# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH

### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 990 OF 2017**

### **DISTRICT : THANE**

Shri	Kiran R. Kedar & Others	)Applicant
	Versus	
The I	District Collector & Others	) <b>Respondents</b>

Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicants.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

#### **CORAM : Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**

DATE : 08.11.2017

#### ORDER

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O prays for time on the ground that letter is received from Collector, Thane asking for more time.

3. Advocate for applicants state that private notice of O.A informing 30.10.2017 to be the date of hearing was served on

Collector, Thane on 25.10.2017 and the notice of the Tribunal was served on 2.11.2017.

4. Collector, Thane is called to show cause as to why he should not be saddled to pay costs personally, due to failure to pay due attention to O.A and even towards his failure to depute responsible officer to contest this Original Application.

5. Reply to show cause notice be filed on the next date apart from reply to the Original Application.

6. P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Collector, Thane.

7. Issue of interim relief is kept open. Applicants shall be free to join on the transferred post and such joining shall not come in the way of granting relief as deemed proper.

8. S.O to 23.11.2017.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed. Learned C.P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-(A.H Joshľ (J.) Chairman

Place : Mumbai Date : 08.11.2017 Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

H:\Anil Nair\Judgments\2017\Nov 2017\O.A 990.17 Transfer order challenged, SB. Int, A.H Joshi.doc

DATE: 8/11/2012 CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A-

APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: A.R. Deshparak

Advocate for the Applicant Sbri/Smt.:...S.f.:Mancheteo C.P.O / P.O. for the Reported is

Adj. To <u>23/11/2012</u>. Stero copy & Hamdost is allowed to Ld. C.p.O. Tribunai's orders

Date: 08.11.2017.

O.A.No.712 of 2017

N.M. Korde & Ors.

....Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.R. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.11.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. Respondents shall be free to relieve the Applicants for joining at the transferred post, in case there is no legal impediment in relieving them.

9. In case Applicants are relieved it shall suffice to send a report and filing affidavit shall not be necessary.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned C.P.O.. Learned C.P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

11. S.O. to 23.11.2017.



50/-(A.H. Joshi J.) ( Chairman

prk

He

Original Application No.

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate ......)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	
		Date : 08.11.2017.	
		M.A.No.323 of 2017 in O.A.M	No.712 of 2017
		N.M. Korde & Ors. Versus	Applicants.
		The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.R. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

## DATE: 8/11/2017 CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member).A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Sout - A-R. Deshford -

Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. :...S.f. MANCH Ver C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. M.A. is allowed

Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.

Considering the cause of action pursued by the

4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.

5. M.A. is allowed.

Sd/ (A.H. Joshi J. Chairma

prk

3.

(0.0.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000-2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA A	ISPL. MAT-F-2 E. DMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
Original Application No. 9	DISTRICT
Original Application No. of	20 Applicant/s
(Advocate	)
	versus
The State o	f Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
	an a
(Presenting Officer	······································
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunal's orders
directions and Registrar's orders	
	Date: 08.11.2017.
	O.A. 410 of 2017
	0.4. 410 01 2017
	Shri Sunil S. SingatkarApplicant.
	3111 34111 3. S. Bernar
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate
	for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned
	Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
DATE: 8/11/17	
CORAM;	2. Pleading is complete. The Original Application is
ine 'be Shri. RAITV AGARWAL	admitted and appointed for final hearing on 29.11.2017.
(Vice - Chairman)-	
ADDRARANCE. B.P. Patl	Sd/-
Stille C.T. chandraire	(B.P. Patil)
s a cha A matthema	(B.F. Path) Member (J)
Shirt /Sunt	
-C.P.O.+P.O. for the Respondences	nmn
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
- Adi To D.A. is admitted. 5.0. +0 29/11/17.	
5.0. +0 29/11/17.	
by cert	

.

Tribunal's orders

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

O.A. 1035 of 2017

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.11.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days, and if service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

S.O. to 22.11.2017.

8.

Sd/-

(B.P. Patil) Member (J)

CORAM : n'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL-(Vice - Chairman) ilon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J APPEARANCE : m Dr. Gensaten Sadavaile Advocate for the Applicant Shri ASmt. T. N. R. R. C. PULZ C.P.O +P.O. for the Responden 5.0. +022/11/17

8/11/17

DATE:

Versus

Date: 08.11.2017.

Shri Shankar D. Kumbhare & Ors.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Applicant.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000-2-2015)	ISPI MAT-F-2 E.
IN THE MAHARASHTRA A	DMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
M	<b>TUMBAI</b>
Original Application No. of 2	
	Applicant/s
(Advocate	
	versus
The State of	Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,	
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunal's orders
directions and Registrar's orders	
	Date: 08.11.2017.
	M.A. 471 of 2017 in O.A. 1035 of 2017
	Shri Shankar D. Kumbhare & OrsApplicant.
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, the learned
	Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the
	learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
ATE: 8/11/17	2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.
DRAM	
DA VIO Shri. RATIV AGARWAL-	3. The cause pursued by the applicants in the O.A. i
m'ble Shri <del>R. B. MALIK</del> (Memb <del>e</del> t) J	
B.P. Patil	common and concurrent.
Man TDo. Gunsatan Sadawite	
ivocete for the Applicant	Application is allowed subject to Applicants payin
P.O. + P.O. for the Respondents	requisite court fees, if not already paid.
M.A. is disposed of.	5. M.A. disposed of accordingly.
I. TUrray and interesting and and and a second	
	Sd/-
	(B.P. Patil)
	(B.P. Path) Member (J)
	Inclusion (4)

nmn

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.11.2017.

O.A.No.1004 of 2017

Shri A. K. Jetithar ....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents.

1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. S. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.12.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record

S.O. to 06.12.2017

8.

Sd/-

8111

APPEARANCE: 5 P. Pott

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondered

5.0.

Advocate for the Applicant

n'the Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

flon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) T

-(Vice - Chairman)

: N. Kalmh

+0 6/12

DATE:

CORAN

Shri /Smt. ....

Tribunal's orders

Date: 08.11.2017.

#### O.A.No.1003 of 2017

## Shri R.S. Hingmire

....Applicant.

#### Versus

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

## The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents.

1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.12.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on 'Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record

8. S.O. to 06.12.2017

Sd/-

## (G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000-2-2015)

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

## **MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

....Applicant.

(Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.11.2017.

O.A.No.126 of 2017

DISTRICT

Shri S.S. Jatti

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents.

1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant has challenged the order dated 08.02.2016 passed by the Collector, Solapur in which the punishment was imposed on the applicant.

3. Learned P.O. has submitted that the applicant has challenged the impugned order dated 08.02.2016 passed by the Collector, Solapur by preferring an appeal before the Minister of State (Revenue & Forest Dept.) and same is pending before the Minister. Therefore, he prayed to disposed of the present O.A.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that the applicant wants to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to approach the Tribunal on fresh cause of action since, the appeal preferred is pending before the Minister.

In view of the submission made by the learned 5. Advocate for the applicant, the Original Application is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the applicant to approach the Tribunal on fresh cause of action. No order as to costs.

81 DATE: CORAM The Shri. RAITY AGARWAL (Vice + Chairman) IK (Member) Hea tote Sari R. B APPEARANCE SAN/Out. Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Smt. tom C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondents D.A. is dispose

Sd/-

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.11.2017.

#### O.A.No.571 of 2017

Shri N.P. Shinde

...Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant has challenged the order dated 11.11.2016 issued by respondent no.1 by which he has granted deemed date of promotion on the post of Police Inspector w.e.f. 10.07.2015. The applicant has claimed deemed date of promotion w.e.f. 10.12.2010.

submitted that the 3. Learned P.O. has 11.11.2016 has been impugned order dated cancelled by the respondent no.2 and the applicant was given deemed date of promotion on the post of Police Inspector w.e.f. 24.01.2011. She has produced a copy of order dated 05.10.2017 produced by the respondent no.1. It is taken on record.

4. Learned advocate for the applicant on instruction from the applicant namely N. P. Shinde who is present before the Tribunal states that in view of the order dated 05.10.2017, the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. and he is satisfied with the order. Therefore, he has submitted to dispose of the O.A. accordingly.

5. In view of the submission made by learned advocate for the applicant, the Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.



Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL-(Vice - Chairman) hle Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J APPEARANCE Patil G. A. Beend inscontation Advocate for the Applicant -Shritsmt. :....Kis.G.a. C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents O.A. is dispose

DAUS: 8/11/17

Advocate for the Applicant

5.0.+0

We Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

Hom'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J APPEARANCE: B. P. Patti

(Vice - Chairman)

G.A. Bandiwaderen

1200

15/11

CORAM:

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.11.2017.

O.A.No.138 of 2017

Shri H.B. Suryawanshi & Ors. ....Applicants.

#### Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicants have sought direction of the Tribunal to respondent to modify the order dated 19.10.2013 granting first benefit of time bound promotion to them in Pay Band of Rs.5200-20,200/- with Grade Pay of Rs.1,600/- and grant them Pay Band of Rs.5200-20,200/- with Grade Pay of Rs.2000/-.

3. Learned P.O. has submitted that the respondent has issued the order dated 25.04.2017 and cancelled the G.P. of Rs.2000/- granted to Shri Pagare. She has further submitted that the respondents have cancelled the order dated 19.10.2013 by which the first time bound promotion was granted to the applicants. She has submitted that in view of the order dated 25.04.2017, the relief claimed by the applicants became infructuous and, therefore, she prayed to dispose of the O.A.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicants submitted that he wants to take instructions from the applicants as to whether they want to proceed with the O.A. or they want to make amendment in the O.A. seeking relief challenging in the order dated 25.04.2017 passed by the respondent and therefore, he sought one week's time to make submission in that regard. Time granted as prayed for.

