

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.204 of 2022</u></p> <p>T.S. Bitake ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to add Director General of Police and Additional Director General of Police as party respondents no.3 & 4 respectively.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out and amended copy be served on all concerned.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- ' Sd/- ></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 9.3.2022 9.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.03.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No. 585 of 2021 with O.A.No.601 of 2021</p> <p>S. S. Dapke ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. During the pendency of this O.A., the Applicant is again transferred by order dated 08.11.2021 at Helwak Range Forest Office, Chandoli National Park. The Applicant joined there under protest in view of the pendency of this O.A. since he has challenged his initial order of transfer dated 09.08.2021.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to amend the O.A. so as to challenge subsequent order dated 08.11.2021 also.</p> <p>4. One week time is granted to take necessary steps.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 16.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.03.2022</p> <p style="text-align: right;">O.A.No.650 of 2021</p> <p>A. D.MengeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondent submits that the matter is being handled by Smt. Archana B. K., P.O. but due to personal difficulty, she is unable to remain present today.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.03.2022</p> <p>O. A. No. 40 of 2021</p> <p>Sachin K. PatoleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit in Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Arguments heard.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.19 of 2022</p> <p>P.D. KedarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant while working as Sales Tax Officer at Solapur he was suspended by order dated 03.02.2021 in view of registration of crime under the Prevention of Corruption Act. However, later by order dated 02.12.2021 the Government reinstated him in service but posted at Mumbai on Non Executive post in terms of G.R. dated 20.04.2013 which <i>inter-alia</i> provides that in case of reinstatement the Government servant should be posted on Non Executive post.</p> <p>3. However, the Applicant's grievance is that he is physically disabled. He has produced disable certificate about 40% disability. According to the Applicant he ought to have been reposted at Solapur in view of his disability. He made representation dated 27.12.2021 stating that there are vacancies at Solapur and he be accommodated at Solapur.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant referred circular dated 15.12.2004 as well G.R. dated 09.04.2018 which <i>inter-alia</i> provides for posting and transferring of the Applicant nearest to his home town or as per his convenience. Whereas, in present matter it is not the case of transfer rather it is a case of reinstatement in service after revocation of suspension and in terms of G.R. dated 20.04.2013, such person is required to be posted on Non Executive post and accordingly he was posted at Mumbai.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Learned P.O. submits that in view of G.R. dated 20.04.2013 the Applicant cannot be posted on Executive post. However, he concedes one Non Executive post is available at Solapur.</p> <p>6. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.1 to decide the representation made by the Applicant within one month in accordance to law. Decision as the case may be shall be communicated to the Applicant.</p> <p>7. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- W^v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.03.2022

**O.A.No.119 of 2019 with M.A.No.12/2020 in
M.A.No.14/2020 with
O.A.No.705/2020 with
O.A.No.576/2020 with M.A.No.306/2020**

A.T. Sakore & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Balasaheb Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.119/2019 with M.A.No.12/2020 in M.A.No.14/2020 with O.A.No.705/2020 with M.A.No.306/2020, Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.576/2020 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. During the course of arguments we came across three different Government Resolutions regarding the NCL for married women belonging to the open category.

First G.R. is dated 25.05.2001 which states that the income of the married women from the open category is to be computed on the basis of her family which includes her husband and children and the entire income is to be counted.

Second G.R. of 25.03.2013 which states that only father's income is to be considered for NCL irrespective of marital status.

Third G.R. dated 15.12.2017 which states that open category females are also to be given benefit of G.R. dated 25.03.2013.

3. The G.R. dated 11.01.2019 states that G.R. dated 15.12.2017 is applicable prospectively. Also there is G.R. dated 31.01.2020 wherein different mechanism is provided for verification of NCL certificate and that is made applicable for 2014 to 2017 pending matters. However it is not applicable for further matters.

4. Secretary, Woman and Child Development Department and Secretary, Social Justice and Special Assistance Department, both are directed to file separate affidavit.

