ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.375/2024 (Machindra Banderao Padale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Anr.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri S.S.Dambe, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. In the present matter main grievance of the applicant is that, the respondents have not taken any decision as about the period of suspension whether to be treated as 'on duty' period or otherwise. Learned Counsel submits that when the applicant has been acquitted from the criminal prosecution against him, respondents are under an obligation to treat the aforesaid period as duty period having considered the provisions in that Other prayers which are made in the regard. application cannot be considered unless the decision is taken in regard to the period of suspension. Learned Counsel for the applicant in the circumstances has not pressed the said prayers. It seems to be the only grievance of the applicant that, after his acquittal from the criminal

=2= O.A.NO.375/2024

prosecution, the respondents must have taken a decision about the period of suspension undergone by him during the pendency of the criminal prosecution against him.

3. From the documents which are there on record, it appears that the respondents have not any decision regarding the taken pay and allowances to be paid to the Government servant for the period of suspension undergone by him and whether or not the said period shall be treated as a period spent on duty. Rule 72 of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Joining Time, Foreign Service and Payment During Suspension, Dismissal and Removal) Rules, 1981 ("Rules of 1981" for short) mandates the Government to consider and make a specific order on the aforesaid aspects.

4. Law is well settled that, it is within the jurisdiction of the competent authority to decide whether suspension was wholly unjustified or otherwise. In the above circumstances, we deem it appropriate to dispose of the present O.A. by directing the respondent no.2 to take a conscious decision considering the entire facts and

=3= O.A.NO.375/2024

circumstances and in light of the provisions under Rule 70 to 72 of the Rules of 1981.

5. It is brought to our notice that the applicant is retiring on 31-05-2024. It is thus evident that unless the decision is taken as about the treatment to be given to the suspension period undergone by the applicant his pension may not be finally determined. In the circumstances, following order is passed:

[i] Respondent no.2 or whoever may be the competent authority, shall take decision in regard to the period of suspension undergone by the applicant whether or not shall be treated as the period spent on duty and also regarding pay and allowances to be paid to the applicant for the period of suspension, within two weeks from the date of this order and communicate its decision to the applicant.

[ii] Needless to state that if any grievance survives the applicant is not precluded from availing the appropriate remedy.

=4= O.A.NO.375/2024

[iii] O.A. stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms without any order as to costs.

[iv] Steno Copy is allowed to the parties on their request.

MEMBER (A) YUK ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

M.A.ST.NO.554/2024 (Amit S. More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

M.A.ST.NO.930/2024 (Magdum S. Dharmaraj Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

O.A.NO.778/2023 (Vitthal G. Shinde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER : Heard Shri A.S.Des

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Counsel for applicant nos.1 to 11, Shri S.S.Kulkarni, learned Counsel along with Shri S.S.Kurundkar, learned Counsel for applicant no.12, 13, 14 & 15 (applicants in M.A.554/24 & 930/24 (applicants no.14 & 15 in O.A.), Shri A.S.Khedkar along with Smt. Pranoti R. Karpe, learned Counsel for respondent nos.4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, 22, 23, 25, 30, 31, 33, 34, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58 & 59, Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent nos.1 & 2, Shri Ganesh P. Darandale, learned Counsel for respondent nos.7, 12, 24, 32, Shri M.K.Bhosale, learned Counsel for respondent no.19, Shri Parag Barde along with Parikshit Mantri & Anand Kawre, learned Counsel for respondent nos.29, Shri J.B.Choudhary, learned Counsel for

=2= O.A.NO.778/2023

respondent no.8, 28, & 36, Shri D.T.Devane, learned Counsel for respondent no.39 and Shri S.N.Pagare, learned Counsel for respondent no.60.

2. The applicants in M.A.St.No.554/24 & 930/24 are intending to become co-applicant in O.A.No.778/2023. Learned Counsel submits that the present applicants are having similar grievance as raised in the aforesaid O.A. and in the circumstances instead of filing another O.A. the applicants may be permitted to join as co-applicant in the said matter.

