

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.01.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.26 of 2022 with M.A. No.201 of 2022 with O.A. No.306 of 2022 with O.A. No.1089 of 2022 with O.A. No.428 of 2022 with O.A. No.696 of 2022 with O.A. No.697 of 2022 with O.A. No.698 of 2022 with O.A. No.952 of 2022 with O.A. No.1096 of 2022 with O.A. No.07 of 2023 with O.A. No.105 of 2023 with O.A. No.106 of 2023 with O.A. No.129 of 2023 with O.A. No.165 of 2023 with O.A. No.172 of 2023 with O.A. No.181 of 2023 with O.A. No.182 of 2023 with O.A. No.191 of 2023 with O.A. No.194 of 2023 with O.A. No.207 of 2023 with O.A. No.215 of 2023 with O.A. No.263 of 2023 with O.A. No.265 of 2023 with O.A. No.289 of 2023 with O.A. No.325 of 2023 with O.A. No.477 of 2023 with O.A. No.541 of 2023 with O.A. No.567 of 2023 with O.A. No.568 of 2023 with O.A. No.609 of 2023 with O.A. No.831 of 2023 with O.A. No.859 of 2023 with O.A. No.912 of 2023 with O.A. No.913 of 2023 with O.A. No.1099 of 2023 with O.A. No.1293 of 2023 with O.A. No.1294 of 2023 and Other Connected matters</p> <p>A.K. Pawar & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar learned Advocates for the Applicants, Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.W. Sawant, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad & Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocates for the Applicant in absent.</p> <p>(A) Commissioner of Police, Nashik</p> <p>2. (i) The Learned P.O. presents copy of letter written by 'Deputy Commissioner of Police' (H.Q.), Nashik' on behalf of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik' dated 03.01.2024 addressed to (i) Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai and (ii) Superintendents of Police, Ratnagiri & Palghar conveying their willingness to take 21 'Police Constables' on 'Inter District Transfer' on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik'. [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>2. (ii) The (i) Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai and (ii) Superintendents of Police, Ratnagiri & Palghar are accordingly informed to convene meetings of 'P.E.B.'s to decide about relieving these 21 'Police Constable' to join on 'Inter District Transfer' on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik'.</p> <p>(B) Commissioner of Police, Nashik</p> <p>3. (i) The learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A. No.697/2022 mentions that 'Shri S.P. Khare, Police Constable' who is now serving on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' had requested for 'Inter District Transfer' to join on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik' but his name has not been included in letter dated 03.01.2024 of 'Deputy Commissioner of Police (H.Q.), Nashik'. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon states that in the letter dated 03.01.2024 of 'Deputy Commissioner of Police (H.Q.), Nashik' the name of Shri Shailendra Raul Police Constable is shown at Sr. No.5 but he has already been relieved by 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' and since joined on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik'. Thus learned Advocate for Applicant states that because 'Shri S.P. Khare, Police Constable' has served in the 'Indian Army' for more than 20 years and as his 'Wife' is an employee of 'Zilla Parishad, Nashik'; he be included now in place of 'Shri Shailendra Raul, Police Constable' at 'Sr. No.5' in letter of 'Deputy Commissioner of Police (H.Q.) Nashik' dated 03.01.2024.</p> <p>3. (ii) The learned Advocate for Applicants in O.A. No.306/2022 and 1089/2022 states that 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik' earlier on 29.12.2021 had given willingness for 'Inter District Transfer' of '3 Police Constables' who are now serving on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Railways Mumbai' but they were not relieved at that time. Hence in respect of these 03 'Police Constables' in O.A. No.306/2022 and 1089/2022 willingness be given again for their 'Inter District Transfer' on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik' and it be communicated to 'Commissioner of Police, Railways Mumbai'.</p> <p>3. (iii) The learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A. No. 696/2022 states that there are 04 'Police Constables'</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>who have not been included in letter of 'Deputy Commissioner of Police (H.Q.), Nashik' dated 03.01.2024 although they are senior to 19 'Police Constables' on establishment of the 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'. Therefore these 04 'Police Constables' being senior should also be given willingness to be taken on 'Inter District Transfer' on the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik'.</p> <p>3. (iv) The Commissioner of Police Nashik therefore informed to include 'Shri S.P. Khare, Police Constable' who is 'Ex-Servicemen' at Sr. No.5 in letter of 'Deputy Commissioner of Police (HQ) dated 03.01.2024 and convey willingness to take on 'Inter District Transfer' these 07 'Police Constables' viz. (i) 03 'Police Constables' who are on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Railways Mumbai' and (ii) 04 'Police Constables' who are on the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.</p> <p>C Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad</p> <p>4. The officer present on behalf of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad' states that they need some more time to convey willingness to take '03 Police Constables' on 'Inter District Transfer' from the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'. The 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad' was already given sufficient time, so therefore by way of last chance 'One Week' time is given to convey willingness to take these '03 Police Constable' on 'Inter District Transfer' from establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' after observing provisions of 'Clause 6.1' of 'Home Department' Circular dated 26.10.2023.