S.O. to 15.11.2017.

5.

Sd/-

## (B. P. PATIL)

· .	2
de of Cover	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.1029 of 2017 Shri Dilip Keshav Kulkami
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Issue notice returnable on 23.11.2017.
	3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
DATE: <u>SUIZOLZ</u> CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit o compliance and notice.
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A APPEARANCE: Shri/Spot: K.R. Jagdale	7. In case notice is not collected within three days and service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
Advocate for the Applicant Shri-/Smt. :	8. Ld. Advocate for applicant states that if para no.7 to 7.8 of OA are replied that shall suffice.
Att To 7954c notice Yournal on 23/11/2017.	9. The applicant shall join on transferred post. T joining shall be subject to outcome of OA.
on 2-3/11/2017.	Sd/-
YE	(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 8.11.2017
	(sgj)

Tribunal's orders

### 08.11.2017

# O.A No 1027/2017

Shri R.R Pawar

... Applicant

Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri N.K Rajpurohit, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 23.11.2017. 2.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery/ post/courier and acknowledgement 6. be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand and papers be dismissed without reference consigned to record.

Applicant shall be free to join on transferred post and joining shall not come in the way of grant of interim or final relief

S.O to 23.11.2017. 9

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, JI) Chairman

8/11/2012

CORAM : Hon'ble justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: A.V. Bandiwodeker

DATE :

Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt T.K. Raj pyrahit C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

ofte

Adj. To. 2 2/ 11/ 2017.

Tribunal's orders

Date: 08.11.2017.

O.A.No.869 of 2017

V.P. Borase & Ors.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

....Applicants.

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for 1. the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the 2. Respondents prays for two week's time for filing affidavit-inreply.

Learned P.O.'s request for two week's time is based on 3. letter received from Additional Commissioner of Police, (Admn.).

It is surprising that despite grant of two months time, 4.' again two week's time is sought, particularly when there is hardly anything to contest.

Therefore, Commissioner of Police, (Navi Mumbai) is 5. directed to file his own affidavit on following points :-

(a) Date when copy of O.A. was received in his office.

(b) Date when he has read the O.A..

- Reasons due to which two week's time is required (c) for filing reply.
- (d) What is the legal impediment exists ir taking decision on applicant's prayer for cons dering their request for posting in constabulary?

Affidavit be filed on or before 21.11.2017.

Learned P.O. is directed to communiate this order. 7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O..

S.O. to 21.11.2017. 5.

6.

prk

Sd/-U V M (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman

8/11/2017 DATE :

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Sut A.V. Bandiwadder

Advocate for the Applicant Shritsmi Ayuna B.K. C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 21/11/2017. Steno copy of Hambest is allowed to Ld. p.o.

2

#### versus

# The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

.....) (Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 08.11.2017.

# M.A.No.73 of 2017 in R.A.No.4 of 2017 in

### O.A.No.275 of 2010

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Applicants (Org. Respondents)

Versus

Dr. B.B. Birajdar & Ors.

.....Respondents (Org. Applicants)

Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer 1. for the Applicants (Org. Respondents).

None appeared on behalf of Respondents (Original 2. Applicants).

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. states that in view 3. of courts affirmative action for amendment of rules, M.A. & R.A. have become infructuous.

In view of the statement of learned P.O., M.A.No.73 of 4. 2017 and R.A.No.4 of 2017 are disposed of.

> Sd/-(A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman

prk

YE

{*P.T.O.* 

8/11/2 DATE :

CORAM : Hon'ble hastice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) House M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPL NCE: Share K.S. Gaikulad Id. P.O. Advenue for the Applicant (059 R98) sh HMC for the Regt. C.I. P.O. for the Respondent/s (2) APP.) Adj. To MA. 10.73 of 2012 97

R.A. 4/2017 arc disposed.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

Applicant/s

DISTRICT

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders Date : 08.11.2017. O.A.No.748 of 2017 (Subject : Transfer) ....Applicant. Dr. M.V. Chindholikar Versus .....Respondents. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Shri V.B. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the 1. Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Presenting Officer for 2. 8/11/201 DATE : the Respondents on instructions states as follows :-CORAM : Impugned transfer order is cancelled by the Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) (a) Hon'hle Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A Government. All effects of cancellation of impugned order APPEARANCE : including pay and allowances will follow in view of (b) Shri/Sput . U.V. 105 interim order in O.A.. Advocate for the Applicant In view of the statement of learned P.O., O.A. is Shri/Smt : H.K. Rej Mochit C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s 3. disposed, as its purpose is accomplished. Ad To OA is disposed. Sd/y. (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman prk