

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1006/2023</p> <p>S.B. Yewale & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.09.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of-admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 14.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <i>(Handwritten Signature)</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <i>(Handwritten Signature)</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A.502/2023 in O.A.924/2023

The State of Mah. & Ors.

**... Applicants
(Ori. Resps.)**

Vs.

Shri S.G. Londhe

**... Respondent
(Ori. Applicant)**

1. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant) is present.
2. This M.A. is filed to recall the order passed by the Tribunal on 27.07.2023 whereby directions were given to the Respondents to get the Applicant joined in view of his transfer order dated 28.06.2023.
3. Initially, Government by order dated 28.06.2023 transferred the Applicant from the post of Assistant Pay and Accounts Officer, Mumbai to Assistant Director, Planning Department, Mumbai and was relieved on 03.07.2023. However, when he reported on duty, he was not allowed to join. Therefore, he had filed O.A.924/23 for implementation of transfer order.
4. The Tribunal found that for no reason Applicant was kept in abeyance for more than three weeks, and therefore, disposed of O.A. at the stage of admission on the same day with direction to Respondent No.2 to get the Applicant joined as per transfer order dated 28.06.2023.
5. Later, Government has filed this M.A. stating that transfer order dated 28.06.2023 is modified by another transfer order dated 26.07.2023 whereby Applicant's place of transfer is changed as Assistant Director, IPS Desk, Home Department, Mantralaya, and therefore, there is difficulty to get the Applicant joined in view of his earlier transfer order dated 28.06.2023.
7. Today, Applicant is present. He states that he is willing to join the post as Assistant Director, IPS Desk, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai as per modified transfer order dated 26.07.2023.
8. In view of above, M.A. has become infructuous and disposed of.
9. Needless to mention, Applicant will be entitled for pay and allowances of the intervening period, since he is kept away from joining for no fault on his part.

Sd/-

(Debashhish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
08.08.2023

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
08.08.2023

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>08.08.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 90, 91/2023, 613, 541, 508/2020</u></p> <p>A.J Pathan S.S Narwade S.N Swami J.C Kamble V.S Gaikwad</p> <p style="text-align: right;">... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors</p> <p style="text-align: right;">... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants in O.A 90 & 91/2023, None for the applicants in O.A Nos 508, 541 & 613/2020 and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O with Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Mr Dere submits that he will furnish compilation of the Case Laws to enable to proceed further and which will take less time.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel Mr Chandratre, learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant in O.A 825/2016 is similarly situated and prayed that the matter be tagged along with the present group of matter.</p> <p>4. O.A No. 825/2016 be tagged along with present group of matters.</p> <p>5. S.O to 30.8.2023 at 10.20 am before Special Bench.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.789 of 2017</p> <p>S. K. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is taken up for 'Speaking to Minutes' to correct the O.A. No.in order dated 07.08.2023.</p> <p>3. In order dated 07.08.2023, O.A. No.is mentioned 307/2016 but infact it is O.A.No.307/2012. As such, it be corrected as 307/2012 in place of 307/2016 in order dated 07.08.2023.</p> <p>4. The corrections be accordingly carried out in order dated 07.08.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Rurhekar)</p> <p>Member(J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">vsm</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Tribunal's orders or objections and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2023</p> <p align="center">C. A. No.79 of 2022 in O.A.No.605 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. B. T. KarvekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that order is complied with except payment of interest of Rs.24,961/- on belated payment of leave encashment. 3. Whereas, learned P.O. submits that it is already sanctioned and it will be credited to the account of Applicant soon. 4. In view of above, one week time is granted to learned P.O. to confirm the payment. Applicant also should inquire it from the Treasury office, Ratnagiri. 5. Steno copy granted. 6. S.O. to 17.08.2023. <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar)</p> <p align="center">Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.08.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.65 of 2022 in O.A. No.263 of 2019</p> <p>D.B.Vithoba KodagApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.A. Kashid, learned Advocate for the Applicant requested for short adjournment. The Tribunal in its order dated 21.06.2023 already observed that there is <i>prima-facie</i> case for initiating proceeding under Contempt of Court Act. Therefore charges are required to be framed against Contemnors. All Contemnors are absent. Indeed Contemnors should have to remain present so as to proceed for framing of charge. On request of learned P.O. matter is adjourned for one week to frame charge. S. O. to 21.08.2023. ^{22/08/23}  Steno copy granted. <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>08.08.2023</u>
	<u>O.A 915/2023</u>
	<p style="text-align: right;">Shri M.B Takwale Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar, holding for shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays that this Tribunal be pleased to quash and set aside the impugned letter dated 6.4.2022 and direct the Respondents to consider the service rendered by the applicant for the period from 15.2.1996 to 7.9.2001 for the purpose pension.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 5.9.2023.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>9. S.O to 5.9.2023.</p>
	<p>Sd/-</p> <p>/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>
	Akn
	[P.T.O.]

