

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.08.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.917 of 2024</p> <p><i>S. B. Kamble</i>Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A. Deshpande, learned Advocate for Applicant, Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A. Misal, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant presents copy of the G.R. dated 23.03.2017 of 'Water Supply and Sanitation Department' regarding applicability of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979' & 'Other Rules' as well as 'Policy Guidelines' issued by way of 'Government G.R.' / 'Government Circulars' to employees of 'Maharashtra Jeevan Pradhikaran'. Further, it was pointed out that in principle decision was also taken to give employees of 'Maharashtra Jeevan Pradhikaran' status equivalent to that of 'Government Servants'.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. emphasizes that 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979' are not applicable to employees of 'Maharashtra Jeevan Pradhikaran'.</p> <p>4. The Applicant un-doubtedly was initially appointed by 'C.E.O. Z.P. Soalpur' on 07.03.2024 to post of 'Junior Engineer' but Applicant was subsequently promoted to cadre of 'Deputy Engineer' by 'Order dated 13.01.2009' of 'Member Secretary' of 'Maharashtra Jeevan Pradhikaran'.</p> <p>5. The Applicant is now subject to provisions of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979' & 'Other Rules' as per G.R. dated 23.03.2017 of 'Water Supply Sanitation Department'. Infact there is quite admission of the fact that Applicant is now serving on the 'Civil Post' under 'State Government' as required for jurisdiction under 'Section 15(1)(b)' of 'The Administrative Tribunal Act'. The Applicant is subject to 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979' as 'Suspension Order' dated 18.07.2024' was served on him under 'Rule 4(1)'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. The 'Suspension Order' of Applicant was passed on 17.07.2024 by 'Disciplinary Authority' under provision of 'Rule 4(1)' of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979' and no reliance whatsoever has been placed on any other 'Service Rules' if any which have been independently framed and adopted by 'Water Supply and Sanitation Department' specifically in respect of employees of 'Maharashtra Jeevan Pradhikaran'.
7. The learned P.O. therefore in light of the above observations to seek information as to who is 'Cadre Controlling Authority' in respect of 'Deputy Engineers' of 'Maharashtra Jeevan Pradhikaran' against the backdrop of 'Water Supply and Sanitation Department' G.R. dated 23.03.2017' and if any 'Service Rules' have been independently framed and adopted by 'Water Supply and Sanitation Department' specifically in respect of employees of 'Maharashtra Jeevan Pradhikaran'.
8. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.08.2024.
10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
12. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
13. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
14. S.O. to 22.08.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 08.08.2024
	<p align="center">O.A. No.963 of 2024</p> <p>S.S. KashidApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that as per 'Government Order' dated 06.12.2023 of 'Revenue and Forest Department'; Applicant had been transferred to 'Deputation Post' of 'Tahsildar, (Special Cell – 3), S.R.A. Mumbai'. The 'Housing Department' by Order dated 13.07.2023 thereupon appointed Applicant on post of 'Tahsildar, (Special Cell – 3) S.R.A. Mumbai' period of 3 Years. 3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that Respondent No.4 who was serving on post of 'Tahsildar, Ambernath, District Thane' has been posted as 'Tahsildar (Special Cell – 3) S.R.A. Mumbai' in place of Applicant for period of 3 Years by 'Government Order' dated 03.08.2024 of Revenue & Forest Department. However, Applicant has not been given transfer to any new post by Revenue & Forest Department. 4. The Applicant as of today is serving on post of 'Tahsildar, (Special Cell – 3) S.R.A. Mumbai' and Respondent No.4 as of today is also serving on post of 'Tahsildar, Ambernath District Thane'. Therefore in aforesaid context (i) 'Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department' and (ii) 'Additional Chief

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Secretary, Housing Department' are directed to maintain 'Status Quo' in respect of both 'Applicant' & 'Respondent No.4'.

5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.08.2024.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

11. S.O. to 29.08.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 08.08.2024

O.A. No.964 of 2024

V.N. BhabadApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant mentions that Applicant who was serving on post of 'Deputy Superintendent, Land Records, Igatpuri, District Nashik' has been transferred by 'Government Order' dated 31.07.2024 of 'Revenue & Forest Department' to post of 'Deputy Superintendent, Land Records, Shirpur, District Dhule'; although he was just few months away from completing Normal Tenure of 3 Years on 23.09.2024.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant mentions about 'Personal Hardships' including 'Medical Conditions' besides emphasizing on the fact that 'Wife' of Applicant is serving in 'Nashik Municipal Corporation' on 'Non-Transferable Post'. Further as per GAD GR dated 09.04.2018 is applicable to 'Group -B' officers; atleast one of the 10 Options given by Applicant should have been considered before he was transferred to post of 'Deputy Superintendent, Land Records, Shirpur, District Dhule'; by 'Government Order' dated 31.07.2024 by 'Revenue and Forest Department'.

4. Learned PO seeks sometime to obtain information as to why none of the 10 Options given by Applicant were not considered; besides when Wife of Applicant is serving in 'Nashik Municipal Corporation' on 'Non Transferable Post' why 'Policy Guidelines' of 'Husband and Wife' to be posted together subject of the 'Administrative Exigency' were overlooked and further if any consideration was given to the 'Order of Precedence' as Applicant is placed at 'Serial No. 3' & 'Serial No. 5' of 'Annexure No. 2' of 'Statement 1' of GAD GR dated 09.04.2024.

5. S.O. to 13.08.2024 for 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.08.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.851 of 2024</p> <p>V.R. Chavan (Nirdhar)Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 2 and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Special Counsel for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Learned Special Counsel for 'Respondent No.3' – 'Maharashtra State Right to Service Commission, Mumbai' files 'Affidavit-in-Reply dated 31.07.2024 as per direction in 'Para 4' of 'Order' dated 24.07.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned Special Counsel for 'Respondent No.3' – 'Maharashtra State Right to Service Commission, Mumbai' states that he has already provided copies of 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 31.07.2024 to learned Advocate for Applicant as well as learned P.O.</p> <p>4. Learned Special Counsel for 'Respondent No.3' – 'Maharashtra State Right to Service Commission, Mumbai' specifically draws attentions to contents of 'G.A.D. G.R. dated 17.12.2016' which mentions about consultations at time lending of 'Government Servant' by any 'Administrative Department' to join on any 'Deputation Post' in other 'Administrative Department' and emphasizes that this must also to be observed by both 'Administrative Departments' at the time of withdrawal of 'Government Servant' from any 'Deputation Posts'; especially when it is premature before completion of entitled tenure on such 'Deputation Post'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Learned P.O. is informed to refer to the 'Order dated 28.05.2024' in 'O.A. No.666/2024' which is about observance of the "Deputy Secretary, Group-A and Section Officer, Group-B (Gazetted) in the office of the Maharashtra State Right to Service Commission, (Recruitment) Rules, 2018" and to seek confirmation about nature of negotiation expected to happen as per GAD G.R. dated 17.12.2016 between 'Administrative Departments' and if such practice had been observed in the past while making appointments to 'Deputation Posts' of 'Respondent No.3 - Maharashtra State Right to Service Commission'.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 16.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.08.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.807 of 2024</p> <p>T.M. GargeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. presents copy of the letter of 'Deputy Secretary, Tourism and Culture Affairs Department' dated 08.08.2024 which mentions about proposed 'Modification / Change' to earlier order of 'Deemed Suspension' dated 11.06.2024 and 'Corrigendum' dated 30.07.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then refers 'Judgment' in O.A. No.13/2009 dated 07.06.2010 which was subsequently confirmed by 'Hon'ble Bombay High Court' in 'Writ Petition No.2815/2011' on 07.09.2011, as it had which dealt with facts and circumstances similar to case of Applicant in present O.A. No.807/2024.</p> <p>4. The case of Applicant has not been handled with due diligence at the level of the 'Disciplinary Authority' of 'Tourism and Culture Affairs Department' which initially had issued order of 'Deemed Suspension' of Applicant on 11.06.2024 under 'Rule 4(2)(a)'; but subsequently upon realization that Applicant had not been detained in 'Police Custody' or 'Judicial Custody' for period exceeding '48 Hours'; in cavalier manner issued 'Corrigendum' to convert the earlier order of 'Deemed Suspension' into 'Suspension Order' under 'Rule 4(1)' of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. The orders of 'Deemed Suspension' dated 11.06.2024 was admittedly issued without cautious verification of all relevant facts but its attempted conversion into 'Suspension Order' by issuing 'Corrigendum' dated 30.07.2024' is 'prima-facie' not 'Intra legem' and therefore the 'Disciplinary Authority' of 'Tourism and Culture Affairs Department' was given adequate opportunity to revoke the consecutive orders of 'Deemed Suspension' and 'Suspension Order' by invoking provision of 'Rule 5(a)' of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979'.</p> <p>6. The contents of 'Deputy Secretary, Tourism and Culture Affairs Department' letter dated 08.08.2024 indicates that the 'Disciplinary Authority' of 'Tourism and Culture Affairs Department' as well as 'Appointing Authority' of Applicant are now in the process of reconsidering the facts of the case of Applicant and may thereafter effect appropriate 'Modification / Change' in the 'Corrigendum' dated 30.07.2024. Be that at it may; it is expected that the 'Disciplinary Authority' of 'Tourism and Culture Affairs Department' as well as 'Appointing Authority' of Applicant will take expeditious decision by invoking provisions of 'Rule 5(a)' of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979' within 'One Week'. Otherwise; for reasons elaborated above which explains how action of 'Disciplinary Authority' of 'Tourism and Culture Affairs Department' to convert the earlier order of 'Deemed Suspension' into 'Suspension Order' dated 30.07.2024' through the instrument of 'Corrigendum' was impermissible since powers of 'Deemed Suspension' are vested only with the 'Appointing Authority' under 'Rule 4(2)'; whereas powers to issue 'Suspension Order' can exercised by both the 'Appointing Authority' as well as the 'Disciplinary Authority' under 'Rule 4(1)' of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979' it would become imperative to quash & set aside both of these orders of the 'Disciplinary Authority' of 'Tourism and Culture Affairs Department' and thereupon permit Applicant to join back on the post of 'Director Archaeology and Museum, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 16.08.2024.</p>

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.08.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.977 of 2024</p> <p>R.J. Waghela & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bndiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicants states that Applicants were serving on posts of 'Clerk Typist' in 'Sir J.J. Group of Hospitals, Mumbai' when they came to be promoted as 'Senior Clerk' but were posted outside by Order dated 31.07.2024 of 'Commissioner Medical Education, Research and Ayush, Mumbai'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that those 'Clerk Typists' who were 'Junior' to Applicants have been retained on available post of 'Senior Clerks' in 'Sir J.J. Group of Hospital, Mumbai'.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that no meeting of 'CSB' was held and '10 Options' were not sought as per GAD GR dated 09.04.2018. Therefore transfers of Applicants on promotion as 'Senior Clerk' issued by Order dated 31.07.2024 of 'Commissioner Medical Education, Research and Ayush, Mumbai' were 'Arbitrary Exercise' of 'Statutory Powers' under 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for Applicant then emphasizes that even provisions of (i) GAD GR dated 01.08.2019</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

and (ii) GAD GR dated 31.01.2014 were not observed when transfers of Applicants on promotion from post of 'Clerk Typist' to the post of 'Senior Clerk' were issued by Order dated 31.07.2024 of 'Commissioner Medical Education, Research and Ayush, Mumbai'.

6. Learned PO states that the 'CSB' meeting was held and all transfers of Applicants have been effected as per recommendation made 'CSB' with approval of the 'Competent Transferring Authority' as per provisions of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'.

7. S.O. to 12.08.2024 for 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-

(**Debashish Chakrabarty**)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 08.08.2024

M.A. No. 487 of 2024 in O.A. No.977 of 2024

R.J. Waghela & Ors.,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bndiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. The Applicants by M.A. No. 487 of 2024 in O.A. No.977 of 2024 are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in this M.A. No. 487 of 2024, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. M.A. No. 487 of 2024 is accordingly disposed off.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 08.08.2024

O.A. No.242 of 2024

A.D. ShindeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents and Ms Aruna Ghadge, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5.

2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.

3. S.O. to 30.08.2024.

Sd/-
 (Debashish Chakrabarty)
 Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date: 08.08.2024 Tribunal's orders
---	------------------------------------

O.A. No.1256 of 2023

R.M. MujawarApplicant
 Versus
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.

3. S.O. to 27.08.2024.

Sd/-
 (Debashish Chakrabarty)
 Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 08.08.2024

O.A. No.902 of 2023

B.B. AnuseApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
2. Learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Compliance' of Order dated 03.07.2024 on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 19.08.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 08.08.2024

O.A. No.231 of 2024

D.S. Chavan

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.

3. S.O. to 22.08.2024

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)

Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 08.08.2024

O.A. No.1407 of 2023

Dr. L.G. BhalshankarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.
3. S.O. to 29.08.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 08.08.2024

O.A. No.1618 of 2023

D.M. KokareApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.
3. S.O. to 23.08.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 08.08.2024
	<p>O.A. No.230 of 2024</p> <p>Y.K. BangarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.08.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.849 of 2024</p> <p>P.S. BedseApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri D.S. Kachare, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 and Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 12.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 08.08.2024

R.A. No. 10 of 2023 in O.A. No. 555 of 2021

S.N. RaneApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 & 2. It is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 30.08.2024

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

M.A.No.473/2024 in O.A.No.865/2024

M.B. Deshmukh ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. M.A. is partly heard today. However, learned C.P.O. seeks time to take instructions.

3. Adjourned to 13.08.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.854/2024

A.A. Kale ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 14.08.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.853/2024

S.G. Raut

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 14.08.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.839/2024

V.P. Mhasal

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 05.09.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.834/2024

K.H. Kharade ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 29.08.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.834/2024

K.H. Kharade ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 29.08.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.694/2024

H.C. Gaikwad ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 29.08.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.693/2024

B.G. Shedge ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 22.08.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.692/2024

M.B. Deshmukh ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 22.08.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.675/2024

S.K. Shinde ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondents No.4 to 11.

2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that affidavit-in-reply is filed.

3. Learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents No.4 to 11.

4. Time granted. Adjourned to 05.09.2024

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.612/2024 with O.A.No.613/2024

A.P. Andhere ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge holding for Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad and Mr. D.R. Patil, learned P.Os. in O.A.612/2024 and O.A.613/2024 respectively for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 29.08.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.606/2024

R.R. Sonawane ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Upasani, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.

3. Time granted. Adjourned to 28.08.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.596/2024

M.N. Nerlekar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that by today or tomorrow affidavit-in-reply will be filed. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.

3. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 14.08.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.581/2024

K.D. Tatala ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar,
learned Counsel for the Applicants and Mr. A.D.
Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the
Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to
12.09.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

s d/

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.718 of 2024</u></p> <p>P.S. Shid ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 8.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.477 of 2024 in O.A. No.968 of 2024</u></p> <p>D.N. Kambli & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video Conferencing and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 8.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.8.2024</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.916 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.S. Cheke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video Conferencing and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.8.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 8.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.393 of 2024 in O.A. No.689 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.S. Sudewad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed application dated 15.7.2024 for speaking to minutes of the order dated 10.7.2024 passed in the above MA.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that Para 4 of the order dated 10.7.2024 which reads as 'Thus, MA No.393/2024 stands disposed off.' is to be corrected as "Thus, MA No.393/2024 is adjourned along with OA to 20.8.2024."</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>5. The above order be corrected and read accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 8.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.30 of 2024 in O.A. No.111 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.D. Satpute ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 8.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.86 of 2024 in O.A. No.849 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.D. Bhagat ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 8.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.243 of 2024 in O.A. No.518 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.A. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 8.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.476 of 2024 in O.A. No.832 of 2024</u></p> <p>V.B. Patil & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this MA the applicant seeks permission to amend the OA in terms of Schedule-I. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that after filing the OA, the select list is published and he wants to challenge the same.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>4. MA for amendment is allowed. Amendment be carried out within one week and amended copy be served to all the respondents. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. OA is adjourned to 22.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 8.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1492 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.L. Kokade & 20 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicants is absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 8.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1519 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.S. Kamtekar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 8.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1603 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.S. Phad & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 8.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 ____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 ____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.201 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.S. Chhapekar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.8.2024.</p> <p style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 8.8.2024 (sgj) Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.8.2024 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.224 & 227 of 2024</u></p> <p>G.P. Bhagat M.A. Pawar ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. District Collector, Pune is directed to send a responsible officer to answer our query and assist this Tribunal as to why the case of the applicants in DPC was not considered by following clause 9 & 11 of GR dated 15.12.2017.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 8.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.968 of 2024</u></p> <p>D.N. Kambli & 9 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video Conferencing and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The letter dated 30.7.2024 issued by the Public Health Department to the Commissioner, National Health Mission (NHM) is about preparation of the seniority list of the contractual employees for the purpose of their absorption in the mean stream. For that purpose the respondents have given some suggestions and procedure as mentioned in the said letter. The applicants are challenging condition no.(d) in clause (1) wherein the Commissioner has suggested that employees who are working on contract basis earlier in NHM but however now shifted to some other Commission or other scheme cannot be included in the seniority list. The example is given of Prime Minister Matru Vandana Yojna (PMMVY) and that suggestion was accepted by the Government.</p> <p>3. All these 10 applicants were working on contract basis in NHM till 2017-18 and then in 2018 they were appointed on contract basis in Prime Minister Matru Vandana Yojna. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that the applicants are shifted and appointed in PMMVY, the scheme is implemented by NHM which is part of the Public Health Department and today also these applicants are working under the control of Public Health Department.</p> <p>4. He pointed out Annexure A-3 page 42 which is the advertisement given by NHM for appointment on contact basis in PMMVY. He also pointed out Annexure A-4 page 43 which is letter dated 6.7.2018 addressed to Applicant No.2 by Joint Director (Non-Technical), NHM, Mumbai informing that he is being selected and appointed. He</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

further pointed out Annexure A-13 page 102 which is call letter dated 7.6.2018 for interview on the letter head of NHM, Mumbai.

5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.8.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)
8.8.2024

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
8.8.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.08.2024

M. A.119 of 2024 in O.A.No.234 of 2024

B. R. PatilApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Sonali Pawar holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay of '1 month and 26 days' caused in filing O.A. which is for direction to Respondents to re-fix /reduce the pay and not to recover any of the amount from the Applicant.

3. The Applicant has challenged the communication dated 22.11.2022 and Order dated 20.09.2022 passed by the Respondents thereby directing to recover the amount paid to Applicant on the ground that previous pay of Applicant was not protected as initial services of Applicant cannot be rendered to be for the Government. Another ground raised by the Respondent is that Applicant was not party to OA No.894/2021 in which it is stated that there shall be no recovery of the excess payment paid to Applicants in that proceeding.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. According to Applicant, he was in bonafide faith that recovery will not be initiated in view of the Judgment of this Tribunal in O.A.No.894/2021.</p> <p>5. The learned Advocate for Applicant contended that Applicant has requested by issuing letter dated 16.12.2022 and 10.11.2023 requesting Respondents that recovery may not be initiated. The Applicant has placed on record the copies of those two letters in support of his contention. It is further contended that the Applicant is similarly situated aggrieved person as that of Applicant in O.A.No.894/2021. According to him, the delay is not intentional.</p> <p>6. Today, the learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record. The contents of Application are supported by Affidavit. Heard learned PO. He has not seriously disputed the relief.</p> <p>7. Considering these facts, the delay needs to be condoned so as to decide the matter effectually on merit.</p> <p>8. In view of above, Misc. Application No.119 of 2024 for condonation of delay is allowed.</p> <p>9. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.234 of 2024

B. R. Patil

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Sonali Pawar holding for Shri S. D. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.09.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 04.09.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>08.08.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 368/2017</u></p> <p>R.H Aangre Vs. ... Applicant The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to argue the matter and produce the case law on the point of how the evidence is to be recorded and procedure to be followed by the Enquiry Officer.</p> <p>3. Time granted. S.O to 13.8.2024. Part Heard.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>08.08.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 361/2017</u></p> <p>V.N Itkyl ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan i/b Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 29.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.543/2024

Dr. P.S. Kasbe & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Mr. J.S. Wankhede, learned Counsel for the Applicants is absent.
3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 12.09.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.306/2024

N.B. PatilApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel has pointed out letter dated 24.06.2024 issued by the Director, Vocational Education and Training, through Directorate Joint Director, Vocational Education and Training, Nashik giving directions in respect of Applicant, Mr. Nilkanth Patil. It appears that in view of the directions given by the concern authority the Joint Director, Vocational Training, Nashik will have to consider the case of the Applicant.

3. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the D.P.C. meeting has been held in this matter and the case of the Applicant has been considered and now it will take some time to issue formal orders.

4. In view of above, O.A. stands disposed of with following order :

Respondent-Department is directed to take final decision on the issue of promotion of the Applicant within two months from today.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.523/2024

K.S. Anantkawalas ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day affidavit-in-reply will be filed. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.

3. Admit. Place for Final Hearing.

4. Adjourned to 22.08.2024 with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.543/2024

Dr. P.S. Kasbe & Ors. ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Mr. J.S. Wankhede, learned Counsel for the Applicants is absent.
3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 12.09.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.494/2024

K.S. Lanjekar ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day affidavit-in-reply will be filed. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.

3. Adjourned to 14.08.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.445/2024

K.S. Devarpatil ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 22.08.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.429/2024

B.A. Bahot ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 05.09.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.395/2024

Rajan Y. Reddiyar & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Vaidehi Pradeep, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that by order dated 07.08.2024 the present O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh Original Application.

3. Registry to take note of the same and remove the O.A. from the board.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>08.08.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 35/2017</u></p> <p>S.A Adake ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms Nidhi Choudhary, Commissioner, Vocational Education and Training is present and submits that Regional wise provisional seniority list of Office Superintendent was published on 4.2.2012 and Regional wise final seniority list of Office Superintendent was published on 29.8.2012.</p> <p>3. The Commissioner further explained that the provisional Seniority list of Office Superintendent at State level was published on 25.4.2012 and thereafter the final seniority list of Office Superintendent at the State level was published on 12.9.2012 and the name of the applicant did not appear in the said list.</p> <p>4. On query the Commissioner explained that the final State level seniority list dated 12.9.2012 was communicated to all the Regional Office and it was published on the website of the Department and so full protocol was followed by the Department.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel submits that no provisional seniority list or final seniority list of Office Superintendent was published by the Department after 2011 when he was promoted on 19.7.2011 to the post of Office Superintendent.</p> <p>6. We direct the learned counsel for the applicant to make statement on oath that the seniority list of the post of Office Superintendent was never published by the Department after he was promoted on 19.7.2011 till 2016.</p> <p>7. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>8. S.O to 13.8.2024. F.O.B.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.770 of 2024</p> <p>A. R. Nikalje & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. BAndiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for Applicant referred to earlier Order dated 02.07.2024 and made same submissions. He has also referred to the Order dated 18.07.2024 of this Tribunal in another case of C. M. Fegade V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors. in OA No.847/2024.</p> <p>3. The learned PO submits that the facts in that matter are altogether different. The learned PO has also referred to 'Clause 9' of impugned Order dated 08.11.2023 and submits that no application was forwarded by the Applicant. The learned PO also submits that no order in respect of 'Recovery' of any amount is passed.</p> <p>4. Considering the nature of matter, it is necessary to have Say/Reply to decide the 'Interim Relief' effectually on merit.</p> <p>5. The learned PO seeks time to file Reply.</p> <p>6. 23.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.611 of 2024

