of 18 pages is hereby taken on record and shall form part and parcel of the record and shall be read and received as evidence a copy thereof has been furnished to the Ld. PO. Steps would be taken to make sure that if the respondent no.4 was interested in contesting this OA a copy thereof shall also be supplied to him. Respondent no.1 shall be served again and the applicant shall file affidavit of service.
- 8. The issue of great significance is as to whether the applicant had applied initially to the post of Canal Chowkidar through sports category. If not then the concerned respondent will have to explain as to in what circumstances and why was he appointed through that category. The request of the Ld. PO for grant of four weeks time just cannot be accepted because the applicant has now been relieved w.e.f. 31.5.2016 and, therefore, one way or the other at least interim relief aspect of the matter cannot brook delay. The concerned respondents must deal with the above referred points while submitting his/their affidavits on the next date.
- 9. S.O. to 17.6.2016. The liberty is reserved for the applicant to renew his request for interim relief on that date and even thereafter. PO waives service of notice. Hamdast.

(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 9.6.2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL

(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Sim G. T. Chondrate

Advocate for the Applicans

Shri/Smt. (M.S. M. G. Gohad

C.POTP.O. for the Respondents Mo. 1 tol.

Pes. NO. 4 & Not prosent

Adj. To. 17/6/16.

(sgj)

Original Application No. of	20 District Applicant/s
	Application
Advocate,	)
	versus
The State of	of Maharashtra and others
	, Respondent/s
Presenting Officer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 09.06.2016.
	O.A.No.536 of 2016
	V.K. Biyani Applicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate
	for the Applicant, Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting
	Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar,
	the learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.
	the learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.
	2. Learned Advocate Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the
	Applicant has bought the proposal amendment and prays
	for leave to amend.
	3. Leave as prayed for is granted.
	4. Amendment be carried out during the course of the
DATE: , 9/6/16	day.
DATE: q\s\s\s	5 Leaved D.O. Ma. N.C. Cahad fau the Day and auto
Hon'ole Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	5. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents
Hen bir Buri M. Kameshkumar (Member) A	on instructions from Section Officer, who is present prays
AFT WARANCE:	for time for filing reply.
Station: S.P. Manchekay	6. For filing reply time is granted to 14.06.2016.
Advosate for the Applicant	2. To thing tepty time to brunted to 14.00.2010.
Shri/Smt.: 14 5 6/10.2 C.F.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	7. For hearing, S.O. to 15.06.2016.
· •	Sd/-
Adj. To. 1516.	.0
₩ <b>T</b>	IA H Joshi IV

Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corum, Appearance, Tribanal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

Date: 09.06.2016.

#### O.A.No.337 of 2016

S.A. Chavan

.... Applicant

**Versus** 

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

....Respondents.

- Heard Shri U. Kurund, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.S. Wable, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- Issue notice returnable on 22.07.2016.
- Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. While filing affidavit Respondents should ensure that each and every point, paragraph and averment of O.A. is duly replied.
- 8. S.O. to 22.07.2016.

√ Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, L.) Chairman

DATE: 9/4/15 CORAM:

Hon'ble Jastice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE

Shirt U. Kurund

Advotate for the Applicant

Shri/Sput: As wable C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adı To. 22/7/16.

yte.

## (G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) | Spi. MAIN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

en de la composition de la composition La composition de la		
Original Application No. of	20 District Applicant/s	
	Applicanus	
(Advocate,	<b>,,,,,,,)</b>	
	versus	
The State of	f Maharashtra and others	
	Respondent/s	
(Presenting Officer	(	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 09,06.2016.	
	Date : 03,00,2010.	
	O.A.No.420 of 2016 with M.A.No.208 of 2016	
	R.K. Malshikhare Applicant	
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate	
	for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned	
	Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2 Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents	
	prays for four weeks time for filing affidavit.	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	3. Though four weeks time is prayed, longer time is	
granted in order that no further adjournments would		
	necessary or granted.	
DATE: 9616	4 Reply to M.A. and O.A. both be filed.	
CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)		
Hon'this Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A	5. Respondents should make it ensure that each and	
APPEARANCE:	every point, paragraph and averment contained in the O.A.	
Shri/Smt: S.P. Manchakar	is replied.	
Adverge for the Applicant		
Shri/Smt : It G. Fdad	6. S.O. to 21.07.2016.	
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	Sd/-	
Adj. To. 2117116.		
yt.	(A.H. Joshi, M)	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

Date: 09.06.2016.

#### O.A.No.416 of 2016

G.S. Pawar

.... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents.

- None for the Applicant. Heard Shri A.S. Wable, the 1. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Subhash Puranik, Assistant Conservator of Forest (Wild Life), Respondent No.3.
- Learned P.O. Shri A.S. Wable for the Respondents states that Respondent No.3 is present and assures that affidavit would be filed.
- Learned P.O. Shri A.S. Wable further states that 3. affidavit of all the Respondents would be filed on the next date.
- Respondent No.3 prays for four weeks time for filing affidavit.
- Though four weeks time is prayed, longer time is granted in order that no further adjournments would be necessary or granted.
- 6. Affidavit answering each and every point raised in the O.A. be filed on the next date.
- 7. S.O. to 21.07.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman

prk

9/6/12 DATE:

Hon'ble histice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman). Hon ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

Sind/Sint: Home for the

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smi: A.S. Walle C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s