5. Adjourned to 10.03.2022 at 12.00 noon.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: right;"><u>O.A. No.377 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.B. Narod & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 2.5.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.3.2022</p> <p>(sg)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.3.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.534 of 2021</u></p> <p>M.N. Yadav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 4.5.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.3.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: right;"><u>O.A. No.761 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.P. Bhoir ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard J.D. Mohite holding for Smt. S.E. Ambhore-Kamable learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.4.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- 1. (Medha Gadgil) / Member (A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) 9.3.2022 Chairperson 9.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.989 of 2021</u></p> <p>B.U. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Pratik Thakur holding for D.H. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply and rejoinder are already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 2.5.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.3.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: right;"><u>O.A. No.998 of 2021</u></p> <p>D.C. Girase ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Poushali Roychoudhary i/b. Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file MA for amendment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.3.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 9.3.2022 9.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>C.A. No.51 of 2021 in O.A. No.763 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.S. Kokane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that back wages is yet to be disbursed.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that out of Rs.3,22,573/- bill amounting to Rs.2,22,573/- has already been sent to the Treasury and balance amounting to Rs.54,548/- will be disbursed in the next month by 8.4.2022.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.4.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.3.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1006 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.K. Salve ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Poushali Roychoudhary i/b. Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file MA for amendment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.3.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.3.2022</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.03.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.218 of 2022</p> <p>Baliram T. KolekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is serving as Assistant Conservative of Forest. The Respondent / Government by order dated 18.02.2021 suspended the Applicant in view of his arrest in offences under Section 7 of Provisions of Corruption Act, 1988 alleging that he was caught red-handed accepting bribe of Rs.5,30,000/-.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that in suspension order dated 18.11.2021, the authority has invoked Rule 4(1) (c) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules 1979 and not Rule 4(2) which provides for deemed date of suspension from the date of arrest. He fairly concedes that the Applicant was in custody for more than 48 hours.</p> <p>4. As such, apparently it is a case of deemed suspension from the date of arrest and appropriate provision would be Rule 4(2) and not 4(1) (c) as mentioned in impugned suspension order. It is really surprising that at the level of Government, wrong provision is quoted in the suspension order. However, that cannot be the ground for interim relief as prayed for by learned Counsel for the Applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.04.2022.</p> <p>6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 06.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date : 09.03.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.112 of 2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">R.G. GalandeApplicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. submits that short affidavit on behalf of the Respondent No.1 along with Minutes of PEB and file noting of person transferred will be filed during the course of the day. The statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. further submits that the matter is being handled by Smt. Archana B.K. but due to personal difficulty, she is unable to remain present today and requested to adjourn the matter on 14.03.2022.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.03.2022.</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar)</p> <p>Member(J)</p> </div>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.218 of 2021</p> <p>S.K. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant-in-Person is present but his Advocate Shri N.D. Pote has sent leave note and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of leave note adjourned to 24.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.03.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.476 of 2021 in O.A. No.20 of 2021</p> <p>N.K. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to bring legal heir of deceased Original Applicant on record who died during the pendency of O.A. O.A. is filed for pay and allowance. Since it pertain to monetary claim substitute of legal heir in the place of Original Applicant is allowed. Heir be substituted in place of the Applicant and necessary amendment be carried out in O.A. within 3 days.</p> <p>3. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 31.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v* (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.302 of 2020</p> <p>B.M. ShivdasaniApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O., Shri A.J. Chougule submits that matter is being handled by learned P.O., Smt. K.S. Gaikwad but due to personal difficulties she is unable to remain present.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1034 of 2021</p> <p>V.B. BelgeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O., Shri A.J. Chougule submits that matter is being handled by learned P.O., Smt. Archana B.K. but due to personal difficulties she is unable to remain present.