

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.699 of 2021</p> <p>S.V. VadalkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged mid-term and mid-tenure transfer order dated 07.09.2021, whereby he was transferred from the post of District Water Conservation Officer Soil and Water Conservation Division, Ratnagiri to the post of District Soil Conservation Officer, Zilla Parishad (Minor Irrigation) Division, Ratnagiri.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought interim relief to the impugned order <i>inter-alia</i> contending that the Applicant has hardly completed 10 months tenure in the present O.A. and has left 11 months for retirement and secondly there is no administrative exigency for special reason to displace him from the present post. She further submitted that only to accommodate private Respondent No.2 he is transferred mid-term and mid-tenure which is contravention of the provision of law.</p> <p>4. Per contra, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. submits that matter was placed before the Civil Service Board (C.S.B.) and thereafter with the approval of Hon'ble Chief Minister the Applicant is transferred and in his place Respondent No.2 is posted on promotion. She therefore sought to justify transfer order and opposed interim relief.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. has tendered file noting as well as minutes of C.S.B. for perusal of tribunal.</p> <p>6. Surprisingly, C.S.B. did not make any recommendation either way and the column remark is left blank. It is thus obvious that there is no such recommendation of C.S.B. This being the position it</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>appears that C.S.B. was not satisfied for the proposed transfer of the Applicant but restraint itself to make any recommendation which ought to have been made in accordance to law. This rather shows unsatisfactory working of C.S.B.</p> <p>7. Now, turning to the impugned order, true it seems to have been approved by the Hon'ble Chief Minister who is competent transferring authority for such mid-term transfer as contemplated under Section 4 (5) of Transfer Act, 2005. However, material to note no such reason is forthcoming for such mid-term transfer. The word "प्रशासकीय कारणास्तव" is also added in file noting in ink later on which also shows the manner in which the file is processed. Needles to mention while effecting mid-term transfer there has to be specific reason for doing so and mere mentioning of "प्रशासकीय कारणास्तव" is not enough. It cannot be taken as mere formality. Thus there is absolutely no such administrative exigency to displace the Applicant.</p> <p>8. It further <i>prima-facie</i> appears from the record that administrative exigency was only to accommodate Respondent No.2 in the place of the Applicant and only to adjust him the Applicant has been displaced, which is totally impermissible in law.</p> <p>9. True, the Applicant is shifted on the establishment in the same city but that will not render Transfer order legal since the Applicant is entitled for three years tenure in the post. Post as defined in Section 2 (g) of Transfer Act, 2005 means the job or seat of duty to which a Government servant is assigned or posted. As such the Applicant was entitled for three years tenure in the post of District Water Conservation Officer Soil and Water Conservation Division, Ratnagiri</p> <p>10. I am therefore satisfied that impugned transfer order is <i>prima-facie in</i> contravention of Section 4(5) of Transfer Act, 2005 and it deserves to be stayed.</p> <p>11. Interim relief as prayed in clause 10 (a) is granted.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 28.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.46 of 2020</p> <p>P. J. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that this matter is being handled by Smt. Archana B. K., learned P.O. but due to illness of her son she is unable to remain present and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.700 of 2021</p> <p>S.P. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed present O.A. challenging transfer order of Respondents No.4 & 5 alleging that place on which they are posted were sought by him in his request transfer application. Indeed the Applicant has not completed normal tenure of three years in general transfer of 2020-21 and he was not due for transfer. All that he requested for transfer at the place where Respondents No.4 & 5 are posted. As such there was no question of considering the request of the Applicant since he was not due for Transfer. Consequently he has no locus to challenge the Transfer order of Respondents No.4 & 5. O.A. is thus premature and nothing but abuse of process of law and liable to be dismissed.</p> <p>3. At this juncture learned Advocate for the Applicant prayed for liberty to withdraw the O.A. having found that O.A. itself is premature and have no merit.</p> <p>4. Allowed to withdraw.</p> <p>5. In view of above, O.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v v v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p>M. A. No.42 of 2020 in O.A.No.1227 of 2019</p> <p>H. S. KhudeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay for one year and three months caused in filing O.A. challenging the order of punishment whereby one increment was withheld with cumulative effect without stating anything further as to for what period it is withheld. 3. The Applicant is serving as Instructor, Class-3 employee and subjected to disciplinary action for authorized absence. 4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that in view of punishment of withholding of increment with cumulative effect, it is recurring cause of action. 5. Whereas, learned P.O. submits that this is not a case of recurring cause of action and there being no satisfactory explanation for the delay, M. A. be dismissed. In alternative submission, she submits that if the Tribunal allow the M.A., it be subjected to heavy cost. 6. I am in agreement with learned P.O. that punishment order cannot be treated as recurring cause of action and the Applicant was required to challenge the order within the period of limitation of one year. 7. However, while deciding the application for condonation of delay, the Court is required to adopt justice oriented approach so as to decide the matter on merit. 8. In present case, the Applicant is subjected to punishment of withholding increment which amounts to stigma and, therefore, it would be better to consider his case on merit instead of shutting the door at the very threshold. 9. In the interest of justice, I am, therefore, inclined to condone the delay subject to cost of Rs.5000/- as a condition precedent for further hearing of O.A. 10. If the cost is paid in the office within two weeks, O.A. be placed for further orders. If not paid within time, M.A. would stand dismissed automatically. 11. S.O. to 30.09.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.187 of 2021</p> <p>D.N. ShindeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Abhijeet Pawar, learned Advocate i/b. Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 06.10.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.189 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. NaraleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant tenders rejoinder. It is to be filed in the registry. Copy is served upon learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 17.11.2021.</p> <p>Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.177 of 2021</p> <p>J.M. Mulani & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Datta Mane, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 06.10.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.535 of 2021</p> <p>A.S. DigheApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he has served the private Respondents No.2 to 11 and he has filed the affidavit of service on 03.09.2021.</p> <p>3. The learned C.P.O. submits that the matter is sent to the G.A.D. to seek explanation in view of the order dated 10.08.2021.</p> <p>4. The learned Advocate submits that he seeks permission to amend to make G.A.D. as party Respondent.</p> <p>5. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 30.09.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.31 of 2021 in O.A.No.367 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. A.R. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits that within two days the order would be implemented.</p> <p>3. Matter fixed on 16.09.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.09.2021