3. Learned P.O. has submitted for passing appropriate order.

4. Learned Counsel Shri Avinash Khedkar submitted that the applicant must have given copies to all concerned which have not been given. Learned Counsel further submitted that appropriate order may be passed.

5. Considering the facts that the present applicants in M.As. are having same grievance as the applicants in O.A., it will be in the fitness of things to permit the applicants in M.As. to be co-applicants in the O.A.No.778/2023. Hence, the

=3= O.A.NO.778/2023

M.A.St.No.554/24 & 930/24 are allowed and disposed of. Applicants in M.A.St.No.554/24 & 930/24 be added as co-applicant nos.14 & 15 in O.A.No.778/2023. Amendment be carried out forthwith.

6. Shri J.B.Choudhary, learned Counsel appearing for respondent nos.8, 28, 36 has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.28 and 36 on record. Same is taken on record.

7. Learned Counsel for applicant in M.A.No.71/2024 which has been already disposed of seeks leave to correct serial number of his name mentioned in the index and list of documents. Leave granted. Necessary amendment be carried out forthwith.

8. Arguments are concluded. Original Application is reserved for order.

MEMBER (A) YUK ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

O.A.NO.702/2018 WITH M.A.NO.310/2023 (Dashrath G. Bhure & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.341/2019 (Kamal R. Rathod & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.589/2018 (Govind G. Khatgaye Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicants in M.A.310/23 with O.A.702/18, Shri A.M.Nagarkar, learned Counsel for applicants in O.A.341/19 and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

Shri S.S.Patankar, learned Counsel for applicant in O.A.No.589/2018 is **absent**.

2. Learned Counsel for the parties are directed to submit entire information in tabular format along with a short affidavit on the next date.

3. S.O. to 24-06-2024.

MEMBER (A) YUK ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

M.A.NO.145/2024 (Dr. Chandrakant S. Avhad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) WITH O.A.NO.1022/2023 (Dr. Yogendra G. Yeotikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri H.P.Jadhav, learned Counsel for applicant in M.A.No.145/24 and Shri B.R.Kedar, learned Counsel for the applicant in O.A.No.1022/2023 and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Counsel Shri H.P.Jadhav insists for considering his application for vacating interim relief. Due to paucity of time, it is not possible to take the matter today. Hence, S.O. to 12-06-2024.

MEMBER (A) YUK ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.20/2024 (Dr. Chandrakant S. Avhad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri H.P.Jadhav, learned Counsel for applicant and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 12-06-2024.

MEMBER (A) YUK ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO.1117/2024 (Sandip D. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 ORAL ORDER:

Shri B.N.Magar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. After removing the office objections by the applicant, issue notice to respondents, returnable on 02-07-2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 02-07-2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.182/2024 (Ganesh Vijay Pohorkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri Vishal S. Kadam, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that respondent no.2 has refused to accept the notice and track report received in this regard is being filed in the present matter. The track report is taken on record. Respondent no.2 shall be deemed to have been served.

3. Learned P.O. shall take instructions from respondents and take all necessary further steps for filing affidavit in reply if it is so desired by the respondent.

4. S.O. to 19-06-2024.

MEMBER (A) YUK ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1142 OF 2022 (Maya B. Chabukswar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Archana Therokar, learned counsel holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. It is a part heard matter. By consent, S.O. to 28.06.2024 for further hearing.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 654 OF 2023 (Sangita P. Shete Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Archana Therokar, learned counsel holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has placed on record a copy of citation in a case of **Smt. Gian Kaur Vs. State of Punjab, reported in 1996 AIR 946**. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to learned Presenting Officer.

3. It is a part heard matter. By consent, S.O. to 11.06.2024 for further hearing.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 706 OF 2022 (Suhas T. Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 870 OF 2024 (Shila T. Waghmare @ Shila S. Shirse Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.G. Rodge, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A. No. 706/2022, Shri S.M. Kamble, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A. St. 870/2024 / respondent No. 4 in O.A. No. 706/2022 and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the O.As., are present.