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>D. Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar</p> <p>5. (i) The 'Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar' has submitted information by letter dated 11.12.2023 about vacant posts earmarked for '3 Police Constables' seeking 'Inter District Transfer' from the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.</p> <p>5. (ii) The 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' this informed to decide in next meeting of 'P.E.B.' about relieving these 03 'Police Constable' on 'Inter District Transfer' to join establishment of Superintendent of Police, Admednagar.</p> <p>E. Superintendent of Police, Satara</p> <p>6. (i) The 'Superintendent of Police, Satara' has not acted upon directions given on 12.12.2023 in respect of '1 Police Constable' seeking 'Inter District Transfer' from establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.</p> <p>6. (ii) The 'Superintendent of Police, Satara' to immediately convey willingness to take this '1 Police Constable' on 'Inter District Transfer' from establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.</p> <p>6. (iii) The 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' informed to consider the case of this '1 Police Constable' along with other cases of 'Inter District Transfer' in next meeting of 'P.E.B.'</p> <p>F. Superintendent of Police, Parbhani</p> <p>7. (i) The learned Advocate for Applicants in O.A. No.1293/2023 & 1294/2023 states that they have requested for 'Inter District Transfer' from establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' to establishment of 'Superintendent of Police, Parbhani'.</p> <p>7. (ii) The 'Superintendent of Police, Parbhani' is therefore directed to immediately convey willingness to take these 02 'Police Constables' on 'Inter District Transfer' from the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. (iii) The 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' thus informed to also consider the cases of these '2 Police Constables' along with other cases of 'Inter District' Transfer in next meeting of 'P.E.B.'.</p> <p>G. Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai</p> <p>8. (i) The 'Joint Commissioner of Police' (Administration) Greater Mumbai' was informed on date of last hearing on 12.12.2023 to suggest legally tenable and non-discriminatory options which can be adopted to reduce the high pendency of cases filed by 'Police Constables' under 'Section 19' of the 'Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985' seeking 'Inter District Transfer' from establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'. However, it was informed by officer present that the directions which were given to 'Joint Commissioner of Police' (Administration) Greater Mumbai' are likely to be taken up for consideration in next meeting of 'P.E.B.'.</p> <p>8. (ii) The establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' is very large at the level of 'Police Constables'. Although, there are unusually high number of vacancies at level of 'Police Constables' which are subsisting for quite some time, yet upon query during course of hearing today it transpired that from March 2022 to June 2022 more than 2000 'Police Constables' came to be relieved on 'Inter District Transfer' by then 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' to join on establishments of various (i) 'Commissioners of Police' and (ii) 'Superintendents of Police'. In the course of hearing it also became evident that many of these 2000 'Police Constables' were in fact junior in terms of both (i) 'Years of Service' & (ii) 'Dates of Application' to Applicants yet they came to be relieved from establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'. Therefore in all fairness and with view to</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>obliterate arbitrariness in decision making which resulted in 2000 'Police Constables' getting relieved on 'Inter District Transfers' during March 2022 to June 2022, the 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' is informed to consider in the next meeting of P.E.B.' in what way remediation can be done in respect of these 'Police Constable' who were senior and deserved to have been included amongst the 2000 'Police Constables' relieved on 'Inter District Transfer' from March 2022 to June 2022.</p> <p>8. (iii) The 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' therefore informed to convene next meeting of 'P.E.B.' within 'Two Weeks' to consider as per directions above all the cases of 'Inter District Transfers' of 'Police Constables' seeking to join on establishments of (i) Commissioner of Police, Nashik (ii) Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad (iii) Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar (iv) Superintendent of Police, Satara and Superintendent of Police, Parbhani.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 13.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.604 of 2023</p> <p>R.M. PardeshiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant had requested for transfer as per his options on the earlier occasion and it was considered and order was passed in favour of Applicant in O.A. No.637/2021 on 14.03.2022. 3. Learned P.O. states that office of 'District Collector Nashik' had informed Applicant on 13.06.2022 that the order in O.A. No.637/2021 dated 14.03.2022 could not be implemented because he had subsequently submitted representations on 16.03.2022, 24.04.2022, 23.05.2022 for posting to other posts in various locations which were not included in the options when Order dated 14.03.2023 came to passed in O.A. No. 637/2021. 