**O.A.No.340 of 2011 to O.A.No.344 of 2011 with
O.A.No.687 of 2011 with O.A.No.875 of 2011
with O.A.No.757 of 2011**

K.P. Shah (O.A.340/2011)
G.C. Lalka (O.A.341/2011)
J.D. Mehta (O.A.342/2011)
A.D. Mehta (O.A.343/2011)
A.S. Dalvi (O.A.344/2011)
M. Mehta (O.A.687/2011)
U.G. Parikh (O.A.875/2011)
R.G. Kukreja (O.A.757/2011)Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.340/2011 to O.A.No.344/2011, O.A.687/2011 & O.A.875/2011, and Ms. Archana B.K., Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Pursuant to the query made by this Tribunal in order dated 07.09.2021, the learned P.O. files affidavit-in-reply dated 08.09.2021 on behalf of Respondent No.2 through Dr. Sonali Vijay Rode, Joint Director of Higher Education, Mumbai Region, Mumbai. Taken on record. Copy is served upon learned Advocate for the Applicant.

3. Perused paragraphs 5 & 6 of the affidavit we would like to know from the concerned Joint Director of Higher Education under which rules the service books of part time lecturers are required to be prepared and maintained. Joint Director of Higher Education, Mumbai Region is directed to remain present in the Tribunal sharp at 10.30 on 16.09.2021. There should not be delay at all.