2. It is a part heard matters. By consent, S.O. to 14.06.2024 for further hearing.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 585 OF 2022 (Chandrasen V. Lahade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. It is a part heard matter. By consent, S.O. to 12.06.2024 for further hearing.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 309 OF 2024 (Sanjay C. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) WITH ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 352 OF 2024 (Ganesh P. Bothikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER:

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A.No. 309/2024, Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for applicant in O.A. No. 352/2024 and for respondent No. 3 in O.A.No. 309/2024 and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the O.As, are present.

Shri Vinayak Narwade, learned counsel for respondent No.2 in O.A. No. 309/2024, is **absent**.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 10.05.2024 for hearing in **urgent admission category**.

3. Status quo granted earlier in O.A. No. 352/2024 to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1119 OF 2023 WITH CAVEAT NO. 40/2023 (Dr. Milind V. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.S. Pawar, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned counsel for respondent No. 5, are present.

2. List the matter for admission hearing on 11.06.2024 with liberty to the applicant to file rejoinder, if any till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 542 OF 2024 (Ananda K. Bansode Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Rutuja Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 10.07.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 7. S.O. to 10.07.2024.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 540 OF 2024 (Deepak R. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.A. Suryawanshi, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Even though the circulation is sought, none present for the applicant.

3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 10.07.2024.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 538 OF 2024 (Digambar B. Pandule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.P. Urgunde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Leave to replace Annexure A-1.

3. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 12.06.2024.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal

//2// O.A. No. 538/2024

(Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to call the record about Departmental Enquiry, if any initiated and completed against the applicant and the punishment as against the applicant whether it is inflicted in connection with the said Departmental Enquiry or not.

9. S.O. to 12.06.2024.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 365/2024 in O.A. St. No. 172/2024 (Dr. Surekha S. Totala Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Even though the office has raised objections learned counsel for the applicant has taken circulation, however none present for the applicant.

3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 12.07.2024.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

M.A. No. 185/2024 in O.A. St. No. 754/2024 (Kamalkishor S. Jaiswal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri R.V. Gore, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 12.07.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 7. S.O. to 12.07.2024.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

M.A. No. 179/2024 in O.A. St. No. 855/2024 (Gangareddy R. Kallawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.S. Koshti, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 12.07.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 7. S.O. to 12.07.2024.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

M.A. No. 178/2024 in O.A. St. No. 854/2024 (Santosh D. Bhoyar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.S. Koshti, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 12.07.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 7. S.O. to 12.07.2024.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

M.A. No. 177/2024 in O.A. St. No. 853/2024 (Motiram D. Mangnale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.S. Koshti, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 12.07.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 12.07.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 2414 OF 2023 (Sultana E. Naikwade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.P. Urgunde, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The office has raised an objection that the entire Original Application with index and synopsis not properly drafted.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks leave to replace the entire Original Application, which is now properly prepared with the index and synopsis. In view of the same, leave granted. Same is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 12.06.2024.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 2304 OF 2023 (Sudhakar B. Ramdin Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 26.06.2024.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 653 OF 2023 (Dr. Anil S. Joshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Mene, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant on instructions in writing, which is placed on record and marked as document 'X', submits that during pendency of the present Original Application, the applicant came to be retired on attainting the age of superannuation.

3. In view of the same, the present Original Application challenging the transfer order has become infructuous and the same is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 907 OF 2023 (Satish S. More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Hande, learned counsel holding for Shri V.P. Savant, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

3. S.O. to 27.06.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 280 OF 2024 (Shalini R. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.P. Sawant, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned counsel Shri A.D. Hande, submits that he has instructions to appear for respondent No. 5 and thus seeks time for filing affidavit in reply.

3. S.O. to 27.06.2024 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 461 OF 2023 (Pravin Y. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Dhananjay Mane, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12.06.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 460 OF 2023 (Manisha T. Gite Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Dhananjay Mane, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12.06.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 522 OF 2023 (Manish Eknathrao Vispute Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Dhananjay Mane, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant on instructions submits that during pendency of the present Original Application, the applicant came to be promoted. Learned counsel thus on instructions seeks leave to withdraw the present Original Application.