4. Learned P.O. states that as per instructions received from office of 'District Collector Nashik' few posts of 'Circle Officer' are likely to fall vacant due to retirements in next few months and probable transfers during 'General Transfer: 2024'. 5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant thereupon states that Applicant has now again submitted fresh representation on 05.01.2024 to 'District Collector, <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Nashik' to consider his request for transfer to any post which may become available due to retirements on 30.04.2024 and 31.05.2024 or during 'General Transfer 2024'.</p> <p>6. The 'District Collector, Nashik' in the above mentioned context is therefore is directed to consider the 'Fresh Representation' submitted by the Applicant on 05.01.2024 at an appropriate and issue 'Transfer Order' of Applicant to any post of 'Circle Officer' where vacancy may arise on account of retirements on 30.04.2024 or 31.05.2024 or during 'General Transfers: 2024'.</p> <p>7. The O.A. No.604 of 2023 is accordingly disposed off with 'No Order as to Costs'.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.01.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.717 of 2023 with O.A. No.718 of 2023</p> <p>U.L. Gadage & Ors. B.A. Pawar & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad along with Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 03.01.2024 in O.A. No.718/2023 on behalf of Respondent No.1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. further submits that 'Affidavit-in-Reply' in O.A. No.717/2023 will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted.</p> <p>4. The 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed on behalf of 'Superintendent of Police, Palghar' in O.A. No. 718/2023 repeatedly mentions that there are vacancies of 'Police Constable', and thus it will not possible to relieve the Applicant on 'Inter District Transfer to join in the establishment of 'Superintendent of Police, Thane Rural'. However, the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on 'Superintendent of Police, Palghar' dated 03.01.2024 is absolutely silent about specific information sought as per order dated 21.11.2023 with respect to those 'Police Constable' who have been relieved earlier on 'Inter District Transfer' from the establishment of 'Superintendent of Police, Thane Rural' and whose names were disclosed in 'Exhibit H'. Therefore; 'Superintendent of Police, Palghar' while filing 'Affidavit-in-Reply' in O.A. No.717/2023 to specifically include information about the criteria adopted in respect of these 'Police Constables' who were relieved earlier on 'Inter District Transfers' from the establishment of 'Superintendent of Police, Thane Rural' and whose names are in 'Exhibit H'</p> <p>5. S.O. to 17.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.17 of 2024</u></p> <p>N.I. Momin ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1615 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.M. Babar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar holding for Shri N.W. Sawant, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1606 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.N. Bhojkar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1 of 2024</u></p> <p>Prasad V. Giri ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By way of interim relief the Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for staying the implementation of the order dated 17.11.2023 passed by the respondent and the respondent be restrained from proceeding ahead with the selection process of the post of Kotwal of village Shittur, Tarfe Malkapur, Tal. Shahudwadi, District Kolhapur.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant appeared in the written examination on 10.9.2023 for the post of Kotwal and secured 66 marks whereas the other candidates secured 44 marks. The applicant belongs to NT-B category and the said post is reserved for NT-B category. The Tahsildar published final select list on 13.9.2023 in which name of the applicant figured. However, on the basis of certain complaints the SDO passed the order on 17.11.2023 stating that the applicant is not resident of said village Shittur and he is not eligible to be appointed as Kotwal. He also states that the documents to support his contention of being resident of that village were an afterthought. SDO is the Chairman of the Kotwal Selection Committee. Ld. Advocate for the applicant refers to Rule 10 of Rules for the Recruitment and Employment of Kotwals, which reads as under:</p> <p align="center">“10. राहण्याचे ठिकाण - एखाद्या गावांचा कोतवाल म्हणून नेमणूक झालेल्या इसमास, गावांच्या स्थानिक परिस्थितीचे ज्ञान असले पाहिजे व तो त्याच्या नेमणूकीच्या गावी राहण्यास तयार असला पाहिजे.”</p> <p>4. Ld. PO opposes the prayer for grant of interim relief and states that SDO passed the order after examining the</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>documents in detail. He states that although the applicant was born in Village Shittur the applicant has migrated to Shirala, District Sangli. Ld. PO submits that the advertisement also mentions that candidate should be resident of village.</p> <p>5. I have considered the submissions of both the sides. In view of the above facts and circumstances of the case, interim relief is granted to the applicant till filing of the reply.</p> <p>6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.1.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gajgil) Member (A) 9.1.2024</p> <p>sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

J N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.23 of 2024 (DB)</p> <p>S. B. Deore & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. S. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4, Smt. Savita M. Yadav, learned Counsel for Respondent No.5, Shri A.V. Sakolkar along with Shri S. Shubham holding, for Shri Anoop Patil, learned Counsel for Respondent No.6 and Smt. Payal Vardhan along with Shri A. Karnik, learned Counsels for Respondent No.8 . None for Respondent No.7.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicants presses for equivalence of degree of B.Tech. (Agricultural, Engineering & Technology) with B.E. Civil Engineering/B.Tech. Civil Engineering which is the 'Education Qualification' for appointment to post of (i) Water Conservation Officer (Non-Gazetted Class-II) by State Selection Board - Commissioner for Soil and Water Conservation and (ii) Sub-Divisional Water Conservation Officer (Class I) and Water Conservation Officer (Class II) by MPSC.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicants points out that six subjects which are related to 'Soil and Water Conservation' are taught in B.Tech (Agricultural Engineering and Technology).</p> <p>4. Learned CPO submits that (i) Advertisement was published on 19.12.2023 by State Selection Board - Commissioner for Soil & Water Conservation for recruitment to the posts of Water Conservation Officer (Non-Gazetted Class II) and (ii) Advertisement No.414/2023 published by MPSC on 29.12.2023 for recruitment to posts of Sub-Divisional Water Conservation Officer (Class I) and Water Conservation Officer (Class II).</p>

