

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.02.2021

M.A.No.329 of 2020 in O.A.No.1056 of 2019

G.A. Agale ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, the Respondent file affidavit-in-reply dated 08.02.2021 through Shri Nitin B. Khedkar, Deputy Secretary, office of Additional Chief Secretary, Public Works Department, Mantralaya. The same is taken on record. Copy to be served to learned Advocate for the Applicant.

3. In the said affidavit-in-reply, the respondents have sought the period of two months to decide the seniority of the present applicant. On the basis of the affidavit, it is submitted by the learned C.P.O. that there are nearly 109 cases before the Government to decide the seniority, who have lost the same, because they did not clear the examination within stipulated period i.e. 4 years and in 3 chances.

4. It is made clear that if the juniors to the applicant are promoted, then it is subject to the outcome of this O.A. and respondents are directed to give this idea to such juniors at the time of promotion.

5. Adjourned to 06.04.2021. However the matter is fixed on 16.03.2021 for reporting progress.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.620 OF 2020 WITH
O.A.NO.621 OF 2020 WITH O.A.NO.624 OF 2020**

O.A.No.620 of 2020

Shri Pratap Laxman Kamble,)
Age 57 years,)
Working as Range Forest Officer (SFD),)
35, Adarsh Colony, Shahapur,)
Satara – 451 002) **....Applicant**

Versus

1. State of Maharashtra,)
Through Additional Chief Secretary,)
Revenue and Forest Department,)
Mantralaya, Mumbai 400 032)
2. Principal Secretary,)
General Administration Department,)
Mantralaya, Mumbai 400 032.) **.....Respondents**

WITH

O.A.No.621 of 2020

Shri Manohar Pandharinath Idekar,)
Age : 54 years,)
Working as Assistant Police Inspector,)
Office Address – Karjat Police Station,)
Mirajgaon Road, Near Dada Patil Vidyalaya,)
Ahmednagar – 414 402) **....Applicant**

Versus

1. State of Maharashtra,)
Through Additional Chief Secretary,)
Home Department, Mantralaya,)
Mumbai 400 032)
 2. Principal Secretary,)
General Administration Department,)
Mantralaya, Mumbai 400 032.)
 3. Director General of Police,)
Maharashtra State, Mumbai,)
Maharashtra Police, Headquarter,)
Shahid Bhagat Singh Marg,)
Colaba, Mumbai 400 001.)
-Respondents**

WITH**O.A.No.624 of 2020**

- Shri Ramji Mansing Rathod,)
Age : 45 years,)
Working as Nayab Tahsildar,)
Tahasil Office, Taloda, Dist. Nandurbar)
-Applicant**

Versus

1. State of Maharashtra,)
Through Additional Chief Secretary,)
Revenue and Forest Department,)
Mantralaya, Mumbai 400 032)
 2. Principal Secretary,)
General Administration Department,)
Mantralaya, Mumbai 400 032.)
- ..Respondents**

Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants.

Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : JUSTICE MRS. MRIDULA BHATKAR, CHAIRPERSON
SHRI P.N. DIXIT, VICE-CHAIRMAN

DATE : 09.02.2021

PER : JUSTICE MRS. MRIDULA BHATKAR, CHAIRPERSON

ORDER

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2 All the applicants are from different Department and work on posts. However, since their juniors have been considered and promoted, these applicants are also entitled to be considered and promoted in view of the judgment and order passed by the Division Bench of M.A.T. Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal dated 13.02.2020 in O.A.No.953 of 2019 and also the order passed by the Division Bench of the Principal Bench in O.A.No.807, 939 and 991 of 2019 dated 03.03.2020.


3. Considering the judgments noted supra, the following applicants are to be considered for promotion:-

- (i) Shri Pratap Laxman Kamble, Applicant in O.A.No.620/2020 to be promoted to the post of Assistant Conservator of Forest.
- (ii) Shri Manohar Pandharinath Idekar, Applicant in O.A.No.621/2020, to be promoted to the post of Police Inspector.
- (iii) Shri Ramji Mansing Rathod, Applicant in O.A.No.624/2020 to be promoted to the post of Tahasildar.

4. The Respondents are directed to consider the case of the Applicants on the basis of judgments supra, for above promotions within 4 weeks from today and communicate the decision to the applicants forthwith.