3. Leave granted. The Original Application is disposed of as withdrawn. No order as to costs.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1089 OF 2023 (Sachin S. Rajmane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer on instructions in writing submits that the meeting of Review Committee to consider the suspension of the applicant is scheduled on 21.05.2024. Learned P.O. thus seeks time. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 11.06.2024 for hearing.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 529 OF 2023 (Dr. Shaikh Irfan Usman Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that during pendency of the present Original Application the applicant came to be promoted and posted at Beed. In view of the same, nothing survives for further consideration in the present Original Application. Learned counsel thus seeks leave to withdraw the present Original Application.

3. Leave granted. The Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn. No order as to costs.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1031 OF 2023 (Dr. Pradeep M. Thakkarwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.S. Dambe, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that during the course of the day affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3 will be filed.

3. List the matter for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any and admission hearing on 14.06.2024.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

M.A. No. 144/2024 in O.A. No. 412/2024 (Sumedh R. Raut Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri P.B. Vikhe, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel Shri Krushna Solanke, submits that he has instructions to appear for respondent Nos. 4 to 6 and thus seeks time for filing affidavit in reply.

3. S.O. to 27.06.2024 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 38 OF 2024 (Mahesh C. Dhayal & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.S. Kshirsagar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Even though the last chance is granted, no affidavit in reply has been filed.

3. List the matter admission hearing on 21.06.2024 with liberty to the other side to file affidavit in reply, if any till then.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 312 OF 2024 (Vinod A. Wagh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Shaikh Majit S., learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri N.L. Choudhary, learned counsel for respondent No. 3, is **absent**.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent authorities. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12.06.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 504 OF 2023 (Avinash S. Panpatte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.R. Wakekar, learned counsel holding for Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. By consent, S.O. to 21.06.2024 for hearing. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 258 OF 2023 (Subhash N. Raut Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Amit Dhongde, learned counsel for respondent No. 2, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for respondent No. 2, S.O. to 04.07.2024 for hearing.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1018 OF 2022 (Mangilal N. Shirsath Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Amit Dhongde, learned counsel holding for Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 04.07.2024 for hearing.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 310 OF 2024 (Rajesh D. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.V. Deshmukh, learned counsel for respondent No. 3, are present.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No. 3 submits affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record along with spare copy for the applicant.

3. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 27.06.2024 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 905 OF 2023 (Nafis Sultana Mohammed Yusuf Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Smt. Almas Abdul Quader, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to learned counsel for the applicant.

3. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 26.06.2024 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 763 OF 2022 (Shivram N. Dhepate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.M. Shinde, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Leave to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 to 5 as sought by learned Presenting Officer.

3. S.O. to 05.07.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

M.A. No. 400/2023 in O.A. ST. No. 1748/2023 (Dipak B. Sasane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Deelip Mutalik, learned counsel holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 09.07.2024 for hearing.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

O.A. No. 314/2021 with M.A. No. 440/2022 (Govind A. Jadhav & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.G. Suryawanshi, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 10.07.2024 for hearing **in urgent** admission category.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 157 OF 2024 (Swapnil P. Kale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Swaraj Tandale, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 10.05.2024.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 430 OF 2024 (Ajit B. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Dhananjay Mane, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 21.06.2024.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

O.A. No. 461/2020 with M.A. No. 62/2021 (Shaikh Rahim Ameeroddin Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A./respondent No. 1 in M.A., Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicants in M.A. / respondent Nos. 2 to 4 in O.A. and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 5 in O.A. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to learned counsel for the applicant.

3. By consent, S.O. to 08.07.2024 for hearing.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 302 OF 2024 (Seema V. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.P. Koli, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Prashant Nagargoje, learned counsel for respondent No. 4, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for respondent No. 4 and learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply.

