

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 08.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.328 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>P.A. Bodekar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.3 &amp; 4 separately. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 08.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.501 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>D.K. Gawali</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Reply on behalf of remaining Respondent by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 08.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.316 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>Dr. R.B. Nirmale</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent Nos.2 &amp; 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.122 of 2021</p> <p>D.D. Rane .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent No1. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. S.O. to 27.10.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.524 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. Dhumal .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three days time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply, since it is under consideration.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.584 of 2021</p> <p>A.M. Mali .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. and Advocate for the Respondents two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 08.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1184 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>D.J. Sonawane</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Nitesh Yadav, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Pathak, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 is under preparation and same will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. further submits that Reply of Respondent No.2 is enough and Reply from remaining Respondents is not required.</p> <p>4. Since pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 28.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.644 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. D. R. Jadhav <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Counsel are continuously absent.</p> <p>3. Thus, they seem not interested in the matter.</p> <p>4. In view of above, O.A. is dismissed in default with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.10.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.403 of 2021 in O.A.No.764 of 2021</p> <p>Shri Padurang T. Salunkhe ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Ramesh Asawa, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is really un-understandable how the order dated 09.10.2019 which is challenged in the present O.A. is passed by the Respondent No.3 when by order dated 18.07.2019 the order of withholding of 5% pension for two years is already passed invoking Rule 27 (1) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules 1981, <i>in view of his retirement.</i></p> <p>3. In O.A. challenge is to the order dated 09.10.2019 only whereby Respondent No.3 passed an order of deemed suspension w.e.f. 14.09.2012 i.e. the date of judgment of Criminal Case in which the Applicant was convicted under Section 138 of Negotiable Instrument Act, 1881 and was sentenced to suffer six months imprisonment. The conviction was upheld in appeal and Applicant has already undergone jail sentenced of six months from 04.02.2017 to 03.08.2017.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. submits that remedial measures will be taken and sought time.</p> <p>5. The matter be kept on 21.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 08.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.611 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>R. S. Kulat</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shamsunder Solanke, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant stands retired as a Sr. Clerk on 31.05.2020. However, his grievance was that he was eligible for promotion as per seniority to the post of Assistant District Sainik Welfare Officer / Assistant Superintendent. According to him, he was not promoted due to lapses on the part of Respondents, and therefore, file the present O.A.</p> <p>3. Thus, basically the Applicant is claiming promotion with retrospective effect and this is not a case of deemed date of promotion.</p> <p>4. The subject of promotion pertains to Division Bench.</p> <p>5. Registrar is, therefore, directed to place the matter before appropriate bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08/10/2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.162 of 2020</p> <p>V. L. Hate <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On this O.A., the tribunal has already passed order on 16.07.2021 that the matter pertains to Division Bench. Since the Applicant is claiming promotion for the post of Under Secretary by way of deemed date in view of his retirement from the post of Desk Officer.</p> <p>3. Thus, in effect the Applicant is claiming promotion with retrospective effect and it is not a case of deemed date of promotion simpliciter. Therefore, O.A. ought to have been placed before the Division Bench.</p> <p>4. Registrar is, therefore, directed to place the matter before appropriate bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 08.10.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.582 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>J. K. Bhatkar</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the matter was handled by learned C.P.O. but due to sickness she is unable to attend the Tribunal and further submits that she needs to take instructions from her and will argue the matter in next week.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 08.10.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.313 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>N. L. Thade</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Sushant Prabhune, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This O.A. is taken on today's board in view of circulation for final hearing since the Applicant's retiral benefits are withheld though he retired on 31.08.2018.</li> <li>3. In O.A., next date was given as 12.10.2021.</li> <li>4. Since the O.A. is already fixed on 12.10.2021, it would be appropriate to hear O.A. finally on the said date.</li> <li>5. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he is not available on 12.10.2021 and requested that O.A. be kept on 11.10.2021.</li> <li>6. Request is accepted.</li> <li>7. S.O. to 11.10.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/- v <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.110 of 2020</p> <p>Abdul S. Azami @ Shahid Nadeem ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Counsel are continuously absent.</p> <p>3. This matter was called in the morning session but Applicant and his Counsel are absent, and therefore, it is taken up again in the afternoon session but Applicant and his Counsel both are absent.</p> <p>4. Thus, they appear not interested in the matter.</p> <p>5. Hence, O.A. is dismissed in default with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Member(J)

Original Application No.