5. In view of the above, all the Original Applications are disposed off with no order as to costs.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/- 
(Mridula Bhatkar J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.02.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.166 of 2019 with O.A.No.266 of 2018</p> <p>N. W. Dandekar & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These Original Applications are heard for quite some time and during the course of argument, learned Counsel for the Applicants have pointed out that the issue of condonation of break in service was referred to the Government by the office of Respondent No.1 on 20.04.2018 vide order No.3556-57-2018 dated 20.04.2018 but there is no decision on it. According to him if the delay is condoned, there would be no need to pursue these Original Applications, and therefore, it is necessary to know the decision on the proposal already forwarded to the Government i.e. Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. The above issue was raised during the course of hearing in morning session and in that time on request of learned P.O. the matter was kept in afternoon session to facilitate the learned P.O. to take instructions. However, learned P.O. submits that respondents are not properly responding.</p> <p>4. In view of above, Respondent No.1 is directed to apprise the Tribunal about the decision, if any on proposal dated 20.04.2018 by filing Affidavit in next week.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.02.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.02.2021

O.A.No.141 of 2020

Dr. A. E. Gawali

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In this O.A. notices were issued to the Respondents on 18.02.2020 but till date no reply is filed.
3. It appears that during the period of lockdown, the matter was not listed before the Tribunal and remains unlisted for long time.
4. In view of above, on request of learned P.O., one week time is granted as a most last chance for filing reply.
5. S.O. to 15.02.2021.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.02.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.Nos.321, 322 & 323 of 2019</p> <p>S.A. Koyande & 2 Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the matters were unlisted for long time due to lockdown and today those are listed for hearing for the first time. Therefore, the Applicants Counsel requested to grant of time to argue the matters.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.02.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.02.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.851 of 2019</p> <p>R. D. Hirve ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.02.2021

O.A.No.252 of 2020

Smt. Vanita BharleApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. requested for adjournment on the ground that Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. who is in charge of the matter is unable to attend the Tribunal for a week due to said demise of her father.
3. S.O. to 25.02.2021.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.02.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.743 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. N. V. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri D. C. Natu, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Ms Bhavana Panalekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4. 2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged her transfer order dated 11.08.2020 whereby she was transferred to the post of Assistant Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Pune from the post of Chief Officer, Chakan Municipal Council. She was transferred invoking Section 4(4) and 4(5) of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Act 2005). 3. The Tribunal had rejected interim relief having found <i>prima-facie</i> compliance of the provision of Transfer Act, 2005 and the matter was adjourned for filing reply. 4. Today, learned P.O. has tendered the order issued by Government dated 18.01.2021 and pointed out that during the pendency of O.A., the Applicant who was in Group-B is promoted and posted as Assistant Commissioner, Group-A Municipal Council Administration, Divisional Commissionerate Office, Aurangabad. She has also tendered the copy of application made by Applicant dated 27.01.2021 for relieving her as well as relieving order dated 04.02.2021. The orders tendered by learned P.O. is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Thus, admittedly during the pendency of O.A., the Applicant has been promoted and posted at Aurangabad. She had applied to relieve her and accordingly she is relieved. As such, she has accepted the promotion and posting at Aurangabad.</p> <p>6. In view of above, O.A. itself has become infructuous and challenge to transfer order dated 11.08.2020 does not survive.</p> <p>7. Despite, above position, learned Counsel for the Applicant sought one week time to file affidavit stating that Applicant had accepted the promotion subject to her right to challenge the transfer order.</p> <p>8. Once the Applicant is promoted and posted at Aurangabad, the question of surviving transfer order dated 11.08.2020 itself does not survive since in view of promotion to the post of Assistant Commissioner, Group-A, Aurangabd she could not be reposted on initial posting as Chief Officer, Chakan, Municipal Council even if O.A. is allowed. Question of retaining liberty to challenge transfer order does not arise since initial course of action itself has become non-existent and dead in law.</p> <p>9. In view of above, continuation of O.A. would be nothing but abuse of process law.</p> <p>10. Original Application is, therefore, disposed of having become infructuous.</p> <p>11. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.02.2021

O.A.No.199 of 2020

A. L.A. MujawarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.
3. S.O. to 25.02.2021.

A. P. Kurhekar
Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

09.02.2021

O.A 43/2020

Harish R. Das ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri H.R Das, applicant in person ad Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Applicant, party in person submits that his matter should not be placed before the Division Bench consisting of Shri P.N Dixit, Vice-Chairman (A), as Member, because when Shri P.N Dixit was serving as Director General of Police, he has passed orders which is having bearing on the issue involved in this Original Application.