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.470 of 2021</p> <p>N.A. AshtekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O., Shri A.J. Chougule, submits that matter is being handled by learned P.O., Smt. Archana B.K. but due to personal difficulties she is unable to remain present.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 31.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.410 of 2021</p> <p>S.T. ZawareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today leaned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Sur Rejoinder of the petitioner in response to the Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder filed by the Respondent in which certain new plea were raised. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for Final Hearing on 30.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.194 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. A.S. BendreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.Y. Ukey, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Advocate holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Short Affidavit of Shri Vijay N. Dekate as directed by the Tribunal in order dated 18.02.2022. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. In Affidavit he tendered unconditional apology for the mistake happened while filing earlier Affidavit-in-Reply in the matter which was pointed out by learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submit that he want to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>5. Adjourned for filing Rejoinder of the Applicant.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 22.03.2022 along with connected O.A. No.287/2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.719 of 2021</p> <p>U. S. SorateApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt.Kranti Gaikwad., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondent submits that the matter is being handled by Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, P.O. but due to personal difficulty, she is unable to remain present today.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.103 of 2022</p> <p>A.N. SayyedApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.03.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.110 of 2022 in O.A. No.999 of 2021</p> <p>Chief Executive OfficerApplicant Versus Shri A.R. BhagwatOri. Applicant The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Advaita M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None present for Original Applicant, through served with notice.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for extension of time to comply the order passed by this Tribunal on 24.01.2022 in O.A. No.999/2021.</p> <p>3. The order is as under:- <div style="text-align: center;">"ORDER</div> (A) The Original Application is allowed. (B) The Respondents are directed to release gratuity, regular pension, leave encashment, GIS along with cost of Rs.10,000/- as a cost of this O.A. within a month from today. (C) The Applicant may avail remedy for grant of interest on the delayed payment independently. (D) The Respondents are further directed to complete DE by passing final order therein within two months from today. (E) On completion of DE, the Respondents may pass further orders regarding pension in accordance to law subject to outcome of DE. (F) No order as to costs."</p> <p>4. This M.A. is filed by Chief Executive Officer (C.E.O) who was Respondent No.3 in Original Application seeking extension of time to release the retiral benefits.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. As per order of the Tribunal one month was granted to release retiral benefit and to pay cost of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand Only). The cost is paid by cheque. Two months time is again sought for further compliance to release remaining retiral benefits.</p> <p>6. Insofar as direction for compliance of D.E. is concerned the time limit of two months given to the Government is not over and it will be expiring on 24.03.2022. There^{Hence} there is no question of extension of time for completion of D.E. and secondly no such M.A. is filed by Government for extension. This M.A. is filed by Zilla Parishad (Z.P.) for extension of time to the extent of release of retiral benefits which was to be paid within a month.</p> <p>7. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that Z.P. has taken substantial steps and bills are already submitted to the concerned authorities.</p> <p>8. In view of above, two weeks time is granted from today to comply the order of releasing retiral benefits (Clause 'B' of operative order). M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.212 of 2022</p> <p>R.M. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A. is filed to correct Date of Birth in service record as 14.05.1965 in place of 15.05.1964. Admittedly, in service book the Applicant's Date of Birth is recorded as 15.05.1964 on the basis of school leaving certificate. However, later the Applicant allegedly came to know that his Date of Birth is wrongly recorded as 15.05.1964 instead of 14.05.1965 which according to him is correct Date of Birth. Therefore, he applied to the school authorities to correct his Date of Birth. However, it was not materialized in reasonable time. Therefore, he filed Writ Petition No.2628/2021 for direction to Education Officer to take necessary steps for correction of Date of Birth in accordance to law. Thereafter, Education Officer corrected Date of Birth as 14.05.1965 in place of 15.05.1964 and issued order to that effect on 18.02.2022. It was on the basis of the said order Municipal School Dhule issued corrected leaving certificate showing Date of Birth as 14.05.1965.</p> <p>3. The Applicant thereafter made Application dated 28.02.2022 to Respondent No.2 – Director General of Police (D.G.P.), Mumbai for correction of Date of Birth in service record. Since he is attaining age of superannuation in May 2022 on the basis of Date of Birth 15.05.1964 recorded in service book, he approached this Tribunal by filing the present O.A.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. Thus, it transpires that the Application is made for correction of change in Date of Birth at the fag end of service. However, the issue is not yet decided by competent authority since the Application for change in Date of Birth is received by the Office of D.G.P. recently on 03.03.2022 as informed by learned P.O.

