

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2019.

O. A. No.659 of 2019

A. S. Shivsharan

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. R. Joshi holding for Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In view of the order passed in M.A.No.428/2019, O.A.No.659/2019 is restored to file.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.09.2019.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
9. S.O. to 03.09.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2019.</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.428 of 2019 in O.A.No.659 of 2019</p> <p>A. S. ShrivsharanApplicant</p> <p align="center">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. R. Joshi holding for Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to restore the O.A.No.659/2019 which was dismissed in default for non compliance of the conditional order namely filing of Affidavit of Service within three days before returnable date.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that earlier the matter was dealt with Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and because of ill health, he could not comply the conditional order.</p> <p>4. For the reasons stated in M.A., Original Application is restored to file.</p> <p>5. Accordingly, Misc. Application is disposed of and O.A.659/19 be restored to file.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 08.08.2019.

O. A. No.658 of 2019

R. B. Bhide

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. R. Joshi holding for Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Resoondents.
2. In view of the order passed in M.A.No.427/2019, O.A.No.658/2019 is restored to file.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.09.2019.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
9. S.O. to 03.09.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Court m. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2019.</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.427 of 2019 In O.A.No.658 of 2019</p> <p>R. B. BhideApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. R. Joshi holding for Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This M.A. is filed to restore the O.A.No.658/2019 which was dismissed in default for non compliance of the conditional order namely filing of Affidavit of Service within three days before returnable date. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that earlier the matter was dealt with Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and because of ill health, he could not comply the conditional order. 4. For the reasons stated in M.A., Original Application is restored to file. 5. Accordingly, Misc. Application is disposed of and O.A.658/19 be restored to file. <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 08.08.2019.

O. A. No.766 of 2019

S. M. DeoreApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.08.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 20.08.2019.
9. As the connected O.A.No.722/2019 is to be heard along with this O.A., the service of notice in O.A.No.766/2019 is dispensed with.
10. The Respondents in O.A.No.766/2019 shall file reply on the next date.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 08.08.2019 . Tribunal's orders O.A.No. 668 of 2019
	<p>D. K. PajalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned Counsel, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.08.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O. A. No. 770 of 2019</p> <p>S. B. MahajanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.08.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 21.08.2019. 9. Issue of interim relief is kept open. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

2

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2019.

O. A. No.767 of 2019

G. B. Kamalkar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri P. S. Bhavake, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms N. G Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.09.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 04.09.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Date : 08.08.2019.

O. A. No.772 of 2019

S. K. Kapare

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri P. G. Kayande, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.09.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 04.09.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(I)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 08.08.2019.

O. A. No. 584 & 585 of 2019

S. B. Malusare

M. M. Sakore

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. R. Joshi holding for Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Counsel for the Applicants has pointed out that the matter in issue is already decided by this Tribunal in O.A.No.1044 and O.A.301/2017 with 33 & 282/18 by judgment dated 04.02.2019.
3. In view of above, the Respondents are directed to examine the issue already decided by this Tribunal and to show cause as to why the same benefit should not be extended to the present Applicants.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.09.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 03.09.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No. 696 of 2019</p> <p>K.B. JagtapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present matter, the challenge is to the order dated 28.02.2019. Perusal of order reveals that it is not transfer but temporary deputation. However, at the same time there is also reference to Section 22N(2) of Maharashtra Police Act. Therefore, it is necessary to know whether it is temporary deputation for limited period or transfer, if it is temporary deputation then it should not have been for longer period as the period of more than six months is over.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to take instructions and apprise the Tribunal on the next date as enough time is already granted to file the reply. If necessary, reply be filed so that the matter can be expedited.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.08.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>ysm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No. 524 of 2019</p> <p>S. G. ParabApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.08.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2019</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.27 of 2019 in O.A.No.902 of 2018</p> <p>P.K. Jadhav & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This Contempt Application was apparently filed contending that there is violation of orders issued by this Tribunal in 22.02.2019 in O.A.No.902/2018. The relevant portion of the same is in paragraphs 3 and 4, which reads as under :-</p> <p><i>"3. Affidavit-in-reply of the Respondent No.2 would show that the proposal to this effect is sent to the Respondent No.1 on 15.02.2018 (copy whereof is at page 73) is filed by the Respondent No.2 itself.</i></p> <p><i>4. Learned Advocate Shri R.M. Kalge for the Applicants submits that some statement made in the said proposal are incorrect and the facts are given in the present O.A."</i></p> <p>3. Today during hearing, learned P.O. has produced communication dated 07.08.2019 and 06.08.2019 from the Respondents namely, Revenue and Forests Department. The same is taken on record and marked as 'X' for the purpose of identification. The relevant portion of letter dated 06.08.2019 is as under :-</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