3. S.O. to 19.06.2024.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 900 OF 2023 (Ankush T. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri B.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri S.P. Sartale, learned counsel for respondent No. 5, is **absent**.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 09.07.2024 for hearing.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

M.A. No. 392/2022 in O.A. St. No. 78/2022 (Ashru M. Kaldate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 20.06.2024.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 241 OF 2024 (Avinash Eknath Misal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.V. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The present matter is not on board. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, it is taken on board.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has forwarded withdrawal pursis and the same may be taken on record. It is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for identification. Learned counsel for the applicant on the basis of said withdrawal pursis seeks leave to withdraw the present Original Application with liberty to the applicant to file fresh O.A. before the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal at Mumbai, if it is so required.

4. Leave granted. The Original Application is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for the applicant. No order as to costs.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 511 OF 2023 (Shankar W. Kale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 ORAL ORDER :

Shri O.D. Mane, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 10.07.2024 for hearing.

sas ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

O.A.NO. 414 OF 2023 WITH M.A.NO. 360 OF 2023 (Sachinsingh B. Chauhan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 26.06.2024 for hearing. Interim relief granted earlier in O.A.No. 414/2023 to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

sas ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 950 OF 2023 (Amarsing C. Kawale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 21.06.2024 for hearing.

3. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

sas ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

M.A.NO. 194 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 616 OF 2022 (Sunil D. Kakade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply on behalf of all the respondents. The same is taken on record and copy thereof is already given to other side.

3. List the matter for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any and for hearing on 15.07.2024.

sas ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 348 OF 2024 (Shubham W. Ramasane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.G. Suryawanshi, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant, Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri A.A. Khande, learned counsel for respondent No.4, are present.

2. At the request made on behalf of respondents, time granted for filing affidavit in reply.

3. S.O. to 12.06.2024.

MEMBER (J)

sas ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 129 OF 2023 (Abhay P. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 10.05.2024 for taking instructions.

sas ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 112 OF 2024 (Aniruddha P. Sontakke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Dilip Mutalik, learned counsel holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

At the request of learned Presenting Officer,
S.O. to 10.05.2024 for hearing.

sas ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 959 OF 2023 (Deepali A. Gavhane-Katte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer placed on record the copy of communication/ order dated 27.03.2024 about the sanction of medical leave of the applicant.

3. On perusal of the same, learned counsel for the applicant submits that so far as the major period of the said order i.e. 289 days of medical leave is concerned, the same has been converted into the extraordinary leave without pay.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will take specific instructions from the applicant and make appropriate submissions so also carry out amendment in the application, if so needed.

5. S.O. to 10.07.2024 for hearing.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 713 OF 2023 (Dr. Waman N. Bahatre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. The same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to other side.

3. S.O. to 10.05.2024 for hearing/for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any.

sas ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

O.A.NOS. 531, 554, 557, 582, 632, 830 & 832 ALL OF 2023 (Dr. Manohar K. Shelake & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

S/Shri S.B. Patil, J.B. Choudhary, & M.R. Kulkarni, respective learned counsel for the applicants in respective matters and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these O.As., are present.

2. Heard both the sides for some time.

3. On 07.05.2024, the learned P.O. has submitted two copies of communication dated 30.04.2024 and the same are taken on record and marked as document 'X' and 'X-1' for the purpose of identification.

4. It is recorded in the order dated 07.05.2024 that on perusal of the said communication it appears that the respondent No.1 has informed to the Presenting Officer of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Bench at Aurangabad that so far as the Assistant Professors who are transferred by impugned orders to newly established Medical College at Sindhudurg, Nandurbar, Satara and

//2//

Dharashiv are concerned, the Maharashtra Public Service Commission has already published the advertisement calling upon the applications from the aspiring candidates to fill up the said posts and in view of same, the said posts are likely to be filled up. It is further informed in the said communication that the transfers of the applicants whose applications are now pending before this Tribunal will be considered in the annual general transfer of the year 2024.

5. In this context. today learned counsel appearing for the applicants placed before this Tribunal the copy of order dated 06.05.2024 passed by Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai Bench in the identical matters. In paragraph Nos. 2, 3 & 4, the Single Bench of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai Bench has made the following observations:-

> "2. Learned P.O. based on letter dated 02.05.2024 of 'Medical Education and Drugs Department' states that it has now been that Orders' decided 'Transfer dated 30.06.2023: 13.07.2023 & 10.08.2023 of 'Professors, Associate Professors and Assistant Professors' who had filed O.A. No.881/23, O.A. No.885/2023, O.A. No.931/2023, O.A.