5. Learned P.O. on instructions submits that Office of D.G.P. will forward proposal to the Government (Respondent No.1) within a week since competent authority for correction, if any, is the Government.

6. Learned Advocate for the Applicant therefore requested that since on the basis of Date of Birth recorded in service book, the Applicant would be retiring within 2 months it is necessary to expedite the matter and direction be given to Respondent No.1 to take appropriate decision on Application made for correction of Date of Birth.

7. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondents to decide the representation dated 28.02.2022 for correction of Date of Birth in accordance to law within a month from today and the decision as the case may shall be communicated to the Applicant. If the Applicant felt aggrieved he may avail further remedy available in law.

8. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>CA.19/2020 in OA.1158/16 with</u> <u>OA.352/17 with OA.353/17</u></p> <p>S.K. Goraksha & 23 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants produces chart indicating the number of persons who are yet to get the service benefits and pensionary benefits. The same is taken on record and marked Exhibit '1' for identification.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO to take instructions.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.3.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>9.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>9.3.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.4 of 2020 in O.A. No.1119 of 2015</u></p> <p>Dr. B.M. Lad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Poushali Roychoudhary i/b. Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that W.P. No.9420 of 2019 is listed with group of matters and seeks time to get the matter circulated.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 9.3.2022 9.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.3 of 2020 in O.A. No.707 of 2018</u></p> <p>R.C. Pillay ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Vijaya Chandrashekhar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO to file detailed affidavit and inform regarding the time needed to comply the order of the Tribunal in OA.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) / Chairperson 9.3.2022 / 9.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A.55 of 2019 in O.As.805, 806 & 807 of 2016</u></p> <p>R.V. Dube & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that final pension and 1/3rd commutation is not yet received.</p> <p>3. Respondents to comply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) / Chairperson 9.3.2022 / 9.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.192 of 202022</u></p> <p>M.N. Shingade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.4.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.3.2022 (sgj) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.3.2022 </p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.03.2022

O.A.No.325 of 2018 WITH O.A.No.13 of 2020

A.M. Jadhav (O.A.325/2018)

R.S. Pawar (O.A.13/2020)Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.325/2018, Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.13/2020, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondent No. 2 and Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 in O.A.No.13/2020.

2. Pursuant to order dated 14.02.2022 Mr. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 in O.A.No.13/2020 submits that he has filed affidavit to the further affidavit filed by M.P.S.C. Now, learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar submits that after going through the further affidavit filed by Mr. Chandratre he came to know that Applicant Mr. Jadhav is in jail as the Applicant is prosecuted in the corruption charges under Section 7 of the Prevention of Corruption Act. He submits that his client has not contacted him at all since last more than two months. The learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar submits that he tried to contact him over his phone but the same is not reachable. The learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar wants 8 weeks to file affidavit-in-reply.

3. Prayer is rejected. We would like to finish the matter on priority basis as this is a High Court remanded matter. We direct the learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar to seek instructions. In this scenario we grant six weeks as last chance to file reply if the applicant wants to. In O.A.No.13/2020, the learned Advocate Mr. Dere submits that in this matter M.P.S.C. is required to file affidavit-in-reply in respect of the experience of the present Applicant i.e. Mr. Pawar in private company and the comparison made by the M.P.S.C. of his pay scale in a private sector in Government service i.e. responsible position.

4. Adjourned to 20.04.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.223 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.V. Dudhal & 4 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & 324 Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents-State and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Respondent No.256.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed by the State in OA. No other respondents have filed their reply. The Advocate for the respondents to take note that this is the last chance to file reply, otherwise matter will be proceeded without reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.3.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.120 of 2022 in O.A. No.158 of 2021</u></p> <p>M.M. Dawane & 13 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & 337 Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Raj Mulani, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents-State.</p> <p>2. Applicants have filed this MA for amendment to the OA. They seek to delete respondents no.3 to 14. They also seek to add Applicants No.15 to 41 in array of applicants and grounds.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO seeks time to examine and file reply in MA.</p> <p>4. Reply is filed by the State in OA. No other respondents have filed their reply in OA. The Advocate for the respondents to take note that this is the last chance to file reply, otherwise matter will be proceeded without reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 1.4.2022.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.3.2022 (sgj) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.3.2022 </p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.64 of 2022 in O.A. No.647 of 2020</u></p> <p>A.R. Desai ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has filed this MA for directions to the respondents to keep one post of Assistant Motor Vehicle Inspector vacant.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO seeks three weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 1.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgīl) Member (A) 9.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.3.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.178 of 2020</u></p> <p>C.T. Choudhari & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.4.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medhã Gadgil) Member (A) 9.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.3.2022</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.364 of 2020</u></p> <p>R.D. Kandekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.4.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.3.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20