3. Considered. Matter be placed before Hon'ble Chairperson on 16.2.2021.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

Akn

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.02.2021

O.A.No.828 of 2018

D.J. Ambilwade ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & ... Respondents

1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

2. This is the Division Bench matter. However, the applicant objects the constitution of Bench where Mr. Dixit, Administrative Member is included, as Mr. Dixit was D.G and had taken some decisions which has impact on the decision which is challenged before the Tribunal by the applicant. Applicant challenges the compulsory retirement dated 20.08.2018 and also prays for the retirement dues and the respondents be prevented from making adverse remarks in the service record.

3. This matter is to be heard by the Division Bench however it can be decided by the Chairperson sitting singly subject to consent by both the parties.

4. The learned C.P.O. submits that the respondents are hesitant to give no objection for deciding the matter by the Single Bench.

5. As no other Administrative Member is available at Principal Seat at Mumbai Bench, the matter is to be adjourned till the appointment of the Administrative Member on the vacant post or till Administrative Member Mr. Bhagwan from M.A.T. Bench Nagpur comes to Mumbai for sitting.

6. S.O. to 09.04.2021.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.50 of 2021 in O.A. No.89 of 2021</u></p> <p>N.C. Koli & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 9.2.2021</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.2.2021</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.51 of 2021 in O.A. No.90 of 2021

S.N. Gaikwad & Ors.

..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
9.2.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhaikar, J.)
Chairperson
9.2.2021

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

09.02.2021

M.A 32/2021 in O.A 234/2018

Shri Prafull Wagh & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S Pakale, learned Senior Counsel with Mrs Ranjana Tondankar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. The M.A is filed by the applicants for amendment as per Schedule annexed and also for placing the annexures on record.

3. Learned Senior Counsel Mr. Pakale submits that by way of this amendment the applicants want to bring on record the clarification in the policy laid down by the Central Government by Office Memorandum dated 17.2.2020, in respect of the National Pension System for Central Government employees. Learned Senior counsel submits that in view of this Office Memorandum issued by the Central Government, the present amendment is sought in the present matter.

4. Learned P.O submits to the order of the court.

5. Misc Application seeking to amend the O.A is allowed. Amendment to be carried out within one week i.e on or before 17.2.2021 and copy of the amended O.A to be served on all the Respondents. Thereafter affidavit in reply to the amended O.A is to be filed on or before 30.3.2021.

6. O.A to be placed on 30.3.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Later on

1. It is pointed out by the learned Advocate for the Applicant that representation to that effect was made on 02.03.2020 and is still pending before the Respondent.

2. Respondents to decide the said representation. If required the ordinance may be given to the Applicant and may be decided on the next date.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>09.02.2021</u></p> <p><u>C.A 35/2017 in R.A 07/2016 in O.A 1235/2009</u></p> <p>Shri S.T Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar , learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O informs that though the Hon'ble High Court by order dated 5.1.2021 has dismissed the Writ Petition no. 7557/2017 with interim application Stamp no. 93904/2020, the State of Maharashtra, wants to challenge the said order before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. She produces a letter dated 8.2.2021 written by the Law & Judiciary Department to the Government Pleader, Supreme Court, New Delhi, informing that a decision to challenge the said order is taken. So the Government Pleader is asked to take necessary steps to file S.L.P and obtain stay to the said judgment and order at the earliest. The said letter is taken on record and marked as Exh.1.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O submits that in view of this development, the C.A is to be kept pending subject to the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. She seeks minimum time of four weeks.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant is going to retire on 28.2.2021 and therefore, his reinstatement in the service is necessary in view of the order of the Tribunal and also the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>5. From the submissions of the learned counsel for the applicant, it is clear that applicant is running against the time and he has two favourable orders in respect of his dismissal. Therefore, it is necessary and urgent for State of Maharashtra to take quick steps on top priority before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.</p> <p>6. S.O 23.2.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.84 of 2021

V.V. Shinde ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant seeks appointment on the post of Assistant Motor Vehicles Inspector in the Open Sports Category in pursuance of the recommendation letter dated 27.7.2020. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he produced the Motor Driving Licence for Light Motor Vehicle after the cut-off date mentioned in the advertisement as it was not issued to him earlier. It appears from the submissions that this is a short matter.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 9.3.2021.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
9.2.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
9.2.2021

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.93 of 2021

A.A. Jekte ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note.