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>08.08.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 487/2023 in O.A 786/2023</u></p> <p>The Principal Secretary, Industries, Energy and Labour Dept, & Ors ... Applicants (Ori Respondents)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>Shri Ayub G. Pathan ... Respondent (Ori Applicant)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned advocate for the applicants (Ori Respondents) and learned P.O for the Respondent (Ori Applicant) 2. Learned counsel submits that in this Original Application, the applicant has challenged the order of transfer from Solapur to Jalna, which was stayed. During the pendency of the Original Application, the Respondent has issued the transfer order of the applicant, transferring applicant from Solapur to Nanded, where the applicant is ready and willing to go and join. 3. Learned counsel further submits that the applicant is present before this Court and request that he be allowed to withdraw the Original Application. 4. Both the Misc Application and Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn. Interim relief stands vacated. <p style="text-align: right; padding-right: 20px;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right; padding-right: 20px;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="padding-left: 50px;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 983/2023</u></p> <p>Mrs Chandrakiran D. Hathial ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant working as Associate Professor in Grant Medical College, J.J Hospitals, Mumbai challenges the transfer order dated 13.7.2023, transferring the applicant to the post of Associate Professor, Government Medical College, Miraj.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that nobody is posted in her place. However, the applicant is relieved on 14.7.2023. Learned counsel further submitted that the applicant has completed two years and two months at her present place of posting and hence not due for transfer. Learned counsel has submitted that this Tribunal has protected similarly situated persons. Learned counsel for the applicant has relied on the order dated 21st July, 2023 in O.A Nos 884, 885 & 888/2013 and 25.7.2023 in O.A 881 & 882/2023.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O defends the transfer order and seek time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>5. Thus, prima facie Transfer order dated 13.7.2023 partakes character of mid-term transfer and no such special administrative exigencies is made out as contemplated under Section 4(5) of Transfer Act, 2005.</p> <p>6. In view of the above, I am inclined to grant interim relief to stay the transfer order dated 13.7.2023 and the applicant is allowed to continue to work as Associate Professor at Grant Medical College, J.J Hospitals, Mumbai, until further orders.</p> <p>7. S.O to 5.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.49/2020 in O.A.No.822/2019 with C.A.No.50/2020 in O.A.No.823/2019 with C.A.No.51/2020 in O.A.No.824/2019 with C.A.No.52/2020 in O.A.No.825/2019 with C.A.No.53/2020 in O.A.No.826/2019 with C.A.No.43/2020 in O.A.No.400/2019 with C.A.No.44/2020 in O.A.No.821/2019 (D.B.)</p> <p>Dr. G.P. Jangid & OrsApplicants Vs The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Mr. J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants is absent.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. informs that Mr. Sanjay Khandare is not Principal Secretary, Public Health Department in view that he is transferred and as on today Mr. Milind Mhaiskar is the Additional Chief Secretary, Public Health Department, therefore notice is to be issued to the present Additional Chief Secretary, Public Health Department under Contempt of Court Act, 1977 as to why action should not be taken against him for not complying with the orders of this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 29.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 963/2023</u></p> <p>Deepak B. Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant working as Assistant (Revenue), in the office of Tahsildar, Peth, Dist-Nasik, challenges the order dated 5.1.2021 passed by Respondent no. 1, the Collector, Nasik and order dated 6.6.2023 passed by Respondent no. 2, Divisional Commissioner, Pune and prays that the same be quashed and set aside. The applicant further prays that the Respondents be restrained from recovering the pay and allowances of the applicant pursuant to impugned orders dated 5.1.2021 and 6.6.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks permission to amend the Original Application by challenging the orders dated 12.5.2023 and 25.5.2023 in the prayer clause.</p> <p>4. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith. Learned counsel further submits that the applicant was admittedly absent from 10.8.2020 to 8.12.2020 for four months. The applicant was given show cause notice. The reason given by the applicant was that he was mentally unstable. The said reason was not accepted by the Respondents. Learned counsel has further submitted that the punishment is twofold, first his unauthorized absence of four months is treated as leave without pay and secondly had he worked for during the period of leave, then three times salary payable to the applicant be recovered by way of punishment. Learned counsel states that he has served the Respondents on 5.8.2023 and submits that he will file affidavit of service.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O request for time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>6. So far as first part of the punishment is concerned, at this interim stage, no order is passed. However, with regard to the second part of the</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>punishment, that is about recovery from his salary, the Respondents are directed to stay of their hands till further orders.</p> <p>7. Considering the facts of the case, I am of the view that this matter can be mediated by both the parties in order to achieve better service results from the applicant beneficial to the Respondents.