4. Adjourned to 16.09.2021. FOB.

Sd/-

(Medha/Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.664 of 2021</p> <p>B.Y. Hegade ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. Matter is fixed on 17.09.2021 till then maintain status quo.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.439 of 2021</p> <p>U.D. MoreApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. We have perused the order dated 22.02.2021 passed by the Assistant Commissioner of Police rejecting the prayer of the applicant of filing the appeal of his husband challenging the order of compulsory retirement on the ground that the Government servant is deceased. It is not within limitation as the appeal is filed by wife of the deceased government servants.</p> <p>3. The Assistant Commissioner of Police should not have passed such order which is not consistent with the settled position of law and therefore is directed to process the appeal before the appellate authority to take decision within a period of two weeks and thereafter to decide the appeal within six weeks i.e. on or before 30.11.2021.</p> <p>4. In view of above, O.A. is allowed.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p>C.A.No.32 of 2021 in O.A.No.433 of 2020</p> <p>M.R. KasaneApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate Mr. M.D. Lonkar for the Respondent submits that he has received instructions to appear on behalf of Respondents and therefore seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 21.10.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.15 of 2021 in O.A.No.83 of 2020</p> <p>M.C. Lilani & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By letter dated 08.09.2021 written by the Under Secretary to the Public Health Department is placed before us wherein it is mentioned that the Department will implement the order passed by this Tribunal, after taking approval of the Government in accordance with the procedure the order will be executed. Taken on order and marked as Exhibit-A.</p> <p>3. It is directed that this implementation is to be completed within four weeks. i.e. on or before 11.10.2021.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 11.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>08.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 613/2020</u></p> <p>Shri S.N Swami ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder on 6.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>08.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 688/2021</u></p> <p>Samipa S. Chikate & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants prays that the Respondent no. 2 be directed not to apply the Circular dated 8.9.2020 to the preliminary Examination of AMVI-2020 and further be pleased to direct to revise the result dated 24th August, 2021 on the basis of Circular dated 8.9.2020.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the Circular dated 8.9.2020 having retrospective effect is void and ab initio in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Madhan Mohan Sharma Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors, (2008) 3 SCC 724.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O informs that the last date for filling up the form for the Main Examination is 20.9.2021. Hence, she prays that one weeks' time be granted to MPSC to consider clause (2) of Circular dated 8.9.2020 as to why retrospective effect to this Circular is not to be given.</p> <p>5. M.P.S.C, Respondent no. 2, is directed to file a short affidavit in reply especially on considering the ratio laid down in the case of Madhan Mohan Sharma's case supra, whether the impugned Circular dated 8.9.2020 is not to be made applicable to the present Examination, i.e. not retrospectively.</p> <p>6. S.O to 16.9.2021.</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.339 of 2021 in O.A. No.688 of 2021</u></p> <p>Samipa S. Chikate & 3 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant No.1 is from Pune District and hence within the territorial jurisdiction of Mumbai while applicant no.2 to 4 are from Nagpur, Beed and Jalgaon District which comes within the territorial jurisdiction of Nagpur and Auranganad Bench.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants prays for invoking the provisions of Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 and permit the applicants to sue jointly at Mumbai.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO has no objection and submits to the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. Permission granted. Applicants are allowed to sue jointly at Mumbai.</p> <p>6. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.9.2021 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.9.2021</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.14 of 2021 in O.A. No.1062 of 2019</u></p> <p>Dr. B.T. Karvekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of the letter dated 8.9.2021 send by the District Health Officer, Z.P., Ratnagiri to the Presenting Officer he pointed out that Accountant General office has informed that provisions pension of the applicant which is to be fixed in terms of 7th Pay Commission will be completed within a couple of days.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.9.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.9.2021</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.22 of 2021 in O.A. No.21 of 2017</u></p> <p>A.A. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO requests for one weeks time to file affidavit in reply of the State.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.9.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.9.2021</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 690/2021</u></p> <p>Ramdas M Desale & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>Later on.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the proposal dated 11.6.2021 sent by the Director, Public Health Department to the Addl. Chief Secretary Public Health Department in respect of grant of age relaxation is rejected.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O produces copy of letter dated 25.8.2021 of Under Secretary, Public Health Department that on the ground that age relaxation is available only to Government servants who are in service on regular basis and who intend to appear for other examination and therefore, it is not available to Government servants who are working on contract basis. The said letter dated 25.8.2021 is taken on record and marked as Exh. 'A'.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for leave to amend the O.A. Permission granted.</p> <p>5. S.O to 16.9.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.09.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 337/2021 in O.A 880 /2018</u></p> <p>Shri N.P Shendkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays to amend the prayer clause. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays that representation dated 24.8.2021 submitted by the applicant to Respondent no. 1, Principal Secretary, School Education & Sports Department, be decided in the light of the findings recorded by the Hon'ble Tribunal by order dated 17.8.2021 in O.A 318/2019 in paras 11, 12 and 13.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, we direct the Respondent no. 1 to decide the representation of the applicant dated 24.8.2021 within a period of two months and communicate the same to the applicant within a week thereafter.</p> <p>5. Misc Application stands disposed of.</p>