T. M. A. KhanApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Smt. Sumitra Sawant, Principal, Elphinstone College, Fort, Mumbai is present before the court to give details in respect of compliance as per direction given by the Tribunal in Order dated 09.05.2024.

3. The learned PO is directed to give information in details without failure on next date.

4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.

5. S.O. to 19.08.2024.

sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.1570 of 2023

Shri D. V. Kendre

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Sonali Pawar holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The learned PO submits that Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 has already filed.
3. No Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2 is filed.
4. The learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to file Affidavit in Rejoinder, if any.
5. S.O. to 29.08.2024.

sd/-

**(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)**

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.1474 of 2023

K. D. Pimple

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned Po requests for one week time for which learned Advocate for Applicant has objected since last chance was already granted.

3. The matter to proceed without Reply against the Respondent No.2.

4. The learned Advocate seeks time to file Rejoinder.

5. S.O. to 04.09.2024.

sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.976 of 2023

S. K. Bhavsar & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Sonali Pawar holding for Shri S. S. Dere learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply by way of last chance.
3. No further time will be granted.
4. S.O. to 23.08.2024.

sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.1085 of 2022

U. G. Joshi

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. U. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant through video conference and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply by way of last chance.

3. S.O. to 23.08.2024.

sd/-

**(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.166 of 2023

S. R. Salve

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Sonali Pawar holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned PO has filed 'Affidavit in Sur Rejoinder'. It is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 02.09.2024.

sd/-

**(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.98 of 2023

With

O.A.No.99 of 2023

R. V. Khedekar

P. M. Talathi

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. P. walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply in O.A.No.99/2023. It is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 29.08.2024.

sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)

Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.1584 of 2023

With

O.A.No.1585 of 2023

S. C. Kalekar & Ors.

S. A. Waratkar & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Amita Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, the learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply in O.A.No.1584/2023 on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.

3. The learned PO seeks time to file Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2.

4. The Respondents to file Reply positively on next date.

5. S.O. to 23.08.2024.

sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)

Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.02 of 2024

R. S. Patil & Ors.Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 20.08.2024.

sd/-

**(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.169 of 2024

D. V. GhagApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The learned PO submits that Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 will be filed during the course of the day. The statement is accepted. It be taken on record.
3. S.O. to 28.08.2024.

sd/-

**(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.296 of 2024

G. M. Kokate

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 14.08.2024.

sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.484 of 2024

With

O.A.No.485 of 2024

V. H. Bhoi

R. S. Dhepe

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned PO seeks short time to file reply.

3. The learned PO is directed to take instructions from the concerned department regarding 'Provisional Pension'.

4. S.O. to 12.08.2024.

sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.624 of 2024

N. D. Pawar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms S. Rakhangi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to file certain documents including impugned order on record.

3. S.O. to 23.08.2024.

sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.08.2024

M. A. 207 of 2024 in O.A.No.45 of 2024

M. M. Y. DaruwalaApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder.
3. S.O. to 16.08.2024.

sd/-

**(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.08.2024

M. A.308 of 2024 in O.A.No.647 of 2024

G. D. KuraneApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.

2. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply to Misc. Application for 'Condonation of Delay' on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.

3. S. O. to 28.08.2024.

sd/-

**(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)**

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2024

O.A.No.960 of 2024

G. N. Kamble

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.09.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 05.09.2024.

sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)