</p> <p>8. Learned counsel for the applicant Mr Lonkar, graciously agrees for this proposal by sending the matter for mediation.</p> <p>9. The Collector and Divisional Commissioner, Nasik are to be informed that no financial repercussion is involved in this matter. Further the Respondents are directed to send responsible officer of the rank of Deputy Collector, who will be in a position to take decision at the time of mediation.</p> <p>10. The matter is fixed on 10.8.2023 at 10.30 am and the Mediation is on 11.8.2023 at 11.00 am.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.95/2023 (D.B.)</p> <p>P.T. Chavan & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. P.B. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O. has mentioned that M.P.S.C. is not served. 3. Learned Advocate Mr. Kamble is directed to serve all the parties and file affidavit of service on the next date. 4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.08.2023. 6. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 9. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed. 10. Adjourned to 17.08.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</i> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.490/2023 in O.A.No.950/2023 (D.B.)</p> <p>P.T. Chavan & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. P.B. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>(Signature)</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.08.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.10 of 2023 in O.A. No.367 of 2022</p> <p>P.B. UmraniApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.B. Umrani, Applicant-in-Person and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate ^{and} for the Applicant has filed Affidavit. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate ^{and} for the Applicant submits that the interest on Gratuity as well as regular Pension is not paid as directed by the Tribunal in O.A.</p> <p>4. Whereas, learned P.O. submits that Department has forwarded proposal to Accountant General, Mumbai which in turn was sent to Accountant General, Nagpur for Pension. As regard interest, learned P.O. submits that Department has forwarded proposal to Government for grant of interest and it is in process.</p> <p>5. In view of above, on request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for remaining compliance i.e. interest part and regular Pension order.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 22.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.08.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.03 of 2023 in O.A. No.950 of 2019 with M.A. No.516 of 2019 with C.A. No.04 of 2023 in O.A. No.1018 of 2019 with M.A. No.561 of 2019</p> <p>S.K. Inamke G.F. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant in person in both the O.As. are present.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. has tendered Affidavit in both the Contempt Applications in which it is stated that the order of the Tribunal is complied and proposal is sent to Accountant General for concerning revised pension.</p> <p>5. Thus, it appears that the order of the Tribunal is complied with however Applicants sought one day time to consult their Advocate.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 09.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.08.2023</p> <p>C.A. No.69 of 2022 in O.A. No.339 of 2020</p> <p>M.V. MohiteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that seniority list at the level of division are published except Amravati Division. According to her after the publication of seniority list of all divisions, provisional seniority list at the State level will be published and thereafter it will be finalized.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri K.R. Jagdale raised grievance that Respondents are taking undue time and there should be fixed time limit for each exercise so that final decision is taken at the earliest.</p> <p>4. This Contempt Application is filed for noncompliance of the order dated 23.02.2021 passed by the Tribunal in O.A. No.327 of 2020 & O.A. No.339 of 2020 whereby directions were given to the Respondent No.1 to update the seniority list and to decide the proposal dated 29.07.2019 forwarded by the Commissioner on its own merit and according to law within six months. Whereas now period of more than six months ^{3 years} is over but there is no finality to the matter. Therefore it is high time to take all necessary steps in all earnest so as to take matter at logical conclusion.</p> <p>5. In view of above, we direct Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue Department to file Affidavit mentioning time schedule for completion of this exercise. Affidavit should be filed tomorrow.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 09.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Sd/-) (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Sd/-) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.08.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A. No.53 of 2023 in O.A. No.472 of 2021</p> <p>P.B. ChaudhariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Contemnor No.2 - Dr. Bhausahab Karekar is present.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that in terms of order passed by the Tribunal on 08.02.2023 in O.A. No.472/2021, ^{now} now effect is being given and proposal will be sent to Accountant General for sanctioning retrial benefits. She further submits that the Applicant's signatures on certain forms are required and after compliance proposal will be sent to Accountant General.</p> <p>4. In view of above, two weeks time is granted to complete the formalities and to ^{produce} show the order. Applicant shall attend the Office for certain formalities of completion of papers.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 08.08.2023