I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.733 of 2019</u></p> <p>M.R. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Vedang Kulkarni holding for Shri S.A. Valimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents-State and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondents No.8 & 9.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces copy of operative order dated 4.3.2022 passed by this Tribunal Bench at Aurangabad in OA No.722 of 2019, which reads as under:</p> <p><i>“(1) The decision taken by the respondent No. 1 vide Government Resolution dated 22.4.2019 to absorb 636 candidates having secured 230 and above marks, as are named in the list at Annex. ‘A’ attached to the said Resolution, over and above 828 candidates as per the original demand of the year 2016, on the post of Police Sub Inspector in the quota meant for the candidates passing Limited Departmental Competitive Examination, as and when the vacancy would arise therein in future, in phase-wise manner, is quashed and set aside. For the ready reference the relevant portion of the said G.R. is reproduced as it is in vernacular :-</i></p> <p>“ शासन निर्णय -</p> <p>पोलीस उपनिरीक्षक मर्यादित विभागीय परीक्षा सन २०१६ मधील मूळ मागणी पत्रानुसार ८२८ उमेदवारांव्यतिरिक्त, महाराष्ट्र लोकसेवा आयोगाने प्रसिध्द केलेल्या गुणवत्ता यादीतील २३० व त्यापेक्षा जास्त गुण प्राप्त झालेल्या ६३६ उमेदवारांना ;सोबतच्या परिशिष्ट-अ मधील यादीनुसारद्ध पोलीस उपनिरीक्षक मर्यादित विभागीय परीक्षेद्वारे भरण्यांत येणा-या कोटयातील भविष्यात वेळोवेळी रिक्त होणा-या पदांवर टप्प्याटप्प्याने सामावून घेण्यास शासन मान्यता देण्यात येत आहे.”</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>(2) <i>Original Application No. 722/2019 stands allowed in the aforesaid terms without any order as to costs.</i></p> <p>3. We direct the Ld. Advocate for the applicant to produce the reasoned order, so that matter can be decided.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.3.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/ </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 9.3.2022 9.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08/03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.428 of 2019</p> <p>A. S. ChawdhariApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Resp. submits that the matter is being handled by Smt. Archana B.K., P.O. but due to personal difficulty, she is unable to remain present today.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">N</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar)</p> <p align="right">Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.03.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.212 of 2020</p> <p>S. H. ParcheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt.Kranti Gaikwad., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Resp: submits that the matter is being handled by Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, P.O. but due to personal difficulty, she is unable to remain present today.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.208 of 2022</p> <p>J.G. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The dispute pertains to benefit of Time Bound Promotion particularly as to from which date the Applicant is entitled to the same. The subject of all Time Bound Promotion is assigned to Division Bench as per office order dated 28.05.2021. The subject of Time Bound Promotion with recovery is only assigned to Singe Bench. In present case there is no issue of recovery.</p> <p>3. I/C. Registrar is directed to place the matter before Division Bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.03.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.534 of 2021 in O.A. No.949 of 2021</p> <p>K.V. KoliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Gaurav Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. requested for two weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply in M.A. as well as O.A. stating that both can be decided together. 3. Two weeks time is granted as most last chance to file Affidavit-in-Reply in M.A. as well as O.A. 4. S.O. to 23.03.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.03.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.214 of 2022 with O.A. No.215 of 2022 With O.A. No.216 of 2022</p> <p>S.T. Motkari B.K. Kanoje S.G. SirsatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.04.2022. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 06.04.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.03.2022

O.A.No.199 of 2020

A. L. A. Mujawar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged the impugned order dated 13.02.2020 whereby his request for service benefit for interruption period from 09.11.1988 to 10.02.1989 has been rejected.
3. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant was appointed by order dated 11.11.1985 on the post of Police Constable and joined on 15.11.1985 on the establishment of Respondent No.1- S.P.Solapur (Rural). According to pleading of the Applicant, he was terminated from service and thereafter again appointed by order dated 11.02.1989. However, surprisingly the order of termination or order of reappointment is not forthcoming on record.
4. Learned P.O. also stated that no such record is available in the office. Indeed, the Applicant has sought information under RTI Act. He was also informed that no such record is available.
5. Learned P.O., however referred to some photocopies of Service Book which are not legible. Therefore, it is necessary to know what are the entries made in the Service Book to find out whether there was any such termination or removal of service, reasons for the same etc. Learned P.O. is therefore, directed to produce the Service Book by next date.
6. S.O. to 14.03.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.97 of 2022</p> <p>A.D. NagareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Karan Gajara, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai / C.R. Nagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>