//3//

No.941/2023 & O.A. No.1245/2023 after transfers to posts in new 'Government Medical Colleges' in 'Dharashiv, Satara & Alibag District'; are now proposed to be cancelled following new recruitment of 'Professors, Associate Professors and Assistant Professors' being done by 'M.P.S.C.'

3. Learned P.O. also states that in case of Applicant in O.A. No.1245/2023 is proposed to be cancel the earlier 'Transfer Order' dated 30.06.2023 because new 'Assistant Professor' was expected to join soon on post of Applicant at 'B.G. Government Medical College, Pune'.

4. The O.A. No.881/23, O.A. No.885/2023, O.A. No.931/2023, O.A. No.941/2023 & O.A. No.1245/2023 are accordingly proposed to be disposed off but on 'Next Date'; if by then earlier 'Transfer Order' dated 30.06.2023; 13.07.2023 & 10.08.2023 of 'Professors, Associate Professors and Assistant Professors' are cancelled by 'Medical Education and Drugs Department'

6. In view of above, the present Original Applications are accordingly proposed to be disposed off on the next date, if the orders impugned in these Original Applications are cancelled by the Medical Education and Drugs Department.

7. S.O. to 28.06.2024 for hearing. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 82 OF 2024 (Madhukar Rangnath More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri M.S. Shaikh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Heard both the sides at length.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the stigmatic impugned order of transfer came to be passed against the applicant merely on the basis of allegations made in anonymous complaint. Learned counsel for the applicant thus pointed out the G.R. dated 25.02.2015 issued by the Government of Maharashtra.

4. On perusal of the same, it appears that in terms of clause No.(1), if any anonymous complaint is received, the same should not be acted upon and those complaints are required to be 'filed'.

5. Learned counsel for the applicant has also pointed out the confidential communication dated

//2// O.A. 82/2024

06.12.2023 forwarded by the respondent No.3 to respondent No.2. Though there is a reference in the said communication about the confidential enquiry in connection with the anonymous complaint, however, no record has been placed before this Tribunal about the said confidential enquiry. Moreover, in terms of G.R. dated 25.02.2015 it is the mandate that the anonymous complaint should not be acted upon and should be filed.

6. In the backdrop of above submissions, learned Presenting Officer was orally directed to take specific instructions from the respondent No.2 in this regard. Learned P.O. has received the communication in writing dated 09.05.2024 from the respondent No.2. The same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for identification.

7. Learned Presenting Officer on the basis of said communication in writing received from the respondent No.2 i.e. the Superintendent of Police, Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar Rural submits that the respondent No.2 proposed to cancel the impugned order dated 15.12.2023 in the annual general

//3// O.A. 82/2024

transfer of the year, 2024. It is also stated in the aforesaid communication that in the annual general transfer of the year 2024, the applicant will be accommodated in the establishment of Superintendent of Police, Chh. Sambhajinagar Rural, Headquarter, Non-Executive Branch as per his request. It is further informed by the respondent No.2 in the aforesaid communication that the applicant will be granted medical leave for his heart treatment in the hospital as and when required and requested.

8. On the basis of aforesaid communication which is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification, learned counsel for the applicant on instructions has expressed his satisfaction since the respondent No.2 has assured that the impugned transfer order will be cancelled at the time of annual general transfer of the year 2024. However, learned counsel for the applicant submits that the process of annual general transfer may be carried out expeditiously.

9. In terms of communication in writing which is marked 'X' for identification, it is expected from

//4// O.A. 82/2024

the responsible officer like the respondent No.2 to stickup with his assurance about cancellation of the impugned order of transfer of the applicant at the time of annual general transfer of the year 2024.

10. In view of above, this Original Application is disposed off. Liberty to the applicant to approach this Tribunal a fresh in case of any adverse order is passed against the applicant in the annual general transfer of the year 2024. So far as the request of the applicant about expeditious completion of the process of annual general transfer of the year 2024 is concerned, it is not necessary to give any directions since the said process is required to be competed in a time bound manner.