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.689 of 2021</u></p> <p>Ajaykumar S. Gengane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that he may be allowed to continue as Resident Naib Tahsildar as he is apprehending that he may be reverted in view of proposed promotion to Shri U.V. Deokule.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO is directed to point out number of vacancies available for the post of Resident Naib Tahsildar and how many vacancies are there for 2019/2020 wherein the applicant's name stands at Sr. No.26 in the promotion list.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that respondents are served at 3.33 p.m. on 7.9.2021 by email. None present from the respondents.</p> <p>5. Kept back at 2.30 p.m. today.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.9.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.9.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.09.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 689/2021</u></p> <p>Shri Ajaykumar S. Gengane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>Later on:</p> <p>1. Learned C.P.O on query raised by the Tribunal, learned C.P.O informs that for the year 2019-20 no vacancies were available for the post of Naib Tahsildar. Today 105 posts for Pune Division of Naib Tahsildar were available as per letter dated 21.1.2020 sent by Addl. Chief Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department, to the Divisional Commissioner, Pune. Learned C.P.O informs that in fact at that time there were 44 posts, out of which 31 posts from the feeder cadre of Awal Karkun and 13 posts from Circle Officer were filled in. Therefore, today, if we implement the order dated 27.7.2021 passed by this Tribunal in O.A 88/2021 directing that Shri Devkule, Awal Karoon to be promoted to the post of Naib Tahsildar, then the last person who was promoted is the present applicant, i.e. Shri A.S Gengane, will have to be reverted to the post of Awal Karkun.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant points out the last portion of the letter dated 21.1.2020 sent by Addl. Chief Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department to the Division Commissioner, wherein he has pointed out that 63 posts are available by direct recruitment and these posts are also required to be filled in by giving temporary promotions to the promotees for 11 months as a stop gap arrangement. She prays that the benefits can be given to the present applicant and he should not be reverted.</p> <p>3. Shri Devkule, who was applicant in O.A 88/2021 who is going to be promoted by virtue of the impugned order is required to be made a Party-Respondent in this Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks permission to add him in the array of the Respondents. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith and copy served on the added Respondent.</p> <p>4. We find there is tie between Mr Devkule and the present applicant as the Respondent has taken stand that there is no vacancy available for keeping the applicant on the post of Naib Tahsildar.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, we direct the Respondents to maintain status quo. We further direct the Respondents they they cannot consider the directions given in the letter dated 21.1.2020 by the Addl. Chief Secretary to the Divisional Commissioner, Pune Division as to why the</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>applicant was not given temporary promotion to the post of Naib Tahsildar in these 63 posts, if any of the posts are still vacant which are to be filled in by direct recruitment. The Joint Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department, is directed to file a short affidavit.</p> <p>6. S.O to 23.9.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.403 of 2021</p> <p>U.J. Khot & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate submits that the Applicants No.11 to 13 were added subsequently by the order dated 30.08.2021. He further submits that the interim relief granted by order dated 22.06.2021 directing the Respondents to verify whether the applicants are similarly situated at par with the candidates selected vide order dated 05.03.2018 and if the applicants are found similarly placed at par with the candidates selected vide order dated 05.03.2018, the Respondents shall send the applicants to undergo training to the post of PSI.</p> <p>3. The learned C.P.O. submits to the orders of the Tribunal in view of earlier orders.</p> <p>4. Thus considering the earlier order dated 22.06.2021 we pass the following order :- Respondents to verify whether the applicants are similarly situated at par with the candidates selected vide order dated 05.03.2018 and if the applicants are found similarly placed at par with the candidates selected vide order dated 05.03.2018, the Respondents shall send the applicants to undergo training to the post of PSI.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. The learned Advocate request that this matter be tagged along with O.A.No.353/2021 With O.A.No.907/2018 with M.A.No.155/2021. It is to be verified whether the applicants are sent for training. The learned Advocate is directed to verify the same within three weeks from today i.e. till 30.09.2021.</p> <p>6. Matter adjourned to 10.10.2021. To be tagged along with O.A.No.353/2021 With O.A.No. 907/2018 with M.A.No.155/2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.606 of 2019</p> <p>P. P. DoiphodeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 09.08.2021 mainly on the ground of competency of transferring authority. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks interim relief which is opposed by learned P.O. stating that she is filing reply and O.A. be decided on merit. 4. Perusal of impugned order reveals that the Applicant was working as Account Officer in the office of Maharashtra State, Lottery, Vashi, Navi Mumbai and she has been transferred as Account Officer, Mumbai City on vacant post. As such, the transfer is from Navi Mumbai to Mumbai 5. The Respondents have also filed reply and the matter is ready for final hearing, therefore, instead of considering the issue of interim relief, it would be appropriate to decide the O.A. on merit immediately. 6. O.A. is, therefore, kept for hearing at the stage of admission on 16.09.2021 at 11 am. 7. S.O. to 16.09.2021 at 11am. 8. Liberty is granted to learned Counsel to file Rejoinder if any with service copy to other side. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.581 of 2021</p> <p>A. S. RegeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.668 of 2021</p> <p>P. G. Mahajan ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Advaita Lonkar holding for Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A., the relief claimed is for declaration that the period spent outside duty from 06.06.2000 to 07.12.2000 be treated as duty period with all consequential benefits.</p> <p>3. O.A. is filed on 01.09.2021 in respect of the period from 06.06.2000 to 07.12.2000. As such, O.A. is filed after 21 years of the said period in respect of which relief is claimed. Thus, <i>prima-facie</i> O.A. is not within limitation.</p> <p>4. When learned Counsel for the Applicant was asked to satisfy how O.A. is within limitation, she requested for grant of time.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.09.2021

O.A.No. 687 of 2021

D. J. Parmekar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. C. Prabhu, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant is aggrieved by communication dated 31.08.2021 whereby his application for request transfer is rejected.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.10.2021.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
9. S.O. to 07.10.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.160 of 2021 in O.A.No.313 of 2021</p> <p>P. S. GhareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed for condonation of delay of two years caused in filing O.A.</p> <p>3. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Advocate are not attending the matter regularly.</p> <p>4. Today also they are absent. They seem not interested in the matter. Hence, M.A. is dismissed in default.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.650 of 2021</p> <p>A. D. MengeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.627 of 2021</p> <p>P. D. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that she has instructions from the Applicant to withdraw the Original Application. She has also tendered a letter to that effect which is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.</p> <p>3. In view of above, allowed to withdraw O.A.</p> <p>4. Interim relief granted by the Tribunal by order dated 23.08.2020 stands vacated.</p> <p>5. Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.342 of 2021 in O.A.No.610 of 2021</p> <p>P. B. IngaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order and filed the present M.A. Learned Counsel seeks permission to add certain more grounds which were not raised in Original Application. 3. The Respondents have not yet filed reply to O.A. 4. As such, the proposed amendment will not change the nature of O.A. since transfer order itself is under challenge. 5. In view of above, allowed to amend O.A. Amendment be carried out within a week and copy of amendment be served to other side. 6. Two weeks time is granted for filing reply to O.A. 7. S.O. to 23.09.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.09.2021

O.A.No.213 of 2020

S.P. Khamkar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On previous date, it was brought to the notice of learned Counsel for the Applicant that O.A. is not within limitation and on his request the matter was adjourned to take necessary steps for filing application for condonation of delay.

3. However, today the Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. No M.A. is filed. They seem not interested in the matter.

4. In view of above, M. A. & O.A. are dismissed in default.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

L.O.

1. Ms Priya Patil holding for Shri Kandarkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant appeared and requested to restore the matter. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. is present.

2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that she has already filed M.A. for condonation of delay in the office on 06.09.2021 but it is not listed with O.A. She, therefore, requested to consider the M.A. as well as O.A.

3. In view of above, M. A. as well as O.A. are restored to file.

4. The office is directed to place the M.A. along with O.A. before the Tribunal on 23.09.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.686 of 2019</p> <p>S. V. WaghApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In this O.A., the Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 09.08.2021 whereby the Applicant has been transferred from Child Development Project Officer, Harsul, Dist. Nashik to Child Development Project Officer, Akkalkuva, Dist. Nandurbar. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that his options were not considered which were required to be considered in terms of Government Policy, and therefore, sought stay to the impugned transfer order. 4. Admittedly, the Applicant was due for transfer. Though a Government servant is entitled to give options, he cannot claim it as a right. Administrative convenience and exigency are also equally relevant. 5. Therefore, I am not inclined to grant interim relief. 6. The Respondents are at liberty to consider the representation made by the Applicant dated 09.08.2021 in accordance to rules.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.09.2021.

8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

13. S.O. to 28.09.2021.

^

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

ysm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.685 of 2021</p> <p>K. D. Baraf & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This O.A. is filed by six applicants without filing an application for sue jointly. 3. Secondly, the impugned order dated 03.05.2021 pertained to Applicant No.1 only and there are no such adverse orders in respect of Applicant Nos.2 to 6. 4. Indeed, the office ought to have scrutinized the O.A. properly to find out whether M.A. for sue jointly is filed and it is a case of sue jointly. 5. The Registrar is, therefore, directed to look into the matter and to find out why scrutiny is not being made by the concerned. The Tribunal has noticed non ^{Scrutiny} scrutinisation of O.A. in other matters too for which directions are already issued to the Registrar. 6. In view of above, the Registrar is directed to submit the report in this behalf on next date. 7. When specific quarry was made to learned Counsel for the Applicant about adverse orders in respect of Applicant Nos.2 to 6, he concedes that there are no such adverse orders against them. He, therefore, sought permission to delete the name of Applicant Nos.2 to 6. 8. Allowed to delete the names of Applicant Nos.2 to 6. 9. O.A. shall continue in respect of Applicant No.1 only. <p align="right">P.T.O.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.10.2021.
11. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
12. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
13. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
14. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
15. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
16. S.O. to 05.10.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.40 of 2020</p> <p>D.K. WaghmareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.Y. Chavan holding for Shri N. Y. Chavan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant states that Shri N.Y. Chavan, learned Counsel is not well and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.600 of 2017</p> <p>S. G. DhanawadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short affidavit on behalf of the Respondent No.1 in terms of order passed by the Tribunal on 13.08.2021.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.213 of 2020</p> <p>S.P. Khamkar ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On previous date, it was brought to the notice of learned Counsel for the Applicant that O.A. is not within limitation and on his request the matter was adjourned to take necessary steps for filing application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. However, today the Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. No M.A. is filed. They seem not interested in the matter.</p> <p>4. In view of above, O.A. ^{M.A. & O.A.} is dismissed in default.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">R. A. No.12 of 2017 in O.A.No.808 of 2016</p> <p>J. M. GuravApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant in person is absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The matter is adjourned for hearing of R. A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.178 of 2021 in O.A.No.490 of 2020</p> <p>S. R. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week's time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance with specific instruction that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.09. 2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.180 of 2021</p> <p>F. Md. I . SourajApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply with specific instruction that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.262 of 2021 With O.A. No.455 of 2021</p> <p>M. R. KasaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for filing Rejoinder but same is not filed.</p> <p>3. The matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder in advance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>ysm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.265 of 2019</p> <p>A. B. Nyaynit ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard the Applicant in person and Shri A. J. Chougule, holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record and copy be supplied to the other side.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.321 of 2021</p> <p>D. N. TondeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.357 with 358 of 2021</p> <p>J. P. Chavan & Ors.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.376 of 2021</p> <p>A. M. NatekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.397 of 2021</p> <p>N. G. Shaikh ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply with specific instruction that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.406 of 2021</p> <p>A. M. Labdhe ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply by way of short Affidavit. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.450 of 2021</p> <p>S. B. MosamkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. L. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Enough chances are granted but no reply is filed by the Respondents. 3. The matter pertains to grant of interest on delayed payment of gratuity and it being small issue, O.A. needs to be decided expeditiously. 4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without reply. 5. S.O. to 17.09.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.463 of 2021</p> <p>D. D. SonuneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O.to 28.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.540 of 2021</p> <p>E. P. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri R. M. Kolge, learned P.O. for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. as well as learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2, one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.09.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 416/2020</u></p> <p>Shri D.D Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Prabhakar Khopde i/b Shri B.R Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit in reply and affidavit in rejoinder have been filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 6.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.408 of 2021</p> <p>K.N. DeoreApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit- in-reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 13.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgi) Member(A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.400 of 2021</p> <p>P.B. Jadhav & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.3 to 6.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. for the Respondents tenders affidavit-in-reply. It is to be filed in the registry. Copy is served upon learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Mr. Ashish Singh, Additional Chief Secretary is directed to file affidavit as to how much time will be taken to decide the issue of deemed date for the posts of Assistant Regional Transport Officer and Deputy Regional Transport Officer in respect of the Applicants who are waiting for promotions to the post of Regional Transport Officer. One of the Applicants' is due to retire on 30.09.2021.</p> <p>4. Further learned C.P.O. is also directed to file affidavit answering the following queries :-</p> <p>(a) Whether the question of deemed date was taken into consideration when the DPC was held in the year 2019.</p> <p>(b) Whether the benefit of reservation was taken by the Applicant No.4 for his first promotion.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 16.09.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.346 of 2021</p> <p>V.V. DaingadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.K. Hanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 17.11.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) ✓ Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.189 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. NaraleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant tenders rejoinder. It is to be filed in the registry. Copy is served upon learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 17.11.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>08.09.2021</p> <p><u>M.A 338/2021 in O.A 60/2021</u></p> <p>Shri Vijay T. Surve & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Vidya Kamble, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 6.10.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha/Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.09.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 321/2021 in O.A 195/2018</u></p> <p>Shri A.T Zanje ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Abhijeet Pawar i/b Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant, Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents no 1 & 2 and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for Respondent no. 3.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, matter adjourned to 21.9.2021.</p> <p>3. O.A no. 1052/2018 to be tagged along with the present M.A 321/2021 in O.A 195/2018 and is to be placed for final hearing on 21.9.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 27/2021 in O.A 1228/2019</u></p> <p>Shri R.K Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O points out that in the order dated 25.8.2021, from bottom fourth line in para 3, it should be read as 5 bills instead of 8 bills submitted to the Treasury Office. Learned P.O submits that out of 5 bills, 4 bills are cleared and one bill regarding G.P.F is pending with the office of the Accountant General.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O seeks for three weeks' time.</p> <p>4. S.O to 30.9.2021.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>CA No.25 of 2020 in OA No.28/2018 with OA No.938/2018</u></p> <p>D.L. Awate ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces copy of order dated 3.9.2021 passed by this Tribunal in R.A. No.14 of 2020 in above OAs. dismissing the RA filed by the State.</p> <p>3. In view of dismissal of RA the order passed by the Tribunal in the OA should be implemented and the applicant should be reinstated in service.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO submits that some time be given to the respondents to consider what action can be taken and whether the order can be challenged in the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>5. We have pointed out Section 22(f) of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 and also the relevant provisions of Order 47 Rule 6 of CPC.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 21.9.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>8.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>8.9.2021</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.09.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 27/2021</u></p> <p>Shri Y.G Lawande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 20.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p><small>Akn</small></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 66/2021</u></p> <p>Shri D.D Sonune ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that affidavit in reply has already been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant request for one weeks' time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O to 30.9.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.684 of 2021</u></p> <p>Yusuf U. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.A. Siddiquie, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is challenging the DE initiated against the applicant.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.10.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 8.9.2021 8.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 261/2020</u></p> <p>Shri B.A Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Place the matter on Board on 6.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>