2. Ld. PO to come with instructions to argue the matter for interim relief on the next date.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.2.2021.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
9.2.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
9.2.2021

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.94 of 2021

A.R. Sakunde ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note.

2. Ld. PO to come with instructions to argue the matter for interim relief on the next date.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.


4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.2.2021.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-
(P.N!Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
9.2.2021

Sd/- 
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
9.2.2021

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.116 of 2021

S.S. Bhusare ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant who is working as Jailer, Grade-1, Brostal School, Nashik challenges the order dated 22.12.2020 passed by respondent no.1 to the extent to which he has failed to incorporate the name of the applicant and he seeks promotion to the post of Superintendent, District Prison, Class II/Deputy Superintendent, Central Prison, Group B. He seeks promotion on the basis of GR dated 28.2.2017 and the judgment of this Tribunal at Nagpur Bench dated 1.11.2018 in OA No.603 of 2016 in respect of exemption from passing the departmental examination on completion of 45 years of age in the year 2013, 2016 and 2018.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.4.2021.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
9.2.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
9.2.2021

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

09.02.2021

C.A 03/2021 in O.A 951/2019

Shri B.R Gaikwad ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.R Joshi holding for Shri V V. Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. The C.A is filed for non-compliance of the order of the S.B of this Tribunal dated 17.2.2020, wherein the Respondents were directed to take final decision in the D.E within two months from the date of the order and it is to be communicated to the applicant thereafter within two weeks. It is also directed to release the provisional pension immediately and retiral benefits within one month subject to decision of D.E. Learned counsel for the applicant points out proof of service of notice on the Respondents from pages 7 to 13.

3. In between the Respondents are directed to pay the provisional pension to the applicant from February, 2021 and also the arrears of provisional pension, if any on or before 28.2.2021.

4. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.

5. S.O to 9.3.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.65 of 2021</u></p> <p>Smt. Geeta N. Khetle ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant who is working as Assistant (Legal) in Law & Judiciary Department challenges the final seniority list of the cadre of Assistant (Legal) dated 15.10.2020 and prays that her seniority be restored in the post of Assistant (Legal) with all consequential service benefits. She also challenges the promotion of respondent no.5-Smt. Samiksha P. Kakade, Superintendent (Legal). Respondent No.5 though served has not appeared either in person or through her advocate at present.</p> <p>3. Respondent No.4-Smt. Sapna B. Mahalle, Assistant (Legal), Law and Judiciary Department is personally present. Respondent No.4 on query states that she does not want to engage any advocate at present and she will be appearing in person by adopting the stand taken by the Government to certain extent. Respondent no.4 informs that as of today no posts are available, so promotions cannot be made. However, probably promotions may take place within one or two months.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for interim relief. The prayer for interim relief is kept open.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.3.2021.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
9.2.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
9.2.2021

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.As. No.88, 89, 90 & 114 of 2021</u>
	Shri Utkarsh V. Deokule (OA.88/2021) N.C. Koli & Ors. (OA.89/2021) S.N. Gaikwad & Ors. (OA.90/2021) S.K. Belbhandare (OA.114/2021)..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents
	Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The applicants in the above four OAs., who are working as Awal Karkun/Circle Officer at various places, seek directions that they are to be considered for promotion to the post of Naib Tahsildar and also pray for all other consequential service benefits. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submit that junior to the applicants are promoted. He further submits that the case of applicant in OA No.88 of 2021 Shri Utkarsh V. Deokule in fact is having favourable remarks in the department in respect of his promotion. However, it was not considered. They all were refused promotion mainly on the ground that they have taken the benefit of caste reservation during service for earlier promotion.
	3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.
	4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.3.2021.
	5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.