11. The Original Application is accordingly disposed off. No costs.

MEMBER (J)

sas ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 541 OF 2024 (Sachinsingh B. Chauhan Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 ORAL ORDER:

Shri Vijay R. Katariya, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant seeks leave to substitute page No. 86 in the paper book stating that inadvertently another document has been annexed to the O.A. Leave granted as prayed for. Corrected document is taken on record and is numbered as '86-A'.

3. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 08.07.2024.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal

:: - 2 - :: O.A. NO. 541/2024

(Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 08.07.2024.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 535 OF 2024 (Gajanan V. Khatke Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 08.07.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. S.O. to 08.07.2024.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 529 OF 2024 (Sadashiv P. Gunjal Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 08.07.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. S.O. to 08.07.2024.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 831 OF 2021 (Anand Bhimrao Gayake Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 ORAL ORDER:

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Smt. Supriya Bhilegaonkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Dilip S. Mutalik, learned counsel holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for respondent No. 8, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. In the interest of justice, time granted.

3. S.O. to 18.06.2024.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 251 OF 2024 (Radhika Dilip Patil Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri S.W. Munde, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities Shri S.D. Kunte, learned counsel for respondent No. 10, are present. Shri Rakesh A. Joshi, learned counsel for respondent No. 4 (**absent**).

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on learned counsel for respondent No. 10. The copy of the said affidavit in reply is retained on the file to serve on the learned counsel for the applicant.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No. 10 seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the said respondent. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 02.07.2024.

MEMBER (A) ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 250 OF 2024 (Kalpesh Bhagwan Vanjari Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 ORAL ORDER:

Shri S.W. Munde, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and the same is taken on record. The copy of the same is retained on the file to serve on the learned counsel for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 02.07.2024.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

C.P.NO. 37/2023 IN REVE. 5/2022 IN O.A. 981/2019 (Dr. Ravindra K. Deshmukh Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri Ganesh V. Mohekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has submitted affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned counsel for the applicant.

3. List the matter for hearing on 24.06.2024.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO. 40/2024 IN O.A. NO. 155 OF 2024 (Pradeep Maganlal Chaudhari & Ors. Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri Swaraj Tandale, learned counsel holding for Shri B.R. Kedar, learned counsel for the applicants, Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 5 & 7 and Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 & 6, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has submitted affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 in O.A. and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent Nos.
& 7 seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

:: - 2 - :: M.A. 40/24 IN O.A. 155 OF 24

3. It is brought to our notice that sue jointly application has remained to be decided. Learned counsel for the applicants further submits that he does not have instructions to argue the matter and he just holding the brief for learned counsel Shri B.R. Kedar. On his request matter stands adjourned to 08.07.2024.

4. S.O. to 08.07.2024.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 137 OF 2022 (Abhijit Vasant Bhapkar Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Ashish B. Rajkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant has tendered across the bar order of appointment issued in favour of the applicant. It is taken on record.

3. In view of the fact that the purpose of filing the present Original Application is served, the following order is passed: -

<u>O R D E R</u>

The Original Application stands disposed of however, without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 815 OF 2021 (Ganesh Madhav Marathe Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.V. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. When the present matter is taken up for consideration, learned C.P.O. tendered across the bar communication received to his office from the respondents' office dated 24.04.2024. The contents of the letter reveal that the matter of giving posting to the present applicant, considering his disability, at Jalgaon itself an endavour is being made in the annual general transfers to be effected in this year. Learned C.P.O. in the circumstances has prayed for adjournment to make concrete statement on the next date.

3. S.O. to 14.06.2024.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO. 38/2023 IN O.A.NO. 861/2022 (Shobha Rangnath Tak Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 ORAL ORDER:

Ms. Rutuja Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

 Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No.
and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned P.O. submits that no separate affidavit in reply on behalf of other respondents is required and they are adopting the reply submitted by respondent No. 3.

