

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 52/2022 in O.A. St. No. 1561/2021**  
**(Syed Imran Ahmed S. Kalimullah V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri C.R. Thorat, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 08.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 620 OF 2022**  
**(Uddhav A. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Abhay R. Rathod, learned Counsel for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.D. Munde, learned counsel for respondent No. 3, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 20.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 609 OF 2022**  
**(Amol S. Kadam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 20.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 606 OF 2022**  
**(Rajesh S. Parhe & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Prateek Sable, learned Counsel for the applicants and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 05.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 543 OF 2022**  
**(Mohan N. Sawant V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Await service.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

4. S.O. to 19.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 303 OF 2022**  
**(Santosh G. Lungare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.U. Shelke, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 30.08.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 264 OF 2022**  
**(Ganesh P. Jagtap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shriniwas Wagh, learned counsel holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 16.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 104 OF 2022**  
**(Bhagwan N. Ugalmugale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 15.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 91 OF 2022**  
**(Narendrasingh I. Kachhwaha V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 15.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 84 OF 2022**  
**(Bapu V. Vetal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.P. Avhad, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 14.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 785 OF 2021**  
**(Ashok N. Godbole V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.K. Muneshwar, learned Counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. S.O. to 14.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 564 OF 2021**  
**(Suhas S. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 13.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 561 OF 2021**  
**(Toliram P. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 12.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 482 OF 2021**  
**(Kishor U. Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.P. Randhir, learned Counsel for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Smt. Sunita D. Shelke, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 4 & 5, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 424 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Vaibhav G. Wakade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri V.D. Patnoorkar, learned counsel for respondent No. 4, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 07.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 163 OF 2021**  
**(Nisar Kha Abdullatif Kha Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.N. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 07.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 156 OF 2021**  
**(Jayshree A. Sonwane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 06.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 102 OF 2021**  
**(Sangram U. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 06.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 430 OF 2020**  
**(Ashok D. Talde & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicants (**Leave Note**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri V.D. Pagare, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 13,14,19,21,24,28, 42 & 61 and Shri D.S. Pagare, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 26,30,32,37,56 and 59, are present.

2. S.O. to 30.08.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 370 OF 2020**  
**(Shrimant M. Ture V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 30.08.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1036 OF 2019**  
**(Dr. Naresh S. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Rakesh Jain, learned counsel for respondent No. 4, are present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 30.08.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 804 OF 2017**  
**(Prakash D. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that according to instructions earlier received to him the concerned authorities perhaps has taken some decision however the same is not yet communicated to the office of C.P.O. Learned P.O. has therefore sought time.

3. S.O. to 25.08.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A. No. 42/2019 with O.A. No. 43/2019**  
**(Ramraje G. Pawar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Counsel for the applicants in both the O.As. and S/shri B.S. Deokar & I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective O.As., are present.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants the present matters are adjourned to facilitate him to file some documents which are material for decision of the present O.As.

3. S.O. to 19.08.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 633 OF 2019**  
**(Meena C. Shivagunde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, is present. Shri K.U. More, learned counsel for respondent No. 4, is **absent**.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 19.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 681 OF 2019**  
**(Damodhar G. Thengde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 06.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 724 OF 2019**  
**(Kailas Irappa Khandagale & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that file of the present O.A. is missing and therefore, he seeks time.
3. In the interest of justice, S.O. to 06.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 950 OF 2019**  
**(Jayashri T. Thakur V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Arguments of both the sides are heard. The present matter is reserved for order.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 36 OF 2021**  
**(Rajendra A. Mali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.J. Kore, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 15.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 16 OF 2022**  
**(Vd. Piyush K. Gandhi & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 4 to 7, are present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 12.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 111/2021 in O.A. St. No. 439/2021**  
**(Shivaji T. Kote & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Counsel for the applicants, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri J.M. Murkute, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 35, 50, 58 & 59, are present.

2. S.O. to 14.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 398/2021 in O.A. St. No. 666/2021**  
**(Sadashiv S. Shingare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 14.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 88/2022 in O.A. No. 815/2021**  
**(Yashwant B. Birhade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.P. Randhir, learned counsel for the applicant / intervenor, Shri J.V. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant in O.A. and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 15.09.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 629 OF 2016**  
**(Shankar S. Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 16.09.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 810 OF 2017**  
**(Shaligram M. Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 20.09.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 08 OF 2018**  
**(Devendra S. Jade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Pratibha Bharad, learned Counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. S.O. to 20.09.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 423 OF 2018**  
**(Rameshwar S. Gopal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri N.L. Choudhari, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri N.R. Suryawanshi, learned counsel for respondent No. 4, are present.

2. S.O. to 30.08.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 478 OF 2019**  
**(Dr. Anand S. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent, is present.

2. S.O. to 22.09.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 647 OF 2019**  
**(Balasaheb T. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent, is present.

2. S.O. to 23.09.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 738 OF 2019**  
**(Vikas V. Salve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent, is present.

2. S.O. to 26.09.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 941 OF 2018**  
**(Dr. Neeta K. Hatkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shriniwas Wagh, learned Counsel holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 26.09.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 951 OF 2019**  
**(Shankar V. Bansode V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, is present. Shri B.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for respondent No. 4, **absent**.

2. S.O. to 27.09.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 320 OF 2020**  
**(Santosh N. Dhongade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shriniwas Wagh, learned Counsel holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 27.09.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 22 OF 2021**  
**(Muktyarsing R. Theng V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08.08.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Smt. Sanjivan K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent, is present.

