

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.1150/2023

S.B. Jadhav

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice made returnable on 06.10.2023.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 6th October, 2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
08.09.2023

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
08.09.2023

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.856/2023

Dr. P.N. Joshi & Ors.

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Ld. CPO states that she is filing Affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.1. The same is taken on record. The copy of the reply to be served to the Applicants. 5 to 13 are the Private Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the Applicants files Affidavit-in-service.
3. In this O.A, the Applicants who are working as Assistant Professors in various faculties in Government Medical Colleges are Non-Medico persons though holding doctorate degree. They seek directions to Respondent No.4 to consider them for promotion to the post of Associate Professor with all consequential service benefits along with deemed date of 23.02.2023 when the juniors of these Assistant Professors were promoted to the post of Associate Professor.
4. The learned Advocate for the Applicants submits that it is a matter of interpretation of the Rules, especially proviso of Rule No.3 of Recruitment Rules dated 01.04.2015 to the post of Associate Professor. She submits that Rule No.3 provides that the Associate Professors to be appointed by promotion and also by nomination and for appointment on promotion of a suitable person, the criteria of seniority subject to fitness is mentioned. She submits that the proviso of Rules No.3 is mis-interpreted by the State and, therefore, the promotion to the post of Associate Professor is denied to the Applicants since many years. She submits that some of these Applicants were given ad-hoc promotion to the post of Associate Professor and after putting in few years, all of them were reverted to the post of Assistant Professor. She submits that if at all interpretation of the State is accepted, then none of these Applicants who are working as Assistant Professors will be eligible for promotion to the post of Associate Professor and it is injustice to them.
5. S.O. to 15th September, 2023 under urgent admission caption.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)

Member-A
08.09.2023

Chairperson
08.09.2023

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.No.968/2023</u></p> <p>S.N. Borse & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Consequent to order dated 07.09.2023, Ld. Advocate submits that Respondent No.2 - Director General of Police has filed Affidavit through Senior Deputy Assistant to D.G. Mr. Vinay Rane dated 08.09.2023. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that the recommendation of total 18 Police Inspectors are received and out of these 18 Police Inspectors 7 Police Inspectors had requested for appointment outside SPU and the recommendations of remaining 10 Police Inspectors are awaited and after receiving the information from them, the matter will be placed before the Police Establishment Board, as that Board is the competent one to transfer PIs in SPU.</p> <p>4. The Sr. Deputy Assistant to D.G. is directed to make a statement on oath as to when the process of collection of information of remaining Police Inspectors will be over and when they will be going to recommend.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 12th September, 2023.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member-A Chairperson 08.09.2023 08.09.2023 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1148 of 2023

P.V. Walke
Vs.

..Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 5.10.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

8.9.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.910 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.B. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. Ld. PO files reply.3. Admit.4. S.O. to 26.9.2023 for final hearing. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.625 of 2023</u></p> <p>N.S. Kabdhane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.V. Waghmare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.9.2023 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.286 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.R. Saraf ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.9.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.148 of 2023.

A.G. Dhore & Anr. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer
for the Respondents.

2. Ms. Prachi Hendre, Ld. Advocate for the applicant
has sent an email and requested for adjournment.

3. S.O. to 4.10.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
8.9.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.As. No.98 & 99 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.V. Khedekar P.M. Talathi ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that reply will be filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.9.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1313 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.K. Naiya ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. Applicant and advocate both the absent.3. Ld. PO files reply.4. Admit.5. S.O. to 22.9.2023 for final hearing. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.657 of 2023

R.N. Patil ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO files affidavit in reply and waives service of notice.
3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that he does not wish to file rejoinder.
4. Admit.
5. S.O. to 15.9.2023 for final hearing.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
8.9.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.382 of 2023 in O.A. No.657 of 2023

R.N. Patil ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that there is delay of 377 days in filing OA in so far as challenge to order dated 31.5.2021 is concerned regarding revised pay and delay of 202 days in seeking exception to order dated 13.9.2021 regarding recovery of excess payment. The applicant has retired on 31.10.2019. He has made representation on 21.9.2021 which is not decided. He submits that there is continuous cause of action and therefore the delay may be condoned.

3. Ld. PO objects to condoning the delay and submits that there is no satisfactory explanation.

4. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case and for the reasons mentioned in the MA and in the interest of justice the delay is condoned. MA is allowed accordingly.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
8.9.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.81/2023 in O.A.No.238/2023</p> <p>S.V. RajwadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that as per the earlier order dated 05.09.2023 passed by this Tribunal the online application was opened. However, in the column of 'Any Judicial Case Pending' if the option 'Yes' is selected, from the current path i.e. 'Current Module : Pension Processing' it goes to 'Proceed to Provisional'.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 15.09.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) / Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.717 of 2023 with O.A. No.718 of 2023</p> <p>U.L. Gadage & Ors. B.A. Pawar & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks two weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Affidavit-in-Reply must disclose guidelines issued by the Home Department or the Director General of Police – Maharashtra State which are to be observed by while allowing 'Inter District Transfers' and whether in the past 'Inter District Transfers' were done strictly as per 'Seniority on basis of 'Date of Appointment' or as per 'Seniority on basis of 'Date of Application'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.374 of 2023</p> <p>K.M. SuravaseApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant as well as learned Advocate for the Respondent No.1 states that Writ Petition No.5983/2023 is still 'Sub-Judice' before the Hon'ble High Court, Bombay and hence this O.A. No.374/2023 needs to be adjourned for Three Weeks.</p> <p>3. In view of above, this O.A. No.374/2023 is adjourned for Three Weeks.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.10.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1098 of 2023</p> <p>S.M. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. states that the Respondents will now convene fresh C.S.B. and also consider the recommendation made to the Secretary, School Education Department by the Commissioner of Sports and Youth Services, Pune on 14.08.2023 for the continuation of Applicant on his post of 'Deputy Director, Shiv Chhatrapati Kridapeth Sports and Youth Services, Pune.'</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O further states that to do so, she needs permission of the Tribunal since 'Interim Relief' was granted on 24.08.2023 by the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. further states that the Respondent are willing to withdraw the order of posting of the Applicant to the post of 'Deputy Director of Sports, Aurangabad' issued on 18.08.2023 and place it before the Tribunal on Monday.</p> <p>5. Liberty is granted to withdraw order of posting of the Applicant to the post of 'Deputy Director of Sports, Aurangabad.'</p> <p>6. S.O. to 11.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.939 of 2023</p> <p>G.D. KaradApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate has not produced 'Letter of Authority' for 'Special Counsel' as required from the Law and Judicial Department and Revenue and Forest Department to appear on behalf of the Respondent No.1.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply.'</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for 'Final Hearing' at the stage of Admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 14.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.618 of 2023 with O.A. No.676 of 2023</p> <p>S.B. GoneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.263 of 2023 with O.A. No.325 of 2023</p> <p>M.D. Aher & Ors., V.C. Patil & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that similar O.As in which Tribunal has passed an Order has been challenged before the Hon'ble High Court and the same will be heard by the Hon'ble High Court on 11.09.2023.</p> <p>3. Hence, this O.A. is kept along with other similar matters and will be heard on 13.09.2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.733 of 2023 with M.A. No.483 of 2023</p> <p>V.J. Mane S.A. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.733/23 & Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A. No.483/23 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.09.2023 (H.O.B.).</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.337 of 2023 with O.A. No.338 of 2023</p> <p>N.R. Shinde V.C. PadoleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri P. Sonawane, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 has already filed her Affidavit-in-Reply on 25.08.2023.</p> <p>3. Since pleading is complete, O.A. is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.09.2023 (H.O.B.).</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.555 of 2023</p> <p>S.G. RanpiseApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Shrutika Tilak, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant one week time is granted to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.'</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.567 of 2023 with O.A. No.568 of 2023 with O.A. No.572 of 2023 with O.A. No.609 of 2023</p> <p>M.S. Naik & Anrs., A.A. More R.N. Tayade S.R. Rathod & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that similar O.As in which Tribunal has passed an Order has been challenged before the Hon'ble High Court and the same will be heard by the Hon'ble High Court on 11.09.2023.</p> <p>3. Hence, this O.A. is kept along with other similar matters and will be heard on 13.09.2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chandra barty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1244/2022</p> <p>S.G. KochureApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We are dealing with and giving priority to the matters of the year 2016. The subject matter of O.A.No.1244/2022 is 'Promotion' and applicant is retiring on 29.02.2024.</p> <p>3. In view of above, Adjourned to 10.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.09.2023

O.A.No.401/2022

A.J. BavaskarApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate has submitted that the Applicant joined service on 16.06.1999. Applicant belongs to Koli Mahadeo-Schedule Tribe caste. On account of invalidation of caste certificate on 04.03.2020 the Applicant's service was terminated on 31.12.2021. Applicant prays that the post of Talathi where he was working, the Respondent be directed to create supernumerary post vide G.Rs dated 21.12.2019, 15.06.2020, 27.11.2020 and 28.10.2021.

3. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that in G.R. dated 21.12.2019 it is stated that the candidates whose caste certificates are invalidated on or before 31.12.2019 are only protected and the Applicant's caste certificate is invalidated on 04.03.2020 and therefore his service is not protected and hence he is terminated.

4. Learned Advocate has pointed out that nowhere in the G.R. the cut-off date is mentioned.

5. Adjourned to 14.09.2023. P.H.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.09.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 744/2023</u></p> <p>Shri B.A Shaikh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 6.10.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>08.09.2023</p> <p><u>O.A 772/2021</u></p> <p>Kundan R. Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Daksha M. Punghera holding for Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges the letter dated 19.6.2023 issued by Respondent no. 3, disqualifying the applicant for the post of Assistant Public Prosecutor, Grade-A.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.9.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 22.9.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Aku</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.09.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 891/2023</u></p> <p>Shri M.P Raut ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit in reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O to 29.9.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.09.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1107/2023 with 1121/2023</u></p> <p>Dr N.P Banage & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Ms Daksha M. Punghera holding for Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The applicants pray that the Respondents be directed to extend the age of retirement of the applicants till 60 years as has been done for different Doctors by various G.Rs and Notification dated 23.2.2022 issued by the Finance Department.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants to take note that we have already decided similar issue by order dated 31.8.2023 in O.A Nos 623, 626, 658, 1026 & 1066/2023 and the issue is no more res integra. Learned counsel prays that O.A No. 761/2023, 1021/2023 & 1059/2023 be tagged along with present Original Applications.</p> <p>4. S.O to 12.9.2023. O.A Nos. 761/2023, 1021/2023 & 1059/2023 be tagged along with present Original Applications.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p align="right">/</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.09.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 675/2021</u></p> <p>Shri P.V Mangure ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.V Sutar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that the case of the applicant is covered by the judgment of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad dated 5.9.2018 in Nikhl S. Chaudhari Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors, W.P 6064/2014.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the post of Surveyor cum Clerk from Ex-Servicemen horizontal reservation category is to be filled in. Learned counsel submits that when candidate is not available from Ex-Servicemen horizontal category, then the post is to be filled in by a Vertical reservation category. Learned counsel also submits that the case of the applicant is covered under G.R dated 16.3.1999 which is upheld by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Aurangabad bench in above referred W.P No. 6064/2014.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>5. S.O to 14.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.09.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 164/2023</u></p> <p>Shri M.P Bagul ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant, Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents No 1 & 2 and Ms Shrutika Tilak, learned advocate for Respondent no. 3.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for Respondent no. 3 files affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O to 14.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.09.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 183 & 184/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.C Dhone Shri G.D Vishe ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 6.10.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Aktu</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.09.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 478/2023</u></p> <p>A.B Suryawanshi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Jenish Jain holding for Dr Uday Warunjikar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 6.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha' Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.561/2023 in O.A.No.981/2021</p> <p>A.V. PawarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Neha Kachi, learned Advocate holding for Mr. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is moved requesting for expedited hearing of O.A.</p> <p>3. It is pointed out by learned C.P.O. that the issue of SEBC which is the subject matter in O.A. is pending before the Hon'ble High Court and the same is fixed on 15.09.2023. Learned C.P.O. has further submitted that it will be appropriate to decide the present O.A. only after the decision of Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>4. In view of above, M.A. is allowed and at the request of learned Advocate O.A. adjourned to 18.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.744 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.S. Karkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The above matter is already adjourned on board and next date of 26.10.2023 is given.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that in this matter the judgment and order passed by this Tribunal in Kapde is to be made applicable.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO seeks time.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 8.9.2023 8.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.808 of 2023</u></p> <p>B.S. Zute ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The above matter is already adjourned and 14.9.2023 is the date given on board.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.9.2023. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 8.9.2023 8.9.2023</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1022 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. S.N. BhaveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue to till further orders.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.10.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.840 of 2023 with O.A. No.841 of 2023</p> <p>M.S. Jamble A.S. GoraneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Since pleading is complete, O.A. is admitted for 'Final Hearing' at the stage of Admission with liberty to learned Advocate for the Applicant to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder', if any.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.810 of 2023 with O.A. No.911 of 2023</p> <p>R.B. Vaishnav & Ors., Vaibhav PilareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. Golambade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.09.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.792 of 2023</p> <p>A.H. SarodeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.769 of 2023</p> <p>U.S. SuryawanshiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Shrutika Tilak, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Neither the Applicant nor any Counsel is present on behalf Respondent No.3, though he has been served with the copy of O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant One Week time is granted to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.'</p> <p>5. S.O. to 15.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>