vsm  
of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 1046 of 2019</p> <p>S. P. Bhave <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the issue pertains to grant of Time Bound Promotion benefit which was later withdrawn by downgrading pay. As such, the issue basically pertains to entitlement of the Applicant to Time Bound Promotion.</p> <p>3. In view of office order dated 28.05.2021 subject of Time Bound Promotion allotted to Division Bench.</p> <p>4. The Registrar is, therefore, directed to place the matter before appropriate bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.543 of 2021</p> <p>P.D. Kedar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav .A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.548 of 2021</p> <p>I.G. Chappalwar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</li> </ol> <p><del>4</del> S.O. to 14.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 08.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.585 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.S. Dapke</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Advaita M. Lonkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 08.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.614 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>B.D. Ingale</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.G. Kayande, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.M. Misal, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of most last chance with specific direction that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.672 of 2021</p> <p>A.G. Sangar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 08.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.180 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>F.MD. I. Souraj</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant does not want to proceed with the matter and has tender letter of the Applicant dated 29.09.2021 to that effect. It is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.</p> <p>3. Perusal of record reveals that recently the Applicant is transferred to Solapur and his grievances is redressed.</p> <p>4. O.A. is thus become infructuous and accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 08.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.600 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>S.G. Dhanawade</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he wants to file M.A. for amendment and sought time.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to take necessary steps.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 08.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.59 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.M. Dongre</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has tendered letter of the Applicant dated 07.10.2021, wherein it is stated that he do not want to continue with the O.A. and sought permission to withdraw O.A. Letter is taken on record and marked as 'X' for identification.</p> <p>3. Since, the Applicant does not want to continue with O.A., allowed to withdraw O.A.</p> <p>3. O.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.402 of 2021</p> <p>R.H. Chavan .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Today learned P.O. has also filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondents. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.318 of 2021</p> <p>C.J. Yemal .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>3. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondents. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed by remaining Respondents.</li> <li>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Reply by other Respondents, if any.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 15.11.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.10.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1015 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S. D. Jadhav</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the order of his punishment passed by Shri Manohar Gaikwad as a disciplinary authority whereby he accepted the finding recorded by Enquiry Officer holding the Applicant guilty and passed the order of compulsory retirement.</p> <p>3. Interestingly the appeal filed by the Applicant was decided by the Director, which post was that time occupied by same the person namely Shri Manohar Gaikwad and by order dated 28.01.2019, he modified the order of compulsory retirement substituting it in the punishment of withholding of next three increments with cumulative effect.</p> <p>4. Thus, Shri Manohar Gaikwad who was the then disciplinary authority himself later decided the appeal in the capacity of Director which is totally impermissible in law. Since the impugned order of punishment was passed by him, he should have recused himself from hearing of the matter.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Needless to mention where appeal is decided by the same person is obviously influenced by his own findings and in that event the issue of bias and prejudice is obvious. The appeal ought to have been heard and decided by the independent authority and not by the same person.</p> <p>6. In view of above, as rightly pointed out by learned P.O., the matter is required to be remitted back to the Respondent No.1-Director, Government Printing and Stationary, Mumbai who is now manned by somebody else in view of retirement of Shri Gaikwad.</p> <p>7. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that since earlier there was the order of compulsory retirement which has been modified and substituted by way of punishment of withholding of three increments and the Applicant is taken back in service some protection till the decision of appeal is necessary. His submission is quite fair and acceptable.</p> <p>8. In view of above, O.A. has to be disposed of with certain directions. Hence the following order:-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>ORDER</u></b></p> <p>(A) Impugned order passed by Respondent No.1 (appellate authority) dated 28.01.2019 is quashed and set aside.</p> <p>(B) The matter is remitted back to Respondent No.1 – Director, Government Printing &amp; Stationery, Mumbai to hear and decide the appeal afresh in accordance to law within three months from today.</p> <p>(C) Applicant be continued in service subject to the decision of appeal.</p> <p>(D) The decision in appeal be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>(E) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision, he may avail further legal recourse in accordance to law.