2. S.O. to 28.09.2022 for final hearing. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 712 OF 2018  
(Sandipan A. Gavali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.K. Rajput, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 22.08.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 502 OF 2020  
(Shrikant B. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.09.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 30 OF 2021  
(Kedarnath R. Budhwant V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.09.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 539 OF 2022  
(Bhimraj S. Sonkamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.M. Parghane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 13.09.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 411 OF 2020  
(Ashfaq Shanoor Quraishi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4.

3. S.O. to 12.09.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 822 OF 2018  
(Virendra P. Dhivare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.09.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 414 OF 2021  
(Tukaram R. Bhojane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, the affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondents. Hence, the present matter will proceed further without affidavit in reply of the respondents in accordance with law.

3. It is burden upon the applicant to produce on record necessary documents, if required for deciding the O.A.

4. S.O. to 12.09.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 262 OF 2022  
(Sima P. Kangane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 12.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 82 OF 2021  
(Deepak S. Zinjurde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the course of the rejoinder affidavit would be filed.

3. S.O. to 12.09.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 524 OF 2021  
(Hanumant R. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted as one more last chance for filing rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 12.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 477 OF 2021  
(Tukaram R. Bhojane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.M. Maney, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant pointed out that the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 in the present O.A. is not para-wise reply to this O.A., but it is in reply to the previous O.A. No. 02/2019 (Annexure-A) filed by the applicant.

3. In view of above, the learned Presenting Officer to take note of this alleged discrepancy and to file the appropriate affidavit in reply in the present O.A.

4. S.O. to 05.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 624 OF 2022  
(Dhananjay T. Mahajan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 23.08.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 625 OF 2022  
(Anil N. Lokhande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 23.08.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 630 OF 2022  
(Shravankumar P. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Amol Kokad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that during pendency of the present Original Application, which is filed for seeking direction to the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to issue compassionate appointment for the post of Police Constable in favour of the applicant, the name of the applicant from the waiting list is removed by the order dated 25.07.2022 issued by the respondent No. 2. In view of the same, learned Advocate for the applicant made application annexing letter dated 25.07.2022 seeking withdrawal of the present O.A. with liberty to file O.A. on fresh cause of action. Copy of the said application & letter dated 25.07.2022 are taken on record and marked as document 'X' collectively for the purpose of identification.

3. In the facts as above, the applicant is allowed to withdraw the present Original Application. Hence, the O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the applicant to file O.A. on fresh cause of action. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1093 OF 2022  
(Shriram G. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.08.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 112 OF 2019  
(Sheela H. Mohite V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.P. Dhobale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to leave salary. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 14.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 470 OF 2021  
(Nanda K. Kshirsagar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to transfer. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be kept for hearing at the stage of admission on 26.08.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 116 OF 2018  
(Sanjay M. Deokate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.08.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 784 OF 2015  
(Malappa P. Shendule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Pranoti Karpe, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash Khedkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 29.08.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 347/2019 in O.A. St. No. 1444/2019  
(Vijaykumar M. Nawale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Heard Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 230/2019 in O.A. St. No. 903/2019  
(Sadashiv M. Sawai V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 373/2018 in O.A. St. No. 1652/2018  
(Keshav G. Lohar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 20 OF 2022  
(Dnyaneshwar B. Sanap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to seeking benefits of G.R. dated 02.09.2016 and absorbing the applicant in the Government service. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 15.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 832 OF 2021  
(Suraj B. Solunke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.P. Dhobale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to compassionate appointment. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 15.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 586 OF 2021  
(Prakash Ishwar Sarode V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to arrears of wages. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 14.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 370 OF 2019**  
**(Yasim Hashmi Vasim Hashmi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 & 6 and Shri M.S. Taur, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5.

2. Learned Presenting Officer today produced on record photocopies of the original documents, which are in the custody of the respondent No. 6. Same are taken on record.

3. During the course of arguments, learned Advocate for the applicant has referred to the letter dated 28.11.2003 (page No. 50 of the paper book) addressed by the respondent No. 6 to the respondent No. 4, letter dated 04.01.2005 (page No. 52 of the paper book) addressed by the respondent No. 4 to the respondent No. 3 and letter dated 21.05.2018 (page Nos. 92 & 93 of the paper book) addressed by the respondent No. 4 to the respondent No. 2. All these letters refer to the medical certificates relied upon by the applicant. However, in this litigation it is denied that the medical certificates were produced by the applicant.

4. In view of the same, the learned Presenting Officer is directed to verify from original record of the respondent No. 4 about above-said three documents referred to and to produce on record those documents or to submit the status report about the same on the next date of hearing.

5. S.O. to 22.08.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 20 OF 2020  
(Shankar N. Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 30.08.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 158 OF 2020  
(Jilani K. Mujavar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri B.R. Jaybhay, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 30.08.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 164 OF 2021  
(Balu A. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time granted for filing rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 30.08.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 406 OF 2021  
(Sajed Mubasshiruddin Siddiqui V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.09.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 548 OF 2021  
(Rajendra M. Gunjal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.T. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents to the amended O.A.

3. S.O. to 13.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 691 OF 2021  
(Amol V. Padale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, time granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 05.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 835 OF 2021  
(Vadilal P. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 5 and Shri M.C. Swami, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 & 4.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 5.

3. S.O. to 12.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 259 OF 2022  
(Rahul Kumar M. Wagh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 05.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 267 OF 2022  
(Subhash B. Selukar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 5. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

4. Notice of respondent No. 5 is not collected by the applicant.

5. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.09.2022 for taking necessary steps.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 308 OF 2022  
(Sham B. Gunjal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri N.B. Narwade, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 08.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 309 OF 2022  
(Rahul B. Yadav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri N.B. Narwade, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 08.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 328 OF 2022  
(Ashok B. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 05.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 333 OF 2022  
(Vitthal U. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri N.B. Narwade, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondent NO. 1.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

4. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 08.09.2022 for taking necessary steps.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 366 OF 2022  
(Rahul I. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 05.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 534 OF 2022  
(Sominath D. Dandge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 30.08.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 626 OF 2022  
(Darshan D. Kale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 07.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 71/2020 in O.A. St. No. 2465/2019  
(Sujata R. Puri V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.R. Jaybhar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, the affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondents in M.A. Hence, the present matter will proceed further without affidavit in reply of the respondents in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 05.09.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No. 209/2022 in O.A. St. No. 108/2021  
(Ashok R. Jujgar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.

3. S.O. to 07.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 260 OF 2022  
(Ravindra V. Tarkas V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 05.09.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 604 OF 2022  
(Rahul V. Talke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 30.08.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.420 OF 2021  
(Raosaheb B. Jangle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 30.08.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.451 OF 2019  
(Suryakant R. Biradar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 05.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.406 OF 2019  
(Dayanand V. Kadam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Girish Awale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 29.08.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.752 OF 2021  
(Ramesh N. Swami V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is closed for order.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.475 OF 2018  
(Shivkanya S. Bharti V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 18.08.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.29 OF 2020  
(Shaikh Akhtar Hussain Mohd Hanif V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.09.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.407 OF 2020  
(Dr. Yashwant S. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.08.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.577 OF 2020  
(Kalidas B. Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 05.09.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.62 OF 2021  
(Bhaskar N. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.H. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 24.08.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.63 OF 2021  
(Gulabrao S. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.H. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 24.08.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.64 OF 2021  
(Vikas J. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.H. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 24.08.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.65 OF 2021  
(Dharmraj J. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.H. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 24.08.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.66 OF 2021  
(Gajendra T. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.R. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 05.09.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.120 OF 2021  
(Pandurang N. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.H. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 24.08.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.313 OF 2021  
(Pandurang M. Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.08.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.37 OF 2022  
(Vasundhara V. Borgaonkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.08.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.448 OF 2022  
(Laxmikant S. Gojre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 05.09.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.714 OF 2022**

**WITH**

**Caveat No.28 OF 2022**

**(Vishwanath B. Nath V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.08.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. More, learned Advocate for the respondent No.2.

2. This Original Application is filed challenging the impugned order dated 05.08.2022 (Annex. 'A-4') issued by the respondent No.1, thereby cancelling the order dated 29.07.2022 (part of Annex. 'A-2' at page No. 26 of P.B.) of additional charge of the post of Managing Director, Soil & Water Conservation Corporation, Aurangabad of the applicant and restoring the said additional charge with the respondent No.2. The applicant is also seeking interim relief of stay to the execution and implementation of the said impugned order dated 05.08.2022 (Annex. 'A-4') or ultimately in case the applicant is relieved for issuances of status quo ante as of 05.08.2022.

3. The applicant is working on the post of Additional Commissioner and Chief Engineer, Soil and Water Conservation Department, Regional zone,

Aurangabad as per his transfer order dated 07.09.2021 (Annex. 'A-1') from Nagpur to Aurangabad on equivalent post issued by the respondent No.1. The applicant has been promoted to the said post of Additional Commissioner and Chief Engineer in or about June, 2020. At that time he was given the promotional posting at Nagpur. Thereafter, he has been transferred from Nagpur to Aurangabad on equivalent post. The applicant was given additional charge of the post of Managing Director, Soil & Water Conservation Corporation, Aurangabad as per order dated 29.07.2021 (Annex. 'A-2' page No.26 of P.B.). The applicant took charge of the said post on 29.07.2021 as per joining report dated 29.07.2021 (page Nos.27 and 28 of P.B.). However, the said order of giving additional charge to the applicant vide order dated 29.07.2021 is being cancelled by impugned order dated 05.08.2022 (Annex. 'A-4') and thereby the additional charge was restored to the respondent No.2 from him. The applicant said to have earlier taken additional charge of the post.

4. It is further contention of the applicant that the applicant is senior to the respondent No.2 since their respective entries in the cadre of Executive Engineer. The applicant has produced on record the copies of seniority list of the cadre of the Executive Engineer, Superintending Engineer and Regional Soil and Water Conservation Office and also provisional seniority list dated 24.11.2021 of the post of Additional Commissioner and Chief Engineer (Annex.

'A-9'). It is subsequently cancelled as per order dated 25.11.2021 (page No.125 of P.B.).

5. In view of above stated pleadings, it is the contention of the applicant that the impugned order dated 05.08.2022 (Annex. 'A-4') cancelling the earlier order dated 29.07.2022 (Annex. 'A-2 comes into teeth of Government Circular dated 05.09.2018 (Annex. 'A-5') more particularly in terms of clause No.2 (1) thereof. The said Government Circular is as follows:-

**“शासन परिपत्रक :-**

महाराष्ट्र नागरी सेवा (वेतन) नियम, १९८१ मधील नियम ५६ नुसार जेव्हा एखाद्या शासकीय कर्मचा-यांकडे त्याच्या पदाव्यतिरिक्त दुस-या पदाचा अतिरिक्त कार्यभार सोपविण्यात येतो, तेव्हा अशा दुस-या पदाकरिता त्यास अतिरिक्त वेतन / विशेष वेतन मंजूर करण्यात येते. महाराष्ट्र नागरी सेवा (वेतन) नियम, १९८१ मधील परिशिष्ट १ मधील अनुक्रमांक १४ अन्वये अतिरिक्त वेतन / विशेष वेतन मंजूर करण्याचे अधिकार प्रत्यायोजित (Delegate) करण्यात आले आहेत. त्याअनुषंगाने वित्त विभागाने, विविध शासन निर्णयान्वये अतिरिक्त कार्यभार मंजूर करण्याच्या अधिकारितेसंदर्भात, तसेच, अतिरिक्त वेतन / विशेष वेतनाच्या दरासंदर्भात वेळोवेळी सूचना दिलेल्या आहेत. वित्त विभागाच्या सूचनांनुसार दोन वर्षांपुढील अतिरिक्त कार्यभाराचे प्रस्ताव सामान्य प्रशासन विभागाच्या मंजूरीस्तव सादर करण्यात येतात. तथापि, अतिरिक्त कार्यभार कोणास देण्यात यावा याबाबत सामान्य प्रशासन विभागाने कोणतेही धोरण / शासन आदेश नाहीत. त्यामुळे अनेक वेळेस योग्य सेवाजेष्ठ व अनुभवी व्यक्तींना अतिरिक्त कार्यभार देतातना डावलले जाते व त्यामुळे प्रशासनास अपेक्षित लाभ मिळत नाही.

२. उपरोक्त परिस्थिती विचारात घेउन, अतिरिक्त कार्यभार कोणास देण्यात यावा यासंदर्भात खालीलप्रमाणे मार्गदर्शक सूचना देण्यात येत आहेत :-

१) महाराष्ट्र नागरी सेवा (वेतन) नियम, १९८१ मधील नियम ५६ नुसार शासकीय कर्मचा-यांकडे त्याच्या स्वतःच्या पदाव्यतिरिक्त दुस-या पदाचा अतिरिक्त कार्यभार सोपविण्यात येतो. असा हा दुस-या रिक्त असलेल्या पदाचा अतिरिक्त कार्यभार, एकाच प्रशासकीय विभागांतर्गत, प्रशासकीय सोय व निकड लक्षात घेवून शक्यतो त्याच कार्यालयातील, त्याच संवर्गातील सर्वात सेवाजेष्ठ, अनुभवी व कार्यक्षम अधिकारी / कर्मचा-यांकडे सोपविण्यात यावा. जेथे असे अधिकारी / कर्मचारी उपलब्ध नसतील तेथे संबंधित पदाला लागत असलेल्या निम्न संवर्गातील सर्वात जेष्ठ अधिकारी / कर्मचा-यांना अतिरिक्त कार्यभार देण्यात यावा. कधी बाबींमुळे सर्वात ज्येष्ठ अधिकारी / कर्मचारी यांना डावलून नंतरच्या व्यक्तीला अतिरिक्त कार्यभार द्यावयाचा असेल तर सर्वात ज्येष्ठ व्यक्ति अतिरिक्त कार्यभारासाठी का अपात्र आहे त्याची लेखी कारणे अभिटिप्पणीत नमूद करावीत.

- २) अतिरिक्त कार्यभार दिलेला अधिकारी / कर्मचारी, त्याच्या मूळ पदाच्या कर्तव्य व जबाबदारीसह, त्याच्यावर अतिरिक्त कार्यभार सोपवलेल्या पदाची कर्तव्ये व जबाबदा-या पार पाडू शकेल याची संबंधितांनी खातरजमा करावी.
- ३) प्रशासकीय सोय व निकड लक्षात घेउन, प्रशासकीय विभागाच्या अधिपत्याखालील कार्यालयातील रिक्त पदाचा अतिरिक्त कार्यभार देण्याकरिता, वरील (१) नुसार, त्याच कार्यालयात अधिकारी / कर्मचारी उपलब्ध नसतील अशा वेळी, प्रशासकीय विभागास त्याच्या अधिपत्याखालील अन्य कार्यालयातील त्याच संवर्गातील सेवाजेष्ठ व अनुभवी अधिकारी / कर्मचा-यांचा विचार करता येईल. तसेच, जेथे एका जिल्हात एकच कार्यालय असेल अशावेळी लागून असलेल्या जिल्हयाच्या कार्यालयातील अधिकारी / कर्मचा-यांना अतिरिक्त कार्याभार देण्याबाबत विचार करता येईल. तथापि, असे करताना, अतिरिक्त कार्यभार दिलेला अधिकारी / कर्मचारी, त्याच्या मूळ पदाच्या कर्तव्य व जबाबदारीसह त्या पदाची कर्तव्ये व जबाबदा-या पार पाडू शकेल याची संबंधितांनी खातरजमा करावी.
- ४) विभागीय चौकशी सुरु असलेल्या अधिकारी / कर्मचा-यांस अतिरिक्त कार्यभार दिल्यामुळे त्याच्या स्वतःच्या विभागीय चौकशीवर प्रभाव पडण्याची वा विभागीय चौकशीमध्ये अडथळा आणण्याची शक्यता असल्यास, अशा अधिकारी / कर्मचा-यांना अतिरिक्त कार्यभार देण्यात येड नये.
- ५) अतिरिक्त कार्यभार दिलेले रिक्त पद तातडीने भरण्याची कार्यवाही संबंधित प्रशासकीय विभागाने करावी.
- ६) महाराष्ट्र नागरी सेवा (वेतन) नियम, १९८१ मधील नियम ५६ नुसार अतिरिक्त वेतन / विशेष वेतन देण्यासंदर्भात वित्त विभागाने वेळोवेळी दिलेल्या शासन आदेशानुसार प्रशासकीय विभागांनी कार्यवाही करावी.

३. सदर शासन परिपत्रकातील तरतुदींची अंमलबजावणी होईल याची सर्व प्रशासकीय विभागांनी दक्षता घ्यावी.

४. याा शासन परिपत्रकान्वये प्रसृत केलेल्या सूचनांचा अंतर्भाव यथावकाश म. ना.से. (वेतन) नियम, १९८१ मध्ये करण्याबाबत, वित्त विभागामार्फत स्वतंत्रपणे कार्यवाही करण्यात येईल.”

6. Learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that the impugned order is issued directly in contravention of clause No. 2(1) and therefore, the same is liable to be stayed. He also submitted that the respondent No.2 is not holding the post of Additional Commissioner and Chief Engineer at Aurangabad but



he is at Pune. No plausible reasons are stated in the impugned order while cancelling the earlier order of giving charge to the applicant.

7. Learned Advocate for the applicant placed reliance on the decision of the Hon'ble High Court of Bombay in the matter of **Balasaheb Vitthalrao Tidke Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Anr.** decided on 12.12.2018. In the said case, the order of transfer was under challenge which order was issued due to political influence. It was held that the orders of transfers influenced by the political influence would not be sustainable in the eyes of law. According to the learned Advocate for the applicant, principles applicable to transfer would be well applicable to giving and taking charge. He also submitted that no proper procedure is being followed while taking away the charge from the applicant. When the charge said to have been taken by the respondent No.2 from the applicant on 06.08.2022, it was non-working Saturday.

8. As against that the learned C.P.O. appearing on behalf of the applicant opposed the submissions made on behalf of the applicant and submitted that the respondent No.2 is senior to the applicant and impugned order of cancellation of earlier order of giving additional charge to the applicant vide order dated 29.07.2022 is cancelled rightly in accordance with law. He further submitted that he would seek instructions at the earliest and would file reply as to

under what circumstances, the impugned order came to be passed.

9. Learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the respondent No.2 strenuously urged before me that the respondent No.2 is senior to the applicant in the cadre of Additional Commissioner and Chief Engineer contending that admittedly the applicant was promoted to the said post in June, 2020 whereas the respondent No.2 was promoted to the said post on 06.01.2020. To substantiate the said submission, he placed on record the copy of Government order dated 06.01.2020. The same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification. He further submitted that the applicant is also ineligible for continuing the additional charge with him in view of pendency of departmental enquiry against him which condition is incorporated in clause No.4 of Government Circular dated 05.09.2018 (Annex. 'A-5'). To substantiate the same, he placed on record the copies of orders dated 01.04.2021 and 06.07.2021. Those are marked as document 'X-1' collectively for the purpose of identification.

10. In this regard learned Advocate for the applicant, however, submitted that he has taken instructions from the applicant and stated that no departmental enquiry is pending against the applicant.

11. Learned Advocate for the respondent No.2, further, submitted that the respondent No.2 was

given additional charge of the post of Managing Director on 30.06.2018. He placed the said copy on record. It is marked as document 'X-2' for the purpose of identification.

12. In this regard, learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that while the applicant was posted at Nagpur, he was holding the said additional charge from June, 2020 to August, 2021. It is a matter of record that as on 29.07.2022, the respondent No.2 was only holding the additional charge of that post.

13. Learned Advocate for the respondent No.2, however, did not state anything as to how the respondent No.2 has taken additional charge on 06.08.2022 though it was holyday as per G.R. dated 24.02.2020 issued by the G.A.D. In this regard learned Advocate for the respondent No.2 submitted that he would cover this aspect in detailed reply to be filed by him.

14. After having perused the Original Application, annexures thereof as discussed above and rival submissions made, prima-facie Government Circular dated 05.09.2018 (Annex. 'A-5') along with guidelines while giving additional charge of any post would be applicable and would be most relevant. It is true that clause No.2(1) refers to giving charge to the officer from the same office, but that is not rule but that is required to be followed usually.

15. From the rival submissions on record, it is evident that the additional charge of the post of Managing Director Soil & Water Conservation Corporation Aurangabad, was initially held by the respondent No.2 from 30.06.2018 onwards while working in the concerned office at Pune, whereas the applicant was holding the charge of that post from June, 2020 to August, 2021 and the respondent No.2 was holding additional charge as on 29.07.2022.

16. So far as clause No.4 is concerned, it is stipulated that the officer, who is facing departmental enquiry affecting holding of additional charge should not be given said additional charge.

17. In this regard, the learned Advocate for the respondent No.2 has placed on record the documenters, prima facie showing initiation of departmental enquiry. However, the question would remain as to whether departmental enquiry initiated against the applicant would come in the way as per clause No.4 of the Government circular dated 05.09.2018. This is too early to comment on this aspect.

18. Clause No.2 (1), however, also stipulates about seniority. In this regard, from the pleadings and documents on record, which I have already discussed, prima-facie it appears that the respondent No.2 was promoted in the present cadre of Additional

Commissioner and Chief Engineer in January, 2020 whereas the applicant was promoted in June, 2020. The question remains why the provisional seniority produced by the applicant of that cadre was cancelled can be taken into consideration after detailed reply is received in the matter. But prima-facie on this aspect the document produced on record by the respondent No.2 cannot be just discarded.

19. The last facet of the matter is that according to the learned Advocate for the respondent No.2, the respondent No.2 has taken additional charge on 06.08.2022 and CTC is communicated to the Government and the Government has also acted upon it. However, as regards this aspect, the question remains as to whether charge can be taken on the holiday. That also can be considered at the time of final hearing.

20. In this regard learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that as on today also the applicant is holding said additional charge and attending the work and in this regard learned Advocate for the applicant placed on record the show cause notice dated 08.08.2022 issued by the applicant in the capacity of holding additional charge of Soil & Water Conservation, Corporation Aurangabad to two employees of the said corporation.

21. In view of above, taking and handing over charge of the said post of Managing Director is in question

and no exact position of status quo can be inferred from the rival documents produced on record. As per earlier discussion, I prima-facie found that the impugned order does not come in the teeth of Government Circular dated 05.09.2018 sought to be contended by the applicant.

22. It is seen that letter dated 29.07.2022 seems to have been issued by the learned M.L.A. to the Chief Minister seeking to restore charge to the respondent No.2. This aspect when tested in the background of citation case of the Hon'ble High Court relied upon by the applicant, I find that other legal aspect which are involved in the matter revolved Government Circular dated 05.09.2018 (Annex. 'A-5') and I found that there is no apparent breach of the said circular and therefore in my humble opinion, citation relied upon by the learned Advocate for the applicant would not be applicable at this stage of the matter. In view of same, in my opinion, this is not a fit case either to grant stay or status quo or status quo ante for that matter. In view of same, interim relief is rejected.

23. Learned C.P.O. for the respondent No.1 and learned Advocate for the respondent No.2 waived service of notices.

24. S.O. to 23.08.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.942/2018**  
**(Dr. Meena Ramchandra Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08-08-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. A.N.Ansari, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Applicant entered into Government service as Medical Officer vide order dated 21-03-1998 and was posted to work on Public Health Centre, Ambulga, Dist. Latur. It is the case of the applicant that prior to getting regular appointment on the post of Medical Officer, she worked on ad-hoc appointment for the period between 03-09-1991 to 30-03-1998. As contended by the applicant, she continuously worked till 17-01-2015. It is the case of the applicant that on 17-01-2015, her husband met with an accident and she therefore proceeded on leave from 18-01-2015. It is the further case of the applicant that



on 10-08-2015, she reported for duty, however, was not allowed to resume duties and was directed to get medical fitness certificate. It is the further case of the applicant that thereafter till 08-11-2017, nothing was heard from the side of the respondents and as such she again approached the Deputy Director of Health Services, Latur on 08-11-2017. It is the further case of the applicant that she was then directed to appear before the Medical Board for her examination. It is her further contention that thereafter respondent no.3 by letter dated 13-01-2018, referred her case for guidance to the respondent no.2, however, same was not decided and the applicant was constrained to approach this Tribunal. In the O.A., the applicant has sought following reliefs (p.p.bage 6 & 6-A):

*“B. By order or directions the respondent no.2 the Commissioner Health, and Mission Director, (NHM), Mumbai be directed to allow the applicant to join her duties as Medical Officer, PHC, Naldurg as per appointment order issued in her favor.*

*C. Pending hearing and final disposal of this Original Application the respondent no.2 the Commissioner, Health Services, Mumbai to take decision on the letter dated 13-01-2018 submitted by the Deputy Director of Health Services, Latur in respect of*

*appointment of the applicant on the post of Medical Officer, PHC, Naldurg.*

*D. By order of directions quashed and set aside order dated 01-01-2019 issued by the respondent no.1 to the extent imposing conditions no.1 to 10 in respect of applicant's joining on the post of Medical Officer, Rural Hospital, Nilanga, Dist. Latur."*

3. The contentions so raised by the applicant and the prayers made by her in the O.A. were resisted by the respondents. Dr. Eknath Dhondiba Male, then Deputy Director of Health Services, Latur Circle, Latur filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3. Respondents in their affidavit in reply have contended that the applicant had remained absent unauthorisedly for quite a long period. It is further contended that there were few more officers like the applicant who were also absent unauthorisedly for a longer period. In the circumstances, as contended in the affidavit in reply, the Government took a conscious decision and vide G.R. dated 05-10-2016, all such Medical Officers including the present applicant, were directed to resume duties on the places mentioned in the said G.R. on the terms and conditions incorporated in the said G.R. It is the further contention of the

respondents that the applicant did not join the duties despite the aforesaid Government Resolution.

4. It has to be stated that during the pendency of the present O.A., in view of the facts which have come on record certain orders were passed by this Tribunal. After having noticed that the applicant is away from duty she was directed to join her duties as directed by the Deputy Director of Health Services by reserving all of her rights to agitate against the terms and conditions which were not agreeable to her. The applicant, however, did not join duties. When the learned Counsel for the applicant was asked by this Tribunal as to why the applicant did not resume her duties despite direction given by this Tribunal the learned Counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant would join only after the decision of the present O.A. The fact remains that till this date the applicant has not resumed at any place.

5. We have heard arguments of the learned Counsel appearing for the parties and we have also gone through the documents filed on record. As noted by us

in the order passed on 23-12-2021, initially, the impression was created that present was a quite simple matter that though the applicant after completing her leave was attempting to resume duties, she was not permitted to join her duties. However, through affidavit in reply filed by respondents, certain facts have come on record which reveal that applicant had remained absent without obtaining leave. Though it is the contention of the applicant that before proceeding on leave she had submitted an application, the copy of the said application has not been filed on record. According to us, this was the most material document so as to record any finding whether the absence was unauthorized since beginning or otherwise. As is revealing from the pleadings, as on 10-08-2015, the applicant reported for duty but was not permitted to join. The copy of the joining report or any other document evidencing that the applicant had reported for duty on 10-08-2015 is not filed on record. Though it has been contended that on the said date she was asked to bring the Medical Fitness Certificate,

even the communication in that regard has also not been filed on record.

6. As submitted by the learned Counsel for the applicant, reference is of course there of one joining report in the communication dated 03-11-2015 between Medical Superintendent, Sub District Hospital and Deputy Director Health Services, Latur Region. It is further revealed that thereafter till 08-11-2017 i.e. almost for the period of 2 years, there was no action from the side of the applicant. Applicant is, however, blaming the respondents that the respondents did not take any action in the said period of 2 years and she was awaiting the response from the Government.

7. It has to be stated that the applicant was away from the job for a quite long period. More adverse effect was likely to be on the applicant if she does not join. In the circumstances, it is surprising that for the period of 2 years, applicant was just awaiting response from the respondents and did not take any further action. Aforesaid contention also appears to be incorrect in view of the facts which have come on

record through the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of the respondents. The respondents have filed on record G.R. dated 05-10-2016, whereby Government has resolved to get joined the applicant and 7 others similarly situated Medical Officers in service, who were allegedly on a long leave without taking any permission, in other words on the unauthorized leave. It is the contention of the applicant that she was not aware of the said G.R. dated 05-10-2016. It is difficult to accept that the applicant was unaware of the G.R. dated 05-10-2016 whereby the Government has taken some decision in relation to her services. Because of such stand taken by the applicant a question mark has been raised in respect of her honesty.

8. If the applicant had some reservations about the terms and conditions incorporated in the said G.R., it was open for her to make grievance in that regard, of course, only after joining the duty in pursuance of the said G.R. Conduct of not joining the duties, suggests that the applicant was not at all in need of the said appointment, and therefore, she did not join the duties

and also did not cite any cogent reason for not joining the duties. The subsequent facts also reveal that some more opportunities were given to the applicant for resuming duties, despite that, the applicant did not join the duties.

9. On 23-12-2021, the following order was passed by this Tribunal:

*“2. Present matter was heard on at least 2 to 3 occasions. Initially, when we heard the arguments of the learned Advocate appearing for the applicant, an impression was created in our minds that the applicant was not permitted to join her duties though her leave was duly sanctioned and though she has got herself examined and was declared fit to resume duties as per the guidelines given by the competent authorities. However, when we went through the reply filed by respondent nos.1 to 3, contrary facts were noticed. Today, when the matter is heard by us, learned Advocate for the applicant has admitted that no leave was sanctioned though was applied for on the ground that her husband was hospitalized. It is further contended that thereafter also for some*

*genuine reasons, the applicant could not join the services. According to the applicant some onerous conditions were imposed and the bond was directed to be executed without her will.*

*3. After having considered the submissions advanced on behalf of the applicant and the facts which have come on record in view of the affidavit in reply of the respondents. Applicant's absence from the duty apparently appears unauthorized. However, merit in the application can be decided after we finally hear the arguments. At this juncture, the issue before us is to see that applicant resumes her duties and does no more remain away from the service. Keeping objections of the applicant open as about G.R. dated 04-01-2019, we direct the applicant to resume her duties at the place mentioned in the said G.R. within 2 weeks from the date of this order. The respondents shall permit her to join the duty. The issue as about the absence in the meanwhile period and the other objections raised in the O.A. will be decided on their merits at the time of final hearing of the matter. For the time being, the conditions as are mentioned in the G.R. dated 04-01-2019 would apply.”*



10. In the aforesaid order, every care was taken to protect the right of the applicant. The only concern behind passing the order at the relevant time was that the matter may be heard in due course on its own merit but the applicant must be allowed to resume duties so that there would be some solace for her. We regret to state that without any cogent reasons, the applicant has not joined the duties. The contention of the applicant that she will resume duties only after the present O.A. is decided, reaffirms the fact that she is not at all in need of any job.

11. During the pendency of the O.A., amendment was sought in the O.A. so as to bring on record the subsequent events and to incorporate the subsequent prayers. The amendment has been allowed. By amending the application, the applicant has sought quashment of the order dated 04-01-2019 issued by respondent no.1 to the extent of imposing condition nos.1 to 10. According to arguments advanced by the learned Counsel for the applicant, the applicant was insisting for decision of the present O.A. and has decided to join thereafter is fully justified since the

conditions incorporated are onerous. It has to be stated that at the relevant time having regard to the said conditions only, it was observed in the order passed by this Tribunal on 23-12-2021, that all her said objections can be decided at the time of hearing of the O.A., however, she should not make any delay in resuming the duties. Since the applicant has denied to join and she has in fact not joined, according to us she has lost the right to claim any equitable relief.

12. In the result, following order is passed:

ORDER

O.A. is dismissed without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

ORDER IS SINGED AND UPLOADED ON 12-09-2022.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.26/2019**  
**(Vinod Sitaram Muley V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08-08-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By filing the present O.A., the applicant has sought quashment of the departmental enquiry initiated against him. It is the contention of the learned Counsel for the applicant that the departmental enquiry proceedings have been instituted against the applicant after his retirement. It is his further contention that the departmental proceedings are in respect of the events which had taken place more than 4 years before such institution. In the circumstances, relying on Rule 27(2)(b) of the Maharashtra Civil Services Pension Rules, 1982 the

applicant has sought the quashment of the enquiry proceedings initiated against him.

3. In the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of the respondents, though, action initiated against the applicant is attempted to be justified, learned CPO submitted that the proposal is pending with the Government for exonerating the applicant from the charges levelled against him.

4. It is not in dispute that the applicant has retired from the Government services after attaining age of superannuation on 31-10-2018, and the statement of charge has been served upon the applicant thereafter on 14-11-2018. The only charge against the applicant is that he prepared a false certificate to facilitate the appointment of one Shri Keshav Narayan Gurutwad. The certificate is admittedly issued on 22-09-2002. It is thus evident that the alleged misconduct mentioned in statement of charge pertains to the event which had occurred in 2002. The event has thus occurred admittedly prior to about 16 years of the date of institution of the departmental enquiry against him.

5. The applicant has relied upon the provision under the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982, and more particularly, Rule 27(2)(b) thereof which reads thus:

**“27. Right of Government to withhold or withdraw pension (1) .....**

*(2) (a) .....*

*(b) The departmental proceedings, if not instituted while the Government servant was in service, whether before his retirement or during his re-employment-*

*(i) shall not be instituted save with the sanction of the Government,*

*(ii) shall not be in respect of any event which took place more than four years before such institution, and*

*(iii) shall be conducted by such authority and at such place as the Government may direct and in accordance with the procedure applicable to the departmental proceedings in which an order of dismissal from service could be made in relation to the Government servant during his service.”*

6. As per the aforesaid provision, it is quite evident that no enquiry can be conducted in respect of the event which took place about 16 years before the institution of the departmental proceedings against the applicant. Moreover, in the year 2010, the first show cause notice was issued to the applicant requiring him to explain about the presence recorded of one Keshav Narayanrao Gurutwad, a seasonal employee on the muster roll and about the payment shown to be made to the said employee. Why after 2010 till 31-10-2018, i.e. till the date of retirement of the applicant, no further action was initiated against the applicant, has not been disclosed by the respondents. It has also not been explained by the respondents as to why the statement of charge was not served upon the applicant while he was in service. The inordinate delay in initiating an action against the applicant also entitled the applicant to seek quashment of the departmental enquiry against him. The Hon'ble Apex Court as well as the Hon'ble Bombay High Court have consistently ruled that departmental proceedings if at all to be initiated against a Government servant must be

promptly initiated and are to be expeditiously completed within a particular time frame. As such also the enquiry now initiated against the applicant for the event which had occurred in the year 2002 cannot be permitted to be continued further.

7. For the reasons stated above, we are inclined to allow the present O.A. Hence, the following order:

ORDER

- (i) The departmental enquiry initiated against the applicant is quashed and set aside.
- (ii) The O.A. is allowed in the aforesaid terms without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**T.A.NO.02/2016 IN W.P.NO.12209/2015**  
**(Rucha Tandale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08-08-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.V.Thombre, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.S.Thombre, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri M.B.Kolpe, learned Counsel for respondent no.2, Shri Shrinivas Wagh, learned Counsel holding for Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Counsel for respondent nos.3 & 6 and Shri Manoj Shinde, learned Counsel for respondent no.4, are present.

2. Learned Counsel on instructions seeks leave to withdraw the present O.A. Hence, the following order:

*ORDER*

O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.80/2020**  
**(Dr. Md. Ashfaqe Md. Asraf V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08-08-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned P.O. has again sought 4 weeks' time to comply with the direction given by this Tribunal vide order passed on 27-06-2022. Time granted as prayed for by way of last chance.

3. S.O. to 19-09-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.53/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.185/2019**  
**(Ashok Amrutkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08-08-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D.Dhongde, learned Counsel holding for  
Shri Suchita Dhongde, learned Counsel for the  
applicant, Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer  
for the respondent authorities and Shri S.S.Chillarge,  
learned Counsel for respondent no.3, are present.

2. At the request of learned Counsel for the  
applicant, S.O. to 12-09-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.561/2019**  
**(Ramesh Barhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08-08-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D.Dhongde, learned Counsel holding for Shri Suchita Dhongde, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.S.Chillarge, learned Counsel for respondent no.3, are present.

2. Learned CPO sought time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of remaining respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 23-09-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.760/2021**  
**(Malikarjun Deshmane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08-08-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Affidavit in reply is already filed on record. Applicant does not desire to file any rejoinder. List the matter for hearing.
3. S.O. to 13-09-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.345/2017**  
**(Abhiman Kale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08-08-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.B.Patil, learned Counsel for respondent nos.2 to 6, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 12-09-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.537/2019**  
**(Narendra Thakur V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08-08-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ku. Anagha Pandit, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.B.Talekar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 29-08-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.852/2017 & 853/2017**  
**(Nilesh Kale & Ashish Pardhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08-08-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ku. Anagha Pandit, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.B.Talekar, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 17-11-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.100/2022**  
**(Rakesh Salunke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08-08-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shriniwas Wagh, learned Counsel holding for Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. On request of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 19-09-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.68/2019**  
**(Dr. Shripati Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08-08-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shriniwas Wagh, learned Counsel holding for Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. On request of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 21-09-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.31/2021**  
**(Sattar Khan Jamal Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 08-08-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. A.N.Ansari, learned Counsel for the applicant, Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Counsel for respondent no.2, are present.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that during the pendency of the present O.A. some orders are passed by the Government. The applicant intends to take necessary steps whether to bring such facts on record or otherwise. Time is sought for the same. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 14-09-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 08-08-2022