6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
9.2.2021

(sgj)



Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
9.2.2021

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

09.02.2021

C.A 01/2021 in O.A 481/2019

Shri D.N Jadhavar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.R Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the notices are issued to all the Respondents. Learned counsel for the applicant points out proof of service of notice is annexed to page 21A, 22A, 22B and 22C.
3. The applicant has filed this contempt petition against the Respondents as the order dated 10.1.2020 passed by Single Bench of this Tribunal is not complied with, within a period of 3 months as directed. The Tribunal by the said order has directed the Respondents to comply with the G.R dated 4.4.2014 and re-examine the matter as per the recommendations of the Committee
4. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the Respondents. Time granted.
5. S.O to 9.3.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N¹ Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 09.02.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.551 of 2020</p> <p>P.R. Shinde & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant. Heard Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is already adjourned to 09.03.2021.</p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman </p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.02.2021</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.38 of 2020 in O.A.No.443 of 2014</p> <p>H.R. Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None present for Advocate and Advocate are absent.</p> <p>2. Matter was called in the morning session. Later on the matter was again called out during the second session and none appeared for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Hence, dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.02.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.63 of 2021 in O.A. No.1133 of 2018</p> <p>B.A. KaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is moved with a prayer of stay to the advertisement dated 18.01.2021 to filling vacant post of Sister Tutor, Pediatric Nurses, Public Health Nurses and Psychiatric Nurses till the final hearing of the O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. to take instructions about the ratio as mentioned in the notification dated 22.06.2015 in clause '7' for the appointment of these posts by promotion and nomination in the ratio 75:25 respectively. Whether the same ratio is maintained in the proposed draft rules which are now pending before His Excellency?.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.02.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 09.02.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.45 of 2021 with M.A. No.328 of 2020 in O.A. No.1133 of 2018</p> <p>B.A. KaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that she wants to withdraw M.A. No.45/2021 and M.A. No.328/2020 with liberty to file afresh, if required. 3. Allowed to withdraw with above liberty. <p align="center"> Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman </p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

LATER ON :

Date : 09.02.2021

O.A.No.120 of 2021

B.M. Yadav ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The applicant challenges the orders dated 27.01.2021 and 01.02.2021. He is reversed to the post of Assistant Commissioner of Police w.e.f. 02.06.2020.
3. The Applicant challenges his reversion to the post of Assistant Commissioner of Police from the post of Deputy Commissioner which is directed by order dated 01.02.2021 passed by the Additional Director General of Police.
4. In this matter, Shri Vaidya P. Shashikant who is recommended by M.P.S.C. is not made party Respondent. We direct the applicant to make him party respondent, as he may be affected and also as he is also party in other related O.A.No.482/2019. Amendment to that effect is to be carried out by adding Shri Vaidya P. Shashikant in the array of respondent. Notice to be issued to the added respondent. The Respondents to file affidavit-in-reply by next date.
5. S.O. to 17.02.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.02.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.87 of 2020</p> <p>R.V. KardakApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that today she has received parawise remarks from the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that Respondent No.2 is a contesting party.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is directed to file Affidavit-in-Reply also on behalf of Respondent No.2.</p> <p>5. S.O. to. 09.03.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 09.02.2021</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 62 of 2021</p> <p>R.S. JogiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Short Affidavit dated 08.02.2021 is filed by Respondent through Dr. Madhav V. Veer, Deputy Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department. Along with this Affidavit the explanation on account of delay is produced chronologically so also the letters sent by the enquiry officer dated 04.02.2021, wherein she is informed that till 04.02.2021 she has not received the papers of the enquiry. We have also gone through the letter written by Makrand P. Deshmukh, Additional Commissioner (Revenue), Kokan Division dated 08.02.2021 to Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue Department. After going through this we are not satisfied with the reason given by the Respondents for delay especially in sending the concerned papers of enquiry to the enquiry officer when she requested for it immediately after her appointment. Excuse of election so also Covid-19 Pandemic situation and lockdown are not at all satisfactory for not sending papers to enquiry officer from Bandra (Mumbai) to C.B.D. Belapur (Navi Mumbai) Kokan Bhavan. It is clear that the office of the Additional Collector, Encroachment Removal, Eastern Suburbs is responsible for this delay. Hence, we record our displeasure of giving such lame excuses which directly affects the enquiry itself.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

3. Learned C.P.O. submits that as the enquiry officer has received papers now, she has requested to give some time to conduct the enquiry as she will do so in three months. Learned C.P.O. informs that the enquiry officer at present is having 450 enquiry cases in her hand. However, she will conduct this enquiry on priority basis.

4. On query we are informed that there are five witnesses whose evidence is to be recorded during the enquiry. I am therefore inclined to grant so much time. Time is granted for two months and thereafter within twenty days further decision is to taken. The report of the enquiry is to be handed over to the officer.

5. Learned C.P.O. on instructions informed that enquiry is fixed now on 10.02.2021 i.e. tomorrow. The Applicant is directed to appear before the enquiry officer and co-operate.