“उपरोक्त विषयाबाबत मा. महाराष्ट्र प्रशासकीय न्यायाधिकरण, मुंबई येथे दाखल मूल अर्ज क्र.९०२/२०१८, श्री पांडुरंग किसन जाधव व इतर विरुद्ध महाराष्ट्र शासन व इतर घ्या अनुषंगाने, महसूल विभागांतर्गत समावेशन झालेल्या तत्कालीन हजेरी सहायकांना, हजेरी सहायक पदावरील कालावधी त्यांच्या सेवानिवृ-त वेतनप्रकरणी ग्रह्य धरावा किंवा कसे तसेच मा. उच्च न्यायालयाच्या न्यायनिर्णयानुसार त्यांना नियमितपणाचे फायदेकोणत्या तारखेपासून देय होतील. याबाबत शासनाच्या संदर्भाधीन संदर्भ क्र.(५) येथील दिनांक २५ जून, २०१९ च्या शासनपत्रान्वये दिलेल्या मार्गदर्शन सूचना ह्या हजेरी सहायकातून समावेशनाने महसूल विभागात कार्यरत असलेल्या व सेवानिवृ-त झालेल्या अशा सर्व कर्मचा-यांसाठी लागू राहतील. अशी धारणा पक्की करण्याची विनंती आपण आपल्या संदर्भाधीन संदर्भ क्र.(६) येथील दि.२४.०७.२०१९ व संदर्भाधीन संदर्भ क्र.(७) येथील दि.३१.०७.२०१९ च्या पत्रान्वये शासनास केला आहे.

२. उक्त विषयाबाबत संदर्भाधीन संदर्भ क्र.(५) येथील शासन पत्रान्वये यापुर्वीच शासनाचे मार्गदर्शन कळविण्यात आले असून आपल्या संदर्भाधीन संदर्भ क्र.(६) येथील पत्राच्या अनुषंगाने या प्रकरणी पुन्हा कळविण्यात येते की, प्रस्तुत विषयासंदर्भातील संदर्भाधीन संदर्भ क्र.(१) ते ४) येथील नियोजन विभागाचे शासन निर्णयांचे कृपया अवलोकन करावे. सदर शासन निर्णयातील नमूद तरतूदी ह्या स्वयंस्पष्ट असून त्यापैकी संदर्भाधीन संदर्भ क्र.(४) येथील दि.१५.०४.२००९ च्या शासन निर्णयानुसार, हजेरी सहायक ज्या दिवशी समावेशनाच्या पदावर रुजू होतील. त्या दिवसापासून त्यांची शासकीय सेवा सुरु होते. समावेशनापूर्वी हजेरी सहायक पदावर केलेली यांची सेवा नियमित सेवा नाही. त्यामुळे हजेरी सहायक पदावरील सेवा निवृ-तीवेतनाह सेवा ठरत नाही.

३. तरी उक्त नियोजन विभागाच्या शासन निर्णयातील नमूद तरतूदीनुसार, महसूल विभागांतर्गत समावेशन झालेल्या सर्व तत्कालीन हजेरी सहायकांची शासकीय पदावरील समावेशनापूर्वीची हजेरी सहायक पदाची सेवा तसेच कालावधी हा त्यांचा निवृ-ती वेतन प्रकरणासाठी व सेवा जोडून देण्याबाबत ग्रह्य धरता येणार नाही.”