4. List the matter for hearing on 03.07.2024.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 885 OF 2022 (Chandrakant Sitaram Nakhate Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Ms. Rutuja Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. In the present matter respondent No. 3 only has filed affidavit in reply and affidavits in reply of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 are awaited. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the said respondents. The request is opposed by the learned counsel for the applicant. In this matter notices were issued to the respondents on 07.10.2022 made returnable on 04.11.2022. Despite having availed due opportunities the affidavit in reply has not been filed. In the interest of justice, time is granted by way of last chance subject to payment of cost of Rs. 1000/- (Rs. One thousand only) as a condition precedent.

3. S.O. to 03.07.2024.

MEMBER (A) ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 115 OF 2023 (Subhash Sitaram Khade Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri Amol D. Lipne, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. On 10.04.2024 time was granted by way of last chance to the respondents to file affidavit in reply. In the interest of justice, time granted subject to payment of cost of Rs. 2,000/- (Rs. Two thousand only) as a condition precedent.

3. S.O. to 10.06.2024.

MEMBER (A) ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 516 OF 2023 (Yogesh Sukhdeo Kharde Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri V.V. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 11.06.2024. High on board.

MEMBER (A) ORAL ORDER 09.05.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 517 OF 2017 (Anup Subhash Kulkarni Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri M.V. Bhamre, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits sur-rejoinder to the rejoinder filed by the applicant and the same is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 25.06.2024.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 465 OF 2024 (Sailata Krishna Samleti Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri Harish S. Bali, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 10.07.2024.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 544 OF 2024 (Ravindra S. Khandare Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri H.S. Bali, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 10.07.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. S.O. to 10.07.2024.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1166 OF 2024 (Vipul Rameshrao Bhagwat Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u> : 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri H.S. Bali, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 10.07.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. S.O. to 10.07.2024.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 32 OF 2024 (Nagsen Kondiba Sarje & Ors. Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri Yuvraj R. Barhate, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer submits that the affidavit in reply has been prepared and placed for approval before the Chairman of the Maharashtra Public Service Commission and the moment approval is received within short span of time reply will be filed.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that today was the last chance granted for submitting affidavit in reply on record. Learned counsel submits that prior to that also 3-4 chances are availed by the MPSC.

4. Having considered the facts as aforesaid though today we are granting further adjournment for submitting affidavit in reply on record, the reply may be filed within 10 days. The copy of the same be given to the learned counsel for the applicant. If contingency

:: -2 - :: 0.A. NO. 32/2024

arises, it would be open for the applicant to move the matter in summer vacation.

5. S.O. to 10.06.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (A) VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. 239/2023 IN M.A. 359/2022 IN O.A.NO. 729/22 (Dnyaneshwar B. Jadhav & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

M.A. 257/2023 IN IN M.A. 563/22 IN O.A. 730/2022 (Gajanan P. Chaudhari & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicants in M.A. No. 239/2023 and in O.A. No. 729/2022, Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Pankan Raibole, learned counsel holding for Shri Amol CHalak, learned counsel for the applicants in M.A. No. 359/2022, are present.

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicants in M.A. No. 257/2023 and in O.A. No. 730/2022 and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri R.A. Joshi, learned counsel for applicants in M.A. No. 563/2023, are present.

2. S.O. to 14.06.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 504 OF 2022 (Kiran Santosh Pawar Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 18.06.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 07 OF 2024 (Vilas Ramdas Ghule Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 21.06.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 930 OF 2022 (Shagir Rustum Pathan Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri Amol D. Lipne, learned counsel holding for Shri P.D. Suryawanshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 18.06.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 931 OF 2022 (Jubirruddin H. Shaikh Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri Amol D. Lipne, learned counsel holding for Shri P.D. Suryawanshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 18.06.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 376 OF 2022 (Abhilash Vilas Gore Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri V.T. Patil, learned counsel holding for Shri O.Y. Kashid, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 21.06.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 602 OF 2022 (Balasaheb A. Shinde Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri Hemant Surve, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 25.06.2024.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 539 OF 2024 (Vijay C. Jadhav Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman AND Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 09.05.2024 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri Sandesh R. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 24.06.2024.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN