

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

/R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.226 of 2023</p> <p>N. L. Khairnar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that on previous date also the Applicant and his Advocate were absent but the matter was adjourned to give one opportunity of hearing. However, today also they are absent. Thus, they appear not interested in the matter.</p> <p>3. In view of above, Original Application is dismissed in default.</p> <p>4. No order as to costs.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.11.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.26 of 2022 with M.A. No.201 of 2022 with O.A. No.306 of 2022 with O.A. No.1089 of 2022 with O.A. No.428 of 2022 with O.A. No.696 of 2022 with O.A. No.697 of 2022 with O.A. No.698 of 2022 with O.A. No.952 of 2022 with O.A. No.1096 of 2022 with O.A. No.07 of 2023 with O.A. No.15 of 2023 with O.A. No.105 of 2023 with O.A. No.106 of 2023 with O.A. No.129 of 2023 with O.A. No.145 of 2023 with O.A. No.165 of 2023 with O.A. No.172 of 2023 with O.A. No.181 of 2023 with O.A. No.182 of 2023 with O.A. No.191 of 2023 with O.A. No.194 of 2023 with O.A. No.207 of 2023 with O.A. No.215 of 2023 with O.A. No.263 of 2023 with O.A. No.265 of 2023 with O.A. No.289 of 2023 with O.A. No.312 of 2023 with O.A. No.325 of 2023 with O.A. No.477 of 2023 with O.A. No.541 of 2023 with O.A. No.567 of 2023 with O.A. No.568 of 2023 with O.A. No.572 of 2023 with O.A. No.609 of 2023 with O.A. No.831 of 2023 with O.A. No.848 of 2023 with O.A. No.859 of 2023 with O.A. No.912 of 2023 with O.A. No.913 of 2023 with O.A. No.920 of 2023 with O.A. No.1099 of 2023 and Other Connected matters</p> <p>A.K. Pawar & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar & Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocates for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad & Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that on 19.10.2023 the 'Joint Commissioner of Police (Administration), Mumbai' was requested to remain present on Next Date and assist the Tribunal for reducing pendency of this batch of O.A. No.26 of 2022 & Others filed for seeking 'Inter District Transfers' from the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Mumbai'. However, learned P.O. conveyed that 'Joint Commissioner of Police (Administration), Mumbai' is on 'Earned Leave' from 02.11.2023 to 12.11.2023 and requested that this batch of O.A. No.26 of 2022 & Others be kept after 'Two Weeks'.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

3. Learned P.O. thereafter on basis of information received from the officer present on behalf of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik' stated that as on today there were 21 'Vacant Posts' of 'Police Constable'. The 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik' therefore is directed to keep all these 21 'Vacant Posts' of 'Police Constable' available for Applicants in this batch of O.A's No.26 of 2022 & Others till such time as instructions are issued by the Home Department as per directions of Tribunal given on 14.09.2023. The directions given on 14.09.2023 were to bring to the knowledge the (i) 'Additional Chief Secretary (Home), Home Department and (ii) Director General of Police, Maharashtra State that the unilateral decision taken by Commissioner of Police, Nashik to direct all other (i) Commissioners of Police and (ii) Superintendents of Police not to propose any cases of 'Inter District Transfers' was violative of not only the 'Policy Guidelines' issued by the Home Department's, G.R. dated 28.11.2017 but challenged provisions of law under 'Section 7' of the 'Maharashtra State, SRPF Act, 1951' and even appeared to be an act of insubordination of the 'Power and Authority' vested with State Government under Section 4 of the 'Mumbai Police Act, 1951'. Further it was observed on 14.09.2023 that such 'State of Affairs' cannot be permitted to continue and appropriate remedial actions were expected to be taken forthwith to prevent recurrence of such unilateral decisions being taken by any other (i) Commissioner of Police and (ii) Superintendent of Police. The (i) Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, and (ii) Director General of Police, Maharashtra State were also informed to take regular review of the large pendency of cases of Inter District Transfer which have been filed under 'Section 19' of the 'Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985'.

4. Learned P.O. therefore to obtain information about directions issued in this regard by (i) Additional Chief Secretary (Home), Home Department and (ii) Director General of Police, Maharashtra State to the 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik'. Further to also ascertain if any initiative has been taken by them for regular review at the State level so as to reduce the large pendency of cases of 'Inter District Transfer' which have been filed under 'Section 19' of the 'Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985'.

5. S.O. to 22.11.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

/R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Final Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.11.2023

M. A. No.699 of 2023 in O.A.No.1435 of 2023

S.S. Patankar & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.

5. M. A. No.699 /2023 is allowed. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Final Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.11.2023

M. A. No.698 of 2023 in O.A.No.1434 of 2023

S.N. Dombale & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Smt. Kranti Gajkwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Smt .Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.14.

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.

5. M. A. No.698 /2023 is allowed. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 08.11.2023 Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.1435 of 2023

S.S. Patankar & Ors.Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicants working in the cadre of Laboratory Scientific Officer seeking exception to the provisions of Clause (a) of Sub-Rule 2 of Rule 3 of Health Supervisor, Group 'C' in the Commissionerate of Health Services under Public Health Department of Government of Maharashtra (Recruitment) Rules, 2021.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.12.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 13.12.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date : 08.11.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1415 of 2023</p> <p>S.P. Itape ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant seeks directions that the Respondents to issue appointment order in favour of the Applicant to the post of Armed Police Constable in OBC Category with consequential Service Benefits. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant by way of 'Interim Relief' prays that One Post of Armed Police Constable in OBC Category in SRPF, Group No.V, Daund to be kept vacant. 4. Learned P.O. submits that all the posts of SRPF, Group No.V, Daund are filled and seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply. 5. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant is at Sr. No.3 in the final Waiting List. Two candidates from the Waiting List have been given appointment against 3 Vacant Posts from OBC Category. 6. Learned P.O. submits that there is no dispute regarding 2 Vacant Posts which are filled by candidates from Waiting List who are more meritorious than the Applicant. The third post of OBC Category is a Vacant Post on account of non-selection of candidate from 'Orphan -OBC' as he did not join. There is One Post reserved for 'Orphan Category.' Thus, it is necessary for the Respondents to find out how the candidate can be placed or adjusted against reservation of One Post for 'Orphan Category.' She submits that as on today, the post for 'Orphan-OBC' is not filled by Respondents. 7. S.O. to 24.11.2023 for filing Affidavit in Rely. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakraborty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Date : 08.11.2023 Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.1443 of 2023

(DB)

Shri Vijay K. AherApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Administrative Member recuses from this matter.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.12.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 13 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 13.12.2023.
10. Meanwhile whatever representations made by the Applicant, can be decided by the Respondents.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1444 of 2023</p> <p>Shri Trimbak A. DeshpandeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.11.2023. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 24.11.2023. This O.A. be tagged with O.A.No.572/2022. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakraborty) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Final Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.11.2023

M. A. No. 702 of 2023 in O.A.No.1442 of 2023

Dr. J. A. Bhalerao & Anrs.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shr A. A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.

5. M. A. No.702/2023 is allowed. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.11.2023

O.A.No.1442 of 2023

Dr. J. A. Bhalerao & Anrs.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shr A. A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicants who are Dental Surgeons seeks directions to Respondent Nos.1 and 2 that their names be recommended against unfilled vacancies/posts of Dental Surgeon, General State Service, Group-B pursuant to Advertisement No.87/2015. The Applicants also pray for regularization. Learned Advocate for the Applicants by way of Interim Relief seeks directions to Respondents to keep two posts of Dental Surgeon, General State Service, Group-B are to be kept vacant.

3. Learned Advocate submits that on 17.10.2023, the Respondent No.2 declared two lists of non-eligible candidates. In one list, they declared list of names of non-eligible candidates with remark of "Overage" and in another they declared the list of names of non-eligible candidates with remarks that "Interview marks are less than or equal to 40%". He relied on Exhibit 'H' (Page 136 of O.A.) and pointed out that Applicants are appearing at Sr. No.2 and 3 in the list of 'non-eligible candidates with remark of "Overage". He submits that the Candidate at Sr. No.1 Shri B. M. Lad has filed O.A.No.1368/2023 and the Tribunal by order dated 02.11.2023 directed the Respondents to keep one post of Dental Surgeon vacant till filing of the reply. Learned Counsel for the Applicants seeks same relief.

3. Learned P.O. confirms that the Applicants are similarly placed and issue involved in O.A.1368/2023 is the same. She wants to file Affidavit in Reply.

4. In view of submission of learned P.O. we grant same Interim Relief as per para 4 of order dated 02.11.2023. The Applicants are in service and therefore they are to be continued till next date.

6. This O.A. be tagged along with O.A.No.1368/2023.

7. S.O. to 30.11.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Final Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.11.2023

O.A.No.1434 of 2023

S.N. Dombale & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.14.

2. The Applicants pray to quash and set aside the Final Seniority List dated 23.10.2023 of the cadre of Range Forest Officer issued by the Respondent No.2 to the extent to which failure of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 to follow Rule 3(b) and 6 of the Recruitment Rules dated 06.04.1998 of the post of Range Forest Officer and thereafter to revise the placement of the Applicants in the seniority list.

3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the similar issue was raised and decided in W.P. No.2026 of 2019, decided on 23.04.2021 by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad which came to be confirmed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No.822 of 2023 on 15.03.2023. He submits that this decision pertained to Assistant Conservator of Forest, promotee as well as direct recruitee and the Applicants are Range Forest Officers

4. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.11.2023.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

10. S.O. to 24.11.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

W.R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.11.2023 O.A.No.1414 of 2023 With O.A.No.1436 of 2023 With O.A.No.1437 of 2023</p> <p>A. S. Shirsat D. R. Karkhele S. D. Jadhav</p> <p style="text-align: right;">....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan and Shri M. V. Thorat holding for Shri N. V. Adkine, learned Counsels for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. All these Applicants who have appeared for Maharashtra Agriculture Services (Main) Exam 2022 for the post of (i) Deputy Director of Agriculture Group A, (ii) Taluka Agriculture Officer & Other Group B and (iii) Agriculture Officer, Junior and Other Group B challenge Clause No.4 of M.P.S.C. प्रसिध्दीपत्रक (Publication) dated 25.08.2023 and pray that their names be included in the 'Provisional List' of recommended candidates which was published on 01.11.2023. The Applicants are not 'In Service Candidates'.</p> <p>3. The 'Clause No.4' of M.P.S.C. प्रसिध्दीपत्रक (Publication) dated 25.08.2023 is regarding cancellation of the names of the candidates from the Merit List who failed to give प्रसंतीकम (Preferences) for posts within stipulated time. Learned Counsels for the Applicants pray that on 01.11.2023 'Provisional List' was published and the names of the Applicants were not included though they have admittedly secured more marks and are meritorious. Their names are excluded from 'Provisional List' published on 01.11.2023 on the ground that they failed to give प्रसंतीकम (Preferences) for the posts within stipulated time pursuant to M.P.S.C. प्रसिध्दीपत्रक (Publication) dated 25.08.2023. Hence, learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that they need protection and the Applicants are to be considered by the M.P.S.C. on the ground of merit and their names included in the 'Provisional List' of recommended candidates published on 01.11.2023.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents while opposing 'Interim Relief' has submitted that M.P.S.C. प्रसिध्दीपत्रक (Publication) dated 25.08.2023 was made available on the 'Website' of the M.P.S.C. The instructions are also given to all Applicants individually by email. She further submits that this particular method of giving प्रसंतीकम (Preferences) is introduced by the M.P.S.C. with a view to make recruitment process speedier and smoother. Learned C.P.O. submits that as today is the first date, the Respondents be given time to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>5. We have gone through Clauses 1 to 5 of M.P.S.C. प्रसिध्दीपत्रक (Publication) dated 25.08.2023. It appears prima-facie that the Applicants who are admittedly meritorious and have entitlement to be included in the 'Provisional List' published on 01.11.2023 and if they are asked to go out from the recruitment process only on the ground that they failed to give प्रसंतीकम (Preferences) within stipulated time and especially when they have earlier given their choices for the post in their 'Application Forms' at the time of 'Main Examination', it will be unfair.</p> <p>6. We direct the M.P.S.C. to file their Affidavit in Reply till then maintain status quo.</p> <p>7. Hamdast granted.</p> <p>8. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>9. Issue notice before admission returnable on</p> <p>10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>12. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

13. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

14. S.O. to 23.11.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.11.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1130 of 2023</p> <p>S.G. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Sairuchuta Chowdhary, learned Advocate holding for Shri S. Jagtap, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of the Respondent No.3. It is taken on record. Learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 does not want to file 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder'.</p> <p>3. The implementation of the 'Smart City Mission' 'Statement & Guidelines' published in June 2015 by the Ministry of Urban Development, Government of India has significant bearing not only on the outcome of this O.A.No.1130/2023 but also in respect of vacation of 'Interim Relief' granted on 31.08.2023.</p> <p>4. The contents of 'Para 3.3.' of the 'Smart City' : Mission Statement & Guidelines' states that <i>"The CEO of the SPV will be appointed with the approval of the MoUD. The CEO will be appointed for a fixed term of Three Years and will be removed only with the prior approval of MoUD."</i> Therefore it mentions about the protocol which was required to be observed by the Urban Development Department viz Respondent No.3 in this O.A. No.1130/2023 for both 'Appointment' and 'Removal' of CEO of 'Smart City Development Corporation Ltd. (SCDCL), Nashik'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. The 'Appointment' of the Applicant to the post of CEO of Smart City Development Corporation Ltd. (SCDCL), Nashik which was done by the Urban Development Department on 30.06.2021 was evidently not in conformity with the protocol of Para 3.3 and so also is the 'Appointment' of Respondent No.2 now done by the Respondent No.1 viz 'Revenue and Forest Department' on 29.08.2023. The Respondent No.3 'Urban Development Department' has not issued any order in respect of Respondent No.2 to permit him to join as 'Chief Executive Officer', 'Smart City Development Corporation Ltd.' (SCDCL) Nashik' as 'Interim Relief' was granted to the Applicant on 31.08.2023.</p> <p>6. The Respondent No. 3 viz. 'Urban Development Departments' while issuing the 'Deputation Order' of the Applicant on 30.06.2021 and now of the Respondent No.2 on 29.08.2023 thus seems to be oblivious to implementing the protocol in 'Para 3.3' of the 'Smart City' : Mission Statement & Guidelines' published in June 2015 by the Ministry of Urban Development, Government of India.</p> <p>7. The Respondent No.3 viz 'Urban Development Department' even seems to be willfully contravening the protocol in 'Para 3.3' because in their 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 02.11.2023 they have admitted that <i>"according to the guidelines of the Central Government, regarding the appointment of to the post of Chief Executive Officer, Smart City, Nashik of the Central Government, there is a provision for appointment to this the said post with the prior approval of the Minister of Urban Development Department and the educational qualification for this the said post is a Master in Business Administration from an a recognized and reputed Institutes like IIMs in India and abroad. etc. and 3-5 years' experience in Urban sector is preferred."</i></p> <p>8. The learned P.O. therefore to take further instructions as per approval of the 'Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department' as to what course of action they desire to take with respect to 'Removal' of the Applicant from and 'Appointment' of Respondent No.2 to the post of 'CEO of Smart City Development Corporation Ltd. (SCDCL), Nashik' as both these acts of commission and omission cannot be over looked as they</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><i>ex-facie</i> contravene the protocol in 'Para 3.3' of the 'Smart City' 'Mission Statement & Guidelines' issued in June 2015' by Ministry of Urban Development, Government of India. Hence, till such time as the protocol in 'Para 3.3' is fully complied with by Respondent No.3 viz 'Urban Development Department' in the interim period what course of action is proposed to be taken by them with respect to either 'Removal' of Applicant from and 'Appointment' of Respondent No.2 to post of 'CEO of Smart City Development Corporation Ltd. (SCDCL), Nashik' be placed on record before further hearing of this O.A. No.1130 of 2023.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 21.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1438 of 2023</p> <p>P.P. BangosaviApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant is working on the post of 'Superintending Engineer, P.W.D. Circle, Mumbai' but has been posted on deputation as 'Superintending Engineer, P.W.D.' in 'Directorate of Municipal Administration, Mumbai' by Transfer Order of P.W.D. dated 06.11.2023.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Transfer Order of P.W.D. dated 06.11.2023 has been issued by exercising power under Section 4(4) and Section 4(5) of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005' and also mentions that the Applicant will be subject to provisions 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Joining Time, Foreign Service and Payments during Suspension, Dismissal and Removal), Rules, 1981'.</p> <p>4. The learned Advocate for the Applicant further states that he came to be posted after promotion to the post of 'Superintending Engineer, P.W.D. Circle, Mumbai' by 'Transfer Order of P.W.D.' dated 21.10.2021. The Respondent No.2 was also promoted and posted as 'Superintending Engineer, P.W.D. Circle, Aurangabad' by same 'Transfer Order of P.W.D.' dated 21.10.2021. Thus both of them have not completed</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>'Normal Tenures' of Three Years on their respective posts of 'Superintending Engineer, PWD Circle, Mumbai' and 'Superintending Engineer, PWD Circle, Chhatrapati Sambhaji Nagar'.</p> <p>5. The learned Advocate for the Applicant further states that if Applicant has indeed been appointed on 'Deputation Post' by 'Transfer Order' of P.W.D. dated 06.11.2023 as 'Superintending Engineer P.W.D.' in 'Directorate of Municipal Administration, Mumbai', then elaborate procedure for appointment on 'Deputation Post' should have been observed as per G.A.D. Circular dated 17.12.2016.</p> <p>6. The learned P.O. states that the provisions of G.A.D. G.R. dated 17.12.2016 regarding the definition of 'Deputation Post', came to be amended by G.A.D. G.R. dated 16.02.2018. The G.A.D. G.R. dated 16.02.2018 states that in case who any Government Servant is required to be posted to other Administrative Department it is no longer required to be considered as appointment on 'Deputation Post' but only if such post has been created not under the lending 'Administrative Department' which is the 'Cadre Controlling Authority' but in the establishment of the receiving 'Administrative Department.' However, learned P.O. was not able to produce any G.R. of 'Urban Development Department' to show creation of post of 'Superintending Engineer P.W.D.' in 'Directorate of Municipal Administration, Mumbai' for it to be not considered as 'Deputation Post'. Instead she produced the G.R. of P.W.D. 04.07.2022 which shows creation of the post of 'Superintending Engineer P.W.D.' in 'Directorate of Municipal Corporation, Mumbai'. Hence, evidently as per G.A.D. G.R. dated 16.02.2018 the post of 'Superintending Engineer P.W.D.' in the 'Directorate of Municipal Administration, Mumbai' is not a 'Cadre Post' but has as considered to be 'Deputation Post'. In fact, this is as much admitted by P.W.D. by contents of 'Transfer Order of P.W.D.' dated 06.11.2023 which state that the Applicant will be subject to provisions of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Joining Time, Foreign Service and Payments during Suspension, Dismissal and Removal), Rules, 1981'. The learned Advocate for the Applicant emphasized that there was no meeting of C.S.B. constituted by PWD G.R. dated 16.05.2016 and this fact was also readily confirmed by learned P.O.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. The learned P.O. further produced 'File Notings' which mention that the Applicant had not diligently submitted information in respect of certain "Calling Attention Motion" tabled in the 'Maharashtra Legislative Council' during 2022 which also resulted in another 'Calling Attention Motion' being tabled in the 'Maharashtra Legislative Council' in 2023 which was regarding Construction of 'Government Building in Ghatkopar, Mumbai'. In view of the seriousness of the issue, the 'Hon'ble Minister of P.W.D.' had sought report from 'Chief Engineer, P.W.D. Konkan Division'. However, learned P.O. fairly admits that such report has not yet being received from 'Chief Engineer P.W.D., Konkan Division'.</p> <p>8. Learned P.O. states that the Applicant who is working as 'Superintending Engineer in P.W.D. Circle, Mumbai' was transferred on the ground that he had failed to diligently discharge his responsibilities in terms of submitting information with regard to the 'Calling Attention Motions' tabled in 'Maharashtra Legislative Council' in 2022 and yet again in 2023 and this serious lapse on the part of Applicant came to be duly considered by 'Hon'ble Minister of PWD' and the proposal of his transfer was approved by 'Hon'ble Chief Minister'. So the Applicant has been appointed as 'Superintending Engineer P.W.D.' in the 'Directorate of Municipal Administration, Mumbai'.</p> <p>9. The Applicant has been transferred from the post of 'Superintending Engineer, P.W.D. Circle, Mumbai' before completion of 'Normal Tenure' of 'Three Years' and as such, this transfer happens to be both 'Mid-Term' and 'Mid-Tenure'. Further important to observe here is the fact that no report as sought by 'Hon'ble Minister of PWD' from the 'Chief Engineer, PWD, Konkan Division' was received nor was any meeting of C.S.B. of P.W.D. convened before the proposal for transfer of Applicant came to be submitted</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>and thereupon was approved by both 'Hon'ble Minister of P.W.D.' and 'Hon'ble Chief Minister'. Further as the Applicant has been posted as 'Superintending Engineer P.W.D.' in the 'Directorate of Municipal Administration, Worli, Mumbai' the procedure to be followed should have been as per G.A.D. G.R. dated 17.12.2016. The elaborate procedure for effecting appointments on 'Deputation Posts' was invariably required to be observed by P.W.D. and even more importantly the proposal could not have been considered at all by the 'Competent Authority' if its without consent of the Applicant being about 'Deputation Post' and without any recommendations of the C.S.B. of P.W.D. Therefore in the facts and circumstances of the case as mentioned above, there are '<i>Prima-facie</i>' justiciable reasons to consider grant of 'Interim Relief' to the Applicant. 'Interim Relief' is accordingly granted in terms of Para 10 (a) of the 'Prayer Clause' of this O.A. No.1438 of 2023. Applicant is permitted to continue to work on his present post of 'Superintending Engineer, P.W.D. Circle, Mumbai' till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>10. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>11. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7th December, 2023.</p> <p>12. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>13. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>14. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>15. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>16. S.O. to 7th December, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1329 of 2023 to O.A. No.1332 of 2023</p> <p>R.P. Jadhav, D.B. Choudhari, B.S. Tachale, V.A. KaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad & Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant mentions for Speaking to the Minutes in the Order dated 01.11.2023. The Advocate for the Applicant states that in Para 2 of 6th & 7th line 'Memorandum' dated 01.01.2023 issued by the 'S.D.O., Pune, District Pune' and Order dated 01.01.2023 issued by 'Tahsildar Shrirur' District Pune the date is wrongly mentioned as 01.01.2023, whereas it should be corrected as 01.10.2023. In view of above, Order dated 01.11.2023 in O.A. No.1329 of 2023 to O.A. No.1332 of 2023 be corrected accordingly and 'Speaking to the Minutes' is disposed off in O.A. No. 1329 of 2023 to O.A. No.1332 of 2023.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that so far no orders have been issued by District Collector, Pune and therefore submits that 'Interim Relief' should be granted to the Applicants. Learned Advocate for the Applicant further states that they have not joined at places where their services are attached as per 'Memorandum' of 'S.D.O., Pune, District Pune' dated 01.10.2023 and Order of 'Tahsildar Shrirur' dated 01.10.2023.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. The 'District Collector Pune' has already been given directions on 01.10.2023 that "*Memorandum dated 01.01.2023 issued by the 'S.D.O., Pune, District Pune' and Order dated 01.01.2023 issued by 'Tahsildar Shirur' District Pune amounts to exercise of power beyond the realm of guidelines and should be forthwith withdrawn. Therefore appropriate orders are expected to be issued in this regard by 'District Collector, Pune'.*" However, till such time as 'District Collector Pune' issues appropriate orders in this regard; 'Interim Relief' is granted to the Applicants permitting them to continue to work on their regular posts of 'Talathi' as the initial 'Memorandum dated 13.09.2023' issued by 'S.D.O., Pune, District Pune' to attach the services of the Applicants to 'Tahsil Office, Shirur, District Pune' 'ex-facie' not in accordance with the provisions of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'.

5. S.O. to 28.11.2023.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1169 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. SupeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. The Applicant who is 'Joint Secretary, Food & Civil Supplies and Consumer Protection Department' and was posted as 'Joint Secretary, Finance Department' by 'Transfer Order' dated 08.09.2023 was granted 'Interim Relief' on 11.09.2023 and permitted to continue on the post considering that he is due for retirement on 31.12.2023. Learned P.O. is yet to file the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' with requisite information as per directions given on 27.09.2023 and seeks more time of atleast 'Four Weeks'. As the Applicant is due for retirement on 31.12.2023; the learned P.O. to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' by way of last chance; so that this O.A. No.1169 of 2023 can be taken on 'Next Date' under caption of 'Final Hearing'.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.12.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1267 of 2023</p> <p>K.B. BhagatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. again seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. Therefore 'District Collector Raigad' to direct concerned officer to ensure that the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on his behalf is affirmed without any further delay and for doing so to depute concerned Officer within 'One Week' along with 'Parawise Comments' to the office of learned P.O.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.872 of 2023</p> <p>A.A. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states on instruction received from the 'Food & Drugs Administration Department' that the request of the Applicant for appointment to the post of 'Food Safety Officer, Sangli' is under consideration and seeks more time. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Date : 08.11.2023

O.A.No.713 of 2023
(DB)

K. D. Khandare & Ors.Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. N. Rajpurohit, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant who is working on the post of 'Archivist' in the 'Directorate of Archives, Mumbai' submits that M.P.S.C. has kept 'Interviews' on 09.11.2023 to fill up Two Posts of 'Assistant Director of Archives, Group-B (Gazetted)' and apprehends that the 'Directorate of Archives, Mumbai' is likely to fill up these Two Posts by 'Nomination'.
3. Learned Counsel for the Applicants seeks directions to be given to MPSC by way of 'Interim Relief' to permit the Applicant to also appear for 'Interviews' on 09.11.2023. However, this cannot be considered at this late stage especially because 'Archivist' is not 'Feeder Cadre' for the post of 'Assistant Director of Archives', Group-B (Gazetted) in Recruitment Rules' for the post of 'Assistant Director of Archives' published on 18.07.1966
4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant referred to the 'Recruitment Rules' for the post of 'Assistant Director of Archives' published on 18.07.1966 which provides for filling up of posts of 'Assistant Director of Archives', Group-B (Gazetted) by way of both 'Promotion' and 'Nomination'. He further claims that 'Archivist' is now 'Feeder Cadre' for the posts of 'Assistant Director, Archives', Group-B (Gazetted) although the Recruitment Rules of 18.07.1966 at that time provided for only 'Research Assistants' to be 'Feeder Cadre' for 'Promotion' to the Posts of 'Assistant Director, Archives', Group-B (Gazetted).
5. The Applicant has to establish that post of 'Archivist' which was created later on after publication of 'Recruitment Rules' dated 18.07.1966 therefore has now become the 'Feeder Cadre' for 'Promotion' to the post of 'Assistant Director, Archives', Group-B (Gazetted). Against this background, M.P.S.C. may go ahead with conduct of 'Interviews' on 09.11.2023, but its recommendation for appointment by 'Nomination' to the Two Posts of 'Assistant Director of Archives', Group-B (Gazetted) will be subject to the decision in this OA No.713 of 2023.

6. S.O. to 06.12.2023.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.11.2023 O.A.No.1429 of 2023</p> <p>T. D. DevkateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant challenges order dated 15.09.2023 and prays that Respondent No.2 and 3 be directed to reinstate the Applicant on the original post of Junior Clerk and Respondents be restrained from initiating D.E. 3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.12.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 01.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.11.2023

O.A.No.1432 of 2023

S.G. Ramugade
Versus

....Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V. R. Kolekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant challenges order dated 30.05.2022 passed by the Respondent No.2, thereby initiated D.E. against him.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.12.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 13.12.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date: 08.11.2023

Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.1428 of 2023

V. S. KendreApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant is working as Office Superintendent in Social Welfare Department. The Respondent No.2 had initiated a D.E. by order dated 05.01.2021 and exonerated the Applicant from D.E. by order dated 28.07.2023. The Applicant made representations for promotion on 07.08.2023 and 05.10.2023. The DPC was held on 15.11.2022.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.11.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 24.11.2023 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Petitions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.11.2023 O.A.No.988 of 2023</p> <p>R. D. KanadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file 'Affidavit in Reply,' it required.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.11.2023 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

O.A. No. of 20

IN

Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Clearance, Tribunal's orders or
Petitions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.11.2023

O.A.No.1258 of 2023

Dr. N. N. Ambadekar & Anr.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply of Smt. Karuna Bhikaji Sarwade, Chief Administrative Officer, dated 08.11.2023. It is taken on record. She submits that she wants to produce the copy of Govt. Resolution dated 06.12.1973 which is referred in Affidavit in Reply. She states that Government Resolution dated 14.12.1971 is substituted in Government Resolution dated 06.12.1973.

3. Learned C.P.O. further submits that she has not received instructions from G.A.D. and M.P.S.C.

4. S.O. to 28.11.2023 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

O.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
Petitions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.11.2023

M. A. No.668 of 2023 in O.A.No.1381 of 2023

N. V.PatangraoApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Sonali Humane holding for Smt. R. Todankar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.

5. M. A. No.668 /2023 is allowed. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 08.11.2023
Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.1381 of 2023

N. V.PatangraoApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Sonali Humane holding for Smt. R. Todankar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicants are working as Contractual Regional Workmen vide Notification dated 6th June, 2014. The State of Maharashtra issued Notification dated 06.06.2014 thereby laying down norms in respect of Recruitment Rules for the post of Multipurpose Health Worker (Male) Group 'C' whereby candidates having work experience of minimum 90 days as contractual regional workmen in National Malaria Prevention Scheme are to be fixed for 50% quota as Multi Purpose Health Worker (Male).
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.12.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 06.12.2023.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.872 of 2023</p> <p>A.A. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states on instruction received from the 'Food & Drugs Administration Department' that the request of the Applicant for appointment to the post of 'Food Safety Officer, Sangli' is under consideration and seeks more time. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.43/2023 in O.A. No.32/2023</u></p> <p>A.D. Nargude & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>With</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.No.364/2023 in O.A. No.619/2023</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. R.D. Pardhi & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants in CA.43/2023 in OA.32/2023 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Applicants in MA.364/2023 in OA No.619/2023 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the respondents.</p> <p>3. Smt. Punam Mahajan, Ld. Advocate files rejoinder. Pleadings are complete in OA No.619/2023. All the above matters are interlinked and therefore it is necessary to hear all these matters together on the same day. However, Smt. Punam Mahajan, Ld. Advocate objects that her matter i.e. OA No.619/2023 with MA No.364/2023 should not appear under the caption 'CA for Orders'.</p> <p>4. These two matters are appearing together for the sake of convenience under the caption of 'CA for Orders' and it is understood by all the parties that there is no Contempt Application involved in OA No.619/2023.</p> <p>5. OA No.619/2023 is admitted and fixed for final hearing. And this Final Hearing is to be mentioned in front of these two matters. Registry to take note of this.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 1.12.2023. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.11.2023 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.11.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.40 of 2022 in O.A. No.733 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.P. Kolte ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that order passed by this Tribunal is challenged in the Hon'ble High Court and stay has been granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.1.2024</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.11.2023</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.11.2023</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.386 of 2022 with O.A. No.552 of 2023

R.P. Satpute
A.P. Shete ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant in OA No.386/2022 & for Respondent No.4 in OA No.552/2023 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for Applicant in OA No.552/2023 is absent.

3. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 in OA No.552/2023 files affidavit in reply.

4. S.O. to 29.11.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
8.11.2023

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
8.11.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1249/2023</u></p> <p>Dr A.B Parjane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Pandit Kasar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad. Learned P.O holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit in reply is filed and copy is given to the learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O to 23.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1391/2023</u></p> <p>Shri P.B Mane & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Neha Kachi holding for Shri S.B Talekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants working as Craft Instructors on Clock Hourly Basis ranging from 4 to 10 years apprehends that the Respondents are likely to terminate their services and appoint fresh candidate through outsourcing.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that the Respondents cannot replace one ad hoc employee by another ad hoc employee as held by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of State of Haryana Vs. Piara Singh (1992) 6 SCC 318. Learned counsel therefore seek interim protection that the services of the applicants should be terminated.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicants relied on the order dated 5th July 2023 in W.P 7862/2023 and prays for interim relief.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O submitted that if any protection is granted, it should be till the next date.</p> <p>6. We would like to know whether the Government has withdrawn the orders of outsourcing and if so learned P.O is directed to produce the said G.R in respect of the said policy.</p> <p>7. We have considered similar issue and passed order dated 17.8.2023 in M.A 507/2023 in O.A 481/2023.</p> <p>8. Thus, in view of order dated 5.7.2023 in W.P 7862/2023, we pass the following order:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">"The balance of convenience lies in favour of the applicants and therefore the services of the applicants are protected in the quota of 5457 posts which are going to be filled up by outsourcing."</p> <p>9. S.O to 30.11.2023. Interim relief to continue till then.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 693/2023 in O.A 746/2022</u></p> <p>Shri Kailash S. Karale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No. 1 to 3 and Ms Shrutika Tilak, learned counsel for Respondent No. 4.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for Respondent no. 4 seeks time to file reply opposing the amendment.</p> <p>3. S.O to 29.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

08.11.2023

O.A 1074/2023

Shri Sanjay B. Kamble ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.

3. S.O to 6.12.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>08.11.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 697/2023 in O.A 200/2022</u></p> <p>Shri D.H Chaudhari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kailas Jadhav, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Misc Application is filed praying that this Tribunal be pleased to direct the Respondents to release all the retiral benefits of the applicant which is illegally withheld and further to hear the Original Application expeditiously.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submitted that the applicant working as Executive Engineer, retired on 31.5.2020. He submitted that departmental enquiry was initiated against the applicant on 15.1.2021 after his retirement.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to serve copy of Misc Application on the Respondents and file service of notice.</p> <p>5. We direct the learned C.P.O to advise the Respondents on the basis of Rules 120, 121 and 122 of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982.</p> <p>6. S.O to 21.11.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 327 with 328/2023</u></p> <p>R.A Marathe ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that Respondents are filing affidavit in reply in O.A 328/2023 today and in O.A 327/2023, reply is submitted for approval. Hence, she seeks time to file reply in O.A 327/2023. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O to 29.11.2023</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 532/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.K Mande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Priyanka Shaw holding for Shri R.K Mendadkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Caikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O to 6.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>08.11.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 991/2023</u></p> <p>Shri Y.M Bhurkud ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar holding for Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant, Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents no 1 & 2 and Shri Durgesh Parkhi submits that he is filing Vakalatnama today along with affidavit in reply. Affidavit in reply is taken on record and copy to be served on both the parties.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 22.11.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 824/2023</u></p> <p>Shri K.S Kamble & Anr ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for applicants. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 13.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1060 of 2023</p> <p>A.M. ChormaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.11.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.789 of 2023 with O.A. No.790 of 2023</p> <p>S.M. Medhe P.A. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that 'Para-wise Comments' in O.A. No.789 of 2023 are received and seeks 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent.</p> <p>3. 'Affidavit-in-Reply' is already filed in O.A. No.790 of 2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.11.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.1145 of 2023</p> <p>V.S. LambhateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.11.2023 O.A. No.708 of 2023</p> <p>M.S. NaikApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. R.S. Sinhasane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder', if any on behalf of the Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.11.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.1285 of 2023 with M.A. No.706 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. S.B. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Shri S.B. Talekar files Vakalatnama on behalf of the Applicant in O.A. No.1285/2023. Earlier, Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate was representing the Applicant in O.A. No.1285/2023 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice in M.A. No.706/2023 before admission returnable on 04.12.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 9. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'. 10. S.O. to 04.12.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1222 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. S.V. AhankariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that facts and circumstances in O.A. No.1222/2023 are identical to the O.A. No.1022/2023 and requested to tag O.A. No.1222/2023 along with O.A. No.1022/2023. Hence, O.A. No.1222/2023 is tagged along with O.A. No. 1022/2023.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.12.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1298 of 2023</u></p> <p>G.D. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that no advertisement has been issued.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1292 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.A. Bansode ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant and his advocate are absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files affidavit in reply dated 7.11.2023.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.12.2023 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1135 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.B. Thool ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.12.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.996 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.N. Walunj ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO to verify why the retirement benefits of the applicant have not been released though DE was completed on 1.7.2019.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.914, 921, 922, 947, 956 & 1347 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.E. Kerure D.B. Kadge & Ors. S.M. Wazarkar B.U. Patil D.N. Mirajkar Dr. K.U. Methekar ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO is directed to verify the chart given by the Ld. Advocate for the Applicants.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.11.2023. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.700 of 2023 in O.A. No.602 of 2023</u></p> <p>Satishkumar S. Valmiki Chaudhary ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has filed this MA for amending the OA as per Schedule annexed to the MA. He wants to add ground 7.9(A) and prayer 10(a)(a) in the OA.</p> <p>3. For the reasons stated therein the MA for amendment is allowed. Amendment be carried out and amended copy be served on all the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgi) Member (A) 8.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.72 of 2023 in O.A. No.124 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.A. Mane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant in person is absent.</p> <p>2. Applicant in person is directed to serve copy of MA and OA in the office of Ld. CPO and all the respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1412 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.P. Pasanna ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.11.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1384 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.B. Rajbanshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.B. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1344 of 2023</u></p> <p>B.V. Thorat ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1396 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.A. Sutar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for interim relief in the matter. Ld. PO is directed to positively file reply before the next date, failing which the prayer for interim relief will be considered.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 8.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1312 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. R.S. Kelkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO to verify why retirement benefits are not released. Applicant retired on 31.1.2023. Ld. Advocate submits that there is no DE or no other charges against the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. Considered the submissions of both the sides. As per para 2 & 3 of the affidavit dated 3.11.2023 there are 37 sanctioned posts of Driver at Thane and Palghar Districts. Advertisement was issued for 11 posts of Drivers in Thane and Palghar District. As per affidavit 21 posts are vacant and only 16 posts are filled up. Out of 21 vacant posts 11 posts are advertised and thus there is no advertisement for 10 posts. In the affidavit it is stated that in Thane and Palghar Districts total 64 Ambulances are in working condition. Annexure-2 of this affidavit discloses the position of sanctioned, filled up and vacant posts:

अ) ठाणे जिल्हयातील चालू रूग्णवाहिकांची (On road) सदयस्थिती

अ. क.	संस्थेचे नाव	चालू स्थितीतील रूग्णवाहिका संख्या
१	जिल्हा रुग्णालय, ठाणे	५
२	उपजिल्हा रुग्णालये	७
३	ग्रामीण रुग्णालये	९
४	स्त्री रुग्णालय	२
५	मध्यवर्ती रुग्णालय	३
	एकूण	२६

ब) पालघर जिल्हयातील चालू रूग्णवाहिकांची (On road) सदयस्थिती

अ. क.	संस्थेचे नाव	चालू स्थितीतील रूग्णवाहिका संख्या
१	जिल्हा रुग्णालय, पालघर	०
२	उपजिल्हा रुग्णालये	१३
३	ग्रामीण रुग्णालये	२५
४	स्त्री रुग्णालय	०
५	मध्यवर्ती रुग्णालय	०
	एकूण	३८

7. Thus, even if all 11 posts are filled up as per advertisement, yet there are 10 posts vacant from the sanctioned 37 posts. The OA & MA is filed by 8 applicants. Hence, we are inclined to grant interim relief.

8. The respondents are directed to continue all the 8 applicants on their respective posts of Vehicle Driver till all the vacancies are filled up. It is made clear that now the OA is pending only on the point of prayer for regularization. MA disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
8.11.2023

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
8.11.2023