	<p style="text-align: center;">C.A. No.71 of 2023 in O.A. No.56 of 2018 with C.A. No.72 of 2023 in O.A. No.55 of 2018</p> <p>N.B. Kamble A.T. ManeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Both the Contemnors are present. Today matter is for framing charge for Contempt of the order passed by the Tribunal on 19.04.2023.</p> <p>3. In Affidavit Contemnor states that they want to challenge the order of Tribunal before Hon'ble High Court and further undertake to comply the order within a month subject to outcome of Writ Petition.</p> <p>4. Indeed, Tribunal allowed O.A. on 19.04.2023 and two months time was granted to implement the order. In this period of two months no Writ Petition was filed. Thus Contemnors are taking their own time. However, since now Contemnor wants to implement the order, instead of framing charge today it would be appropriate to give some reasonable time for compliance of the order passed by the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. In view of above, 10 days time is granted for the compliance of the order which would be subject to the order in Writ Petition.</p> <p>6. S.O. 22.08.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 10px;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.35 of 2017</p> <p>S.A. AdakeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for Final Hearing by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.242 of 2017</p> <p>S.K. BolbhatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that this matter be heard along with connected O.A. No.789/2017 which is fixed on 04.09.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.09.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>
--	--------------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.648/2023 (D.B.)</p> <p>G.K. Bhosale & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Dere has submitted that the facts in present case are similar to the facts in O.A.No.1192/2022 which is now shown before the 2nd Division Bench, hence, O.A.No.648/2023 be tagged along with O.A.No.1192/2022</p> <p>3. Registry to take note that O.A.No.648/2023 be tagged along with O.A.No.1192/2022 and be placed on the board of 2nd Division Bench today itself.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- √ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.536/2021 with O.A.No.537/2021 (D.B.) S.B. SonwaneApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Registry to take note instead of the subject 'D.E.' it be shown as 'Termination'.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 17.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 08.08.2023
	O.A.No.89/2023 (D.B.)
	S.P. KolteApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.
	1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 and 2 and Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.
	2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that rely is filed.
	3. At the request of learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar adjourned to 24.08.2023. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date.
	Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1180/2016 with O.A.No.110/2017 with O.A.No.401/2017 (D.B.)</p> <p>R.M. Janbandhu & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate Mr. Jadhav has filed affidavit. It be taken on record. Copy is served to learned P.O.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 23.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- /u (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.220/2023 in O.A.No.291/2023 (D.B.)</p> <p>N.P. Bhosale & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Mr. A.M. Misal, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 is absent.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Jadhav has submitted that he has instructions to withdraw the M.A. He states that the present M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay, however, the period of delay is not specifically mentioned. He therefore, request for withdrawing the M.A. with leave to file fresh M.A.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw and leave granted to file fresh M.A. In the meanwhile the O.A. be kept under objection. The Applicant to file M.A. and thereafter move circulation in O.A. seeking next date. Till filing of fresh M.A. for condonation of delay O.A. will be kept undated.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ✓ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.79/2023 in O.A.No.650/2015 (D.B.)</p> <p>M.R. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 11.08.2023 for reporting compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- √(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders**

Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.759/2023 (D.B.)</p> <p>R.N. KaningdhvajApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 09.08.2023 for hearing on interim relief. P.H.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.74/2023 in C.A.No.41/2020 in O.A.No.556/2007 (D.B.)</p> <p>A.B. GhodeshwarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 29.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>prk</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2023

O.A.No.957/2023 (D.B.)

Dr. D. Suryawanshi & Ors.Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.08.2023.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Adjourned to 11.08.2023.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Tribunal's orders</p> <p>Date : 08.08.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.58/2022 in O.A.No.863/2017 (D.B.)</p> <p>C.V. BhosleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view that the matter is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, C.A. is adjourned to 19.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>
--	--

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.751/2023 (D.B.)</p> <p>I.V. BasleApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 and Ms. P. Pradhan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 22.08.2023. H.O.B. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.494/2023 in O.A.No.957/2023 (D.B.)</p> <p>Dr. D. Suryawanshi & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed. 1</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>