6. Hence, O.A. is disposed of.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.02.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.635 of 2020 with O.A. No.636 of 2020</p> <p>V. V. Khule & Anr.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2 in both the matters. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.03.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- w/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.02.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.864 of 2019</p> <p>A. D. DaundharApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. requested for adjournment on the ground that Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. who is in charge of the matter is unable to attend the Tribunal for a week due to said demise of her father.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.02.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.02.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.187 of 2020</p> <p>V. D. GujarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A. interim relief was granted by order dated 05.03.2020 and notices were issued. However, till date no reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Perusal of record reveals that the matter was unlisted for long time due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation and lockdown and today it was listed after long time.</p> <p>4. In view of above, on request of learned P.O., one week time is granted as a last chance for filing reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 15.02.2021.</p> <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.02.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1104 of 2019</p> <p>A. S. Teli ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.02.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.02.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1176 of 2019</p> <p>K. V. GaikarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Perusal of record reveals that the matter remains unlisted for long period during Covid-19 pandemic situation and lockdown. Today, for the first time it is listed for hearing. 3. In view of above, learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks two weeks time for hearing. 4. S.O. to 25.02.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.02.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.785 of 2019</p> <p>G.K. SanapApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. requested for adjournment on the ground that Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. who is in charge of the matter is unable to attend the Tribunal for a week due to said demise of her father.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.02.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.02.2021

O.A.No.225 of 2020

P. M. Teli

...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed additional Rejoinder stating that certain pleas and documents were remained to be tendered earlier. It is taken on record.
3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing.
4. S.O. to 24.02.2021.

A.P. Kurhekar
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.02.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.1000 of 2018 with O.A.No.1231 of 2019</p> <p>L. G. Lonkar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.02.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.02.2021

O.A.No.775 of 2020

Y.M. Budhwant ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned C.P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply of Shri Gajanan B. Gurav, Under Secretary, office of Addl. Chief Secretary, G.A.D., Mantralaya, Mumbai, dated 09.02.2021. The same is taken on record. Copy to be served to learned Advocate for the Applicant.

3. Adjourned to 11.03.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

09.02.2021

M.A 198/2020 in O.A 933/2018

Shri L.N Sargar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O files reply to the Misc Application and same is taken on record.

3. By way of this Misc Application, the applicant prays for recalling order dated 13.2.2019 in O.A 933/2018.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time.

5. S.O to 2.3.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

09.02.2021

M.A 352/2020 in O.A 380/2020

Shri A.L. Jagtap & Ors ... Applicants
(Intervenors)
And
Smt Amruta Karwande & Ors ...Ori Applicants
Vs
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants-Intervenors, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents and Shri M.D Lonkar, with Shri Rupesh Geete and Shri Saylee Dhayalkar i/b Parinam Law Associates, learned advocate for the original applicants.

2. By this Misc Application, the applicants pray for their intervention in the Original Application 380/2020. The original applicants claiming that they are orphans have prayed that the result list dated 19.6.2020 declared by Respondent no. 2 for the post of Tahsildar and Naib Tahsildar is to be quashed and set aside mainly on the ground that Respondent no. 2 had allotted two posts reserved for orphans in open category to the S.E.B.C candidates.

3. The intervention application is filed by those two candidates whose names are in the said list.

4. Mr Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants-intervenors points out that at page 16, at serial no. 22, the name of applicant no. 2, Abilash M. Jagtap and on page 17, at serial no. 19, name of applicant Abhijeet L. Jagtap are appearing in the list forwarded by M.P.S.C. There is specific mention as against orphan in respect of these two applicants in the list.

5. In view of these submissions and factual position, if the O.A is allowed, then the applicants-intervenors in the M.A is going to be affected directly. Hence they are necessary and proper parties.

6. In view of the above, M.A is allowed.

7. The original applicants are directed to add the present applicants-intervenors as Respondents no 3 & 4 in the O.A within two days. The amended copy of the Original Application is to be served on the Respondents. This exercise is to be done on or before 12.2.2021. Thereafter, proposed Respondents no 3 & 4 shall file affidavit in reply by 26.2.2021 and the applicants if they want to file rejoinder, same is to be filed on or before 5.3.2021.

8. O.A 380/2020 is fixed on 12.3.2021 for final hearing.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson