### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

#### ΙΝ

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 09.01.2024	
	Date: 09.01.2024 O.A. No.26 of 2022 with M.A. N O.A. No.306 of 2022 with O.A. N O.A. No.428 of 2022 with O.A. N O.A. No.697 of 2022 with O.A. N O.A. No.952 of 2022 with O.A. N O.A. No.952 of 2023 with O.A. N O.A. No.106 of 2023 with O.A. N O.A. No.106 of 2023 with O.A. N O.A. No.181 of 2023 with O.A. N O.A. No.181 of 2023 with O.A. N O.A. No.191 of 2023 with O.A. N O.A. No.207 of 2023 with O.A. N O.A. No.207 of 2023 with O.A. N O.A. No.263 of 2023 with O.A. N O.A. No.289 of 2023 with O.A. N O.A. No.477 of 2023 with O.A. N O.A. No.567 of 2023 with O.A. N	o.1089 of 2022 with No.696 of 2022 with No.698 of 2022 with o.1096 of 2022 with o.105 of 2023 with No.129 of 2023 with No.172 of 2023 with No.182 of 2023 with No.194 of 2023 with No.215 of 2023 with No.265 of 2023 with No.325 of 2023 with No.541 of 2023 with
	O.A. No.609 of 2023 with O.A. No.831 of 2023 O.A. No.859 of 2023 with O.A. No.912 of 2023 O.A. No.913 of 2023 with O.A. No.1099 of 2023	
	O.A. No.1293 of 2023 with O.A and Other Connected	
		<b>A</b> 11 .
	A.K. Pawar & Ors., Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, Shri A.V. Bandiwad learned Advocates for the Applicants, Shri U.V. Bhy learned Advocate holding for Shri N.W. Sawant, lear Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, K.S. Gaikwad &amp; Smt. Archana B.K., learned Preser Officer for the Respondents. Shri M.D. Lonkar, lear Advocates for the Applicant in absent.</li> <li>(A) Commissioner of Police, Nashik</li> <li>2. (i) The Learned P.O. presents copy of letter wri by 'Deputy Commissioner of Police' (H.Q.), Nashik behalf of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik' di 03.01.2024 addressed to (i) Commissioner of Pol Greater Mumbai and (ii) Superintendents of Pol Ratnagiri &amp; Palghar conveying their willingness to 21 'Police Constables' on 'Inter District Transfer' establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik'. [Fertice]</li> </ol>	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	2. (ii) The (i) Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumba and (ii) Superintendents of Police, Ratnagiri & Palgha are accordingly informed to convene meetings o 'P.E.B.'s to decide about relieving these 21 'Police Constable' to join on 'Inter District Transfer' or establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik'.
	(B) Commissioner of Police, Nashik
	3. (i) The learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A No.697/2022 mentions that 'Shri S.P. Khare, Police Constable' who is now serving on establishment o 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' had requested for 'Inter District Transfer' to join or establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik' but his name has not been included in letter dated 03.01.2024 of 'Deputy Commissioner of Police (H.Q.) Nashik'. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupor states that in the letter dated 03.01.2024 of 'Deputy Commissioner of Police (H.Q.), Nashik' the name of Shr Shailendra Raul Police Constable is shown at Sr. No.5 but he has already been relieved by 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' and since joined on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik'. Thus learned Advocate for Applicant states that because 'Shri S.P. Khare, Police Constable' has served in the 'Indian Army' for more than 20 years and as his 'Wife' is an employee of 'Zilla Parishad, Nashik'; he be included now in place of 'Shri Shailendra Raul, Police Constable' at 'Sr. No.5' in letter of 'Deputy Commissioner of Police (H.Q.) Nashik' dated 03.01.2024.
	<ul> <li>3. (ii) The learned Advocate for Applicants in O.A. No.306/2022 and 1089/2022 states that 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik' earlier on 29.12.2021 had given willingness for 'Inter District Transfer' of '3 Police Constables' who are now serving on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Railways Mumbai' but they were not relieved at that time. Hence in respect of these 03 'Police Constables' in O.A. No.306/2022 and 1089/2022 willingness be given again for their 'Inter District Transfer' on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Railways Mumbai'.</li> <li>3. (iii) The learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A. No.</li> </ul>
	3. (iii) The learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A. No 696/2022 states that there are 04 'Police Constables'

•

.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

#### I N

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	who have not been included in letter of 'Deput Commissioner of Police (H.Q.), Nashik' date 03.01.2024 although they are senior to 19 'Polic Constables' on establishment of the 'Commissioner o Police, Greater Mumbai'. Therefore these 04 'Police Constables' being senior should also be given willingness to be taken on 'Inter District Transfer' on the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik'.	
	3. (iv) The Commissioner of Police Nashik therefore informed to include 'Shri S.P. Khare, Police Constable' who is 'Ex-Servicemen' at Sr. No.5 in letter of 'Deputy Commissioner of Police (HQ) dated 03.01.2024 and convey willingness to take on 'Inter District Transfer' these 07 'Police Constables' viz. (i) 03 'Police Constables' who are on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Railways Mumbai' and (ii) 04 'Police Constables' who are on the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.	
	C Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad	
	4. The officer present on behalf of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad' states that they need some more time to convey willingness to take '03 Police Constables' on 'Inter District Transfer' from the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'. The 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri- Chinchwad' was already given sufficient time, so therefore by way of last chance 'One Week' time is given to convey willingness to take these '03 Police Constable' on 'Inter District Transfer' from establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' after observing provisions of 'Clause 6.1' of 'Home Department' Circular dated 26.10.2023.	
	[PT.O.	

Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	D. Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar
	5. (i) The 'Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar' has submitted information by letter dated 11.12.2023 abou vacant posts earmarked for '3 Police Constables seeking 'Inter District Transfer' from the establishmer of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.
	5. (ii) The 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumba this informed to decide in next meeting of 'P.E.B.' abou relieving these 03 'Police Constable' on 'Inter Distric Transfer' to join establishment of Superintendent of Police, Admednagar.
	E. Superintendent of Police, Satara
	6. (i) The 'Superintendent of Police, Satara' has no acted upon directions given on 12.12.2023 in respect o '1 Police Constable' seeking 'Inter District Transfer' from establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greate Mumbai'.
	6. (ii) The 'Superintendent of Police, Satara' to immediately convey willingness to take this '1 Police Constable' on 'Inter District Transfer' from establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greate Mumbai'.
	6. (lii) The 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai informed to consider the case of this '1 Police Constable' along with other cases of 'Inter Distric Transfer' in next meeting of 'P.E.B.'
	F. Superintendent of Police, Parbhani
	7. (i) The learned Advocate for Applicants in O.A No.1293/2023 & 1294/2023 states that they have requested for 'Inter District Transfer' from establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' to establishment of 'Superintendent of Police Parbhani'.
:	7. (ii) The 'Superintendent of Police, Parbhani' is therefore directed to immediately convey willingness to take these 02 'Police Constables' on 'Inter Distric Transfer' from the establishment of 'Commissioner or

•

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

I N

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	7. (iii) The 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' thus informed to also consider the cases of these '2 Police Constables' along with other cases of 'Inter District' Transfer in next meeting of 'P.E.B.'.
	G. Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai
	8. (i) The 'Joint Commissioner of Police' (Administration) Greater Mumbai' was informed on date of last hearing on 12.12.2023 to suggest legally tenable and non-discriminatory options which can be adopted to reduce the high pendency of cases filed by 'Police Constables' under 'Section 19' of the 'Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985' seeking 'Inter District Transfer' from establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'. However, it was informed by officer present that the directions which were given to 'Joint Commissioner of Police' (Administration) Greater Mumbai' are likely to be taken up for consideration in next meeting of 'P.E.B.'.
	8. (ii) The establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' is very large at the level of 'Police Constables'. Although, there are unusually high number of vacancies at level of 'Police Constables' which are subsisting for quite some time, yet upon query during course of hearing today it transpired that from March 2022 to June 2022 more than 2000 'Police Constables' came to be relieved on 'Inter District Transfer' by then 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' to join on establishments of various (i) 'Commissioners of Police' and (ii) 'Superintendents of Police'. In the course of hearing it also became evident that many of these 2000 'Police Constables' were in fact junior in terms of both (i) 'Years of Service' & (ii) 'Dates of Application' to Applicants yet they came to be relieved from establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'. Therefore in all fairness and with view to
	[ <i>P.T.O.</i>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

obliterate arbitrariness in decision making which resulted in 2000 'Police Constables' getting relieved on 'Inter District Transfers' during March 2022 to June 2022, the 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' is informed to consider in the next meeting of P.E.B.' in what way remediation can be done in respect of these 'Police Constable' who were senior and deserved to have been included amongst the 2000 'Police Constables' relieved on 'Inter District Transfer' from March 2022 to June 2022.

8. (iii) The 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' therefore informed to convene next meeting of 'P.E.B.' within 'Two Weeks' to consider as per directions above all the cases of 'Inter District Transfers' of 'Police Constables' seeking to join on establishments of (i) Commissioner of Police, Nashik (ii) Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad (iii) Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar (iv) Superintendent of Police, Satara and Superintendent of Police, Parbhani.

9. S.O. to 13.02.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

NMN

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 09.01.2024	
	O.A. No.604 of 2023	
	R.M. PardeshiApplicant Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant had requested for transfer as per his options on the earlier occasion and it was considered and order was passed in favour of Applicant in O.A. No.637/2021 on 14.03.2022.	
	3. Learned P.O. states that office of 'District Collector Nashik' had informed Applicant on 13.06.2022 that the order in O.A. No.637/2021 dated 14.03.2022 could not be implemented because he had subsequently submitted representations on 16.03.2022, 24.04.2022, 23.05.2022 for posting to other posts in various locations which were not included in the options when Order dated 14.03.2023 came to passed in O.A. No. 637/2021.	
	4. Learned P.O. states that as per instructions received from office of 'District Collector Nashik' few posts of 'Circle Officer' are likely to fall vacant due to retirements in next few months and probable transfers during 'General Transfer: 2024'.	
	5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant thereupon states that Applicant has now again submitted fresh representation on 05.01.2024 to 'District Collector,	
	(PTO)	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Nashik' to consider his request for transfer to any pos which may become available due to retirements or 30.04.2024 and 31.05.2024 or during 'General Transfe 2024'.
	6. The 'District Collector, Nashik' in the above mentioned context is therefore is directed to conside the 'Fresh Representation' submitted by the Applican on 05.01.2024 at an appropriate and issue 'Transfe Order' of Applicant to any post of 'Circle Officer' where vacancy may arise on account of retirements of 30.04.2024 or 31.05.2024 or during 'General Transfers 2024'.
	7. The O.A. No.604 of 2023 is accordingly dispose off with 'No Order as to Costs'.
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)
	ΝΜΝ

ł

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 09.01.2024	
	O.A. No.717 of 2023 with O.A. No.718 of 2023	
	U.L. Gadage & Ors.	
	B.A. Pawar & OrsApplicant	
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondent	
	1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for t	
	Applicant, and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad along with Shri A	
	Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for t	
	Respondents.	
	2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' of	
	03.01.2024 in O.A. No.718/2023 on behalf	
	Respondent No.1 & 2. It is taken on record.	
	3. Learned P.O. further submits that 'Affidavit-i	
	Reply' in O.A. No.717/2023 will be filed during t	
	course of the day. Statement is accepted.	
	4. The 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed on behalf	
	'Superintendent of Police, Palghar' in O.A. No. 718/20	
	repeatedly mentions that there are vacancies of 'Poli	
	Constable', and thus it will not possible to relieve the	
	Applicant on 'Inter District Transfer to join in t	
	establishment of 'Superintendent of Police, Than Rural'. However, the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' o	
	Rural'. However, the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' ( 'Superintendent of Police, Palghar' dated 03.01.2024	
	absolutely silent about specific information sought	
	per order dated 21.11.2023 with respect to tho	
	'Police Constable' who have been relieved earlier of	
	'Inter District Transfer' from the establishment	
	'Superintendent of Police, Thane Rural' and who	
	names were disclosed in 'Exhibit H'. Therefor 'Superintendent of Police, Palghar' while fili	
	'Affidavit-in-Reply' in O.A. No.717/2023 to specifical	
	include information about the criteria adopted	
	respect of these 'Police Constables' who were relieve	
	earlier on 'Inter District Transfers' from th	
	establishment of 'Superintendent of Police, Thar	
	Rural' and whose names are in 'Exhibit H'	
	5. S.O. to 17.01.2024.	

Sd/-(Debashish ChakNabarty) Member (A) ŧ

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.17 of 20</u>	24
	N.I. Momin	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	<ul> <li>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable or 13.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve or Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing dulauthenticated by Registry, along with complete paper boo of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put the notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> </ul>	
	5. This intimation/notice is ord the Maharashtra Administrative Trib 1988, and the questions such as remedy are kept open.	ounal (Procedure) Rules,
	6. By Hand delivery, speed po served and acknowledgement be along with affidavit of compliance in week. Applicant is directed to file and notice.	obtained and produced the Registry within one
	7. In case notice is not collect service report on affidavit is not returnable date, the OA shall be pla concerned Bench under the captio thereafter on the subsequent dat dismissed.	filed three days before aced on board before the on "For Dismissal" and
		, Sd/-
		(Medha <sup>'</sup> Gadgil) Member (A) 9.1.2024
	(sgj)	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's order	S
	<u>O.A. No.1615 of</u>	2023
	S.M. Babar Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar holding for Shri N.W Sawant, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Sm Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for th Respondents.	
	2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed ar court fees to be paid, if not already paid.	
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable of 12.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.	
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing du authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper bo of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at a stage of admission hearing.	
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rul 1988, and the questions such as limitation and altern remedy are kept open.	
	6. By Hand delivery, speed p served and acknowledgement be along with affidavit of compliance week. Applicant is directed to file and notice.	in the Registry within o
	7. In case notice is not collect service report on affidavit is not returnable date, the OA shall be p concerned Bench under the capti thereafter on the subsequent dat dismissed.	laced on board before on "For Dismissal" a
		<b>Sd/-</b> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.1.2024
	(sgj)	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orde	rs
	<u>O.A. No.1606 of 2023</u>	
	A.N. Bhojkar & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri A.S. Gaikwad, Applicant and Shri A.J. Choug Officer for the Respondents.	learned Advocate for the gule, learned Presenting
	2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.	
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable of 12.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.	
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve of Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing du authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper boo of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at t stage of admission hearing.	
	5. This intimation/notice is the Maharashtra Administrative T 1988, and the questions such a remedy are kept open.	ribunal (Procedure) Rule
	6. By Hand delivery, speed served and acknowledgement be along with affidavit of compliance week. Applicant is directed to f and notice.	e obtained and produce e in the Registry within o
	7. In case notice is not colle service report on affidavit is no returnable date, the OA shall be concerned Bench under the cap thereafter on the subsequent dismissed.	placed on board before " placed on board before " ption "For Dismissal" a
		Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.1.2024
	(sgj)	

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

#### I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.1 of 2024</u>	
	Prasad V. Giri Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	<ul> <li>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presentine Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. By way of interim relief the Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for staying the implementation of the order dated 17.11.2023 passed by the respondent and the respondent be restrained from proceeding ahead with the selection process of the post of Kotwal of village Shittur Tarfe Malkapur, Tal. Shahudwadi, District Kolhapur.</li> </ul>	
	3. Ld. Advocate for the appl applicant appeared in the written exa- for the post of Kotwal and secured other candidates secured 44 marks. The NT-B category and the said post category. The Tahsildar published 13.9.2023 in which name of the applic on the basis of certain complaints the on 17.11.2023 stating that the applic said village Shittur and he is not eligi Kotwal. He also states that the doc contention of being resident of t afterthought. SDO is the Chairman o Committee. Ld. Advocate for the appli of Rules for the Recruitment and Em- which reads as under:	mination on 10.9.202 66 marks whereas th he applicant belongs to is reserved for NT-H final select list of cant figured. However SDO passed the orde cant is not resident of ble to be appointed a uments to support hi hat village were an f the Kotwal Selection licant refers to Rule 14
	''10. राहण्याचे ठिकाण - एखाद्या गाव झालेल्या इसमास, गावांच्या स्थानिक परिरि त्याच्या नेमणूकीच्या गार्वी राहण्यास तयार अ	थतीचें ज्ञान असलें पाहिजे व त
	4. Ld. PO opposes the prayer for and states that SDO passed the orde	grant of interim relie r after examining the

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	documents in detail. He states that although the applicat was born in Village Shittur the applicant has migrated t Shirala, District Sangli. Ld. PO submits that the advertisement also mentions that candidate should be resident of village.		
	5. I have considered the submissions of both the side In view of the above facts and circumstances of the cas interim relief is granted to the applicant till filing of the reply.		
	6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed ar court fees to be paid, if not already paid.		
	7. Issue notice before admission returnable of 23.1.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.		
	8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve of Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing du authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper boo of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.		
	9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rule 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alterna remedy are kept open.		
	10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to served and acknowledgement be obtained and product along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within o week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of complian and notice.		
	11. In case notice is not collected within seven days service report on affidavit is not filed three days befor returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before t concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" at thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stat dismissed.		
	Sd/- (Medlfa Gælgil) Member (A) 9.1.2024		
	(sgj)		

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

#### $(G.C.P.) = J_{-} 2260(B) \ (50.000 - -2.2015)$ IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

M.A./R.A./C.A. Ne.

of 20

**MUMBAI** 

JN

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date : 09.01.2024		
	O.A.No.23 of 2024 (DB)		
	S. B. Deore & OrsApplicants		
	Versus		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.		
	1. Heard Shri A. S. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to Smt. Savita M. Yadah, learned Counsel for Respondent No.5, Shri A.V. Sakolkar along with Shri S. Shubham holding, for Shri Anoop Patil, learned Counsel for Respondent No.6 and Smt. Payal Vardhan along with Shri A. Karnik, learned Counsels for Respondent No.8. None for Respondent No.7.		

Learned Counsel for the Applicants presses for 2. equivalence of degree of B.Tech. (Agricultural, Engineering & Technology) with B.E. Civil Engineering/B.Tech. Civil Engineering which is the 'Education Qualification' for appointment to post of (i) Water Conservation Officer (Non-Gazetted Class-II) by State Selection Board -Commissioner for Soil and Water Conservation and (ii) Sub-Divisional Water Conservation Officer (Class I) and Water Conservation Officer (Class II) by MPSC.

3. Learned Counsel for the Applicants points out that  $si_x$ subjects which are related to 'Soil and Wate Conservation' are taught in B.Tech (Agricultura! Engineering and Technology).

4. Learned CPO submits that (i) Advertisement was published on 19.12.2023 by State Selection Board -Commissioner for Soil & Water Conservation for recruitment to the posts of Water Conservation Officer (Non-Gazetted Class II) and (ii) Advertisement No.414/2023 published by MPSC on 29.12.2023 for recruitment to posts of Sub-Divisional Water Conservation Officer (Class I) and Water Conservation Officer (Class II).

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### bal's orders

She submits that last date for submission of Application Forms to MPSC is 25.01.2024 while it is 10.01.2024 for State Selection Board - Commissioner of Soil and Water Conservation.

5. Learned Counsel for Applicants submits that he wants to implead Agricultural Department and Higher & Technical Education Department. Learned Counsel for Applicants accordingly allowed to add Agricultural Department and Higher & Technical Education Department as Respondent No.9 and Respondent No.10.

6. The issue of equivalence of B.E. Civil Engineering/B.Tech. Civil Engineering and B. Tech. (Agricultural Engineering and Technology) can be heard for 'Interim Relief' only after requisite information is received from (i) Soil & Water Conservation Department (ii) Higher and Technical Education Department and (iii) Agriculture Department.

7. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.01.2024.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duiy authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

13. S.O. to 12.01.2024.

vsm

#### Sd/-

#### (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

4

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 09.01.2024 O.A. No.877 of 2023 with O.A. No.1617 of 2023		
	P.S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.		
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>'Interim Relief' to continue in O.A. No.877 of 2023 which is now tagged with O.A. No.1617 of 2023.</li> <li>S.O. to 23.01.2024.</li> <li>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty)</li> </ol>		
	NMN		

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	D	ustrict Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		
	versus	3	
The S	state of Mahara	shtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cor Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal' s	orders
	<u>09.01</u>	.2024	
		<u>0.A 10'</u>	71/2023
	S.H B	endkule & Ors	Applicants
	The S	Vs. tate of Maharashtra &	ors Respondents
	1. Manc 2. No	None present for the hekar, learned C.P.O one present for Respon	e applicants. Heard Ms Swati for the Respondents No 1 & ident No. 3.
	2. is dire	Learned counsel Mr ected to file affidavit in	Bhosle for Respondent No. 3 a reply.
	• 3.	S.O to 23.1.2024.	
		Sd/- <b>ha Gadgil)</b> i <b>ber (A</b> )	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	-		

.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 09.01.2024	
	O.A.No.12 of 2024	
	Shri N. P. Patil & OrsApplicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Shri A. A. Gharte, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting	
	Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. The matter is adjourned to 11.01.2024 as it is necessary to look into the Judgments and Rules and to hear learne it can be	
	C.P.O.	
	3. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that today is the last date for filing online Application Form.	
	4. We observe that the Applicant are challenging Advertisement No.396/2023 issued by MPSC on 15.12.202	
	The Original Application is filed on 03.01.2024. The Applicants have approached the Tribunal though within time but rath	
	late and time would be required to decide the matter aft considering the submissions of the Respondents. Also, if at	
	all, we arrive at 'prima-facie' conclusion that Applicants are entitled to get 'Interim Relief'; we may then allow Applicarits	
	to fill up online 'Application Form' by extending last date of acceptance by few days beyond 09.01.2024 fixed by MPSC.	
	5. S.O. to 11.01.2024. Sd/-	

(Debashish Chakkabarty) Member (A)

vsm

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

#### M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

J N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 09.01.2024
	O.A.No.29 of 2024
	Shri Manoj M. Bidkar & OrsApplicants
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri A. A. Gharate, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chie <sup>4</sup> Presenting Officer for the Respondents
	2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed as court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable cr 11.01.2024.
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondent are put to notice that the case may be taken up for fina disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rull of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procease) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation ar a alternate remedy are kept open.
	6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to fil Affidavit of compliance and notice.
	7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days b returnable date, the <u>Original</u> / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bericht's under the caption " <u>for Dismissal</u> " and thereafter on the subsequent date the <u>Original</u> / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### anal's orders

8. S.O. to 11.01.2024.

9. The matter is adjourned to 11.01.2024 as it is necessary to look into the Judgments and Rules and to hear learned C.P.O.

10. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that today is the last date for filing online 'Application Form'.

11. We observe that the Applicant are challenging Advertisement No.396/2023 issued by MPSC on 15.12.2023. The Original Application is filed on 03.01.2024. The Applicants have approached the Tribunal though within time but rather late and time would be required to decide the matter after considering the submissions of the Respondents. Also, if at all, we arrive at 'prima-facie' conclusion that Applicants are entitled to get 'Interim Relief', we may then allow Applicants to fill ur online 'Application Form' by extending last date of acceptance by few days beyond 09.01.2024 fixed, k MPSC.

12. S.O. to 11.01.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Sd/-

vsm

.

ė.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΗN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date : 09.01.2024		
	M. A. No.23 of 2024 In		
	0.A.No.29 of 2024		
	Shri Manoj M. Bidkar & OrsApplicants		
	Versus		
• · · · ·	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.		
	1. Heard Shri A. A. Gharate, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents		
•			
	2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.		
· · · · ·	3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the		
	Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is		
	not required to be decided separately.		
	4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc.		
	Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.		
	5. M. A. No.23/2024 is allowed. No order as to costs		
	in the resized as to costs		
	Sd/- Sd/-		
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson		

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

unal's orders

Date : 09.01.2024

#### O.A.No.15 of 2024

Shri N. P. Patil & Ors. ....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. A. Gharte, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The matter is adjourned to 11.01.2024 as it is necessary to look into the Judgments and Rules and to hear learned C.P.O.

3. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that today is the last date for filing online Application Form.

4. We observe that the Applicant are challenging, Advertisement No.396/2023 issued by MPSC on 15.12.2023 The Original Application is filed on 03.01.2024. The Applicants have approached the Tribunal though within time but rather late and time would be required to decide the matter after considering the submissions of the Respondents. Also, if at all, we arrive at 'prima-facie' conclusion that Applicants are entitled to get 'Interim Relief', we may then allow Applicants to fill up online 'Application Form' by extending last date of acceptance by few days beyond 09.01.2024 fixed by MPSC

5. S.O. to 11.01.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Sd/-

vsm

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal	s orders	
		<u>O.A. No</u>	0.1443 of 2023	
· · ·	V.K. A	Vs.		Applicant
	The St	ate of Maharashtra &	z Ors.	Respondents
	Mancl	Heard Smt. Punam pplicant through V nekar, learned Chi- ndents.	ideoconferencir	ng and Ms. S.P.
	2.	Ld. CPO files reply	and the same is	s taken on record.
	3.	S.O. to 19.1.2024.		
	(sgj)	Sd  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 9.1.2024	<b>ミウレ</b> (Mridula Bha Chairpe 9.1.20	atkar, J.) rson
				· .
			. <u>.</u>	

#### (G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	D	DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		
	ve	rsus	
The Sta	ate of Mah	arashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coran Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	n,	Tribunal's	orders
		Date : 09.01.2024	
		O.A.Ne	$5.10/2016^{202}$
		N.N. Ghode Vs. The State of Maharashtr	Applicant ra & OrsRespondents.
		learned Counsel for th Manchekar, learned Ch	nushan A. Bandiwadekar, ne Applicant and Ms. S.P. ief Presenting Officer for the Private Respondent No.2.
		2. Adjourned to 11.	01.2024. P.H.
		SD /	SD/
		(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) prk	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

#### (G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

DISTRICT
Applicant/s

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

	MUMBA	I	
Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	r Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		
	versus		
The	e State of Maharash	tra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		)	•
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of O Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orde	or	Tribunal's orders	;
	09.01.2	024	
		<b>O.A</b> 1317/202	23
	R.R Kar	Vs.	Applicant
		te of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents
	1. Archana	None present for the a a B.K, learned P.O for the I	applicant. Heard Ms Respondents.
	2.	Learned P.O request for tim	ne.
	3.	S.O to 6.2.2024.	
	Sd/ (Medha Membo <sub>Akn</sub>	Gadgil) (M	Sd/- Aridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

.

#### (G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000---3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRIC	Г
			Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		
	ver	\$1/\$	
The other		rashtra and others	
Ine	State of Maile		Respondent/s
		Ň	Respondentes
(Presenting Officer			
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of C Appearance, Tribunal's orders o directions and Registrar's orde	or	Tribunal's orders	5
	09.	.01.2024	
		<u>O.A 1453/20</u>	23
	A.C	C Avhad & Ors Vs.	Applicants
	Th	e State of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents
	1. Ma	None present for the appli nchekar, learned C.P.O for the	cant. Heard Ms Swati Respondents.
	2.	Admit with liberty to file re	joinder if any.
	3.	Place for final hearing on 6	5.2.2024.
	(M M Akn	ember (A)	Sd/- Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate	)			
	versu			
The St	ate of Mahara	shtra and others		
				Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	••••••	)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cora Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribuna .2024	l's orders	
		<b>0.A</b> 1	1530/2023	
	V.B K	endre		. Applicant
	The S	Vs. tate of Maharashtra	1 & Ors	Respondents
		Heard Shri B.A B e applicant and Ms for the Respondent	Swati Ma	r, learned advocate Inchekar, learned
	2.	Learned C.P.O see	eks time to fi	le reply.
	3.	S.O to 23.1.2024.		
	(Med	d/- ha Gadgil) iber (A)		Sd/- <b>ula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson

.

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTR	RICT
			Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		
	ver	sus	
The Sta	te of Maha	rashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer			•
	<u> </u>	······································	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orde	ers
	<u>09</u> .	01.2024	
		O.A 907/2	023
	KN	I Sukalwad	Applicant
		Vs. e State of Maharashtra & Ors	
	1.		pplicant. Heard Smt K.S
	2.	Learned P.O request for	time to file reply.
	3.	S.O to 6.2.2024.	
		Sd/- e <b>dha Gadgil)</b> ember (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 09.01.2024		
	O.A.No.592/2015		
	J.S. Shinde	Applicant	
	Vs. The State of Maharasht	ra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.		
	2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the present O.A. is based on the order dated 04.07.2014 passed by this Tribunal O.A.Nos.1215, 1128, 1227 of 2013. However, the said order was challenged before the Hon'ble High Court vide Writ Petition No.8926/2015, wherein the Hon'ble High Court by order dated 24.04.2018 stayed the order of this Tribunal. The said W.P. is still pending.		
	3. In view of these submissions the judicial propriety demands that we should not proceed wit this matter unless the said W.P. is decided by the Hon'ble High Court.		
	4. Adjourned to 22	2.04.2024.	
		SD/-	
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson	

#### (G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate		)	
The S		ersus harashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cor Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribuna	l's orders
		Date : 09.01.2024	
			.No.10/2016
		N.N. Ghode Vs. The State of Maharas	Applicant htra & OrsRespondents.
		learned Counsel for Manchekar, learned Respondents. None f	Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Chief Presenting Officer for the or Private Respondent No.2. 11.01.2024. P.H.
		SD/-	SD/
		(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) <sup>prk</sup>	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
,			

#### (G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 09.01.2024	
		.1078/2016 3/2014 Nagpur)
	R.D. Wardhane & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtr	a & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for	
	the Applicant, Ms. S.P	. Manchekar, learned Chief
		the Respondent and Mr.
		kar, learned Counsel for the
		3, and Mr. Anshuman
		r Mr. Mahesh Deshmukh
	learned Counsel for the	Respondents No.5 and 16.
	2. Learned Counsel	Mr. Jagdale has submitted
	that the allied matter is	pending before the Hon'ble
	High Court and the	e same is adjourned to
	15.01.2024.	
	3. In view of above	e, adjourned to 22.04.2024.
		decided by the Hon'ble High
	Court, learned Counsel	
	SD/-	SD/-
	(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
	Member (A)	Chairperson
	·	[ <i>P.T.O.</i>

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date: 09.01.2024		
	O.A. No.1096 of 2023 with O.A. No.1097 of 2023		
	S.S. KhotApplicant Versus		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.		
	1. Heard Shri A.S. Raktade, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri R. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.		
	2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date' in O.A. No.1097/2023.		
	3. S.O. to 19.01.2024.		
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)		
	ΝΜΝ		

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

 $\frac{1}{1}$ 

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's ord	ers	
	Date: 09.01.2024 O.A. No.1550 of 2023		
	U.B. Kumbhar Versus	Applicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & C	DrsRespondents.	
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri Siddhesh holding for Shri H.A. Sat Advocate for the Applicant, learned Presenting Officer for</li> </ol>	and Shri A.J. Chougule,	
	2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Th 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf as prayed for is granted to lear	•	
	3. 'Interim Relief' to conti	inue till 'Next Date'.	
	4. S.O. to 29.01.2024.		
	(	Sd/- Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)	
	NMN		

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

+

of 20

of 20

#### ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	ers or Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 09.01.2024	
	O.A. No.1256 of 2023	
	R.M. Mujawar Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant Respondents.
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwad for the Applicant, and Smt. P Presenting Officer for the Respon	ekar, learned Advocate K.S. Gaikwad, learned
	2. Learned P.O. states that s Reply' on behalf of the 'Superinte during the course of the day. Sta	ndent of Police, Sangli
	2. 'Interim Relief' to continue	e till 'Next Date'.
	3. S.O. to 24.01.2024.	
	(De	Sd/- bashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)
	NMN	
		IPTO

### (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000–2-2015) [Spl. MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunai's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunai's orders
	Date : 09.01.2024
	M. A.No.644 of 2023 In O.A.No.460 of 2023
	(DB)
	K. B. Ghodke & OrsApplicants
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri Dipak T. Shigam holding for Shri A. V. Gaikar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicants,
	the matter is adjourned to 16.01.2024.
	3. S.O. to 16.01.2024.
	Sd/-
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)
	vsm

#### (C.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 09.01.2024
	O.A.No.395 of 2023 With
	O.A.No.1369 of 2023 (DB)
	Dr. Y. Shah & Ors. Dr. A. M. Naik & OrsApplicants
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri K. S. Gidh, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.395/2023, Shri S. Chinnappa, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.1369/2023 and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned C.P.O. states that Affidavit in Reply in
	O.A.No.395/2023 is already filed. She seeks short time to
	<ul><li>file Affidavit in Reply in O.A.No.1369/2023.</li><li>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.</li></ul>
	4. S.O. to 12.01.2024.
	Sd/-
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)
	vsm

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

 $1 \mathrm{N}$ 

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunai's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 09.01.2024
	O.A.No.09 of 2024 (DB)
	L. M. KhalaneApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. On request of learned C.P.O., two weeks' time is
	granted to file Affidavit in Reply.
	3. S.O. to 23.01.2024.
	Sd/-
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)
	vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

anal's orders

Date: 09.01.2024

### O.A.No.1602 of 2023 (DB)

....Applicant

A. R. Lohar

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.01.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

ां २ २६

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the <u>Original</u> / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the <u>Original</u> / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 30.01.2024.

#### Sd/-

### (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 09.01.2024

O.A.No.14 of 2024 With O.A. No.15 of 2024 (DB)

S. P. Ghotekar M. S. Napte

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. V. Shinde, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable n. 16.01.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for finadisposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the <del>Original</del> / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the <del>Original</del> / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

S.O. to 16.01.2024.

#### Sd/-

د

#### (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

vsm

8.

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

DISTRICT of 20 Original Application No. ..... Applicant/s (Advocate .....) versus The State of Maharashtra and others ..... Respondent/s (Presenting Officer.....) Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders 09.01.2024 M.A 821/2023 in O.A 1622/2023 (O.A 356/2023 - Aurangabad) ... Applicant S.P Jare Vs ... Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors Heard Shri W.B Wagh, learned advocate for the 1. applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that 2. he is not pressing the Misc Application and accordingly Misc Application stands disposed of. O.A No 1622/2023 to be placed on Board on 3. 23.1.2024. Sd/-Sd/-(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) (Medha Gadgil) Chairperson Member (A) Akn

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

Original Application No.	of 2	20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate		)		
		versus		
	The State of	f Maharashtra and ot	hers	
				Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		)		
Office Notes, Office Memoran Appearance, Tribunal's o directions and Registrar	rders or	Т	ribunal's orders	
		09.01.2024		
		<u>M.A 643</u>	3/2023 in O.A 13	300/2023
		V.C Pasalkar		Applicant
		Vs. The State of Maha	rashtra & Ors	Respondents
		1. None prese Manchekar, learne	ent for the applicated C.P.O for the R	ant. Heard Ms Swati respondents.
		2. Matter adjo	ourned to 30.1.20	24.
		Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) <sup>Akn</sup>	( <b>M</b> 1	Sd/- ridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		
	versus e State of Maharashtr	a and others	
The	e State of Manarashtr	a and others	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of O Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orde	or	Tribunal's orders	
	09.01.202	24	
		<u>M.A 499/2022 in O.A</u>	31/2022
	Y.B Wagh		Applicant
	The State	Vs. of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents
	1. Ar Manchek	oplicant in person abso ar, learned C.P.O for the	ent. Heard Ms Swati Respondents.
	2. M	atter adjourned to 17.1.2	2024.
	Sd/- (Medha ( Member <sub>Akn</sub>		Sd/- Aridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT Applicant/s
			rippilound o
(Advocate		)	
		persus	
Th	e State of Ma	harashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	·····	)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's ord	or	Tribuna	l's orders
		09.01.2024	
		<u>0.a 1115, 111</u>	6, 1117 & 1118/2022
		applicants and Smt K. Respondents.	Patil, learned advocate for the S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the lirected to reconstruct the brief.

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

Original Application No. 0	f 20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		
	vers	eus	
The State	of Maha	rashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	5
	<u>09.</u>	01.2024	
		C.A 90/2023 in O.A	13/2017
	N.S	S Navale	Applicant
	The	Vs. e State of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents
	1. for C.I	Heard Ms Darshana Kar the applicant and Ms Swa P.O for the Respondents.	mbli, learned advocate ti Manchekar, learned
	2.	Learned C.P.O request for	time.
	3.	S.O to 30.1.2024.	
	(M M Akt	lember (A)	Sd/- Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

Original Application No.	of 20	Dist	rrict Applicant/s
(Advocate		)	
		versus	
	The State of M	aharashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		)	
Office Notes, Office Memorand Appearance, Tribunal's or directions and Registrar's	ders or	Tribunal's or	ders
		09.01.2024	
		C.A 84/2023 in	0.A 168/2018
		A.V Patil	Applicant
		Vs. The State of Maharashtra & (	)rs Respondents
		1. Heard Shri A.A Ghard applicant and Ms Swati Mar the Respondents.	e, learned advocate for the nchekar, learned C.P.O for
		2. Learned C.P.O reques	t for time.
		3. S.O to 19.1.2024.	
		Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) <sup>Akn</sup>	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

•

Original Application No.	of 20	Dı	ISTRICT Applicant/s	
(Advocate	)			
	ver	sus		
The St	tate of Maha	rashtra and others		
			Respondent/s	
(Presenting Officer	••••••	)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cora Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	am,	Tribunal's o	orders	
	09	.01.2024		
		C.A 41/2023 in	n O.A 297/2015	
	Th 1. for th 2. 3.	N Yadav Vs. e State of Maharashtra & Heard Shri B.A Ban the applicant and Smt k e Respondents. Learned P.O request S.O to 16.1.2024. Sd/- Iedha Gadgil) Iember (A)	ndiwadekar, learned advocat K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O fo	r
	Ak	n		

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

Original Application No.	of 20	Dı	strict Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		
	ve	ersus	
The St	tate of Mał	narashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cora Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	am,	Tribunal's o	orders
	<u>c</u>	9.01.2024	
		<u>C.A 89/2023 in</u>	n O.A 967/2022
	n	M.G Sathe	Applicant
	7	Vs. ۲he State of Maharashtra &	Ors Respondents
	f t	for the applicant and Mrs k the Respondents.	ndiwadekar, learned advocate K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for s that the applicant has been
		paid interest on delaye 29.12.2023 and the order h	ed payment of G.P.F on
		3. As the order has t remains in the Contempt stands disposed of.	been complied with, nothing Application and the same
		Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) <sub>Akn</sub>	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate		)		
		versus		
ŗ	The State of M	laharashtra and othe	ers	
				Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda Appearance, Tribunal's ord directions and Registrar's	ers or	Trik	ounal's orders	
		09.01.2024		
		<u>C.A 04/2</u>	2020 in O.A 1	119/2015
		Dr B.M Lad		Applicant
		Vs. The State of Mahara	shtra & Ors	Respondents
		1. Heard Ms S Associates, learned a K.S Gaikwad, learne	advocate for the	olding for Talekar & e applicant and Smt Respondents.
		2. Learned C.P.	O request for t	ime.
		3. S.O to 16.1.2	2024.	
		Sd/- ( <b>Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> Akn	(M	Sd/- Tridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
		· · · ·		
	-			