4. In view of the foregoing, we do not see any obvious Contempt of the orders issued by this Tribunal. C.A. is therefore disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.08.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 346/2018 in O.A 554/2018</u></p> <p>Smt Reema R. Tarkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant as well as learned advocate for the applicant absent. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondents no 3 & 5.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 22.8.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.08.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 256 & 257/2019</u></p> <p>Dr S.D Kulkarni ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.P. Pawar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O, adjourned to 11.9.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(A.P Kurhekar) (P.N Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.08.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 312 & 355/2019</u></p> <p>Shri D.P Koli Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents</p> <p>1. Learned advocate for the applicant files leave note. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 29.8.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.08.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 254/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.A Pedgaonkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. Learned C.P.O has filed reply on behalf of Respondents no 1 & 2. She further mentions that copy will be made available to learned advocate for the applicant. 3. Learned advocate for the applicant seeks four weeks' time. 4. S.O to 11.9.2019. <p>Sd/- (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.08.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 553/2019</u></p> <p>Shri P.M Londhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files reply on behalf of Respondents no 1, 2 & 3. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned advocate for the applicant seeks early hearing as the issue of interim relief is kept open.</p> <p>4. S.O to 14.8.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.08.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 582/2017</u></p> <p>Smt S.S Ghadshi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jgadale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. During the hearing, learned advocate for the applicant submits that applicant is ready to make representation for transferring her to Mumbai from outside in the nomination quota in place of vacancy occurred due to retirement of Shri Naresh S. Musle, Senior Clerk from OBC category.</p> <p>3. Learned advocate for the applicant therefore prays that O.A be disposed of with liberty to make such representation.</p> <p>4. Original Application is disposed of accordingly with liberty.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Alm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.08.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 327/2019</u></p> <p>Shri D.G Choudhari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 22.8.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>08.08.2019</p> <p><u>O.A 454/2019 with M.A 383/2019</u></p> <p>Shri P.H Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Vrushali Penkar i/b Shri Preeti Walimable, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks two weeks' time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 22.8.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P Karhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>08.08.2019</p> <p><u>O.A 410/2019</u></p> <p>Shri V.S Utikar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant present in person. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files reply on behalf of Respondent nos 1 & 2.</p> <p>3. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O to 22.8.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders 08.08.2019</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 768/2019</u></p> <p>Shri Vitthal G. Relekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Utkarsh Desai i/b Shri P.S Bhavake, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 19.9.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand, delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 19.9.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P Kurhekar) (P.N Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.08.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 158/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.R Putta ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant adjourned to 17.9.2019 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dxitj) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.775 of 2017 (Subject : Deemed date of promotion)</p> <p>S.N. Raktate Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant and learned Advocate for the Applicant are absent.</p> <p>3. Dismissed for want of prosecution, as it is old matter.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.910 of 2016 (Subject : Removal from service)</p> <p>R.B. Shalkh Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On Instructions from the Applicant, learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks liberty to withdraw and file fresh O.A.</p> <p>3. Permitted. O.A. is disposed as withdrawn.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.08.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 487/2017 in O.A 1967/2017</u></p> <p>Shri R.R Kharat ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Learned Advocate Shri V.V Joshi has filed his leave note. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 17.9.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P Karhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Alm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.08.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 756 & 757/2019</u></p> <p>Shri R.N Malge [O.A 756/2019] Shri G.D Garde [O.A 757/2019] ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 29.8.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.630 of 2019

D.M. Gavhane ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. S.O. to 19.8.2019 with a direction to Respondent no.1 to pass an order releasing balance 67% of the pension or alternatively depute reasonable officer to remain present.

3. Steno copy and hamdast is granted. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
8.8.2019

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.596 of 2019

S.B. Choudhari ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 19.8.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N.'Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
8.8.2019

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A.No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1144 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.P. Pednekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder as the Ld. PO has filed reply on 4.7.2019 which is available from page 851-A onwards.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 19.8.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 8.8.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.321 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.A. Koyande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad with Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks time to file sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.8.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 8.8.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

08.08.2019

C.A 129/2015 in O.A 142/2015

Dr S.S Deshmukh ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Applicant absent. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. At the request of learned C.P.O adjourned to 22.8.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Akn

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./B.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.08.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 559/2019</u></p> <p>Shri P.S Pereira ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 14.8.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P Kurhekar) (P.N Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Atm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

08.08.2019

O.A 704/2019

Shri S.G Kadam ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Learned advocate for the applicant seeks early hearing as the issue of interim relief is kept open.
3. S.O to 14.8.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Akn

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.08.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 776/2017</u></p> <p>Shri N.A Polani ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. On instructions from the applicant, learned advocate for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the O.A with liberty to agitate before appropriate bench in due course.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, O.A allowed to be withdrawn with liberty.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Atm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.08.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 326/2019</u></p> <p>Shri D.G Choudhari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 22.8.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>