</p> <p>(F) No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.10.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.411 of 2021 in O.A. No.428 of 2020 with M.A. No.408 of 2021 in O.A. No.429 of 2020 with M.A. No.409 of 2021 in O.A. No.430 of 2020 with M.A. No.410 of 2021 in O.A. No.431 of 2020</p> <p>N.R. Tekam Y.D. Ogale R.K. Giripunje M.R. Chaudhari .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Dr. Gunaratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. All these M.As are filed for restoration of O.As. which was dismissed in default on 17.09.2021.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that his wife was hospitalized, and therefore, could not remain present. He further submits that he had already instructed his Jr. Mr. Gaikwad to attend the Tribunal to seek adjournment but even he could not remain present.</p> <p>4. In view of above, order of dismissal of O.A. dated 17.09.2021 is recalled and all theses O.As. are restored to the file for hearing on merit.</p> <p>5. M.As. are accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 08.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.428 of 2020 with O.A. No.429 of 2020 with O.A. No.430 of 2020 with O.A. No.431 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>N.R. Tekam</b> <b>Y.D. Ogale</b> <b>R.K. Giripunje</b> <b>M.R. Chaudhari</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Dr. Gunaratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. All these O.As were dismissed in default by order dated 17.09.2021, in view of order passed in M.A. No.408/2021 to M.A. No.411/2021, O.A. is resorted.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant pointed out that request of the Applicant for transfer is already under consideration before Respondents.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. submits that proposal is under consideration but it will take some time for decision on merit.</p> <p>5. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 29.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>08.10.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 689/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.S Gengane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents 1 to 3 and Ms Advaita Lonkar, i/b Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 4.</p> <p>2. Mr Vikas Thorat, Section Officer, Revenue &amp; Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, is present today instead of Joint Secretary, Revenue &amp; Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. He seeks four weeks' time to file affidavit in reply to the query raised by this Tribunal on 5.10.2021.</p> <p>3. We put the query to the Respondent which is as under:-</p> <p align="center">“(b) There is mention of the request given by the State to MPSC about filling up the post of Naib Tahsildar. How many posts?</p> <p>He informs that on 13.12.2019, request was made by the Revenue &amp; Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai for filling up 113 posts of Naib Tahsildar by nomination.</p> <p>4. The Divisional Commissioner, Pune, is hereby directed to submit information immediately as to how many promotional posts of Naib Tahsildar are vacant in Pune Division. It is to be noted by the Divisional Commissioner personally that he has not answered to the letter sent by the Respondent, Revenue &amp; Forest Department, on 16.4.2021 and 27.8.2021.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O is directed to look into the matter personally and report compliance at 2.15 pm.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>08.10.2021</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 689/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.S Gengane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p><b><u>Later on:</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents 1 to 3 and Mr U.V Bhosle, holding for Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 4.</p> <p>2. In this case, the applicant, who has been promoted on ad hoc basis from the post of Awal Karkun to the post of Resident Naib Tahsildar as per order dated 19.1.2021, prays that he should be allowed to continue on the promoted post of Resident Naib Tahsildar, Tahsil office, Haveli, Pune Division, Pune, with all consequential service benefits.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is likely to be reverted to the post of Awal Karkun in view of the decision of this Tribunal dated 27.7.2021 in O.A 88/2021, wherein Shri Devkule, applicant in that Original Application was directed to be promoted to the post of Resident Naib Tahsildar. Learned counsel for the applicant further submits that there are number of posts of Naib Tahsildar which are vacant in Pune Division, to be filled in by way of promotion and the applicant stands at Sr. No. 1 in the seniority list.</p> <p>4. On query, learned P.O produces a letter dated 8.10.2021, signed by Deputy Commissioner, Revenue, Pune Division, Pune, wherein it is stated that there are in all 44 posts vacant, i.e. 30 posts from the feeder cadre of Awal Karkun and 14 posts from the feeder cadre of Circle Officer. Learned P.O further submits that the meeting of the Departmental Promotion Committee will be held within a period of two months. Learned P.O submits that there is no vacant post for the year 2019-2020 when the applicant was promoted temporarily as Resident Naib Tahsildar from the select list of 2019-2020.</p> <p>5. As per the letter dated 8.10.2021, there are still 30 posts of Resident Naib Tahsildar vacant which are to be filled in by way of promotion from the feeder cadre of Awal Karkun. The applicant stands at serial no. 1 in the seniority list. The applicant has also worked on the post of Resident Naib Tahsildar from 19.1.2021. Therefore, we feel that it will be justified that he should be continued on the said post of Resident Naib Tahsildar till the meeting of the D.P.C is held and the results declared.</p> <p>6. In view of the above, Original Application stands disposed of with direction to the Respondents to allow the applicant to continue as ad hoc promoted on the present post of Resident Naib Tahsildar, Haveli, Dist-Pune till the meeting of the D.P.C is held and the results declared.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Alkn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.774 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.B. Tawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has preferred this OA seeking directions that his services are not to be terminated and no effect be given to the order dated 16.7.2021 passed by Joint Director of Sports, Pune in First Appeal No.45 of 2021 and if any order is going to be passed by the Commissioner, Sports, Pune in Second Appeal preferred by the applicant on 22.7.2021.</p> <p>3. The fact that second appeal is pending before the Commissioner of Sports, Pune, it is necessary for the applicant to move an application of stay of the order passed in First Appeal before the same higher authority. The appellate authority has always inherent powers to grant stay or reject the same. The application or prayer for interim stay is to be made before the authority where second appeal is pending.</p> <p>4. Commissioner of Sports, Pune is directed to consider the second appeal filed on 22.7.2021, which is pending, within four weeks hereafter. The second appeal be listed and to be heard and so far as interim relief is concerned it is to be decided within two weeks from the date of the order.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, OA is filed premature and hence dismissed as such.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha <i>hadgi</i>) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 8.10.2021 8.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.450 of 2021</p> <p>S. B. Mosamkar <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. requested for permission to file reply since the matter is already kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. Allowed to file reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It be taken on record.</p> <p>4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 29.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 08.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.402 of 2021 in O.A. No.494 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>M.P. Jamadar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.P. Jamadar, Applicant-in-Person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Since this M.A. is filed in pending O.A. No.494/2021 in which State – Respondents are already served and appeared through P.O. <del>therefore</del> issuance of notice in M.A. which is filed for Condonation of Delay is dispensed with.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. to file Reply to M.A. as well as O.A. on next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 08.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.393 of 2021 in O.A. No.1104 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>A.S. Teji</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Anita Murgude, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to incorporate some important pleading in O.A. by way of amendment which is necessary for the appropriate decision of O.A. The proposed amendment will not change the nature of O.A. on the contrary it will assist the Tribunal for appropriate decision of O.A. Hence M.A. is allowed.</p> <p>3. Amendment be carried out within a week.</p> <p>4. Two weeks time is granted to Respondents to file Reply to the amendment made in O.A.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 25.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.10.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.350 of 2021 in O.A. No.213 of 2020</p> <p>S.P. Khamkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Abhijeet Kandarkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply in M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. 25.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="center"><b>LATER ON</b></p> <p>4. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1, 2 &amp; 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 08.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.276 of 2021 in O.A. No.489 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>Dr. R.R. Asawa</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Dr. R.R. Asawa., Applicant-in-Person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply in M.A. as well as O.A. by way of last chance with specific direction that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. 22.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 08.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.245 of 2021 in M.A. No.246 of 2021 in O.A. No.468 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>M.M. Patankar &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Bhagyashree Upadhyay, learned Advocate holding for Shri Khemka &amp; Assoc., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has pointed out that in O.A. relief is claimed for setting aside the promotion of 734 A.P.I. without joining them as party Respondents. She has further pointed out that since the Applicants are seeking relief of cancellation of promotion and direction for issuance of promotion to deserving candidates according with the roster point, <sup>Matter</sup> <del>Matter</del> pertains to Division Bench.</p> <p>3. Registrar is therefore directed to place the matter before appropriate Bench.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 08.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.233 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>D.H. Jadhav</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2. It is taken on record. No Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.3 &amp; 4.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission, since learned Advocate for the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.10.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.209 of 2021</p> <p>D.K. Doke .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li><li>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li><li>3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Reply by way of last chance.</li><li>4. S.O. to 25.10.2021.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.726 of 2021</p> <p>S.P. Nalavde .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri C.P. Yadav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 28.07.2021 whereby <del>the Applicant</del><sup>he</sup> is dismissed from the post of Police Patil on the ground that he had three children on the date of appointment and was illegible for the appointment on the post of Police Patil.</li> <li>3. Interim relief is declined.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.11.2021.</li> <li>5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> </ol> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

10. S.O. to 15.11.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 08.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.401 of 2021 in M.A. No.400 of 2021 in O.A. No.608 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus C.M. Kadam (Org. Appl.) .....Resps.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).</li> <li>2. O.A. No.608/2020 was decided by order dated 09.06.2021 whereby directions were given to the Respondents to release Gratuity, Regular Pension and Leave Encashment after adjusting interest on Home Loan due against the Applicant within a month.</li> <li>3. Since, Department could not comply the directions within a time limit, this M.A. are filed for Extension of Time along with Condonation of Delay.</li> <li>4. Learned P.O. submits that steps are being taken to comply the order and the matter is under process. She therefore requested for additional time for compliance of orders.</li> <li>5. Whereas, Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant) submits that the Applicant stands retired on 31.12.2018 and for no reason his retiral benefits are withheld, and therefore, he was compelled to file O.A. which has been disposed of with aforesaid directions. He has further raised grievances that provisional pension which has been granted has been stopped.</li> </ol> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. Perusal of record reveals that Respondents are taking some steps for compliance of the direction given by the Tribunal and I am therefore inclined to grant one month time <sup>from today</sup> for compliance of the directions given by the Tribunal in order dated 09.06.2021.

7. Applicant is at liberty to raise his grievances about interest delayed payment independently.

8. M.As are accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>08.10.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 315/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri V.V Pote &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that she need two weeks' time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 27.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>08.10.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 125/2021</u></b></p> <p>Smt Vandana I. Ingale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks one weeks' time to file affidavit in reply. Last chance is granted to the Respondents to file affidavit in reply. If affidavit in reply is not filed within one week, the matter will proceed without reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 14.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.598 of 2020</u></p> <p>P.R. Shinde . . Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. . . Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.11.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 8.10.2021 8.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.100 of 2021 in O.A. No.759 of 2021</u></p> <p>M.K. Satpute ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 21.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 8.10.2021 8.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>




**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.80 of 2021 in O.A. No.153 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.M. Kulkarni &amp; Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/- </span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span> 8.10.2021 <span style="float: right;">8.10.2021</span></p> <p>(sgi)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.150 of 2021 in O.A. No.153 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.M. Kulkarni &amp; Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 22.10.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of MA and O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.10.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.10.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.229 of 2020 in O.A. No.504 of 2020</u></p> <p>B.R. Rajwade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply. She states that reply will be filed on 11.10.2021 and copy will be served on the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.10.2021 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 8.10.2021 8.10.2021</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.48 of 2021 in O.A. No.82 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.D. Mundhe &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. According to Ld. Advocate for the applicant, cause of action arose on 17.4.2018 and the time started from 16.4.2019. The OA was filed on 28.1.2021.</p> <p>3. However, in view of order dated 23.3.2020 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Suo Motu Writ Petition (Civil) No.3 of 2020 and order dated 27.4.2021 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in MA No.665/2021 in Suo Motu Writ Petition (Civil) No.3 of 2020, there is a delay of 11 months.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply in MA.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 8.10.2021 8.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA.19/2020 in OA.1158/16 with OA.352/17 with OA.353/17</u></p> <p>S.K. Goraksha &amp; 24 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that reply will be filed during the course of the day regarding partial compliance of the order.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.10.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.414 of 2021 in O.A. No.783 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.R. Maule &amp; 13 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Shankar Katkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 8.10.2021 8.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.783 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.R. Maule &amp; 13 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Shankar Katkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO points out that there is no prayer clause in the OA.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to add prayer clause in the above OA by filing MA for the same.</p> <p>4. Leave granted. S.O. to 22.10.2021.</p> <p>5. Hence, OA will remain in objection for two weeks. If no action is taken it will be dismissed without placing on record.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 8.10.2021 8.10.2021</p> <p>(sg)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.770 of 2021</u></p> <p>D.N. Kiratkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant seeks promotion to the post of PSI and deemed date of promotion since his juniors were promoted on 8.3.2021 with all consequential service benefits.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.11.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">8.10.2021 <span style="float: right;">8.10.2021</span></p> <p>(sgj)</p>