She submits that last date for submission of Application Forms to MPSC is 25.01.2024 while it is 10.01.2024 for State Selection Board - Commissioner of Soil and Water Conservation.

5. Learned Counsel for Applicants submits that he wants to implead Agricultural Department and Higher & Technical Education Department. Learned Counsel for Applicants accordingly allowed to add Agricultural Department and Higher & Technical Education Department as Respondent No.9 and Respondent No.10.

6. The issue of equivalence of B.E. Civil Engineering/B.Tech. Civil Engineering and B. Tech. (Agricultural Engineering and Technology) can be heard for 'Interim Relief' only after requisite information is received from (i) Soil & Water Conservation Department (ii) Higher and Technical Education Department and (iii) Agriculture Department.

7. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.01.2024.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

13. S.O. to 12.01.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.877 of 2023 with O.A. No.1617 of 2023</p> <p>P.S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue in O.A. No.877 of 2023 which is now tagged with O.A. No.1617 of 2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1071/2023</u></p> <p>S.H Bendkule & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No 1 & 2. None present for Respondent No. 3.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Mr Bhosle for Respondent No. 3 is directed to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 23.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.12 of 2024</p> <p>Shri N. P. Patil & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. A. Gharte, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The matter is adjourned to 11.01.2024 as it is necessary to look into the Judgments and Rules and to hear learned C.P.O. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that today is the last date for filing online Application Form. 4. We observe that the Applicant are challenging Advertisement No.396/2023 issued by MPSC on 15.12.2023. The Original Application is filed on 03.01.2024. The Applicants have approached the Tribunal though within time but rather late and time would be required to decide the matter after considering the submissions of the Respondents. Also, if at all, we arrive at 'prima-facie' conclusion that Applicants are entitled to get 'Interim Relief'; we may then allow Applicants to fill up online 'Application Form' by extending last date of acceptance by few days beyond 09.01.2024 fixed by MPSC. 5. S.O. to 11.01.2024. <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.29 of 2024</p> <p>Shri Manoj M. Bidkar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. A. Gharate, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.01.2024. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondent are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule _____ of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days and service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. S.O. to 11.01.2024.

9. The matter is adjourned to 11.01.2024 as it is necessary to look into the Judgments and Rules and to hear learned C.P.O.

10. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that today is the last date for filing online 'Application Form'.

11. We observe that the Applicant are challenging Advertisement No.396/2023 issued by MPSC on 15.12.2023. The Original Application is filed on 03.01.2024. The Applicants have approached the Tribunal though within time but rather late and time would be required to decide the matter after considering the submissions of the Respondents. Also, if at all, we arrive at 'prima-facie' conclusion that Applicants are entitled to get 'Interim Relief', we may then allow Applicants to fill up online 'Application Form' by extending last date of acceptance by few days beyond 09.01.2024 fixed by MPSC.

12. S.O. to 11.01.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.23 of 2024 In O.A.No.29 of 2024</p> <p>Shri Manoj M. Bidkar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A. A. Gharate, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.5. M. A. No.23/2024 is allowed. No order as to costs <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.01.2024

O.A.No.15 of 2024

Shri N. P. Patil & Ors.Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. A. Gharte, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The matter is adjourned to 11.01.2024 as it is necessary to look into the Judgments and Rules and to hear learned C.P.O.

3. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that today is the last date for filing online Application Form.

4. We observe that the Applicant are challenging Advertisement No.396/2023 issued by MPSC on 15.12.2023. The Original Application is filed on 03.01.2024. The Applicants have approached the Tribunal though within time but rather late and time would be required to decide the matter after considering the submissions of the Respondents. Also, if at all, we arrive at 'prima-facie' conclusion that Applicants are entitled to get 'Interim Relief', we may then allow Applicants to fill up online 'Application Form' by extending last date of acceptance by few days beyond 09.01.2024 fixed by MPSC.

5. S.O. to 11.01.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1443 of 2023</u></p> <p>V.K. Aher ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Videoconferencing and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files reply and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.1.2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>Sd/-</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.1.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>Sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 9.1.2024</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.10/2016²⁰²¹</p> <p>N.N. GhodeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for Private Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 11.01.2024. P.H.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1317/2023</u></p> <p>R.R Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 6.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1453/2023</u></p> <p>A.C Avhad & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 6.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1530/2023</u></p> <p>V.B Kendre ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 23.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>09.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 907/2023</u></p> <p>K.N Sukalwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 6.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.592/2015</p> <p>J.S. ShindeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the present O.A. is based on the order dated 04.07.2014 passed by this Tribunal O.A.Nos.1215, 1128, 1227 of 2013. However, the said order was challenged before the Hon'ble High Court vide Writ Petition No.8926/2015, wherein the Hon'ble High Court by order dated 24.04.2018 stayed the order of this Tribunal. The said W.P. is still pending.</p> <p>3. In view of these submissions the judicial propriety demands that we should not proceed with this matter unless the said W.P. is decided by the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 22.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SD/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SD/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.10/2016</p> <p>N.N. GhodeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for Private Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 11.01.2024. P.H.</p> <p>SD/- SD/</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date : 09.01.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1078/2016 (O.A.No.443/2014 Nagpur)</p> <p>R.D. Wardhane & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondents No.2 & 3, and Mr. Anshuman Deshmukh holding for Mr. Mahesh Deshmukh learned Counsel for the Respondents No.5 and 16.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Mr. Jagdale has submitted that the allied matter is pending before the Hon'ble High Court and the same is adjourned to 15.01.2024.</p> <p>3. In view of above, adjourned to 22.04.2024. In between if matter is decided by the Hon'ble High Court, learned Counsel to file Circulation note.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SD/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SD/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 09.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1096 of 2023 with O.A. No.1097 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. KhotApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Raktade, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri R. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date' in O.A. No.1097/2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 09.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1256 of 2023</p> <p>R.M. MujawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that she will file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the 'Superintendent of Police, Sangli' during the course of the day. Statement is accepted.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.01.2024

M. A.No.644 of 2023
In
O.A.No.460 of 2023
(DB)

K. B. Ghodke & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Dipak T. Shigam holding for Shri A. V. Gaikar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, the matter is adjourned to 16.01.2024.
3. S.O. to 16.01.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.01.2024

O.A.No.395 of 2023

With

O.A.No.1369 of 2023

(DB)

Dr. Y. Shah & Ors.

Dr. A. M. Naik & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. S. Gidh, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.395/2023, Shri S. Chinnappa, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.1369/2023 and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. states that Affidavit in Reply in O.A.No.395/2023 is already filed. She seeks short time to file Affidavit in Reply in O.A.No.1369/2023.

3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.

4. S.O. to 12.01.2024.

Sd/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.09 of 2024 (DB)</p> <p>L. M. KhalaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. On request of learned C.P.O., two weeks' time is granted to file Affidavit in Reply.3. S.O. to 23.01.2024. <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Date : 09.01.2024

O.A.No.1602 of 2023
(DB)

A. R. LoharApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.01.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 30.01.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.01.2024

O.A.No.14 of 2024
With
O.A. No.15 of 2024
(DB)

S. P. Ghotekar
M. S. Napte

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. V. Shinde, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.01.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench(es) under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 16.01.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 821/2023 in O.A 1622/2023</u> <u>(O.A 356/2023 - Aurangabad)</u></p> <p>S.P Jare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri W.B Wagh, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is not pressing the Misc Application and accordingly Misc Application stands disposed of.</p> <p>3. O.A No 1622/2023 to be placed on Board on 23.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 643/2023 in O.A 1300/2023</u></p> <p>V.C Pasalkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 30.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 499/2022 in O.A 31/2022</u></p> <p>Y.B Wagh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant in person absent. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 17.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 90/2023 in O.A 13/2017</u></p> <p>N.S Navale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Darshana Kambli, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 30.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 84/2023 in O.A 168/2018</u></p> <p>A.V Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Gharte, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 41/2023 in O.A 297/2015</u></p> <p>U.N Yadav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 16.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 89/2023 in O.A 967/2022</u></p> <p>M.G Sathe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the applicant has been paid interest on delayed payment of G.P.F on 29.12.2023 and the order has been complied with.</p> <p>3. As the order has been complied with, nothing remains in the Contempt Application and the same stands disposed of.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 04/2020 in O.A 1119/2015</u></p> <p>Dr B.M Lad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Shruti Jagtap holding for Talekar & Associates, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 16.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>